


# Places for Everyone

Allocations: Salford Issues Summary

February 2022



# Chapter 11 – Site Allocations (Salford)

A summary of the main issues raised in relation to the policies within PfE 2021 Chapter 11 and the relevant respondents to PfE 2021 is set out below.

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 26 - Hazelhurst

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	<b>Principle / scale of development</b>		
JPA26.1	Question the need for the scale of new housing development with reference to issues including validity of government targets in light of Brexit, immigration control, and the use of out of date data (i.e. using 2014 based household projections instead of the 2016 ones).	<p>The Greater Manchester housing requirement is calculated using the government's standard local housing need methodology as required by the government's planning practice guidance. This requires the use of the 2014 based-household projections. The Housing Topic Paper explains further how the housing requirements have been calculated <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 1.
JPA26.2	Concern over the cumulative impact of development, when consideration is given to other developments in the local area that have been completed recently or are under construction.	A transport locality assessment has been prepared for the site which looks at the cumulative impacts of development <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">09.01.25</a> . The assessments take account of traffic associated with all PfE allocations in proximity to this allocation, which includes JPA27 East of Boothstown and JPA36 North of Mosely Common.	See JPA26 Appendix table 2.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.3	Strong support for the allocation given that the proposed development would help the city meet its housing needs; there are no technical or environmental constraints to developing the site.	Comments noted	Peel L&P Investments (North)
JPA26.4	Consider that the allocation can be developed for 450 dwellings at a density of 30 dwellings per hectare. The first sentence of the policy should be amended to refer to 450 dwellings.	<p>The site is capable of accommodating 400 dwellings (rather than 450 dwellings) having regard to the site allocation policy requirements and proposed boundary, as outlined in chapter 27 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>Criteria 14 and 15 of the site allocation policy require that the site allocation incorporates new allotment plots and (if there is no capacity in the local area) additional primary school provision.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North)
JPA26.5	General support for the proposal	Comments noted	David Yates Dean Terence Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA26.6	The site should be removed from Places for Everyone/general objection to the proposal	In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern	See JPA26 Appendix table 3.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[04.03.01]</a> explains the site selection process undertaken to determine the allocations in PfE and sets out the seven site selection criteria developed to identify the most sustainable sites.</p> <p>As outlined in chapter 5 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>, land at Hazelhurst Farm has been selected on the basis of criterion 1 (Land which has been previously developed and/or land which is well served by public transport). The site is in close proximity to stops on the Leigh Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway which provide good public transport access to the employment and leisure opportunities in the city centre.</p> <p>Chapter 30 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> summarises the reasons why this site is considered to represent a sustainable development opportunity and notes that whilst there are some constraints to consider in the site's development, these can be overcome and are reflected in the site allocation policy requirements.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.7	Reduce numbers for development so that the developers can provide facilities for the new families (not just a lot of houses/ flats), including a play park and adequate parking.	The site is capable of accommodating 400 dwellings having regard to the site allocation policy requirements and proposed boundary as outlined in chapter 27 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> . This site has been selected on the basis that it is well served by public transport, due to its location next to the Leigh	Husnara Kuraishe Meech Emily Wilcox

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway, which runs services adjacent to the allocation provides fast, high frequency services.</p> <p>The Plan seeks to make efficient use of land and part of this strategy is building homes at an appropriate density. PfE policy JP-H4 requires that housing development within 400m of the Leigh Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway is developed at a minimum density of 50 dwellings per hectare and within 800m at 35 dwellings per hectare.</p> <p>In addition to the housing, this site would also accommodate public rights of way, allotments and green infrastructure in accordance with criteria 4, 7, 9 and 14 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.8	It is not clear why this area of land has been chosen other than that it has not been built on and is next to the motorway network and a bus stop.	See response to JPA26.6 above.	Elizabeth Jones
JPA26.9	Rather than building on Greenbelt in what is effectively a confined space between the motorway, the East Lancashire Road and Hazelhurst Road, would it not be better to build this whole development up the East Lancashire Road where there are acres and acres of fields in the Astley/Tyldesley/Leigh area?	See response to JPA26.6 above.	Raymond Vause
JPA26.10	If homes are needed we should instead be building a "new town" with good rail links to places, schools, doctors etc.	It is unclear from the representation where any new town should be built. PfE does not propose any new towns, rather it directs development and allocations to the urban area and its edge to take into account existing transport links and social infrastructure. The response to JPA26.6 explains the reasons this site was selected.	Philip Sharples

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.11	Support for the proposal with green space and suitable provision of local amenities.	Comments noted. Criteria 1, 4, 7, 9, 14 and 15 of the site allocation policy are considered to address these issues.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Lee Hoggett
JPA26.12	Proposal is vague, should multi-storey high density development be approved there is great potential to blight the picturesque scenic views with resulting loss of privacy for the local population.	Chapter 4 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> explains that the proposed allocation is for 400 houses with a range of sizes expected and the precise mix to be informed by a masterplan/framework or Supplementary Planning Document. In accordance with PfE policy JP-P 1 any development would be required to respect and acknowledge the character and identity of the locality in terms of design, siting, size, scale and materials used. It would also be required to comply with the design policies within chapter 19 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations once this document is adopted (expected to be summer 2022).  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Graham Pickup
JPA26.13	Salford Council has a commitment to the "great 8". Tackling poverty and inequality - Placing a large portion of affordable houses, social houses and part ownership directly adjacent to one of the most affluent and expensive housing areas in Greater Manchester is an effort to "highlight" inequality. Creating Vibrant places and spaces - The development plan is a housing estate at its core.	As outlined in chapter 4 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> , criteria 2 of the site allocation policy requires that the development provides an affordable housing scheme of at least 50% of the dwellings on the site, with some of this directed towards off-site provision. The proportions of on/off site provision will be established through the masterplanning and planning application process.	Steven Ball

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.14	This whole plan is moving too fast and a full technical investigation into not just the Hazelhurst Farm development but other opportunities would be fair. If this analysis and review has been conducted, please can you share it with the public to help add strength to your case and prove that it really is the only option?	<p>Prior to the publication of PfE there were two formal periods of consultation on the Greater Manchester Spatial Framework: 2016 Draft GMSF and 2019 Revised Draft GMSF. More information on the consultation process and comments can be found in the Statement of Consultation <a href="#">[03.05.01]</a>.</p> <p>A substantial amount of technical evidence has been prepared in support of PfE including a Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> which explains the site selection methodology for PfE. All the supporting documents are detailed in an <a href="#">index</a> which was published on 9 August 2021 and those relevant to this site allocation are summarised in the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Steven Ball
JPA26.15	What is new, innovative or taking a new approach to a genuine problem about this?	<p>Reasonable alternatives have been identified and assessed through the Integrated Assessment Framework. The Integrated Assessment non-technical summary <a href="#">[02.01.06]</a> explains the process for and results of this assessment.</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan is produced in consultation with the local community stakeholders. This will allow the local community to be involved in how the site will be developed and allow for the consideration of detailed issues and innovative approaches.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Steven Ball

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.16	Existing residents typically work in professional services or self-employed who can work from home and have surplus income. The housing proposed is for people who could not afford a dwelling in this area, will typically be more welfare, retail, hospitality and manual labour. These types of professions will require people to travel and will work outside the local area, not creating a local economy, and any surplus income should be saved to buy a house that is not shared ownership, social housing or affordable.	<p>Chapter 4 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> explains that the proposed allocation will accommodate a range of sizes of homes, the precise housing mix will be determined through a masterplan/framework</p> <p>Criterion 2 of the site allocation policy requires that development of the site provides an affordable housing scheme equivalent to at least 50% of the dwellings with some of this directed towards off-site provision. Shared ownership dwellings which are required by the site allocation policy are a form of home ownership. The site allocation will contribute to the creation of mixed and sustainable communities. This is in line with policy JP-H 3 of PfE 2021.</p> <p>This site has been selected on the basis that it is well served by public transport, due to its location next to the Leigh Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway, which provides fast, high frequency services to the employment and leisure opportunities in the city centre.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Steven Ball
JPA26.17	It is suggested that a more holistic review of available space in Salford be carried out with a longer term consideration of not just adding homes but invigorating a whole town from the centre outwards. Reference made to potential within the Swinton Area given its transport links, existing amenities and available space within the precinct area and the Council's campus.	<p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area,</p>	Steven Ball Susan Lesley Wrightson



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a></p> <p>Sites within the identified supply have to be available, suitable and likely to be viable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF. All sites that meet the criteria have been identified in the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a>, with this including those sites that will emerge over the plan period where there is current evidence that this will happen. The identified supply for Salford includes sites within the Swinton area.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.18	Salford's own housing requirement according to PfE (page 35 para 3.13) is 21,425, compared with the Greater Manchester calculation of 26,528. If 5,000 more are not actually needed then no Green Belt in Salford needs to be built on.	<p>As identified within the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> in table 3.3 (page 6)] Salford's Local Housing Need using the government's standard methodology is 1,324 homes per annum, which equates to 21,184 over the plan period. Salford's housing requirement in PfE is significantly higher than the LHN figure (an annual average of 1,658 dwellings equating to a total of 26,528). Through PfE, land within the current Green Belt is being allocated for housing as a means of increasing the supply of houses and also affordable housing. It also recognises that a significant number of new homes will be provided in highly accessible locations such as City Centre Salford and Salford Quays in the form of high density apartments.</p> <p>The distribution of development is based on achieving the Strategy set out in the PfE plan as evidenced in the Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> and Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p>	W Newham

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.19	Reference to development proposals in the Worsley Greenway at Broad Oak which were refused following multiple appeals as a comparator to this site. One representation states that through those decisions it was comprehensively demonstrated that the green aspect of the area was of great benefit to both locals and many visitors for recreation and general well-being as an escape from urban sprawl.	<p>Land at Hazelhurst Farm is not located within the boundary of the Worsley Greenway designation.</p> <p>Chapter 15 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> notes that residents value this land as an open space and for the informal recreation opportunities it provides. Criterion 4 of the site allocation policy requires any development to incorporate attractive rights of way through the site connecting into the wider network and a number of potential enhancements to green infrastructure are suggested in the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study - Beneficial Use Appendix F <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a></p> <p>As outlined in chapter 5 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>, land at Hazelhurst Farm has been selected on the basis of criterion 1 (Land which has been previously developed and/or land which is well served by public transport). The site is in close proximity to stops on the Leigh Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway which provide good public transport access to the employment and leisure opportunities in the city centre.</p> <p>Chapter 30 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> summarises the reasons why this site is considered to represent a sustainable development opportunity.</p> <p>Chapter 14 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>, sets out the assessment of Green Belt for this site, including issues of sprawl and the other purposes of Green Belt by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC.</p>	W Newham Michael Fitzsimmons J Fitzsimmons

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.20	Large scale inner city residential development is taking place on 'Brownfield' sites all over Salford. You only need to travel down Chapel Street from the old Salford Royal to the Irwell boundary with Manchester to see, literally, thousands of units of accommodation that have been/are being constructed. That must be addressing some of the perceived residential accommodation shortage?	See response to JPA26.17 above.	Michael Fitzsimmons J Fitzsimmons
JPA26.21	There are many other fields with access to the East Lancs; development of these will not affect their surrounding environment.	<p>It is unclear which fields are being referenced here.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>. Chapter 5 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> explains why the site was selected.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Kimberley Rowley

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.22	Fails to acknowledge that Salford City Council have already provided 219% of the additional housing they said were required. Recent statistics from the Housing Delivery Test 2020 suggests that in recent years, Salford City Council have provided 8,545 homes since 2017. This is 219% of the 3,984 they originally identified needed to be built.	See response to JPA26.18. In addition, the city council needs to demonstrate on an ongoing basis that it passes the housing delivery test and has a five year supply of deliverable sites. It also has to demonstrate a supply of developable sites over the longer term, and well as provide homes to meet a range of housing needs. All this is in the context of the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes (NPPF paragraph 60).  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	David Williams Julie Williams David Williams Clare Platt Andrew Ashton Nicola Ashton
JPA26.23	Question whether there is a housing crisis and question whether developments in Manchester City Centre and in Salford (Chapel Street and Oldfield Road) have not addressed any alleged shortage to a significant extent.	See response to JPA26.17 above.	Martyn and Rosie Wright
JPA26.24	There are numerous other fields along the East Lancs, with access to the East Lancs and the bus route which will not affect their surrounding environments. There is no need to build so close to an already overpopulated area leaving no clean air spaces left.	See response to JPA26.21 above.	Andrew Phipson Eleanor Hughes
JPA26.25	Housing needs calculation is incorrect, based on out date, inflated figures that exaggerate housing need.	As identified within the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> in table 3.3 (page 6)] Salford's Local Housing Need using the government's standard methodology is 1,324 homes per annum, which equates to 21,184 over the plan period. Salford's housing requirement in PfE is significantly higher than the LHN figure (an annual average of 1,658 dwellings equating to a total of 26,528). Through PfE, land within the current Green Belt is being allocated for housing as a means of increasing the supply of houses and also affordable housing.  The distribution of development is based on achieving the Strategy set out in the PfE plan as evidenced in the Growth and Spatial	Sharon and Andrew Robinson Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> and Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> .  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.26	Many of the 15 listed "benefits" are unlikely to be realised.	The development of this site will be required to comply with the 15 criteria in the site allocation policy. These are not necessarily benefits and will guide any development and where necessary provide mitigation measures.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Albert Proctor
JPA26.27	We have lived with the planner's decision to make this area the area where north/south/east/west routes meet. The needs of this area are different to others in Greater Manchester and therefore require different consideration.	Chapter 5 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> explains why the site was selected. The topic paper also summarises the evidence to justify the allocation.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Anita Douglas
JPA26.28	Planners need to reconsider land use in Salford generally with imaginative thinking on land use regeneration, tree planting, affordable housing in redesigned shopping areas etc. What worked in the past (build more and more houses) will not work in the future. Salford has a part to play in putting an end to ill-considered developments and moving forward towards a better solution.	Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> .  Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and	Anita Douglas

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>With regards to tree planting, PfE policy JP-G7 aims to significantly increase tree cover, including through targeting tree planting at the areas of greatest need and considerably increasing the provision of street trees.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.29	The boundary for the allocation should be amended to include land to the north. The land can be protected from development by means other than Green Belt designation including provisions within the policy.	See response to JPA26.4 above.	Peel L&P Investments (North)
JPA26.30	The 1st stated criteria of the policy should be modified to read: “Be in accordance with a masterplan/framework or <del>Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</del> that has been developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and is considered acceptable by the city council, <del>or in the case of an SPD adopted by the City Council.</del> <u>This requirement can be satisfied through the progression of an outline or full planning application for the majority of the site which includes a masterplan for the full extent of the allocated area.</u> ”	<p>The masterplan requirement will allow local community to be involved in how the site will be developed. The Revised Draft GMSF required that any masterplan/framework or SPD should be adopted by the city council. This has been amended in PfE so that the requirement for a masterplan/framework does not need adopting by the city council, rather it has to be considered acceptable by the city council (see criterion 1 of the site allocation policy).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North)
	<b>Housing (inc affordable housing)</b>		
JPA26.31	Issues raised around the provision of affordable housing, including its location, whether the homes will in practice be affordable, the deliverability of 50% being affordable, and how in keeping affordable housing would be for the local area.	With regards to the location of affordable housing, as outlined in chapter 4 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> , criteria 2 of the site allocation policy requires that the development provides an affordable housing scheme of at least 50% of the dwellings on the site, with some of this directed towards off-site	<p>David Cailey</p> <p>Annie Tortoa-Cailey</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Councillors Karen and Robin Garrido</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>provision. The proportions of on/off site provision will be established through the masterplanning and planning application process.</p> <p>In response to whether homes will be affordable in practice, affordable housing is defined in Annex 2 (Glossary) of the NPPF. The affordable housing tenures required on the allocation site are set out in the policy (criterion 2 which requires social and affordable rented dwellings and shared ownership) and are consistent with the definition of affordable housing in the NPPF.</p> <p>With regards to deliverability of 50% affordable housing, a viability assessment has been undertaken for the site by Three Dragons which has concluded that the site is viable for 50% affordable housing <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a>.</p> <p>The site will provide a mix of tenures and will contribute to the creation of mixed and sustainable communities. This is in line with policy JP-H 3 of PfE 2021.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>Husnara Kuraishe Meech</p> <p>Nicola Weedall</p>
JPA26.32	50% affordable housing requirement is supported.	Comments noted.	<p>Lorraine Rogers</p> <p>Greater Manchester Housing Providers</p>
JPA26.33	Question the penalty for not providing allocated social housing. How is this monitored and has a penalty ever been executed?	Criterion 2 of the allocation policy requires 50% affordable housing to be provided which includes social rented dwellings. If the development came forward with less than 50% of the dwellings being affordable then the development would be contrary to the allocation policy, and this would need to be given particular attention in the determination of any planning application(s) on the site.	Husnara Kuraishe Meech

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.34	Affordable housing should be on-site and provide a mix of dwellings.	<p>As outlined in chapter 4 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>, criteria 2 of the site allocation policy requires that the development provides an affordable housing scheme of at least 50% of the dwellings on the site, with some of this directed towards off-site provision. The proportions of on/off site provision will be established through the masterplanning and planning application process.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Lorraine Rogers</p> <p>Willie Mills</p> <p>Stephen Hopkins</p>
JPA26.35	Property values will not be affordable, with one respondent stating that the small percentage set aside does not address the issue.	See response to JPA25.31 above.	<p>Paul Higson</p> <p>K Thoday</p> <p>Charlotte Wilkinson</p> <p>Philip Sharples</p> <p>Hannah Murphy</p> <p>Harriet</p> <p>Elizabeth Griffin</p> <p>Nigel Hyams</p> <p>Kate Briggs</p> <p>Vicky Harper</p>
JPA26.36	Concern that provision of affordable housing will negatively affect existing property values.	<p>Devaluation of existing properties is not a material planning consideration.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Jenny Lindoe
JPA26.37	Concerns raised regarding the sustainability of homes provided with issues highlighted including a lack of eco homes, innovative house types, renewable energy, heat source fuel pumps and electric vehicle charging.	Various PfE policies seek to ensure that new development is sustainable and contributes to the aim of delivering a carbon neutral Greater Manchester by 2038. PfE policy JP-S 2 sets out requirements for development including: following an energy	<p>Ananya McCarthy</p> <p>Elizabeth Jones</p>



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>hierarchy, seeking a minimum 19% carbon reduction against part L of the 2013 Building Regulations, incorporating adequate electric vehicle charging points and where practicable, prioritising connection to a renewable energy/heating/cooling network. PfE policy JP-S 2 also requires that development incorporates electric vehicle charging points to future proof for the likely long-term demand.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.38	There is a lack of larger detached houses coming to market for those needing more space.	<p>As outlined in chapter 4 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>, the proposed allocation is for 400 houses, with a range of sizes expected. The precise housing mix will be informed by a masterplan/framework or Supplementary Planning Document (as required by criterion 1 of the site allocation policy), and the planning application process.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lee Hoggett
JPA26.39	Homes should be freehold rather than leasehold properties.	<p>Whether the houses or freehold or leasehold sits outside of the planning process. Notwithstanding this, The Leasehold Reform (Ground Rent) Bill was introduced in the House of Lords on 12 May 2021. This Bill will fulfil the government's commitment to "set future ground rents to zero."</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lee Hoggett
JPA26.40	Plans for Salford seems to align with local housing needs calculations but is arguably not aligned with growth and economic ambitions of the City. The reduction in overall numbers from where we were previously is only going to exacerbate affordability issues in	As identified within the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> in table 3.3 (page 6)] Salford's Local Housing Need using the government's standard methodology is 1,324 homes per annum, which equates to 21,184 over the plan period. Salford's housing requirement in PfE is	Greater Manchester Housing Providers

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	GMCA. There is uncertainty to the deliverability, and the affordable housing delivery in particular given the City has not delivered the required rates of affordable housing in the past. The focus on higher quality, greener developments (spacious, green space, good place making) in Salford is supported but this may price young families and those on lower incomes out	<p>significantly higher than the LHN figure (an annual average of 1,658 dwellings equating to a total of 26,528). This will help increase the supply of houses and new affordable housing in the city, alongside emerging policy in the Salford local plan policy H4 which sets out a minimum 20% affordable housing city-wide and higher in particular locations / developments. It also recognises that a significant number of new homes will be provided in highly accessible locations such as City Centre Salford and Salford Quays in the form of high density apartments.</p> <p>The distribution of development is based on achieving the Strategy set out in the PfE plan as evidenced in the Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> and Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.41	Siting affordable homes where houses prices are not at opposite ends of house value spectrums can help balance a community. Residents can see what their next home move could be. The struggles and life experiences of their neighbours are common and a wider empathy within a community is promoted.	See response to JPA26.31	Steven Ball
JPA26.42	Query what Salford's definition of affordable is.	<p>Affordable housing is defined in Annex 2 (Glossary) of the NPPF. The affordable housing tenures required on the allocation site are set out in the policy (criterion 2 which requires social and affordable rented dwellings and shared ownership) and are consistent with the definition of affordable housing in the NPPF.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Hannah Murphy

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.43	A need for affordable housing but this should be built by councils or in full local control.	<p>As outlined in chapter 4 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>, criteria 2 of the site allocation policy requires that the development provides an affordable housing scheme of at least 50% of the dwellings on the site, with some of this directed towards off-site provision. The indicative affordable housing tenure split is 37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership. This mix is proposed having regard to the characteristics of households in need and the existing tenure mix in Salford and will help to meet a variety of needs.</p> <p>The arrangements for delivering the required affordable housing including the proportion of on/off site provision and the affordable housing provider(s) will be established during masterplanning and planning application process. For information, the city council has established a local development company which is now delivering affordable homes.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Paul Higson
JPA26.44	The 50% affordable housing requirement is not viable, a 30% requirement is however likely to be viable and achievable. The second criterion of the policy should be amended to read: "Provide an affordable housing scheme equivalent to at least 30% of the dwellings on the site and up to 50% subject to testing through a viability assessment process undertaken as part of any planning application/s (with an indicative affordable housing tenure split of 37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership), with some of this directed towards off-site provision;"	<p>Three Dragons assessed the financial viability of all of the PfE allocations on behalf of the GMCA and districts <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a>. The proposed development of 400 houses on the Hazelhurst site was assessed as being clearly viable, including with 50% of the total dwellings being affordable housing. It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
	<b>Green Belt</b>		

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.45	Object to the loss of Green Belt. Issues identified included a lack of exceptional circumstances, loss of role as a 'green lung' and the setting of a precedent.	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>The Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> sets out the approach to accommodating growth within the plan area which requires the release of some Green Belt.</p> <p>Chapter 14 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">10.07.68</a> sets out the assessment of Green Belt for this site, and the exceptional circumstances that justify its release (paragraphs 14.2 to 14.5). Chapter 14 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper also considers the issues of sprawl and the other purposes of Green Belt by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC. The case for exceptional circumstances is fully explained in the Green Belt topic paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>In amending the Green Belt boundary, through the allocations in PfE, opportunities have been identified to improve/enhance Green Infrastructure within the land remaining in the Green Belt. Details of the potential enhancement opportunities are detailed in Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study - Beneficial Use Appendix F <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a> Criterion 7 of the site allocation policy also requires that development responds to the site's location, characteristics and</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 4.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>surroundings to takes opportunities to incorporate green infrastructure that can most effectively benefit the site and the wider area.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.46	The site does not perform a strategic Green Belt function.	Comments noted. See response to JPA26.45 above.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA26.47	Exceptional circumstances exist to justify releasing the site from the Green Belt to meet the quantitative and qualitative need for family housing in GM and Salford.	Comments noted. See response to JPA26.45 above.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA26.48	Development will result in merging of communities resulting in the loss of individual community identity.	<p>See response to JPA26.45</p> <p>With regards to the issues raised in respect of merging communities, paragraphs 14.7 – 14.11 of the Hazelhurst topic paper <a href="#">10.07.68</a> considers the site's Green Belt purposes (by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC), including in preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another and in preserving the setting and special character of historic towns.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Elizabeth Griffin
JPA26.49	Representations raising specific inconsistencies with Green Belt guidance in the NPPF, paragraphs cited include 137, 140, 141, 145, 147 and 149	Paragraph 137 of the NPPF identifies the great importance of the Green Belt; paragraph 140 identifies that Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances. As part of the evidence base, the case for exceptional circumstances has been identified in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> . The topic paper considers the issues in paragraph 141 and 145 of the NPPF.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Paragraphs 147 and 149 of the NPPF relate to decision-taking (i.e. planning applications) and not plan-making, and so are not relevant to the proposed allocation of the site in PfE.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.50	Inconsistencies raised with NPPF paragraph 138; issues identified include the site's role in separating Swinton and Worsley, offers a buffer to the genuinely open countryside nearby, help preserve Worsley's identity, its maintenance pushes developers to brownfield land, and would encroach onto the countryside.	<p>See response to JPA26.45 above.</p> <p>With regards to the issues raised in respect of encroachment and separation, paragraphs 14.7 – 14.11 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">10.07.68</a> consider the site's Green Belt purposes (by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC), including in preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Sharon and Andrew Robinson
JPA26.51	Inconsistencies raised with criteria under NPPF paragraph 143	<p>Paragraph 143 establishes principles (a-f) for defining Green Belt boundaries. These include: ensuring consistency with the development plan's strategy for meeting identified requirements for sustainable development, be able to demonstrate that the Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the plan period and define boundaries clearly using physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.</p> <p>Chapter 14 of the Hazelhurst topic paper <a href="#">10.07.68</a> sets out the assessment of Green Belt for this site, the exceptional circumstances that justify its release (paragraphs 14.2 to 14.5) and details of the proposed Green Belt boundary (paragraph 14.9). The case for exceptional circumstances and a discussion of the endurance of the Green Belt boundaries is explained in the Green Belt topic paper <a href="#">07.01.25</a>.</p>	Sharon and Andrew Robinson Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.52	Question the ethics of allocating Green Belt land to circumnavigate the sound principle of protecting Green Belt from inappropriate development and urban sprawl.	<p>Chapter 14 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> sets out the assessment of Green Belt for this site, and the exceptional circumstances that justify its release (paragraphs 14.2 to 14.5).</p> <p>Inappropriate development is referenced in paragraph 147 of the NPPF and relates to decision-taking (i.e. determining planning applications) and not plan-making (i.e. preparing a plan like PfE). The test for plan making is that in altering Green Belt boundaries exceptional circumstances need to be identified. The case for exceptional circumstances has been identified in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Nadine Brown John Platt
JPA26.53	Suggestion that allocation is an attempt to circumnavigate Green Belt protection. Reference made to guidance in Salford's Draft Local Plan.	See response to JPA26.52 above.	John A Platt
JPA26.54	Comments regarding the site's role in preventing urban sprawl.	<p>See response to JPA26.45 above.</p> <p>With regards to the issues raised regarding urban sprawl, paragraphs 14.7 – 14.11 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> considers the site's Green Belt purposes (by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 5.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.55	Comments regarding the site's role in providing a buffer between built up areas.	See response to JPA26.50 above.	See JPA26 Appendix table 6.
JPA26.56	It is not explained how the local planning authority have planned for the positive use of the remaining Green Belt.	<p>Opportunities have been identified to improve/enhance Green Infrastructure within the land remaining in the Green Belt. Details of the potential enhancement opportunities are detailed in Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study - Beneficial Use Appendix F <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a>. These are summarised in the table below paragraph 14.14 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>. These opportunities and the potential deliverability of them would be considered through the masterplanning and planning application stages.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 7.
JPA26.57	Protect to maintain the historic boundaries between Worsley Village, Roe Green, Moorside and Monton.	See response to JPA26.50 above.	<p>Andrew Moore</p> <p>Barbara Keeley</p>
JPA26.58	The GMCA must acknowledge that because it is the first Authority outside of London to progress a spatial framework, it sets a precedent. Successive loss of greenbelt is of national importance, and it must be brought to the attention of the Secretary of State and his planning minister in that it is at odds with Government assurances and Ministerial statements.	<p>Places for Everyone and the supporting documents are due to be submitted to the Secretary of State in February 2022. Following this, the Secretary of State will appoint a Planning Inspector(s) to carry out the independent examination of the soundness and legal compliance of the plan.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p>
JPA26.59	The NPPF makes clear that when releasing land from the Green Belt, revised boundaries should be established using physical features that are clearly recognisable within the landscape (NPPF paragraph 143f). In this location the A580 East Lancashire Road immediately to the north is such a physical feature and should be	To the north the boundary on the ground is less clear (than the site's eastern, southern and western boundaries) but reflects the existence of a priority habitat identified by Defra. An appropriate boundary treatment to the north could be determined through masterplanning (which is a requirement of the site allocation policy criterion 1).	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	established as the new defensible Green Belt boundary in this location.	Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
	<b>Brownfield</b>		
JPA26.60	Focus should instead be on available brownfield sites and vacant buildings; the supply of brownfield sites should be fully exhausted before Green Belt is released.	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Vacancy rates in the existing residential stock across Salford have fallen significantly over recent years to 2.9%; this is seen as being within an acceptable level for the functioning of the housing market. See paragraphs 5.70 to 5.76 of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment for further details <a href="#">[06.01.02]</a>.</p> <p>Paragraph 141 of the NPPF requires that before concluding that exceptional circumstances exist to justify changes to Green Belt boundaries the strategic policy-making authority should be able to demonstrate that it has made as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land. This has been demonstrated through the Green Belt topic paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>, paragraphs 6.56 to 6.73].</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 8.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.61	Need to take account of new brownfield sites that will emerge during the plan period	<p>Sites within the identified supply have to be available, suitable and likely to be viable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF. All sites that meet the criteria have been identified in the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a>, with this including those sites that will emerge over the plan period where there is current evidence that this will happen. See also response to JPA26.60 above.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Philip Sharples
JPA26.62	There are more brownfield sites in the city than shown on the brownfield register	<p>The brownfield register is a sub-set of the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a>, and the council's published Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment. There is nothing to indicate that the supply of sites identified underestimates the potential from brownfield land.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	K Thoday
JPA26.63	Considerable number of planning permissions which have not yet been built. The allocation of further land is therefore unnecessary to meet current housing and employment development targets.	<p>It is unclear which planning permissions are being referenced here. All sites that are available, suitable and likely to be viable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF have been identified in the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a>. This includes some sites with planning permission. Notwithstanding this the city council has no powers to require developers to implement planning permissions. See also response to JPA26.60 above.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	John A Platt
JPA26.64	Vast supply of brownfield land but planners allow shopping centres to be developed like Walkden which has doubled in size but 90% of	See response to JPA26.60 above.	Philip Sharples

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	the existing shops are empty and with the world going online to shop our vast shopping areas are outdated.		
JPA26.65	Offices could be converted to residential use, and which already have excellent infrastructure already in-bedded in their localities.	See response to JPA26.61 above.	Graham Pickup Neill Virtue Norah Virtue Julie Ward
JPA26.66	No work has been undertaken in Part 2 of Salford's brownfield register implying another extensive building resource.	The city council has published part 1 of its brownfield register. No work has been undertaken on part 2; notwithstanding this the brownfield register is a sub-set of the housing land supply spreadsheet [ <a href="#">03.03.01</a> ], and the council's published Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment. There is nothing to indicate that the supply of sites identified underestimates the potential from brownfield land.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	K Thoday Neill Virtue Danielle Tudor Norah Virtue Julie Ward Raymond Vause Jennifer Antrobus
JPA26.67	Reference to supply of brownfield development opportunities on ex industrial sites, one representation referred to half of the units on Wardley Industrial Estate standing empty.	See response to JPA26.61 above.  The deliverability and developability of vacant and/or derelict land and buildings (including underused land and buildings) are assessed through the council's Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA). Considerations as part of this are whether sites are available, suitable and achievable (as required by the NPPF).  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Martyn and Rosie Wright Kathryn Rigby Neill Virtue Danielle Tudor Norah Virtue Julie Ward Raymond Vause Jennifer Antrobus
	<b>Transport – Highways / Public Transport / Cycling / Walking</b>		

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.68	Lack of suitable access points into the site, particularly given proximity of the A580 / M60 and the narrow width of Hazelhurst Road.	<p>Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. In particular, paragraph 10.5 identifies that vehicular access to the site would be provided through the form of priority junctions and a minimum of two access points will be required. The transport locality assessment <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> (pages 40-41) notes that there are a number of potential access points to/from the allocation and explains that at the planning application stage an assessment of the required number of site access junctions will need to be undertaken (to confirm that they operate satisfactorily in capacity terms), along with visibility assessments. A detailed design for access will be established at the masterplanning /planning application stage.</p> <p>The site allocation policy states that vehicle access to the site should not have an unacceptable impact on the quality of existing residential areas (criterion 5).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 9.
JPA26.69	Inadequate public transport links, including issues of overcrowding (particularly on the Vantage services) and reduced bus services to the area identified.	<p>Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> relates to transport, including public transport. Paragraph 10.1 notes that the site allocation has good access to public transport and has been identified as being appropriate for development due to its location next to the Leigh Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway, which runs services adjacent to the allocation provides fast, high frequency services which operate along the LSM Guided Busway and A580 bus priority lanes.</p> <p>Section 6 of the transport locality assessment <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> identifies the multi-modal accessibility of the site, including current and proposed public transport and walking and cycling options: the LSM</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 10.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Guided Busway, other local bus services, the Wardley Park and Ride site, Moorside railway station and the park and ride facility being delivered at Walkden station. At paragraphs 6.4.6 recommendations are set out to improve cycling and walking access, and the allocation's integration with public transport. These recommendations are reflected within the allocation policy requirements (criteria 3 and 4) and will be considered as part of the masterplanning process required by criterion 1.</p> <p>PfE policy JP-C 3 supports the delivery of major improvements to public transport and policy JP-C 5 supports a range of measures in order to help deliver a higher proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling. The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth, including the provision of additional buses on the LSM busway. The performance of the Vantage service is monitored closely by TfGM and additional buses have been added to the route as patronage has grown over the years. TfGM will continue to monitor this as demand recovers from the impact of the pandemic.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.70	Local infrastructure is at breaking point; there is need for major investment in public transport and other infrastructure in the Worsley and Boothstown area.	See response to JPA26.69	See JPA26 Appendix table 11.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.71	There would be a large number of additional cars as a result of development; this would exacerbate significant existing issues of congestion on nearby local and strategic roads including the cumulative impact of the RHS Garden Bridgewater and other GMSF allocations in the wider area.	<p>Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site.</p> <p>The transport locality assessments <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> have been prepared for the site look at the individual and cumulative impacts of development including other site allocations in the plan. Section 10.1.1 [of 09.01.13] (page 53) sets out how cumulative impacts have been treated in the locality assessment. The findings of the locality assessments are summarised in Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 12.
JPA26.72	Insufficient transport information has been provided about the impact of the GMSF on the strategic route network; given the scale of the allocation it is likely to give rise to individual traffic impacts due to the proximity of the site to junction 14 of the M60	<p>It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy for the plan making stage. The transport locality assessments <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> consider the impact on the strategic route network (section 15, pages 60-62 of 09.01.13), in particular the impact on junctions 13 and 14 of the M60. The findings of the locality assessments are summarised in Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>. More detailed consideration of transport will be considered through the masterplanning and planning application stages, and the robust delivery strategy required by criterion 1 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Ananya McCarthy</p> <p>A Hyde</p> <p>Emily Wilcox</p> <p>Willie Mills</p> <p>David Mccomas</p> <p>Neil Campbell</p> <p>Michelle Dawson</p> <p>Steven Sherratt</p>
JPA26.73	Developments in Salford in the vicinity of the M60 should be time limited.	The transport locality assessments <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> consider the impact on the strategic route network (section 15, pages 60-62 of 09.01.13), in particular the impact on junctions 13 and 14 of the M60.	<p>Julie Ward</p> <p>Jennifer Antrobus</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.74	There would be traffic disruption during the construction phase, whilst traffic issues are a danger to pedestrians.	<p>Policy JP-C 7 of PfE 2021 requires that Construction Management Plans are produced for developments, where appropriate, to mitigate construction logistics and environmental impacts including air quality and noise on the surrounding area and encourage sustainable deliveries.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Ryan Rutter Michael Fitzsimmons J Fitzsimmons Ben Liu Lol Duffy Anne Hook</p>
JPA26.75	The rail network cannot cope with more houses / population; Moorside which is the nearest station around one mile away lacks parking and disabled access.	<p>Station accessibility improvements is a priority for rail stations across Greater Manchester as outlined in Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> (page 24). PfE policy JP-C 3 supports the delivery of major improvements to public transport including higher quality public transport stations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Zoe Wilson Alan Kirkman</p>
JPA26.76	Concerns regarding the transport assessments undertaken, issues include data being out of date, a full impact assessment being needed, impact of the proposed school, taking a realistic view on public transport provision.	The transport locality assessments <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> published for this allocation, and the others, has been produced using data provided from TfGM's Variable Demand Model (GMVDM). This model is a mathematical representation of the transport network, which works by determining all of the origins and destinations of trips within a given area, matching these two together in order to generate a set of journeys, assigning these journeys to a mode (for example, car, bus, or cycling) and then assigning these trips to a route. The findings of the locality assessments are summarised in Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> .	See JPA26 Appendix table 13.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The modelling work presents a ‘worst case’ scenario and does not reflect opportunities to secure a mode shift to active travel.</p> <p>It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy for the plan making stage. Any planning application for development on this site would need be accompanied by further detailed transport work, including consideration of movements associated with any new school provision proposed on the site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.77	Paragraph 4 mentions the development of Public Rights of Way throughout the site. This is to be welcomed. When the A580 was built it bisected the PRow Worsley 30. It would be useful to improve that remaining portion which goes north from the site up to Manchester Road in Wardley. This would further improve Active Travel choices.	Criterion 4 of the site allocation policy requires that the development of the site incorporates attractive public rights of way which connect into the wider pedestrian and cycling network. These issues will be considered in detail through the masterplan which is a requirement of criterion 1 of the site allocation policy.	David Yates
JPA26.78	Interventions required to reduce traffic through Worsley, reference made to improved access to M60, a southbound junction on the M60 here, and a relief road.	<p>National Highways (formerly Highways England) is addressing capacity issues on the Strategic Road Network as part of the North West Quadrant Study. The study is currently being undertaken and it is anticipated that over the next five years, it will be complete and potentially deliver emerging early interventions such as Junction improvements on the M60 and complementary improvements on the local transport network.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 14.
JPA26.79	Concerns regarding road safety as a result of increased traffic, reference made to the area being used as a rat run	The site allocation policy seeks to improve pedestrian access to the site and its surroundings. In particular, criteria 3 and 4, which seek to ensure the provision of good pedestrian and cycling routes	See JPA26 Appendix table 15.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>through the site and off-site pedestrian crossings, connecting the site into the surrounding area to provide access to public transport and local facilities. In addition, criterion 5 requires that development does not have an unacceptable impact on the quality of existing residential areas.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.80	Given width of Hazelhurst Road, parking restrictions will be necessary and many existing dwellings have no off-road parking	<p>The transport locality assessment <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> notes that waiting restrictions around the radii of junctions will need to be considered in heavily parked areas. These issues will be considered at the masterplanning/planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 16.
JPA26.81	Inconsistencies raised with NPPF paragraphs 104 and 105 in respect of negative impact on transport networks.	<p>In line with paragraphs 104 and 105 of the NPPF, transport issues have been considered through the evidence base, and in particular a locality assessment has been prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>. The findings of the locality assessment are summarised in Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 17.
JPA26.82	Direct access should be taken from the A580, without impacting on Hazelhurst Road.	<p>This is not considered to be an acceptable option from a road safety perspective given its proximity to the M61 junction and the potential operational disruption on the A580 East Lancashire Road.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lynda Sherratt Tracy Noone Robert Taylor
JPA26.83	Proposals in the area are premature until such time as infrastructure is delivered through the North West Quadrant Study	The transport locality assessment prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> concludes that the allocation is deliverable, and the	Terence Dean

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>traffic impacts of the site are considered to be 'less than severe' in the context of the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 111, previously paragraph 109). On this basis it is not considered that development needs to await the conclusions of the North West Quadrant Study. Notwithstanding this, the North West Quadrant Study will potentially deliver emerging early interventions such as Junction improvements on the M60 and complementary improvements on the local transport network.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.84	<p>Concerns regarding the transport assessments undertaken, issues include data being out of date, a full impact assessment being needed, impact of the proposed school, taking a realistic view on public transport provision/ use.</p> <p>National Highways commented that the transport evidence underpinning this allocation is incomplete and does not identify in sufficient detail, the nature, scale and timing of the infrastructure requirements at the SRN; or what future assessments and studies that will be required to determine any such infrastructure requirements.</p>	<p>See response to JPA26.76 above.</p> <p>Transport Locality Assessment – [District] <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> (pages 60 to 62) – GMSF2020 and Transport Locality Assessment Addendum – [District] <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> (pages 23 to 23) provide detailed information on the impacts of the proposed allocation on the SRN.</p> <p>With respect to future assessments, the report states (on page 11) that all sites associated with the allocations will be expected to prepare a Transport Assessment as part of a planning application to develop final, rather than indicative proposals, which mitigate the impact of the site. The full scope of the Transport Assessments will be determined by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority and National Highways) on a site-by-site basis, depending on the nature, scale and timing of the application, in accordance with the NPPF.</p> <p>In addition, the Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and</p>	<p>Alison And Ryan Potter Sherina Ann Rooke National Highways</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">09.01.01</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">09.01.02</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026. We are also working alongside National Highways to prepare a further piece of work examining a “policy-off/worst-case” impact on the SRN to help address National Highways remaining concerns.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.85	Recent investments in highway infrastructure have done little to relieve the issues of congestion	<p>It is not clear which highway infrastructure schemes are being referred to in the representation.</p> <p>Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. The transport locality assessment prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> concludes that the allocation is deliverable.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Barrie Benson Alison Rutter Michael Fitzsimmons J Fitzsimmons John A Platt</p>
JPA26.86	Access to the site would be inconsistent with NPPF paragraph 112c	<p>Paragraph 112 c) of the NPPF states that applications for development should create places that are safe, secure and attractive which minimise the scope for conflicts between pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles, avoid unnecessary street clutter and respond to local character and design standards.</p> <p>The site allocation policy seeks to improve pedestrian access to the site and its surroundings through criteria 3 and 4. In addition, criterion 5 requires that the development does not have an unacceptable impact on the quality of existing residential areas. The proposed site allocation is therefore considered to be consistent</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 18.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>with these requirements. Site access is discussed in response to question JPA26.68 above.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.87	Crossings are not safe or maintained now unless the residents attempt to do so.	<p>It is not clear which crossings are being referred to in the representation. The site allocation policy seeks to improve pedestrian access to the site and its surroundings. In particular, criteria 3 and 4, which seek to ensure the provision of good pedestrian and cycling routes through the site and off-site pedestrian crossings, connecting the site into the surrounding area to provide access to public transport and local facilities.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Sarah Hook</p> <p>Alan Hook</p> <p>Anne Hook</p>
JPA26.88	The impact of the 71-bedroom care home on Walkden Road has not yet been seen.	<p>Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. The transport locality assessments <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> have been prepared for the site to look at the individual and cumulative impacts of development. The findings of these assessments are summarised in Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy for the plan making stage. Any planning application for development on this site would need be accompanied by further detailed transport work, including consideration of movements associated with any relevant, completed/permitted developments.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Sarah Hook</p> <p>Alan Hook</p> <p>Anne Hook</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.89	The larger developments across the border in Wigan (Moseley Common) will further stretch this past breaking point with many people finding a cut through via Worsley and Boothstown.	See response to JPA26.71 above.	Sarah Hook Alan Hook Anne Hook
JPA26.90	Broad assumptions regarding use of public transport. Impact of Covid-19 and propensity to travel by bus referenced in one response.	See response to JPA26.76 above.	Graham Pickup
JPA26.91	Concerns regarding the ability of existing infrastructure to cope with increased wear and tear.	Issues relating to the condition of roads are considered by the council's Highways section.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	See JPA26 Appendix table 19.
JPA26.92	Limited consideration seems to have been given to other development occurring in surrounding council areas and the additional strain on the motorway network.	See responses to JPA26.71 and JPA26.72 above.	Graham Pickup
JPA26.93	Reference made to the lack train or tram access and on representation referencing the lack of proposals in this regard.	The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth, including the provision of additional buses on the Leigh Salford Manchester busway which runs services adjacent to the allocation. Moorside Station is located approximately 1.5km from the site. There are no proposals for a tram or train line to the area.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Naomi Jackson Barbara Keeley

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.94	The INRIX 2017 Global Traffic Scorecard showed that the route from central Salford (Blackfriars Road) to Worsley Road was the 10th most congested road outside of London.	Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Barbara Keeley
JPA26.95	Reference to Worsley's strategic transport links attracting commuters working across the North West and who drive to work.	As outlined in chapter 5 of the Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> , land at Hazelhurst Farm has been selected on the basis of criterion 1 (Land which has been previously developed and/or land which is well served by public transport). The site is in close proximity to stops on the Leigh Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway which provides good public transport access to the employment and leisure opportunities in the city centre. It is important to the sustainable development of the site that it is designed to maximise the use of public transport services and various improvements have been identified in the transport locality assessment prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> which would further improve the site's accessibility and are reflected in criteria 3 and 4 of the site allocation policy.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Barbara Keeley
JPA26.96	Objection including inappropriateness of cul-de-sacs off Hazelhurst Grove as access points.	See response to JPA26.68 above.	See JPA26 Appendix table 20.
JPA26.97	The area around the Proposed Development already suffers from heavy traffic congestion: Hazelhurst Road and the junctions at Hazelhurst Road/Moorside road/A580, A572/M60 J13 and the A572/A580 are already at or above maximum capacity and the report commissioned admits there is little or no scope to improve them.	See response to JPA26.71 above.	See JPA26 Appendix table 21.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.98	Reference to additional pressures on local infrastructure from the RHS Bridgewater Gardens.	<p>The majority of the RHS Bridgewater traffic impacts are off-peak given its opening hours. Any planning application for development on this site would need be accompanied by further detailed transport work, including consideration of movements associated with relevant existing developments.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 22.
JPA26.99	Concerns regarding additional traffic resulting from the proposed school	<p>See response to JPA26.71. Any planning application for the allocation would need be accompanied by further detailed transport work, including consideration of movements associated with any new school provision proposed on the site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 23.
JPA26.100	Concerns regarding access for emergency vehicles, one respondent identifying a need for quick and easy access to the bungalows of elderly residents.	<p>Chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. Paragraph 10.5 identifies that A minimum of two access points will be required. The transport locality assessment for this site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> (paragraph 5.1.3) explains that this will provide a primary and secondary access in the event of an emergency. A detailed design for access will be established at the masterplanning /planning application stage which will include a consideration of emergency access.</p> <p>The development will need to comply with PfE policy JP-S 4 which requires that developments make appropriate provision for response and evacuation in the case of an emergency or disaster. Policy A2 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (due to be adopted in summer 2022) also requires that development ensures appropriate access for emergency vehicles at all times.</p>	Caroline Howard



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.101	Some 20 years ago, a metal farm gate was placed at the top of Cartmel Grove in an attempt to suggest that this was once an access for the farm field. There was never farm access from Cartmel Grove and the cynical placing of this gate does not constitute evidence this becoming a legal access point.	<p>Comments noted. See response to JPA26.68 above. A detailed design for access will be established at the masterplanning /planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Julian Grant
JPA26.102	Insufficient information on public transport improvements has been provided	<p>The site is in close proximity to stops on the Leigh Salford Manchester (LSM) Busway which provides good public transport access to the employment and leisure opportunities in the city centre. It is important to the sustainable development of the site that it is designed to maximise the use of public transport services and various improvements have been identified in the transport locality assessment prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and summarised in the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> (paragraph 10.4) which would further improve the site's accessibility and are reflected in criteria 3 and 4 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>PfE policy JP-C 3 supports the delivery of major improvements to public transport and policy JP-C 5 supports a range of measures in order to help deliver a higher proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling. The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support</p>	Sharon and Andrew Robinson Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>sustainable growth, including the provision of additional buses on the Leigh Salford Manchester busway.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.103	Access to site not shown on the plans	<p>See response to JPA26.68 above. A detailed design for access will be established at the masterplanning /planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Brian Leigh</p> <p>Chris Ollerhead</p>
JPA26.104	No proposals to improve public transport	See response to JPA26.102 above.	<p>Alexandra Puddy</p> <p>Jill Hughes</p> <p>Keith Hughes</p>
JPA26.105	Lack of local school capacity will mean that people will need to travel further adding to congestion	<p>PfE policy JP-P 5 (Education, skills and knowledge criterion 2) will ensure the delivery of sufficient school places to respond to the demands from new housing, including through working education providers to forecast changes in demand for school places and where appropriate, requiring housing developments to make a financial contribution to the provision of additional school places proportionate to the demand they generate.</p> <p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. As part of these considerations regard will be had to the need to minimise the need for travel and encourage active travel to schools including by walking and cycling. Criteria within the policy support active travel measures.</p> <p>Chapter 23 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically including education. Criterion 15 of the allocation policy requires that land is set aside to accommodate additional primary</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 24.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.106	Site is well connected and benefits from good transport links to employment and leisure opportunities in the City Centre	<p>Comments noted. See response to JPA26.69 above which discusses the site's public transport accessibility.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA26.107	Access can be taken from Hazelhall Close and Cartmel Grove with footpath links into the existing residential and employment areas surrounding the site.	<p>Comments noted. See response to JPA26.68 above which discusses access.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
	<b>Physical infrastructure</b>		
JPA26.108	Pylons run across the site; account will need to be taken of this as part of any development. Preference would be that land beneath the overhead power lines is not built on.	<p>This constraint is understood and reflected in criterion 12 of the site allocation policy, which requires the provision of a buffer for the overhead power lines in accordance with National Grid requirements.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Sonya Downey Kelsey Marsland
JPA26.109	Need to ensure coverage with broadband providers	<p>Policy JP- C 2 of PfE (Digital connectivity) provides support for the provision of affordable, high quality, digital infrastructure. Developers are expected to work and share costs with telecoms operators as appropriate to maximise coverage and enable consumers to make informed choices. The policy further states that all new development is to have full fibre to premises</p>	Angela Burrows

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>connections, unless technically infeasible, and to incorporate multiple-ducting compliant with telecoms standards, to facilitate future-proof gigabit-capable network connections.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.110	Need to ensure a stable electricity supply	<p>Issues in relation to electricity supply in Salford as a result of development proposals up to 2037 (including PfE allocations) are set out in paragraphs of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>Ongoing dialogue between the city council and Electricity North West Limited will be important and the masterplanning process provides an opportunity to consider further any site-specific requirement.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Angela Burrows
JPA26.111	Need to ensure a stable water supply	<p>Water supply and pressure would be considered at the masterplanning / planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Angela Burrows
JPA26.112	Electric Power Lines and pylons are sited in these fields - Electro Magnetic Fields [EMF] exist under power lines - there is possible connection with childhood leukaemia, other cancers & many other health concerns.	See response to JPA26.108 above.	<p>Martyn and Rosie Wright</p> <p>David Cailey</p> <p>Annie Tortoa-Cailey</p> <p>Susan Lesley Wrightson</p> <p>Karen and Robin Garrido Cllr</p>
JPA26.113	Concern that people will not buy dwellings close to pylons	See response to JPA26.108 above. In relation to whether people will buy dwellings on the site, this is a matter for personal preference.	Brian Leigh

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.114	Concern that dwellings will be built with Gas boilers with reference to climate change impacts. If dwellings are to be built, on brownfield sites, these should be apartments with communal heat pumps.	<p>PfE aims to deliver a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038 and be net zero carbon from 2028. Paragraph 5.6 of PfE identifies that to meet our carbon commitments we will need to move away from carbon intensive gas as the primary source of heat.</p> <p>A number of the thematic policies within PfE will contribute to addressing climate change. Of particular relevance to these comments are the requirements of policy JP-S 2; that development follows an energy hierarchy (which in order of importance seeks to minimise demand, maximise efficiency and utilise renewable, low carbon and other energy sources) and where practicable prioritises connection to a renewable energy/heating/cooling network in the first instance.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Paul Higson
JPA26.115	<p>Development proposals will be required to ensure that sustainable drainage systems are fully incorporated into the development to manage and control surface water run-off, discharging in accordance with the hierarchy of drainage options. Applicants should consider site topography, any naturally occurring flow paths and any low lying areas where water will naturally accumulate. Resultant layouts should take account of such existing circumstances to ensure the most sustainable and flood resilient solution is achieved.</p> <p>Landscaping proposals will be expected to be integrated with the strategy for surface water management. Natural and multi-functional SuDS should be utilised prioritising the use of ponds, swales and</p>	<p>No change is considered necessary. A Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken <a href="#">[04.02.01]</a> across the plan, identifying the allocation as less vulnerable to flood risk and the need for a site specific Flood Risk Assessment <a href="#">[04.02.12]</a> at the planning application stage in accordance with national policy and guidance. Policy JP-S5 provides further detailed policy in relation to Flood Risk. Therefore, the Plan as a whole, is considered to provide an appropriate policy framework to deal with this matter</p> <p>The Hazelhurst Farm Allocation Topic Paper in Chapter 11 sets out issues relating to flood risk and drainage <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p>	United Utilities Group PLC

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	<p>other infrastructure which mimic natural drainage and connect to the wider green and blue infrastructure network. They will be designed in accordance with nationally recognised SuDS design standards.</p> <p>There should be a clear allocation-wide strategy for foul and surface water management which demonstrates a holistic approach with co-ordination between phases of development and no surface water discharging to public sewer. A proliferation of pumping stations should be avoided</p>	<p>Criterion 11 of the site allocation policy requires that development incorporates sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site, while ensuring that there is no adverse impact on the potential for flooding off-site.</p> <p>Full details of the drainage systems will need to be considered as part of the masterplanning process (as required by criterion 1 of the site allocation policy) and subsequently as part of any planning application.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.116	<p>A new criteria should be added to the policy as follows: New dwellings will be required to at least meet the higher National Housing Standard for water consumption of 110 litres per person per day or any subsequent replacement national standard.</p>	<p>Water efficiency measures in new developments will be a matter for district local plans to determine. This approach is considered consistent with the NPPF, particularly paragraph 28 which confirms that it is for local planning authorities 'to set out more detailed policies for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development'. Therefore, no change to the plan is considered as necessary.</p> <p>The water supply situation in Salford does not provide justification for setting any further requirements..</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	United Utilities Group PLC
JPA26.117	<p>Additional criterion should be added:</p> <p>Any proposal must have full regard to the existing utility infrastructure that passes through the site. Early dialogue will be required with United Utilities to understand the implications of this</p>	<p>The additional criterion is considered too detailed for inclusion within the site allocation policy. The infrastructure which passes through the site is noted and will need to be planned around. The city council would expect Unities Utilities to be one of the stakeholders</p>	United Utilities Group PLC

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	infrastructure on the detailed design and layout including changes in site levels. Consideration and inclusion of appropriate protective measures both during construction and during the lifetime of the development will be required.	that is involved in the preparation of the masterplan / framework that is required under criterion 1 of the site allocation policy.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
	<b>Social infrastructure</b>		
JPA26.118	Concerns relating to the existing capacity of community infrastructure (including schools, doctors, dentists, emergency services and shops) and the potential to support new homes.	<p>Chapters 23 and 24 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically education and health.</p> <p>A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter, such as Policies, JP-P1, JP-P5, JP-P6 and JP- D2 which states that new development must be supported by the necessary infrastructure, including where appropriate green spaces, schools, and medical facilities. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p> <p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand within the local area to which pupils live. The city council's School Organisation Team will consider the capacity of schools within the local area and put in place provision to meet any need associated with the development (including whether an on-site school is required having regard to criterion 15 of the site allocation policy).</p> <p>Furthermore, the allocation will be required to make appropriate contributions to address its impacts in accordance with policy PC1 (Planning obligations) of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations which is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 25.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.119	Welcome the provision of setting aside land for a new school.	Comments noted.	Zoe Wilson
JPA26.120	Lack of detail regarding the provision of a new school on the site, including its funding, faith and any traffic implications.	<p>Chapter 23 of the site Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> deals with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically including education. Criterion 15 of the site allocation policy requires that land is set aside to accommodate additional primary school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing.</p> <p>Engagement with the council's School Organisation Team will be important at the masterplanning stage to determine and ensure the appropriate provision of the requirements associated with the development.</p> <p>It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy for the plan making stage. Any planning application for development on this site would need be accompanied by further detailed transport work, including consideration of movements associated with any new school provision proposed on the site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 26.
JPA26.121	Concerns relating to the quality of school provision in the area. One respondent referred to Moorside High School failing due to its size and Salford lingering at the bottom of school league tables due to overcrowding.	The quality of school provision is not a material planning consideration. It is acknowledged however that the city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand within the local area to which pupils live.	See JPA26 Appendix table 27.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.122	Concerns relating to the assessment of need and firm proposals around provision of social infrastructure, including a full impact assessment being needed and lack of clear proposals in respect of the extra healthcare burden.	See response to JPA26.118 above.	Barry and Elizabeth Moulton Amanda Heap Deborah Brown Naomi Jackson Kate Briggs
JPA26.123	No reference to secondary provision which will also be required	<p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. The number of secondary pupils that would be likely to arise from the allocation would not in itself create sufficient demand for a new-on site secondary school; such provision would not be proportionate or directly related to the development as required by national policy.</p> <p>The position in relation to the capacity of schools in order to meet forecast demand is constantly evolving. The city council's School Organisation Team will consider the capacity of secondary schools within the local area and put in place provision to meet any need associated with the development. Note that the allocation will take between 5 and 10 years to be fully completed and so this will be a consideration in planning to meet need.</p> <p>PfE policy JP-P 5 (Education, skills, and knowledge criterion 2) will ensure the delivery of sufficient school places to respond to the demands from new housing, including through working education providers to forecast changes in demand for school places and where appropriate, requiring housing developments to make a financial contribution to the provision of additional school places proportionate to the demand they generate.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 28.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The allocation will where necessary be required to make appropriate contributions to address its impacts in accordance with policy PC1 (Planning obligations) of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations and policy ED2 (Residential development and education places). The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022. Such contributions could be spent on extending / reconfiguring secondary schools within the pupil planning area in order to mitigate the impact of development as referenced above.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.124	Proposal is inconsistent with NPPF paragraph 93 in respect of not addressing how social infrastructure will be enhanced to sustain the increase in population.	See response to JPA26.118 above.	See JPA26 Appendix table 29.
JPA26.125	Concerns regarding the setting aside of land for a school without a firm proposal for the school to be delivered.	<p>Criterion 15 of the site allocation policy requires that land is set aside to accommodate additional primary school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 30.
JPA26.126	No plans for further schools	See responses to JPA26.123 and JPA26.125 above.	Angela Kimber Harriet Helen Thomson Martin Hogg Clare Edwards
JPA26.127	Lack of capacity in local schools and the need to travel further raises safeguarding issues.	Criterion 15 of the site allocation policy requires that land is set aside to accommodate additional primary school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be	Natalie and Anthony Green Barry and Elizabeth Moulton Megan Powell

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing.</p> <p>PfE policy JP-P 5 (Education, skills and knowledge criterion 2) will ensure the delivery of sufficient school places to respond to the demands from new housing, including through working education providers to forecast changes in demand for school places and where appropriate, requiring housing developments to make a financial contribution to the provision of additional school places proportionate to the demand they generate.</p> <p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. As part of these considerations, regard will be had to the need to minimise the need for travel and encourage active travel to schools including by walking and cycling.</p> <p>Responsibility for safeguarding in relation to travel to school does not lie with the city council.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Rhianna Parkinson
JPA26.128	Expansion of school provision and health provision must be essential requirements of any development.	See response to JPA26.118 above.	Graham Pickup David Cailey Annie Tortoa-Cailey
JPA26.129	Comments regarding the lack of shopping and eating opportunities in the area in reference to the capacity to support new residents.	The site is approximately 1.5km from Swinton Town Centre and approximately 0.7km from Clovelly Road Local Centre, both of which contain shops, services and eating opportunities. The site allocation policy seeks to improve pedestrian access to the site and its surroundings. In particular, criteria 3 and 4, which seek to ensure the provision of good pedestrian and cycling routes through the site and off-site pedestrian crossings, connecting the site into the	See JPA26 Appendix table 31.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>surrounding area to provide access to public transport and local facilities.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.130	Proposal for a school to serve the site and the East of Boothstown allocation contravenes the allocation of spaces given to geographical proximity status to residents.	<p>Criterion 15 of the site allocation policy explains that development of the site will be required to set aside land to accommodate additional primary school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing.</p> <p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. As part of these considerations regard will be had to the need to minimise the need for travel and encourage active travel to schools including by walking and cycling.</p> <p>Detailed consideration of issues around a potential school at Hazelhurst will be considered through the masterplanning process. There is no commitment to build the school at this time given that an assessment will need to be undertaken to consider whether there are other options in order to meet the need arising in the first.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>David Cailey</p> <p>Annie Tortoa-Cailey</p> <p>Susan Lesley Wrightson</p>
JPA26.131	Concerns regarding a lack of capacity in schools (including any interim period before a school is provided) that would require people to travel further and negatively affecting the sense of community centred on the schools and families.	<p>See response to JPA26.127 above.</p> <p>Note that the allocation will take between 5 and 10 years to be fully completed and so this will be a consideration in planning to meet need.</p>	<p>Barry and Elizabeth Moulton</p> <p>Rachael Vaughan</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.132	Lack of social facilities means a lack of community	<p>A number of policies in PfE 2021 provide a sufficient policy framework to address issues around community infrastructure, such as policies JP-P 5 (Education, skills, and knowledge criteria 1 and 2), JP-P 6 (Health, criterion 1) and JP-D2 (developer contributions).</p> <p>The site is approximately 1.5km from Swinton Town Centre and approximately 0.7km from Clovelly Road Local Centre, both of which contain shops, services and eating opportunities. The site allocation policy seeks to improve pedestrian access to the site and its surroundings. In particular, criteria 3 and 4, which seek to ensure the provision of good pedestrian and cycling routes through the site and off-site pedestrian crossings, connecting the site into the surrounding area to provide access to public transport and local facilities.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>David Williams Julie Williams David Williams Clare Platt Andrew Ashton Nicola Ashton</p>
JPA26.133	Primary school will not address the lack of local capacity	See responses to JPA26.118 and JPA26.123 above.	Julian Grant
JPA26.134	Concerns regarding the location of the school close, issues raised include the proximity to the A580, pylons and air quality	<p>The location of any on site school provision will be determined through the masterplan / framework processes and regard would be had to the relevant constraints as part of this.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>David Cailey Annie Tortoa-Cailey Alan Bibby</p>
JPA26.135	Planners need to address the decline in established shopping areas with people shopping online.	This is not a relevant consideration to the allocation of this site in PfE, however policy TC1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (due to be adopted in summer 2022) protects and enhances the network of centres in	Anita Douglas

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Salford. It is recognised that maintaining the vitality and centres will be an ongoing challenge as shopping patterns and service delivery models change. Careful management of the centres will be required to ensure their long term success, and the policies within chapter 12 of the Salford Local Plan seek to ensure this.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Environmental – Green Infrastructure / Biodiversity / Open Space</b>		
JPA26.136	Concerns relating to loss of agricultural land.	<p>Chapter 12 of the Hazelhurst Farm site allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> considers the site's agricultural land classification.</p> <p>The majority landowner has completed an Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resources appraisal <a href="#">10.07.04</a> which was published alongside Places for Everyone in August 2021. The assessment suggests that the agricultural land classification for most of the site is grade 3b or below and identifies around 4.5 hectares of the land in the southern part of the site as being grade 3a (good quality).</p> <p>Given the overall scale of development that needs to be accommodated across the 9 districts involved in the production of PfE up to 2037 and also having regard to qualitative needs, a limited amount of development on high grade agricultural land is proposed and considered necessary to meet development needs.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 32.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.137	Negative impact / loss of wildlife and habitats including protected species and woodland, representations included reference to impact on Worsley Woods	<p>Chapter 18 of the Hazelhurst Farm Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> considers matters of ecology and biodiversity.</p> <p>It is not considered that the site presents any significant ecological constraints, subject to appropriate mitigation measures being taken. Specific issues that need to be addressed have been identified in the site allocation policy through criteria 8, 9 and 10. These matters will be considered in more detail at the masterplanning stage and detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application.</p> <p>The majority landowner has completed an ecological appraisal <a href="#">[10.07.06]</a> which was published alongside Places for Everyone in August 2021.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 33.
JPA26.138	Concerns regarding loss of green space / recreation opportunities due to development, which compounds the loss of other greenspaces in the area. Greenspaces should be protected from development.	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 34.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.139	Reference should be made to increasing multifunctional green infrastructure on site and in particular the creation of new woodland buffers, and a green corridor to connect areas north and south of the site.	Criteria 4, 7, 8, 9 and 10 of the allocation policy address these issues. Worsley Woods Site of Biological Importance already forms a green corridor which connects the north and south of the site and is protected through criterion 8 of the site allocation policy.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Julie Ward
JPA26.140	Question plans for Worsley Woods.	Chapter 18 of the Hazelhurst Farm site allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> considers matters of ecology and biodiversity, including the Worsley Woods Site of Biological Importance (SBI). The SBI borders the site on the western boundary and may therefore come under increased pressure from the proposed development. This is mitigated against through criterion 8 of the site allocation policy which requires that development of this site will protect and enhance the SBI.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Husnara Kuraishe Meech
JPA26.141	Reference to Hazelhurst as alternative natural / semi-rural/ countryside character walking experience	Criteria 4 requires that development incorporates attractive public rights of way through the site. Criteria 7 requires development to incorporate green infrastructure. Other nearby sites with a similar character (such as the West Salford Greenway) are to be protected from development.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	See JPA26 Appendix table 35.
JPA26.142	Proposal is inconsistent with NPPF paragraph 99. The proposal is not for alternative sports and recreation provision and an assessment has not been prepared to show that the open space is	Although the land has rights of way across it and is used for informal recreation, the land is classed as agricultural not recreational land, and paragraph 99 of the NPPF is therefore not relevant.	See JPA26 Appendix table 36.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	surplus to requirements or that it would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in the area.	Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.143	Loss of integral part of the natural landscape and people's connection with it	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the Hazelhurst site has been specifically identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 17 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.addresses issues relating to landscape and criteria within the site allocation policy (including 4, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 14) reflects identified mitigation measures and opportunities. In particular, criterion 9 of the site allocation policy seeks to mitigate the landscape impact through the retention of important landscape features.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Elizabeth Griffin



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.144	Proposal is not legally compliant; it has not been positively prepared in that it does not take account of any environmental or ecological policies.	<p>The development will be required to deliver a 10% net gain in biodiversity value.</p> <p>Section C of the of Hazelhurst Farm site allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> discusses the environmental considerations in respect of the site allocation. The majority landowner has completed an Agricultural Land <a href="#">[10.07.04]</a> and an ecological appraisal <a href="#">[10.07.06]</a>.</p> <p>Policy JPA26 is considered to be consistent with NPPF and provides an appropriate strategy to provide additional housing which is a key objective of the plan and the NPPF.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Charles Magee</p> <p>Julie Ward</p> <p>Jennifer Antrobus</p>
JPA26.145	Proposal is not consistent with NPPF paragraphs 120b and 174(b) is response of the negative impact on ecology and a quiet rural environment used for physical and mental well-being activities.	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>. The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Chapter 5 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the Hazelhurst site has been specifically identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>It is recognised that the majority of the site is undeveloped land and that it can perform certain functions. Criteria within the site allocation</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 37.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>policy aim to mitigate the impact on the potential functions of undeveloped land as set out in NPPF paragraph 120b. Moreover, the development will be required to deliver a net gain in biodiversity value in accordance with Places for Everyone policy JP-G9 and policy BG2 (Development and biodiversity) of the Publication Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (January 2020) which is due to be adopted in summer 2022.</p> <p>Ecology and ground conditions are discussed in chapters 12 and 18 of Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>The majority landowner has completed an Agricultural Land <a href="#">[10.07.04]</a> and an ecological appraisal <a href="#">[10.07.06]</a>.</p> <p>There is no statutory duty to undertake a health impact assessment as part of the plan-making process. Notwithstanding this, the integrated assessment <a href="#">[02.01.03]</a> Appendix D, and <a href="#">[02.01.05]</a> has considered issues of health, alongside other environmental, sustainability and equality considerations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.146	Development cannot be consistent with the policy requirement to "support an overall increase in its nature conservation value"	The development will be required to deliver a net gain in biodiversity value in accordance with Places for Everyone policy JP-G9 and policy BG2 (Development and biodiversity) of the Publication Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (January 2020) which is due to be adopted in summer 2022.	Jonathan Brown Tracy Noone

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.147	Concerns regarding lack of consultation with experts in innovation in house building and road access, and any real assessment of the options to maximise opportunities and minimise the cost to the environment and air quality.	<p>PfE policy JP-S 1 (Sustainable development) states that in order to help tackle climate change development should aim to utilise sustainable construction techniques. This aim is supplemented by Policy JP- S 2 which identifies that a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, will be supported through a range of measures including development being net zero by 2028.</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan has to be produced in consultation with stakeholders. This will allow consideration of innovation and issues around the environment and air quality.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Elizabeth Jones
JPA26.148	Objections relating to the presence of protected species, with some representations raising a concern that the ecological appraisal has not identified all present. Species identified include song thrush, bats, house sparrow, teal, fox, roe deer and muntjac deer.	<p>As acknowledged in the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> [paragraph 18.4], there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application. Criterion 10 requires development to avoid harm to protected species.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Martyn and Rosie Wright</p> <p>Alison And Steven Sherratt</p> <p>Jenny and Tim Davis</p> <p>Vicente F Orts</p> <p>Rachel Bishop</p>
JPA26.149	Identify ecological value relating to the presence of Willow Tit on the site, a UK Red Alert Species, by requiring measures to protect and expand the local population as part of a strategic approach across Greater Manchester.	<p>As acknowledged in the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> [paragraph 18.4], there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application. Criterion 10 requires development to avoid harm to protected species.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 38.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.150	Comments regarding the lack of maintenance of existing footpaths through the site, one representation stating that the path is now flooded and overgrown?	<p>Criterion 4 of the site allocation policy requires that the development of the site incorporates attractive public rights of way through the site which connect into the wider pedestrian and cycling network providing access to local facilities.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>David Cailey</p> <p>Annie Tortoa-Cailey</p> <p>Jenny and Tim Davis</p> <p>Susan Lesley Wrightson</p>
JPA26.151	Concerns regarding the impact on the local landscape	<p>Criterion 9 of the site allocation policy will mitigate the landscape impact through the retention of important landscape features.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Louise Norton
JPA26.152	Query what parks/ play areas children will play at.	<p>Criterion 7 of the site allocation policy requires development to incorporate green infrastructure that can most effectively benefit the site and the wider area.</p> <p>The site would be required to contribute to the achievement of Salford City Council's recreation standards in accordance with the Salford Greenspace Strategy (2019) and the relevant existing policies in the Unitary Development Plan (2009). New recreation standards are proposed in policy R1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations which is due to be adopted in summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Hannah Murphy
JPA26.153	Reference made to arguments made in respect of Broadoak holding true for this site. One representation considered that the site should be brought into the Worsley Greenway	It is unclear as to what arguments are being referred to here. The boundary and principle of the Worsley / West Salford Greenway is a designation issue for the local plan rather than through PfE.	<p>Martyn and Rosie Wright</p> <p>Andrew Moore</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.154	Wardley wood is an important buffer for pollution, has three ponds and an Oak Tree (approx 150-200 years old). Important to minimise disturbance.	See response to JPA26.140 above.	Jayne Reddy
JPA26.155	Welcome policy to protect and enhance Worsley Woods SBI. The ecological report should be changed to refer to mitigation measures being needed rather than "may be needed" as is currently the case.	<p>It is not considered necessary to amend paragraph 7.2 of the Hazelhurst Ecology and Green Infrastructure Background Report <a href="#">[10.07.02]</a>.</p> <p>Details of any necessary mitigation measures will be considered at the masterplanning stage (required under criterion 1); criterion 8 of the site allocation policy is considered to provide sufficient certainty in ensuring that the development would protect and enhance the Worsley Woods SBI.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA26.156	The current ecological survey data is out of date, and we agree with the ecological reports that more detailed, accurate and up to date survey information will need to be provided.	<p>The ecological surveys conducted to date are considered sufficient for plan-making purposes. Further ecological information will be required to support any planning application as stated in the Salford City Council Ecology and Green Infrastructure background report of October 2020 <a href="#">[10.07.02]</a>, paragraph 4.1].</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA26.157	Great Crested newts have been recorded within the site and specific GGN surveys will need to be undertaken.	The ecological surveys conducted to date are considered sufficient for plan-making purposes. Further ecological information will be required to support any planning application as stated in the Salford City Council Ecology and Green Infrastructure background report of October 2020 <a href="#">[10.07.02]</a> , paragraphs 4.1 and 4.7].	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.158	The site is within the green infrastructure opportunity area, and it is therefore important that the retained ecological features are linked with appropriately enhanced habitat and that this is also linked to the wider ecological networks.	A number of criteria in the site allocation policy address this issue, particularly criteria 7, 8, 9 and 10.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA26.159	The Trust would question the TEP survey, which concludes that the marshy area did not qualify as priority habitat. Natural England has identified this on its priority habitat inventory as lowland fen. The quality of this habitat needs to be investigated and identified accurately.	Further ecological information will be required to support any planning application as stated in the Salford City Council Ecology and Green Infrastructure background report of October 2020 <a href="#">[10.07.02]</a> , paragraphs 4.1 and 4.7].  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA26.160	The Trust notes that no bird surveys have been undertaken as yet on the site. The ecological reports show that several S41 species have been identified within the area. We would draw particular attention to the presence of Willow Tit, a UK Red Alert Species. Policy measures would need to be put in place to deliver the protection and expansion of the local population of this species as part of a strategic approach to population recovery across Greater Manchester and beyond.	As acknowledged in the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> [paragraph 18.4], there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application. This is consistent with the Salford City Council Ecology and Green Infrastructure background report of October 2020 <a href="#">[10.07.02]</a> , paragraphs 4.1 and 4.7].  Criterion 10 of the site allocation policy requires development to avoid harm to protected species.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA26.161	Interrogation of Natural England's Magic Map also identified the area for Lapwing. The main habitat on the site is arable fields and it will be vital that up to date and accurate bird surveys are undertaken to	As acknowledged in the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> [paragraph 18.4], there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate detailed ecological surveys would be	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	identify the presence of any farmland birds that might be adversely affected by the proposed development. Any mitigation/compensation plans must show how identified ecological features such as farmland birds can be incorporated into the development. Where this is not possible, off-site compensation must be identified.	<p>required to accompany any planning application. This is consistent with the Salford City Council Ecology and Green Infrastructure background report of October 2020 [<a href="#">10.07.02</a>, paragraphs 4.1 and 4.7].</p> <p>Criterion 10 of the site allocation policy requires development to avoid harm to protected species.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.162	Magic Map also identified that Wardley Wood is currently within a Forestry England Woodland Grant Scheme and the impact of this on any proposed mitigation needs to be assessed.	<p>See response to JPA26.140 above. If necessary, Forestry England could be involved in discussions around mitigation, including as part of the masterplan process required under criterion 1 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA26.163	Policy requirement for allotments is unjustified and an ineffective use of the site given that the dwellings will have gardens. Type/form of green infrastructure should instead come through masterplanning having regard to need at the time and targeted to maximise community benefit and be accessible to all. Suggested amendment to criterion 14 provided.	<p>Allotments provide a wide range of benefits to site users and the wider environment. The city council aims to expand the number of plots in the city having regard to rising waiting lists and anticipated growth in demand. The requirement for allotment provision within the site allocation policy reflects the standards in the Salford Greenspace Strategy Supplementary Planning Document 2019. It also reflect the requirements of criterion 4 of policy R1 (recreation standards) of the Salford Local Plan that is likely to be adopted in Summer 2022. On this basis no modification is proposed to the PfE site allocation policy in relation to the representation.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
	<b>Air quality</b>		

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.164	Development will lead to exacerbation of existing issues of poor air quality including through traffic and loss of green infrastructure.	<p>Policy JP-S 6 of PfE identifies a comprehensive range of measures that will be taken to support improvements in air quality.</p> <p>Chapter 21 of the Hazelhurst Farm Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> provides commentary with regards to the issue of air quality.</p> <p>In Salford, the current Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) was defined in 2016 and was declared for potential exceedances of the annual mean Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) air quality objective. The site is located to the south of part of the defined AQMA, which extends along parts of Leigh Road. A detailed air quality impact assessment would be required at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy requires that development of the site shall be designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services, in particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian and cycling routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops and incorporate attractive public rights of way through the site which connect into the wider pedestrian and cycling network providing access to local facilities.</p> <p>Various policies within Greater Manchester's Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> are aimed at improving air quality across the Region.</p> <p>The site promoter has prepared a baseline air quality assessment in support of the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.05]</a>.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 39.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.165	Proposal is inconsistent with NPPF paragraphs 93, 104(d) and 105 in respect of air and noise pollution.	<p>See response to JPA26.164 in respect of air quality.</p> <p>Noise is considered in chapter 13 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>. Criterion 13 of the site allocation policy requires that development provides mitigation to address noise pollution from nearby roads. The noise impacts would need to be considered at an early stage in the masterplanning process and detailed noise assessments would need to be submitted alongside any planning application.</p> <p>The site promoter has prepared a noise review in support of the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.15]</a>.</p> <p>Specifically with regards to NPPF paragraph 105, the Hazelhurst site Allocation Topic Paper in Chapter 10 sets out issues relating to transport <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 40.
JPA26.166	It cannot be legal to add traffic to an area that is already under legal obligations to improve air quality.	See response to JPA27.164 above.	Louise Norton
JPA26.167	Contrary to Clean Air Plan	See response to JPA27.164 above.	See JPA26 Appendix table 41.
JPA26.168	This policy of concentrating so many houses in such a small area will, without doubt create higher levels of pollution and if this is the case and the development goes ahead then having had foresight of this issue prior to commencing, the developers and local authority MUST then be held liable to compensate any victims of this policy.	See response to JPA27.164 above.	Martyn and Rosie Wright

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.169	Concerns that PfE include unrealistic assumptions around bus patronage, electric car use and cycling to reduce Co2 and NOX to an acceptable level.	<p>The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth.</p> <p>Policies within chapter 10 of PfE will help to support a shift to sustainable modes of travel. Various policies within Greater Manchester's Transport Strategy 2040 are aimed at improving air quality across the Region and policy JP-S 6 (Clean Air) of PfE establishes a comprehensive range of measures to support improvements in air quality.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Martyn and Rosie Wright
	<b>Flood risk</b>		
JPA26.170	There are local drainage issues that will be worsened as a result of development, particularly if natural ponds, pools and soakaways are built on.	<p>Criteria 11 of the site allocation policy requires sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site, while ensuring that there is no adverse impact on the potential for flooding off-site. Moreover, PfE policy JP-S 5 requires developments to not exceed greenfield run-off rates. Development would also need to be accompanied by a flood risk assessment, as is a standard requirement for development exceeding 1 hectare in area.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 42.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.171	Area is prone to flooding and development of land will result in increased flood risk.	See response to JPA26.170 above.	See JPA26 Appendix table 43.
JPA26.172	Proposal is inconsistent with NPPF paragraphs 159 - 169.	<p>The proposal is consistent with the NPPF - see response to JPA27.170 above. In addition, the sequential test is addressed in the Flood Risk Sequential Test and Exception Test evidence paper <a href="#">[04.02.20]</a>. The proposal is considered to be consistent with the NPPF in this respect.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 44.
JPA26.173	Area relies on minor watercourses to collect surface water drainage when the normal drains are overloaded. They struggle to cope with the extra burden already as culverts along the way of the watercourses were designed and installed up to 70 years ago.	See response to JPA26.170 above.	Philip And Michelle Robinson
JPA26.174	Soil structure is a waterlogged sponge which forced Worsley U.D C to build a pumping station when they laid a drain in the field. Salford has allowed the pumping station to collapse. Since when, the electricity substation at the junction of Cartmel and Hazelhurst has flooded since resulting in loss of power in the area.	<p>See response to JPA26.170 above. Moreover, the specifics of this response have been passed to the council's drainage section.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Bessie B Clegg
JPA26.175	Reference to flood risk linked to recent construction in the area, including surface and ground water covering the road with fine clay mud, blocking drains and creating dangerous driving conditions.	<p>See response to JPA26.170 above. In addition, recent flooding in the Worsley area will be addressed via a report under Section 19 of the Flood and Water Management Act. Specific comments relating to a recent development have been passed to the council's drainage section.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jenny and Tim Davis</p> <p>Paul Higson</p> <p>Edward Howard</p> <p>Julian Grant</p>
	<b>Other</b>		

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.176	Criticism of consultation process undertaken, reference made in one representation to the inaccessible nature of the documentation published and the accessibility regulations	<p>Comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA26 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.</p> <p>An accessible version of the main PfE plan, and integrated assessment were made available <a href="#">[02.01.03]</a> and <a href="#">[02.01.08]</a>. Other accessible documents were also made available.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 45.
JPA26.177	Negative impact on the attractiveness of the area, as result of a number of issues including those set out above, and increased crime levels such as burglaries	<p>It is unclear why the addition of new homes in the area would directly relate to a rise in crimes in the area.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 46.
JPA26.178	Loss of property value	<p>House values are not a material planning consideration.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	K Thoday Jenny Lindoe Emma O'Neill Julie Ward Jennifer Antrobus
JPA26.179	Proximity to the BOC plant on the East Lancs Road which is a hazardous installation	<p>It is noted that there is an HSE consultation zone (which comprises inner, middle and outer zones) identified around the BOC installation within Wardley Industrial Estate. Only a very small part of the allocation's north-eastern extent falls within the HSE outer zone and in line with the HSE's guidance, this would not be expected to place any significant constraints on the development of the site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Karl Turner Thomas Collins Neill Virtue Norah Virtue

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.180	There should be a requirement for any developer to deliver carbon neutrality reference made to heating systems and electric vehicle charging.	<p>PfE aims to deliver a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038 and be net zero carbon from 2028. A number of the thematic policies within it will contribute to addressing climate change. Of particular relevance to these comments are the requirements of policy JP-S 2; that development follows an energy hierarchy (which in order of importance seeks to minimise demand, maximise efficiency and utilise renewable, low carbon and other energy sources) and where practicable prioritises connection to a renewable energy/heating/cooling network in the first instance. JP-S 2 also requires that development incorporates electric vehicle charging points to future proof for the likely long-term demand.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Willie Mills Julie Ward
JPA26.181	Concerns relating to climate change impact, issues highlighted include increased emissions, congestion, use of greenfield land rather than underutilised sites and buildings, absorption of Co2, and consistency with the government's Net Zero targets.	<p>PfE aims to deliver a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038 and be net zero carbon from 2028. A number of the thematic policies within it will contribute to addressing climate change – it contains policies on Sustainable Development (Policy JP-S 1); Heat and Energy Networks (Policy JP-S 3); Resilience (JP-S 4); Clean Air (Policy JP-S 6); Resource Efficiency (JP-S 7); Green Infrastructure (Policies JP-G2, 5, 7, 9).</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan has to be produced in consultation with stakeholders. This will allow consideration of innovation and issues around the environment and air quality.</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that there can be climate change impacts resulting from new development, there is a need to find a balance between environmental, social and economic factors.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 47.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>A requirement to deliver new homes has been identified and, whilst the first priority has been to identify brownfield opportunities, it has been necessary to identify some Green Belt allocations.</p> <p>The site allocation policy includes important measures aimed at minimising impacts/contributions in relation to climate change including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services, in particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian and cycling routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops (criterion 3)</li> <li>• Incorporate attractive public rights of way through the site which connect into the wider pedestrian and cycling network providing access to local facilities (criterion 4)</li> <li>• Retain mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies as important landscape features within the site, supporting an overall increase in its nature conservation value (criterion 9)</li> <li>• Incorporate sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site, while ensuring that there is no adverse impact on the potential for flooding off-site (criterion 11)</li> <li>• Include new allotment plots to meet the local standard unless suitable alternative provision can be made in the local area (criterion 14)</li> </ul> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.182	Every home directly affected needs a one line link to make their opinions known	Comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA26 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Jennifer Davis

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.183	Concerns regarding noise pollution, reference made to Green Belt land as a buffer	<p>Noise is considered in chapter 13 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>. The noise impacts would need to be considered at an early stage in the masterplanning process and detailed noise assessments would need to be submitted alongside any planning application.</p> <p>Criterion 13 of the site allocation policy requires that development provides mitigation to address noise pollution from nearby roads.</p> <p>The site promoter has prepared a noise review in support of the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.15]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 48.
JPA26.184	Inconsistencies raised with NPPF paragraphs 93, 104 and 105 in respect of air and noise pollution.	<p>See response to JPA27.164 above in respect of air quality / pollution.</p> <p>Noise is considered in chapter 13 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>. The site is located to the immediate east of the M60 and south of the A580 such that it would be subject to some traffic noise. The noise impacts would need to be considered at an early stage in the masterplanning process and detailed noise assessments would need to be submitted alongside any planning application. This is consistent with criterion 13 of the site allocation policy which requires that development will incorporate mitigation to address noise pollution from nearby roads.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 49.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Specifically with regards to NPPF paragraphs 104 and 105 in respect of transport, chapter 10 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> sets out issues relating to this matter.	
JPA26.185	Impact on nearby heritage assets	<p>Heritage issues are addressed in chapter 20 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>. This refers to a heritage screening exercise was undertaken by Salford City Council and GMAAS <a href="#">[10.07.03]</a> This noted that the site allocation does not contain any built heritage assets and there is limited visibility between the site and the identified heritage assets within its vicinity. It was therefore screened out from requiring further assessment in respect of built heritage.</p> <p>The site was screened in for a further assessment of its archaeological value <a href="#">[10.07.01]</a> and the reasoned justification to the policy highlights the need for a desk-based assessment of the site's archaeological interest.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 50.
JPA26.186	Representations suggesting that the sites existing use should be maintained or put to other positive green infrastructure functions such as allotments, rewilded or other enhancements to deliver environmental and social benefits.	<p>The site selection process undertaken to determine the allocations to be taken forward through Places for Everyone is detailed in the associated Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the Hazelhurst Farm site has been selected <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>There are numerous criteria in the site allocation policy relating to green infrastructure within the policy which have different functions (including criteria 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14)</p>	John A Platt Tom Binns Charles Magee



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Chapters 15 and 16 of the Hazelhurst Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a> relate to green infrastructure and recreation. A number of potential enhancements to green infrastructure on the site and in surrounding areas are suggested in the Green Belt Opportunities work (summarised in the table below paragraph 14.14 of the Allocation Topic Paper). These opportunities and the potential deliverability of them would be considered through the masterplanning and planning application stages.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.187	Allocation plans should be reviewed to show Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure and more detail provided in the supporting text.	<p>The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore, it is not necessary to identify them on the allocation plan or in the supporting text.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Marcelle and Edwin Paul Blinston and Van-Calster Peter Nicholas Horsley Barbara Keeley</p>
JPA26.188	Concerns regarding the development of the site from a health perspective pointing to existing benefits and the negative impacts that its development could have including physical/well-being activities, in respect of emissions and failing to take account of local strategies for health.	<p>A number of the site allocation policy criteria will mitigate the impact on local residents.</p> <p>There is no statutory duty to undertake a health impact assessment as part of the plan-making process. Notwithstanding this the integrated assessment <a href="#">[02.01.03]</a> Appendix D, and <a href="#">[02.01.05]</a> has considered issues of health, alongside other environmental, sustainability and equality considerations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 51.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.189	Current council tax banding in the area is ridiculously high and doesn't reflect the standard of services that Salford Council provide, ie pot holes, litter, overflowing public bins, lack of regular street cleaning etc.	<p>Council tax banding and the issues raised within this comment are not material planning considerations relevant to the proposed allocation of the site in PfE.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Kate Briggs
JPA26.190	Proposal only serves private interest.	<p>The proposal would provide 400 new homes, with 50% of them being affordable (some to be provided off-site). This provides a social benefit as well as being consistent with the objective in paragraph 60 of the NPPF of significantly boosting the supply of housing. Other public benefits would be provided as through various other criteria in the site allocation policy (including 3, 4, 7, 9, 14)</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA26 Appendix table 52.
JPA26.191	Many existing residents are selling their homes / move away due to these plans.	Comments noted.	Kevin and Helen Ryan Ananya McCarthy Paul Howard
JPA26.192	Criticism of lack of engagement and a commitment sought to consult in detail with regional and local groups with expertise in relevant areas with a view seriously to improving the quality of any such development; to investigate innovative approaches in other regions to house building and development that actively protects and enhances local quality of life, air quality and access to local services; demonstration of willingness to listen to and engage with local views on impacts of such a development on local roads and services: not as part of a seemingly reluctant nod in the direction of statutory national requirements, but as actively wanting to demonstrate commitment to local well-being.	<p>Comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA26 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan is produced in consultation with the local community stakeholders. This will allow the local community to be involved in how the site will be developed and allow for the consideration of detailed issues and innovative approaches.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Elizabeth Jones
JPA26.193	Concerns regarding impacts on existing residents during construction.	Policy JP-C 7 of PfE 2021 requires that Construction Management Plans are produced for developments, where appropriate, to	See JPA26 Appendix table 53.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>mitigate construction logistics and environmental impacts including air quality and noise on the surrounding area and encourage sustainable deliveries.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.194	Governments and regional authorities are pursuing vertical buildings which make sense in terms of energy-saving and lower human footprint in green areas.	<p>A diverse range of housing will be required to meet population and household growth and a key part of the overall strategy of PfE is to maximise the amount of brownfield sites in the most accessible locations. A significant proportion of the new dwellings required will be in the form of apartments, and the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> (page 75) identifies that 59% of the land supply across Places for Everyone and 81% of the land supply within Salford could accommodate apartments.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Vicente F Orts
JPA26.195	Site should be held in trust by Salford Council for the local and wider community and for future generations.	This site is not owned by Salford City Council. Given this, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Brian Gee

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.196	Greenfield land in Worsley/ Boothstown is under constant threat of development with prominent landowners and building companies submitting a scattergun of planning requests for approval. Reference made to reduction in the area covered by the Roe Green/ Beesley Green Conservation Area and multiple High Court appeals in relation to proposed development in the Worsley Greenway.	<p>PfE proposes some additions to the Green Belt, including 184.5 hectares of land within the West Salford Greenway (policy Green Belt Addition 27). The West Salford Greenway will also continue to be protected as green infrastructure of strategic significance through policy GI4 of the Salford Local Plan Development Management Policies and Designations (which is due to be adopted in summer 2022).</p> <p>The Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> sets out the approach to accommodating growth within the plan area which requires the release of some Green Belt. The majority of the development required will be accommodated within the existing urban area. The role of PfE is to identify the additional sites required outside of this area to meet growth needs. The Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[04.03.01]</a> explains the site selection process undertaken to identify the most sustainable sites. Chapter 5 of the Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the Hazelhurst Farm site has been selected <a href="#">[10.07.68]</a>.</p> <p>So that the allocations deliver the scale and quality of development required and are supported by the necessary infrastructure, the sites will be subject to masterplanning (as required by criterion 1 of the site allocation policy).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Brian Gee
JPA26.197	High rise developments in Greater Manchester will most likely not be needed (reference made to Brexit) and will become very affordable or lie empty.	A mix of housing will be provided across the plan period in line with PfE policy JP-H 3. High rise developments in the Manchester and Salford City Centre provide dwellings in highly accessible location and reduce the need to release Green Belt for new development.	Philip Sharples

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA26.198	Increased light pollution	<p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Light pollution is covered by policy PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.199	Potential pollution of watercourses	<p>A background report has been published in relation to ecology and green infrastructure, which includes a section on water courses <a href="#">[10.07.02]</a> (section 5, page 3). Criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires that development of the site should protect the quality of watercourses through and around the site. Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Water pollution is covered by policy PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Graham Pickup
JPA26.200	Negative impact on the sense of community in the area	The site is a sustainable development opportunity in a highly accessible location within the urban area. The proposal would provide 400 new homes, with 50% of them being affordable (some to be provided off-site). This provides a social benefit as well as	Paul Higson Rachael Vaughan Rachel Bishop

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>being consistent with the objective in paragraph 60 of the NPPF of significantly boosting the supply of housing.</p> <p>This site would also accommodate public rights of way, allotments and green infrastructure. The site allocation policy requirement for masterplanning (criterion 1) to be developed with the local community and other stakeholders will allow the local community to be involved in how the site will be developed.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA26.201	It will spoil the RHS	<p>The proposed site allocation is approximately 2.5km from RHS Bridgewater and is physically separated from the site by built development, woodland and infrastructure, with very limited (if any) visual connections between the two sites. As such, the proposed site allocation is not considered to have an impact on RHS Bridgewater.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	K Thoday
JPA26.202	Concerns regarding development on Hazelhall Close, impacts on the area during construction and compliance with planning conditions.	<p>See response to JPA26.193 with regards to minimising construction impacts.</p> <p>With regards to planning conditions, these are imposed where they are necessary, relevant to planning and to the development to be permitted. Any non-compliance with conditions specified by the local planning authority would be subject to legal processes and enforcement action may be taken.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Alison Rutter</p> <p>Billie Andrews</p> <p>Ryan Rutter</p> <p>Ben Liu</p> <p>Julian Grant</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA26.203	Map needs to be changed as it is incorrect.	<p>No errors have been identified and it is unclear from the representation what changes are required.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	A Hyde
JPA26.204	Marginalisation of residents local to the allocation not recognised.	See response to JPA26.200 above.	Mike Bewley
JPA26.205	Failure to engage with statutory bodies including the HSE is a breach of the Duty to Cooperate.	<p>Comment not relevant to the content of the JPA26 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Karl Turner

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 27 - East of Boothstown

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	<b>Principle / scale of development</b>		
JPA27.1	Question need for new houses with reference to issues including validity of government targets, use of out of date data (i.e. using 2014 based household projections instead of the 2016 ones), immigration control, and saturation of local housing market. Reference made in some representations to recent delivery being in excess of requirements in 2021 (219% above identified in some). Reference also made in some to the housing delivery test and 2020 figures.	<p>The Greater Manchester housing requirement is calculated using the government's standard local housing need methodology as required by the government's planning practice guidance. This requires the use of the 2014 based-household projections. The Housing Topic Paper explains further how the housing requirements have been calculated <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>The city council needs to demonstrate on an ongoing basis that it passes the housing delivery test and has a five year supply of deliverable sites. It also has to demonstrate a supply of developable sites over the longer term, and well as provide homes to meet a range of housing needs. All this is in the context of the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes (NPPF paragraph 60).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 1.
JPA27.2	The site should be removed from Places for Everyone/general objection to the proposal	In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit	See JPA27 Appendix table 2.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper [02.01.10]</p> <p>The East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper in Chapter 5 sets out the reason for the site's inclusion in PfE <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. It has been selected on the basis of criterion 7 (land that would deliver significant local benefits by addressing a major local problem/issue). Specifically, it is the site's ability to provide high end housing to diversify the housing market within the north of Greater Manchester, and accommodation to meet a specific need, which places the site under criterion 7. Development would contribute to the spatial strategy of PfE 2021, particularly significantly increasing the competitiveness of the northern areas through the selective release of Green Belt in key locations to diversify housing provision.</p> <p>The East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> further references key parts of the evidence base.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.3	Question impact of covid and related changes in respect of dwelling requirements.	As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a> .	Michelle Johnson Jamie Bentham

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.4	Support in principle - lack of larger detached homes subject to greenspace and amenities being provided.	Support noted.	Lee Hoggett
JPA27.5	Support the allocation which is one of only a small number of opportunities to deliver exceptional quality high value housing. It will help to broaden the range of dwellings in Salford, balancing the high volume of apartments in the regional core and deliver higher value housing responding to unmet need.	Support noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA27.6	General support	Support noted.	Historic England
JPA27.7	The 1st stated criteria of the policy should be modified to read: “Be in accordance with a masterplan/framework or <del>Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</del> that has been developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and is considered acceptable by the city council, <del>or in the case of an SPD adopted by the City Council.</del> <u>This requirement can be satisfied through the progression of an outline or full planning application for the majority of the site which includes a masterplan for the full extent of the allocated area.</u> ”	The masterplan requirement will allow local community to be involved in how the site will be developed. The Revised Draft GMSF required that any masterplan/framework or SPD should be adopted by the city council. This has been amended in PfE so that the requirement for a masterplan/framework does not need adopting by the city council, rather it has to be considered acceptable by the city council (see criterion 1 of the allocation policy).  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.8	Concerns over the cumulative impact of development that has taken place in the area including the loss of greenspace, congestion and impact on services.	A transport locality assessment has been prepared for the site which looks at the cumulative impacts of development <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> .  With regards to loss of green space, chapters 16 and 17 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> relate to green infrastructure and recreation. A number of potential enhancements to green infrastructure on the site and in surrounding areas are suggested in the Green Belt Opportunities work <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a> , and as summarised in the table below paragraph 14.15 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper). These opportunities and the	See JPA27 Appendix table 3.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>potential deliverability of them would be considered through the masterplanning and planning application stages.</p> <p>Chapters 23 and 24 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> deals with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically education and health. A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter, such as Policies, JP-P1, JP-P5, JP-P6 and JP- D2 which states that new development must be supported by the necessary infrastructure, including where appropriate green spaces, schools, and medical facilities. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.9	Concern that planning rules are being bent to allow development on Green Belt, allowing lower density homes and the affordable element of the scheme to be fudged. Suggestion that if this proposal was brought forward in another part of the City these issues would not be so blatantly overlooked.	<p>Due processes have been followed. Chapter 14 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> identifies issues relating to Green Belt including the exceptional circumstances which justify the release of the site from the Green Belt (see paragraphs 14.1 to 14.3). Full details of the exceptional circumstances are set out in the Green Belt topic paper and Case for Exceptional Circumstances to amend the Green Belt Boundary document <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Terence Burke
JPA27.10	Question how claims can be made to provide things that already there including playing fields, playground, walking and cycling routes. Consider that the documentation is mis-leading in this regard.	The site allocation policy requires that the playing fields are retained or replaced (criterion 13) whilst a new neighbourhood equipped area of play will be provided (criterion 12). The site allocation policy further seeks to ensure good quality access by walking and cycling and secure improvements to the path on the north side of the canal	Terence Burke

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>(criteria 10 and 11); it is noted that some of the measures identified have already been implemented.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.11	Object to development of Green Belt without significant reduction in the number of houses proposed. One respondent also referred to the playing field next to Boothstown Marina being retained along with other green areas across the site.	<p>The Plan seeks to make efficient use of land and part of this strategy is building homes at an appropriate density. The playing field will be retained or replaced (criterion 13) whilst a high quality network of pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site will be provided linking the canal to the south of the site and Leigh Road to the north (in line with criterion 10). Elements of the allocation policy also seek to provide on-site green infrastructure (see criteria 3, 4, 5, 7, 12, and 14 in particular).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Philip Robinson</p> <p>John Marginson</p> <p>Camilla Schofield</p> <p>Diane Healey</p>
JPA27.12	Can we have a proper explanation of the councils view of the future make up of Salford's population and how that justifies the need for so much more "executive housing" when there are known (and well publicised) serious issues around homelessness and "hidden" homelessness in the city. Will there be enough social housing to address this problem?	<p>The housing topic paper sets out a summary of the evidence that has informed PfE (chapter 3 – <a href="#">06.01.03</a>). This evidence includes the GM Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) <a href="#">[06.01.02]</a> that has been produced by the Greater Manchester Combined Authority (GMCA). The purpose of the SHMA is to present a clear, evidenced picture of the Greater Manchester housing market and how it is changing, to provide an assessment of future needs for both market and affordable housing, and to explore the housing needs of different groups within the population over the next twenty years</p> <p>With regards to affordable housing, the allocation policy at criterion 2 requires that at least 50% of the dwellings shall be affordable (37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership). Policy JP-S 4 identifies that there will be the delivery of at least</p>	Adrian Richards

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>50,000 additional affordable homes across the 9 districts that are part of PfE.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.13	Suggestion that the site should be a conservation area with opportunities for local schools and volunteers to create wildlife habitats, allotments, markets etc	<p>As noted in the government's planning practice guidance on the Historic Environment, before designating new conservation areas local planning authorities need to ensure that the area has sufficient special architectural or historic interest to justify its designation as a conservation area. It is not considered that the site has any special architectural or historical interest in this context. Further details of issues relating to the historic environment for the site are set out in Chapter 20 of the East of Boothstown topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Criterion 14 of the site allocation policy requires that development shall include new allotment plots to meet the local standard unless suitable alternative provision can be made in the local area.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Samantha Dugmore
JPA27.14	Site should not be considered in isolation but consider quantum of development already consented or proposed locally and in adjoining boroughs of Wigan and Bolton, the effect of which amount to development by stealth and are reducing or removing the greenbelt/green space between local communities, in this case Worsley and Boothstown.	See response to JPA27.8 above.	<p>Mary Deuison</p> <p>Simon Doherty</p> <p>Ananya McCarthy</p> <p>Anne Marie Morson</p> <p>Thomas Hunter</p> <p>Alan Hook</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Sean Nugent</p>
JPA27.15	The policy is not sound on the basis that it is not consistent with NPPF para 140 and should be deleted. The case for exceptional circumstances advanced under Site Selection Criterion 7 mostly lack	Chapter 14 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> identifies issues relating to Green Belt including the exceptional circumstances which justify the release of the site from	<p>Richard Bryan</p> <p>Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	<p>merit, and in some instances are counter-intuitive and even contradictory. In respect of the reference to the provision of exclusive high-end property it is identified that such an argument has no laudable social or economic objective to recommend it and comes into conflict with the core principles at the heart of Green Belt policy. The first six Site Selection Criteria support the strategic objectives of the plan, and the strategic objectives are advanced as exceptional circumstances. However, Criterion 7 does not support the strategic objectives so what makes it an exceptional circumstance? We are being asked to simply accept the premise that a local benefit is automatically an exceptional circumstance, but no evidence or justification is presented to that effect. See Save Royton's Greenbelt "Criterion 7" paper for further background.</p>	<p>the Green Belt (see paragraphs 14.1 to 14.3). Full details of the exceptional circumstances are set out in the Green Belt topic paper and the Case for Exceptional Circumstances to amend the Green Belt Boundary <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>The site selection process undertaken to determine the allocations to be taken forward through Places for Everyone is detailed in the associated Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>. This background paper on page 24 identifies that PfE 2021 objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 10 are relevant to criterion 7.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been identified. Paragraph 5.1 states that to meet criterion 7 a site would be required to bring benefits across a wider area than the development itself and / or would bring benefits to existing local communities. Paragraph 5.2 goes on to identify that it is the site's ability to provide high end housing to diversify the housing market within the north of Greater Manchester, and accommodation to meet a specific need, which places the site under criterion 7.</p> <p>Development would contribute to the spatial strategy of PfE 2021, particularly significantly increasing the competitiveness of the northern areas through the selective release of Green Belt in key locations to diversify housing provision.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.16	<p>Site should be held for the local and wider community and for future generations.</p>	<p>The site selection process undertaken to determine the allocations to be taken forward through Places for Everyone is detailed in the associated Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	<p>Brian Gee Garry Lyle</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.17	Other areas would benefit from development.	<p>It is unclear which areas are being referred to. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release.</p> <p>Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) of the Plan summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a></p> <p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been identified <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Nadine Brown</p> <p>Anne Cox</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p>
JPA27.18	Should be a moratorium on development in this area of GM for 5 to 10 years when pollution levels have subsided due to the increased use of electric vehicles and the re-regulation of bus services.	A moratorium on development would not be consistent with paragraph 60 of the NPPF which states that to support the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes. It is important that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed, and that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed.	Terence Dean



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Policy JP-S 6 of PfE identifies a comprehensive range of measures that will be taken to support improvements in air quality.</p> <p>Chapter 21 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> provides commentary with regards to the issue of air quality. See response to JPA27.164 for further details.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.19	Technical analysis demonstrates that there are no technical or environmental constraints to developing the site. It is expected that the development will start in 2023 and be delivered over a 7 year period.	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.20	Question what is being done to give residents privacy/ green space providing separation from the new houses	<p>Issues around privacy and separation between new and existing houses will be considered through the masterplanning process (criterion 1) and then subsequently the planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lorraine And Paul McCormick
JPA27.21	Appreciate the need for additional housing but question whether plan/strategy meets assessed needs.	<p>The plan overall meets the overall need identified using the government's local housing need methodology across the 9 districts that form part of PfE. See the housing topic paper for further details <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>PfE seeks to provide a mix of sites and dwelling types; in the case of the East of Boothstown allocation it provides one of only a few opportunities within Greater Manchester to deliver very high value housing in an extremely attractive environment, benefitting not only from an established premium housing market but also a location next to the RHS Garden Bridgewater and the historic Bridgewater Canal (see Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown allocation topic paper for</p>	Helenya Jones



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>further details regarding the reasons for the selection of the site <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.22	Swinton Park Golf Club and West of Boothstown have been suggested as better sites to meet the housing requirement for the reason that they are more suitable in terms of transport infrastructure and will not consume greenbelt used by the wider community.	<p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. The release of the West of Boothstown site from the Green Belt is not being progressed through PfE.</p> <p>Swinton Park Golf Club is not within the Green Belt so is not within the scope of allocations for PfE. Any potential allocation would be an issue for part 2 of the Salford local plan or be determined through any planning applications; the site however is protected as recreation land through the saved policies of the UDP and emerging local plan.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Jasmine Kirkham
JPA27.23	Alternative option suggested: Build appealing retirement villages that release existing family dwellings and keep diverse communities in the area. Examples cited: Countryside development at Agecroft, bungalows opposite Boothsbank Park and Mobberley Parklands. Benefits identified include: less congestion, efficient social care, day care, ring and ride etc.	The allocation provides one of only a few opportunities within Greater Manchester to deliver very high value housing to an exceptional quality, primarily targeting the top end of the housing market with the intention of attracting and retaining highly skilled workers within Greater Manchester. Notwithstanding this, some retirement dwellings could form part of the overall mix; this would be a matter for the masterplanning / planning application stages. In addition, all new dwellings must be built to the 'accessible and adaptable' standard in Part M4(2) of the Building Regulations, unless specific site conditions make this impracticable as required by PfE policy JP-H 3.	Alex Coll

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.24	Reference to a deal with Peel and the RHS to use part of the land for horticultural purposes and consider that the granting planning on the remaining land should not form part of the deal.	It is unclear what deal is being referenced within this representation. See comments below from the RHS (JPA27.25).  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	James Worthington
JPA27.25	The RHS is keen to proactively participate in the PfE process, where necessary, and ultimately in the future planning application process to ensure that the new community at East of Boothstown and RHS Garden Bridgewater can co-exist sustainably, including input into any design matters that interact with the garden, its operation and its infrastructure	Comments noted.	John Pye
	<b>Housing (inc. affordable housing)</b>		
JPA27.26	Question role of high value homes in addressing issues of affordability and the benefit for local people.	The site selection process undertaken to determine the allocations to be taken forward through Places for Everyone is detailed in the associated Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> . The allocation provides one of only a few opportunities within Greater Manchester to deliver very high value housing to an exceptional quality, primarily targeting the top end of the housing market with the intention of attracting and retaining highly skilled workers within Greater Manchester.  There is a requirement for 50% of the dwellings to be affordable housing (with some directed off-site) in line with criterion 2 of the site allocation policy. Overall, therefore the site will meet a range of needs and be of benefit to local people and others.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	See JPA27 Appendix table 4.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.27	Housing should be affordable; plans do not meet local needs.	<p>The site allocation policy at criterion 2 requires that at least 50% of the dwellings shall be affordable (37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership). Some of this will be off-site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Ananya McCarthy Jonathan Bell Anne And Paul Seaborn Francis Langan Rosie Robinson Mary Driscoll Garry Lyle Hannah Murphy Harriet</p>
JPA27.28	Affordable housing should be on site and to same standard.	<p>The site allocation policy at criterion 2 requires that at least 50% of the dwellings shall be affordable (37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership). As further noted within criterion 2 some of this will be directed to off-site provision; the proportion of affordable dwellings that will be on site and the standards of all of the affordable dwellings will be determined through the masterplanning and planning application processes.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Lorraine And Paul McCormick David and Joan Taylor Katie Vanden Carl Smith Francis Langan Sally Smith Lorraine Rogers Stephen Hopkins</p>
JPA27.29	Support for 50% affordable housing requirement.	Support noted.	Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA27.30	There would appear to be significant risk due to ecology, flood risk, infrastructure that the affordable housing requirement may be mitigated through viability. This should be safeguarded.	<p>The East of Boothstown topic paper in Chapter 25 sets out issues relating to the viability of developing the site <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Three Dragons assessed the financial viability of all of the GMSF 2020 allocations on behalf of the GMCA and districts <a href="#">[03.03.04]</a>. The proposed development of 300 houses on the East of Boothstown site was assessed as being viable, with this taking into account local transport mitigation measures and the requirements of the allocation policy, including 50% of the total dwellings being affordable housing and biodiversity net gain.</p>	Greater Manchester Housing Providers

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.31	Concern that the site will not provide affordable housing. One respondent stated that the site will provide for wealthy people that could buy anywhere.	<p>The site allocation policy at criterion 2 requires that at least 50% of the dwellings shall be affordable (37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership). Some of this may be delivered elsewhere.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Carl Smith Sally Smith Sharon and Andrew Robinson Dave Johnson Nicola Weedall Terence Burke Alan Kirkman Michael Sherrard</p>
JPA27.32	Concerns raised regarding the lack of eco homes and/or innovative house types.	<p>PfE policy JP-S 1 (Sustainable development) states that in order to help tackle climate change development should aim to utilise sustainable construction techniques. This aim is supplemented by Policy JP- S 2 which identifies that a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, will be supported through a range of measures including development being net zero by 2028.</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan has to be produced in consultation with stakeholders. This will allow consideration of innovation and issues around the environment and air quality.</p> <p>The exact mix of housing and its sustainability credentials will form part of the masterplanning and planning application processes. Development will as a minimum however be required to achieve a 19% carbon reduction against Part L of the 2013 Building Regulations (policy JP- S 2) and be net zero carbon by 2028.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Ananya McCarthy Vicky Harper</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.33	Lack of affordable housing in the area, one comment stating that this development will make it harder for young people to continue to live in the place they call home.	<p>The site allocation policy at criterion 2 requires that at least 50% of the dwellings shall be affordable (37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership). Some of this provision will be directed off-site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Carl Smith Sally Smith Garry Lyle Terence Burke</p>
JPA27.34	Salford needs more social housing close to places that people actually work, not out in the suburbs. I would like to see a forward projection of the demographic and household income shifts that Salford expects to see over the next 15 years.	<p>Policy JP-S 4 identifies that there will be the delivery of at least 50,000 additional affordable homes across the 9 districts that are part of PfE.</p> <p>The site allocation policy at criterion 2 requires that at least 50% of the dwellings shall be affordable (37.5% social rented, 37.5% affordable rented and 25% shared ownership). Some of this provision will be directed off-site. Other affordable housing will be provided elsewhere in Salford in line with the Salford local plan which sets a minimum citywide requirement for all developments to provide 20% affordable housing through policy H4 – the Salford local plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022. This requirement will include high density apartment developments in places such as City Centre Salford.</p> <p>The housing topic paper sets out a summary of the evidence that has informed PfE (chapter 3 – <a href="#">06.01.03</a>). This evidence includes the GM Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) <a href="#">[06.01.02]</a> that has been produced by the Greater Manchester Combined Authority (GMCA). The purpose of the SHMA is to present a clear, evidenced picture of the Greater Manchester housing market and how it is changing, to provide an assessment of future needs for both market and affordable housing, and to explore the housing needs of different groups within the population over the next twenty years.</p>	Adrian Richards

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.35	Unequal access to social housing	<p>It is unclear as to what this comment specifically relates to. 50% of the dwellings (with some directed off-site) will be provided as part of the development in line with criterion 2 of the allocation policy. PfE policy JP-S 4 identifies that there will be the delivery of at least 50,000 additional affordable homes across the 9 districts that are part of PfE.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	R Nawaz
JPA27.36	General concerns about impact of additional houses on the area	See response to JPA27.8 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 5.
JPA27.37	Too many houses in the area/lots of houses being built	See response to JPA27.8 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 6.
JPA27.38	High rise developments will not be needed due to Brexit and will become very affordable or lie empty.	<p>A mix of housing will be provided across the plan period in line with PfE policy JP-H 3. High rise developments in the Manchester and Salford City Centre provide dwellings in highly accessible location and reduce the need to release Green Belt for new development. There is no indication that the homes being provided in such developments are not needed or will be vacant.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Daniel James Tudor
JPA27.39	Houses should be freehold and not leasehold	<p>Whether the houses are freehold or leasehold sits outside of the planning process. Notwithstanding this, The Leasehold Reform (Ground Rent) Bill was introduced in the House of Lords on 12 May 2021. This Bill will fulfil the government's commitment to "set future ground rents to zero."</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lee Hoggett

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.40	A considerable number of planning applications have been approved for residential development which have not been built. The proposal would therefore appear to be unnecessary	<p>It is unclear which planning permissions are being referenced here. Notwithstanding this, the city council has no powers to require developers to implement planning permissions.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	John A Platt
JPA27.41	No affordable housing included in the plans.	<p>50% of the dwellings (with some directed off-site) will be provided as part of the development in line with criterion 2 of the site allocation policy. Policy JP-S 4 identifies that there will be the delivery of at least 50,000 additional affordable homes across the 9 districts that are part of PfE.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Linda Sincup Vicky Harper
JPA27.42	Take a look again at the whole area again and take into account the amount of people who are on the list for Council property and affordable housing.	<p>50% of the dwellings will be affordable housing (with some directed off-site) in line with criterion 2 of the site allocation policy. The dwellings will meet some of the need of those on the housing register, and in particular those who require social / affordable rented dwellings given the required tenure mix.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Paul Holland Linda Sincup
JPA27.43	50% affordable housing may not be achievable, 30% is likely to be viable (based on CBRE assessment). Policy should set out a minimum requirement to provide 30% but requiring an application to be accompanied by a viability approach to test the site's ability to provide up to 50%. Suggested amendment to criterion 2 proposed.	<p>Three Dragons assessed the financial viability of all of the PfE allocations on behalf of the GMCA and districts <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a>. The proposed development of 300 houses on the East of Boothstown site was assessed as being viable, with this taking into account local transport mitigation measures and the requirements of the allocation policy, including 50% of the total dwellings being affordable housing. The evidence is considered to be robust and proportionate and therefore the amendment to the criterion is not supported.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.44	Supports that the policy identifies that some of the affordable housing provision should be directed off site and consider this to be necessary in this instance given the focus of the development.	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.45	Question whether the affordable housing mix, represents the most appropriate approach in the context of this site. A bespoke approach to this site should be taken to ensure the affordable housing offer responds to and is aligned with the type of development proposed.	<p>The affordable housing tenure mix set out within criterion of the allocation policy is consistent with the approach in policy H4 of Salford Local Plan which is due to be adopted in Summer 2022. The provision of 75% of the affordable homes being of a rented nature reflects the significant need for such homes as shown by the number of households on the housing register, whilst also proving an element of affordable home ownership.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.46	Enough luxury houses in the area and plenty for sale	<p>The allocation provides one of only a few opportunities within Greater Manchester to deliver very high value housing to an exceptional quality, primarily targeting the top end of the housing market with the intention of attracting and retaining highly skilled workers within Greater Manchester. There is a requirement that 50% of the dwellings will be affordable housing (with some directed off-site) in line with criterion 2 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Elaine West Charlie Heron
JPA27.47	There is scope for private retirement apartments which would free-up present houses and bungalows for families and also result in less cars	The allocation provides one of only a few opportunities within Greater Manchester to deliver very high value housing to an exceptional quality, primarily targeting the top end of the housing market with the intention of attracting and retaining highly skilled workers within Greater Manchester. Some retirement dwellings could form part of	Margaret Taylor



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>the overall mix; this would be a matter for the masterplanning / planning application stages.</p> <p>All new dwellings must be built to the 'accessible and adaptable' standard in Part M4(2) of the Building Regulations unless specific site conditions make this impracticable as required by PfE policy JP-H 3.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.48	Plans for Salford seems to align with local housing needs calculations but is arguably not aligned with growth and economic ambitions of the City. The reduction in overall numbers from where we were previously is only going to exacerbate affordability issues in GMCA. There is uncertainty to the deliverability, and the affordable housing delivery in particular given the City has not delivered the required rates of affordable housing in the past. The focus on higher quality, greener developments (spacious, green space, good place making) in Salford is supported but this may price young families and those on lower incomes out.	<p>As identified within the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> table 3.3, page 6], Salford's Local Housing Need using the government's standard methodology is 1,324 homes per annum, which equates to 21,184 over the plan period. Salford's housing requirement in PfE is significantly higher than the LHN figure (an annual average of 1,658 dwellings equating to a total of 26,528). This will help increase the supply of houses and new affordable housing in the city, alongside emerging policy in the Salford local plan policy H4 which sets out a minimum 20% affordable housing city-wide and higher in particular locations / developments. It also recognises that a significant number of new homes will be provided in highly accessible locations such as City Centre Salford and Salford Quays in the form of high density apartments.</p> <p>The distribution of development is based on achieving the Strategy set out in the PfE plan as evidenced in the Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> and Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Greater Manchester Housing Providers
	<b>Employment and Economy</b>		

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.49	Exceptional quality high value housing will complement the economic strategy by helping to attract and retain skilled workers.	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.50	Proposal will not attract high skilled workers to the area	<p>As noted at the start of the site allocation policy, the site provides one of only a few opportunities within Greater Manchester to deliver very high value housing to an exceptional quality, primarily targeting the top end of the housing market with the intention of attracting and retaining highly skilled workers within Greater Manchester.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Elaine West
	<b>Green Belt</b>		
JPA27.51	Object to the loss of Green Belt. Issues identified included a lack of exceptional circumstances, loss of role as a 'green lung' / buffer, setting of a precedent, development already taking place in the Green Belt at the neighbouring RHS Garden, the Green Belt making the area special, and the site's role in preventing urban sprawl	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>The Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> sets out the approach to accommodating growth within the plan area which requires the release of some Green Belt.</p> <p>Chapter 14 of the East of Boothstown topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> sets out the assessment of Green Belt for this site, and the exceptional circumstances that justify its release (paragraphs 14.2 to 14.5). The topic paper also considers the issues of sprawl and the other purposes of Green Belt by way of reference to assessments carried</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 7.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>out by LUC. The case for exceptional circumstances is fully explained in the Green Belt topic paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>In amending the Green Belt boundary, through the allocations in PfE, opportunities have been identified to improve/enhance Green Infrastructure within the land remaining in the Green Belt. Details of the potential enhancement opportunities are detailed in the Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study - Beneficial Use Appendix F <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a></p> <p>The boundary for the site allocation is readily recognisable as required by NPPF paragraph 143f. The boundary to the north is Leigh Road, to the east Occupation Road, to the south the Bridgewater Canal and its towpath, and to the west the existing urban area including housing and a marina.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.52	Questions were raised about the compensatory value of proposed Green Belt additions.	<p>It is considered that there are exceptional circumstances justifying the identification of new areas of Green Belt [Appendix 3 of <a href="#">07.01.25</a>]. Part of this justification relates to the significant changes to the existing Green Belt boundary that would result from the allocations proposed in Places for Everyone.</p> <p>However, the additions in Salford have not been identified as direct replacements, either in their extent or the use of the land identified, for the areas proposed for release. The potential exception to this being the addition proposed at Land West of Burgess Farm (GBA29) which could mitigate some of the reduction in the separating role of Green Belt between Walkden and Tyldesley which would result from the Land North of Moseley Common allocation in Wigan (JPA36).</p>	<p>Margaret Taylor</p> <p>Patricia Hamilton</p> <p>Clare Platt</p> <p>Steve Crombleholme</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>There is not therefore intended to be a direct correlation between the areas released from the Green Belt and those proposed as additions.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.53	Site presents a logical extension to the urban area to the Canal as a defensible boundary.	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.54	Reference to site providing a natural space between local centres	<p>Chapter 14 of the East of Boothstown topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> considers the allocation against the purposes of Green Belt by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Ryan Rutter Elpeth Hanna Sarah Jeffery Sarah Worthington Sharon and Andrew Robinson Peter And Lisa Smith Ray McGinnis Constable Sean Nugent</p>
JPA27.55	Inconsistencies raised with NPPF paragraphs 137, 140, 141, 145, 147 and 149	<p>Paragraph 137 of the NPPF identifies the great importance of the Green Belt; paragraph 140 identifies that Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances. As part of the evidence base, the case for exceptional circumstances has been identified in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>. The topic paper considers the issues in paragraph 141 and 145 of the NPPF.</p> <p>Paragraphs 147 and 149 of the NPPF relate to decision-taking (i.e. planning applications) and not plan-making, and so are not relevant to the proposed allocation of the site in PfE.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 8.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.56	Inconsistencies raised with NPPF paragraph 138, stating that the site clearly delineates Swinton and Worsley, offer a buffer to the genuinely open countryside nearby, help preserve Worsley's identity and its maintenance pushes developers to brownfield land.	<p>Chapter 14 of the East of Boothstown topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> considers the allocation against the five purposes of Green Belt set out in paragraph 139 of the NPPF by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Brian Gee Sharon and Andrew Robinson
JPA27.57	Green Belt should be protected and enhanced to improve ecology and deliver environmental and social benefits.	<p>The case for exceptional circumstances has been identified in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>The allocation policy sets out criteria to mitigate the impact of development and includes retaining Alder Wood and the other areas of mature deciduous woodland and protected trees (criterion 3), provision of a landscape buffer (criterion 5) and delivery of 10% biodiversity net gain. The allocation would bring social benefits including new homes to meet a variety of needs, including those who require affordable housing.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 9.
JPA27.58	Protection of Green Belt is an important part of the spatial strategy for Salford. Some comments emphasised the need to focus on the area around Worsley as the Jewel in the Crown for Salford.	Comments noted. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the	Brian Gee Jacqueline Holt John Coll John A Platt

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a></p> <p>The case for exceptional circumstances has been identified in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>. Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>PfE proposes to remove 208ha of land from the current Green Belt in Salford and allocate it for development. However, PfE also proposes the designation of 263 hectares of new Green Belt across the city. Having regard to the above, there would be a net gain of 54 hectares of Green Belt across Salford as a result of proposals in Places for Everyone.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.59	Question the ethics of allocating Green Belt land to circumnavigate the sound principle of protecting Green Belt from inappropriate development and urban sprawl.	<p>Chapter 14 of the East of Boothstown topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> sets out the assessment of Green Belt for this site, and the exceptional circumstances that justify its release (paragraphs 14.2 to 14.5).</p> <p>Inappropriate development is referenced in paragraph 147 of the NPPF and relates to decision-taking (i.e. determining planning applications) and not plan-making (i.e. preparing a plan like PfE). The test for plan making is that in altering Green Belt boundaries exceptional circumstances need to be identified. The case for exceptional circumstances has been identified in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p>	John A Platt John Platt

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.60	Exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated to justify amendments to the Green Belt (GB) boundaries in order to meet quantitative and qualitative development needs. Site has a limited GB contribution due to it being framed by strong boundaries and can be removed without causing harm to its strategic function. Well contained by development, defensible boundaries to the wider expanses of GB and limited relationship with wider GB.	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.61	Not based on a robust and credible evidence base in respect of Green Belt	<p>It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy, it can be found here: East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper (chapter 14) <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>; a Greater Manchester Green Belt assessment; a landscape character assessment; a cumulative assessment of the proposed allocations and additions; a contribution assessment; and the identification of opportunities to enhance the beneficial use of the Greater Manchester Green Belt. These are document references <a href="#">[07.01.04 to 07.01.24]</a>. In addition to these documents a Green Belt topic paper has been published which sets out the case for Exceptional Circumstances to amend the Green Belt Boundary <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Richard Bryan
JPA27.62	Greenbelt is not surplus to requirements and would result in the removal of the only useable Green Belt. The area within new Green Belt boundary is separated from the community by a canal and is predominantly a shooting range or private farm so unusable.	Chapter 14 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper summarises the evidence base in relation to Green Belt <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> , and the exceptional circumstances that justify its release (paragraphs 14.2 to 14.5).	Jasmine Kirkham David Kirkham

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>It is unclear what the new Green Belt boundary referred to in the representation is. Land to the south of the allocation and the canal is currently Green Belt and will remain the case. 5 sites are proposed to be added to the Green Belt through PfE in Salford; none of these are understood to be partly in use as a shooting range.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Brownfield</b>		
JPA27.63	Focus should instead be on available brownfield sites and vacant buildings.	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Vacancy rates in the existing residential stock across Salford have fallen significantly over recent years to 2.9%; this is seen as being within an acceptable level for the functioning of the housing market. See paragraphs 5.70 to 5.76 of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment for further details <a href="#">[06.01.02]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 10.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.64	Need to take account of new brownfield sites and opportunities to raise development densities that will emerge during the plan period (including declining town centres)	<p>Sites within the identified supply have to be available, suitable and likely to be viable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF. All sites that meet the criteria in paragraph 68 have been identified in the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a>, and the council's published Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment. The land supply data includes those sites that will emerge over the plan period where there is current evidence that this will happen. See also response to JPA27.63 above.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Gerald And Linda Gray</p> <p>Gerald Gray</p> <p>Ryan Rutter</p> <p>Elpeth Hanna</p> <p>Sarah Worthington</p> <p>Constable</p>
JPA27.65	All brownfield sites should be exhausted before greenfield / Green Belt development is built on.	<p>See response to JPA27.63 above.</p> <p>Paragraph 141 of the NPPF requires that before concluding that exceptional circumstances exist to justify changes to Green Belt boundaries, the strategic policy-making authority should be able to demonstrate that it has made as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land. This has been demonstrated through the Green Belt topic paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>, paragraphs 6.56 to 6.73].</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 11.
JPA27.66	There are alternative brownfield site available in this area	Sites within the identified supply have to be available, suitable and likely to be viable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF. All sites that meet the criteria in paragraph 68 have been identified in the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a> , and the council's published Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, with this including brownfield sites.	See JPA27 Appendix table 12.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.67	Areas around guided bus and tram routes should be considered as an alternative	<p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release.</p> <p>Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Julie Sedgebeer Philip O'Brien
JPA27.68	Reference to supply of sites identified in the Council's brownfield register	<p>The brownfield register is a sub-set of the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a>, and the council's published Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment. There is nothing to indicate that the supply of sites identified underestimates the potential from brownfield land.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	K Thoday Sarah Armitage Rob Orford
	<b>Transport – Highways / Public Transport / Cycling / Walking</b>		
JPA27.69	Inadequate public transport links, issues including overcrowding (particularly on the Vantage Service), speed, frequency and reduced	PfE policy JP-C 3 supports the delivery of major improvements to public transport and policy JP-C 5 supports a range of measures in order to help deliver a higher proportion of journeys made by walking	See JPA27 Appendix table 13.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	bus services to the area identified. The area is not well served by public transport.	<p>and cycling. The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth, including the provision of additional buses on the Leigh Salford Manchester busway. The performance of the Vantage service is monitored closely by TfGM and additional buses have been added to the route as patronage has grown over the years. TfGM will continue to monitor this as demand recovers from the impact of the pandemic.</p> <p>Chapter 10 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. It summarises the transport locality assessment that has been prepared as part of the evidence base for the allocation <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">09.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>The East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper identifies that Boothstown is served by a range of public transport services, with bus stops provided along the A572 Leigh Road. The more frequent V1/V2 bus services (Leigh-Salford-Manchester Bus Rapid Transit) run along the A580 East Lancashire Road to the north 1km from the site. A footpath runs north-south through the allocation and there is also a footpath along the northern bank of the canal and a cycle path along the southern towpath which can be accessed via the Vicars Hall Lane bridge crossing. Footways are provided along parts of Leigh Road, providing access to bus stops. The Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">09.01.25]</a> identifies some walking and cycling</p>	

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>improvements which would improve the site's accessibility by sustainable modes and these requirements have been incorporated into the site allocation policy wording (criterion 10); further sustainable transport measures may be identified through the masterplanning process.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.70	Vantage service not accessible to residents of the area	<p>The V1/V2 bus services (Leigh-Salford-Manchester Bus Rapid Transit) run along the A580 East Lancashire Road to the north 1km from the site. Other bus services, which includes routes to the regional centre, stop to the north of the site along Leigh Road.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 14.
JPA27.71	Exacerbate significant existing issues of congestion on nearby local (in particular Leigh Road, A580, Worsley roundabouts, Ellenbrook Road, Walkden Road, Worsley Road, Barton Road and Newearth Road) and strategic roads (M60 Junction 13) including the cumulative impact of the Royal Horticultural Society Garden Bridgewater, PfE allocations in the wider area, and through traffic using Leigh Road to access M60 Junction 13.	<p>National Highways (formerly Highways England) is addressing capacity issues on the Strategic Road Network as part of the North West Quadrant Study. The study is currently being undertaken and it is anticipated that over the next five years, it will be complete and potentially deliver emerging early interventions such as Junction improvements on the M60 and complementary improvements on the local transport network.</p> <p>Chapter 10 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. It summarises the transport locality assessment for that has been prepared as part of the evidence base for the allocation <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">09.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>With regards to RHS Bridgewater the majority of the traffic impacts are off-peak given its opening hours. Any planning application for the</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 15.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>allocation would need be accompanied by further detailed transport work, including consideration of movements associated with RHS Bridgewater.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.72	Focus should instead be in more accessible locations.	See response above to JPA27.69 above.	<p>Gerald And Linda Gray</p> <p>Gerald Gray</p> <p>Lilian Cretin</p>
JPA27.73	Lack of detail/no plans regarding transport infrastructure improvements.	<p>National Highways (formerly Highways England) is addressing capacity issues on the Strategic Road Network as part of the North West Quadrant Study. The study is currently being undertaken and it is anticipated that over the next five years, it will be complete and potentially deliver emerging early interventions such as Junction improvements on the M60 and complementary improvements on the local transport network.</p> <p>PfE policy JP-C 3 supports the delivery of major improvements to public transport and policy JP-C 5 supports a range of measures in order to help deliver a higher proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling. The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth, including the provision of additional buses on the Leigh Salford Manchester busway.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 16.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>As noted in paragraph 10.2 East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> the locality assessment identifies that no highway mitigation has been deemed necessary to accommodate the additional demand generated to or from the allocation. Walking and cycling improvements which would improve the site's accessibility by sustainable modes are identified in paragraphs 10.3 to 10.6 of the Allocation Topic Paper (and reflected in the site allocation policy criterion 10).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.74	Objections including reference to development awaiting the conclusions of the North West Quadrant Study.	<p>The transport locality assessment prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> concludes that the allocation is deliverable. It is not considered that any development has to await the conclusions of the North West Quadrant Study. Notwithstanding this, the North West Quadrant Study will potentially deliver emerging early interventions such as Junction improvements on the M60 and complementary improvements on the local transport network.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Terence Dean
JPA27.75	Traffic issues are a danger to pedestrians.	The site allocation policy seeks to improve pedestrian access to the site and its surroundings. In particular, criterion 10 seeks to ensure good quality access by walking and cycling for all residents to services and facilities in Boothstown and the local area, bus services on the surrounding road network, the Bridgewater Canal and Chat Moss to the south, including through the provision of a high quality network of pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site; off-site pedestrian crossings and a footpath adjacent to the site on the south side of Leigh Road. Criterion 11 further requires improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high	John A Platt Liam and Soraya Gallagher Sarah Hook Alan Hook Anne Hook Alan Kirkman Lindsay Ponsillo Nicola Weedall

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>quality walking and cycling route to RHS Garden Bridgewater, Worsley Village and Boothsbank Park.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.76	<p>Concerns regarding the transport assessments undertaken; issues raised included a full impact assessment being needed, taking a realistic view on public transport provision/ use and whether the area had been visited to observe the congestion.</p> <p>National Highways commented that the transport evidence underpinning this allocation is incomplete and does not identify in sufficient detail, the nature, scale and timing of the infrastructure requirements at the SRN; or what future assessments and studies that will be required to determine any such infrastructure requirements.</p>	<p>It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy, it can be found here: The transport locality assessment for Salford <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>The locality assessment included strategic modelling which was used to highlight the transport impacts of the allocations on the transport network, and the process to identify, develop and categorise suggested mitigation schemes. It has been produced using data provided from TfGM's Variable Demand Model (GMVDM). This model is a mathematical representation of the transport network, which works by determining all of the origins and destinations of trips within a given area, matching these two together in order to generate a set of journeys, assigning these journeys to a mode (for example, car, bus, or cycling) and then assigning these trips to a route.</p> <p>With regards to the Strategic Road Network, the Transport Locality Assessment – Salford <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> (pages 103 to 106) – GMSF2020 and Transport Locality Assessment Addendum – Salford <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> (pages 29 to 30) provide detailed information on the impacts of the proposed allocation on the SRN.</p> <p>With respect to future assessments, the report states (on page 11) that all sites associated with the allocations will be expected to prepare a Transport Assessment as part of a planning application to develop final, rather than indicative proposals, which mitigate the impact of the site. The full scope of the Transport Assessments will</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 17.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>be determined by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority and National Highways) on a site-by-site basis, depending on the nature, scale and timing of the application, in accordance with the NPPF.</p> <p>In addition, the Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">09.01.01</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">09.01.02</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026. We are also working alongside National Highways to prepare a further piece of work examining a “policy-off/worst-case” impact on the SRN to help address National Highways remaining concerns.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.77	Interventions required to reduce traffic through Worsley; reference made in some representations to the need for a relief road, a new link from the A580 to the M62 bypassing M60 junctions 12 and 13, and a slip road anticlockwise from the A580 onto the M60 between junctions 14-15 to divert traffic away from Leigh Road.	<p>National Highways (formerly Highways England) is addressing capacity issues on the Strategic Road Network as part of the North West Quadrant Study. The study is currently being undertaken and it is anticipated that over the next five years, it will be complete and potentially deliver emerging early interventions such as junction improvements on the M60 and complementary improvements on the local transport network.</p> <p>A transport locality assessment has been prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> which considers the impacts on the local and strategic road network and identifies interventions. The findings of the locality assessment are summarised in Chapter 10 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 18.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.78	Concerns regarding road safety as a result of increased traffic.	See response to JP27.75 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 19.
JPA27.79	Inconsistencies raised with NPPF paragraphs 104 and 105 in respect of negative impact on transport networks.	<p>In line with paragraphs 104 and 105 of the NPPF, transport issues have been considered through the evidence base, and in particular a transport locality assessment has been prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>. The findings of the locality assessment are summarised in Chapter 10 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 20.
JPA27.80	Proposals in the area are premature until such time as infrastructure is delivered through the North West Quadrant Study	See response to JPA27.74 above.	Terence Dean
JPA27.81	Mitigation findings not properly quantified	<p>As noted in paragraph 10.2 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>, the transport locality assessment prepared as part of the evidence base for the allocation identifies that no highway mitigation has been deemed necessary to accommodate the additional demand generated to or from the allocation. Highway mitigation, and some walking and cycling improvements which would improve the site's accessibility by sustainable modes are identified in paragraphs 10.3 to 10.6 of the Allocation Topic Paper (and reflected in the allocation policy criterion 10).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lee Salsbury
JPA27.82	Reference made to the lack of proposals in respect of a train or tram line to the area. One representation stating that a tram extension from the south (linking to the Trafford Centre) has not even been considered.	The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This	Sharon Taylor Simon Doherty Vincent Hafferty Lee Salsbury

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth, including the provision of additional buses on the Leigh Salford Manchester busway. There are no proposals for a tram or train line to the area.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jo Davies Naomi Jackson Neil Griffiths Barbara Keeley</p>
JPA27.83	Reference to additional pressures on local infrastructure from the RHS Bridgewater Gardens (including congestion throughout the day) and other development in the area including Salford and Wigan. One representation described that congestion due to RHS has not materialised because RHS is still operating a booking system, spreading the traffic out over the day. Another states that congestion as a result of RHS will increase once it starts to function properly post covid (annual visitors estimated 700,000-1m).	<p>Chapter 10 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. It summarises the transport locality assessment for that has been prepared as part of the evidence base for the <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> and concludes that the allocation is deliverable.</p> <p>With regards to RHS Bridgewater the majority of the traffic impacts are off-peak given its opening hours. Any planning application for the allocation would need be accompanied by further detailed transport work, including consideration of movements associated with RHS Bridgewater.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 21.
JPA27.84	Recent investments in highway infrastructure have made issues of congestion and road safety worse	With reference to the performance of Junction13 of the M60, the city council will continue to monitor both the safety and operation of the network in this area following the completion of the recent project at this location. The junction remains congested in part due to demands on the motorway network which is the subject of a National Highways study (North West Quadrant) to consider how further improvements might be made across this part of the motorway network.	See JPA27 Appendix table 22.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.85	Mitigation identified including cycle paths/pavements or extra bus routes will not reduce the traffic on Leigh Road.	<p>The mitigation measures identified in the allocation policy (at criterion 10 and 11) will improve the accessibility of the site by sustainable modes and therefore have the potential to reduce the number of car movements associated with the proposed allocation.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Gareth Purdy
JPA27.86	Reference to proper surveys needing to be done. Reference to covid restrictions now being lifted and surveys being undertaken in school holidays and the middle of the day.	<p>The locality assessment published for this allocation, and the others, has been produced using data provided from TfGM's Variable Demand Model (GMVDM). This model is a mathematical representation of the transport network, which works by determining all of the origins and destinations of trips within a given area, matching these two together in order to generate a set of journeys, assigning these journeys to a mode (for example, car, bus, or cycling) and then assigning these trips to a route.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Richard Prosser David and Joan Taylor Andrew Jay Alan Kirkman Gill Pearson
JPA27.87	Concerns regarding the condition of local roads and that additional cars will cause further disrepair.	<p>Issues relating to the condition of roads will be considered are considered by the council's Highways section.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Nadine Brown Markus Dyckmans Alison Rutter Anne And Paul Seaborn Julie Sedgebeer Adele Fearn Garry Lyle Vivien Blackshaw Kate Mullineux Nicola Weedall

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.88	Not exactly close to major rail links and motorways	<p>The site is close to junction 13 of the M60. In order to reduce reliance on the car, mitigation measures have been identified which will improve the accessibility of the site by sustainable modes (including walking and cycling as set out in criterion 10 and 11 of the allocation policy). Frequent V1/V2 bus services (Leigh-Salford-Manchester Bus Rapid Transit) run along the A580 East Lancashire Road to the north 1km from the site. Other bus services, which includes routes to the regional centre, stop to the north of the site along Leigh Road.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Helen Bryan Natalie Taylor Sarah Jeffery Paul Roebuck Angela Burrows</p>
JPA27.89	No reference to ensuring that vehicular access to the site does not have an unacceptable impact on the quality of existing residential areas.	<p>Two vehicular site accesses are likely to be required to serve the allocation providing a primary access, and a secondary access in the event of an emergency.</p> <p>The primary access would be provided from a priority access off Occupation Road which is not within a residential area (it provides the main access to RHS Bridgewater). If required, a secondary access (to be used in the event of an emergency) could be taken from Quayside Close to the south west of the allocation. The provision of emergency access is consistent with PfE policy JP-S 4 which requires that developments make appropriate provision for response and evacuation in the case of an emergency or disaster. Policy A2 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations (due to be adopted in Summer 2022) also requires that development ensures appropriate access for emergency vehicles at all times.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lauren Millward
JPA27.90	Access from Occupation Road will add further strain to Leigh Road.	The Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>	See JPA27 Appendix table 23.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>has considered the impacts of the site allocation in isolation and in the context with other nearby sites such as the Hazelhurst Farm, and the North of Mosley Common allocation in Wigan. Results from the modelling work indicate that the allocation in isolation has a limited impact on the A572 Leigh Road. As a consequence of this, no highway mitigation has been deemed necessary to accommodate the additional demand generated to or from the allocation.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.91	Lack of suitable road network	See response to JPA27.90 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 24.
JPA27.92	Due to schools being oversubscribed, children will have to travel further, with some noting that this will add to the congestion.	<p>PfE policy JP-P 5 (Education, skills and knowledge criterion 2) will ensure the delivery of sufficient school places to respond to the demands from new housing, including through working education providers to forecast changes in demand for school places and where appropriate, requiring housing developments to make a financial contribution to the provision of additional school places proportionate to the demand they generate.</p> <p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. The position in relation to the capacity of schools in order to meet forecast demand is constantly evolving having regard to issues such as birth rates and migration. The city council's School Organisation Team will consider the capacity of schools within the local area and put in place provision to meet any need associated with the development. As part of these considerations regard will be had to the need to minimise the need for travel and encourage active travel to schools including by walking and cycling. Criteria 10 and 11 within the site allocation policy support active travel measures.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 25.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.93	No development should be allowed until public transport has been improved. Reference to the guided busway not justifying development and only scratching the surface.	<p>The transport locality assessment prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> concludes that the allocation is deliverable.</p> <p>Section 6 (page 83) of the transport locality assessment <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> identifies the multi-modal accessibility of the site, including current and proposed public transport and walking and cycling options:</p> <p>PfE policy JP-C 3 supports the delivery of major improvements to public transport and policy JP-C 5 supports a range of measures in order to help deliver a higher proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling. The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth, including the provision of additional buses on the Leigh Salford Manchester busway.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 26.
JPA27.94	Suggest the need to consider the current level of traffic flowing on Leigh Road. Some noted that it is the only link between a number of towns and villages and also that the road was used as a cut through to the motorway network.	<p>The transport locality assessment prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> consider the impacts on Leigh Road and concludes that the allocation is deliverable.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 27.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.95	Similar to Worsley, many of the people who would live in the development are likely to work outside Boothstown and to commute within the North West region. Expecting new residents to use bicycles or public transport instead of cars is unrealistic in this area.	<p>The site is close to junction 13 of the M60. In order to reduce reliance on the car, mitigation measures have been identified which will improve the accessibility of the site by sustainable modes (including walking and cycling). Frequent V1/V2 bus services (Leigh-Salford-Manchester Bus Rapid Transit) run along the A580 East Lancashire Road to the north 1km from the site. Other bus services, which includes routes to the regional centre, stop to the north of the site along Leigh Road.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Helenya Jones Philip Robinson Carl Smith Sally Smith Natalie Taylor Barbara Keeley</p>
JPA27.96	Development Framework Document and technical evidence provided by the site promoter demonstrates how the site can deliver specific physical requirements including: good quality walking and cycling routes and access from Occupation Road	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.97	Requirement for footpath along the south side of Leigh Road is not justified – question whether a roadside footway is the optimum way of connecting residents with surrounding uses. Suggested amendment to criterion 10 provided.	<p>Criterion 10 of the site allocation policy in relation to a footpath adjacent to the site on the south of Leigh Road, is considered to be justified. This was added to the site allocation policy following a recommendation set out in the locality assessment for the site, in order to promote sustainable transport to and within the allocation <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a>, paragraph 6.4.5].</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.98	The RHS considers that the provision of a new extended footpath on the south side of Leigh Road, linking up to the section already created at the Occupation Road junction, will further encourage movement in the area by sustainable means of travel.	Comments noted.	John Pye
JPA27.99	Improvement works to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal have already been delivered, meaning that this policy requirement is unlikely to be necessary.	Comments noted in relation to criterion 11.	John Pye

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.100	The RHS is keen to work with the promoters and future developers to ensure that the two uses can utilise the shared access safely and efficiently, including having regard to the pedestrian access into the garden from Leigh Road.	Comments noted.	John Pye
	<b>Physical Infrastructure and utilities</b>		
JPA27.101	Concerns relating to capacity of utilities in the area including sewers, water pressure, and gas. Poor internet provision also highlighted. Concerns around access to main sewers and existing flood risk issues highlighted. One representation referenced numerous power cuts across Boothstown and several instances of interruption to the water supply cited.	<p>Issues in relation to utilities (specifically water sewers, gas and electricity) are considered in Chapter 13 of the of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. The capacity of utilities would be specifically considered through the masterplanning / planning application process.</p> <p>Issues in relation to flood risk and drainage are considered in Chapter 11 of the of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. Linked to this, criterion 6 of the allocation policy requires that development shall provide a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy which addresses the outcomes of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, ensuring that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Criterion 7 requires sustainable drainage systems to accommodate sufficient space for any necessary flood storage</p> <p>Policy JP- C 2 of PfE (Digital connectivity) provides support for the provision of affordable, high quality, digital infrastructure. Developers are expected to work and share costs with telecoms operators as appropriate to maximise coverage and enable consumers to make informed choices. The policy further states that all new development is to have full fibre to premises connections, unless technically infeasible, and to incorporate multiple-ducting compliant with telecoms standards, to facilitate future-proof gigabit-capable network connections.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 28.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.102	Need to ensure coverage with broadband providers	<p>Policy JP- C 2 of PfE (Digital connectivity) provides support for the provision of affordable, high quality, digital infrastructure. Developers are expected to work and share costs with telecoms operators as appropriate to maximise coverage and enable consumers to make informed choices. The policy further states that all new development are to have full fibre to premises connections, unless technically infeasible, and to incorporate multiple-ducting compliant with telecoms standards, to facilitate future-proof gigabit-capable network connections</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Angela Burrows
JPA27.103	Need to ensure a stable electricity supply	<p>Issues in relation to electricity supply in Salford as a result of development proposals up to 2037 (including PfE allocations) are set out in paragraphs 13.2 to 13.4 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. Ongoing dialogue between the city council, Electricity North West Limited and the site promoter will therefore be important; the masterplanning process provides an opportunity to consider further any site-specific requirements. This would consider EV charging and the impact on the network.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jackie Heron</p> <p>Charlie Heron</p> <p>Angela Burrows</p>
JPA27.104	Need to ensure a stable water supply, water pressure identified as an issue in the area	<p>Water supply and pressure would be considered at the masterplanning / planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Karen Cooper</p> <p>Natalie Taylor</p> <p>Angela Burrows</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.105	The plan assumes that 50% of homes will have heat pumps and 50% will be fuelled by Gas boilers - Forthcoming national legislation will forbid piping gas to new home from 2025, The plan is not consistent with national policy	<p>It is unclear where in the plan there is reference to 50% of homes having heat pumps and 50% being fuelled by gas boilers. Rather paragraph 5.6 of PfE identifies that to meet our carbon commitments we will need to move away from carbon intensive gas as the primary source of heat.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Paul Higson</p> <p>Adrian Richards</p>
JPA27.106	EV chargers must be fitted to all new builds from 2022 (national legislation) – along with the requirements for heat pumps has the load on the local electricity supply network been properly considered.	<p>See response to JPA27.103 above. Electric vehicle charging and electricity capacity would be considered as part of the masterplanning process. This will be a mandatory requirement of building regulations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Adrian Richards</p> <p>Christopher Lee</p>
JPA27.107	<p>Additional criterion should be added:</p> <p>Existing public sewers pass through this site which modelling data identifies as being at a higher risk of sewer surcharge. These represent a higher risk of public sewer flooding and will need to be carefully considered in the design and masterplanning process for any development at this site. The applicant will be required to engage with United Utilities prior to any masterplanning process to ensure development is not located in an area at risk of flooding. Applicants should consider site topography and any exceedance flow paths. Resultant layouts and levels should take account of such existing circumstances to ensure the most flood resilient solution is achieved.</p>	<p>The additional criterion is considered too detailed for inclusion within the site allocation policy. Criterion 15 of the site allocation policy already states that development shall provide an easement for the significant utilities infrastructure running through and near the site and this is considered sufficient to address the issue.</p> <p>The city council would expect United Utilities to be one of those stakeholders that is involved in the preparation of the masterplan / framework that is required under criterion 1 of the site allocation policy. The majority landowner has prepared a development framework for the site, which indicates that the relevant easements can be accommodated within the development proposals and would not constrain delivery.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	United Utilities Group PLC

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.108	<p>Additional criterion should be added:</p> <p>New dwellings will be required to at least meet the higher National Housing Standard for water consumption of 110 litres per person per day or any subsequent replacement national standard.</p>	<p>PfE Policy JP-S 5 notes that an integrated catchment based approach will be taken by (amongst other things) criterion 8: “Conserving water and maximising water efficiency in new development.”</p> <p>The water supply situation in Salford does not provide justification for setting any further requirements.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	United Utilities Group PLC
JPA27.109	<p>Additional criterion should be added:</p> <p>Any proposal must have full regard to the existing utility infrastructure that passes through the site. Early dialogue will be required with United Utilities to understand the implications of this infrastructure on the detailed design and layout including changes in site levels. Consideration and inclusion of appropriate protective measures both during construction and during the lifetime of the development will be required.</p>	<p>The additional criterion is considered too detailed for inclusion within the site allocation policy. The infrastructure which passes through the site is noted and will need to be planned around. The city council would expect Unities Utilities to be one of the stakeholders that is involved in the preparation of the masterplan / framework that is required under criterion 1 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	United Utilities Group PLC
	<b>Social Infrastructure</b>		
JPA27.110	<p>Concerns relating to access to and the capacity of community infrastructure (including schools, doctors, dentists, emergency services, shops, restaurants, pubs and libraries) and the potential to support new homes. A number of representations specifically mentioned the limited catchment / capacity of St Andrew’s Primary School.</p>	<p>Chapters 23 and 24 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> deal with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically education and health.</p> <p>A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter, such as Policies, JP-P1, JP-P5, JP-P6 and JP- D2 which states that new development must be supported by the necessary infrastructure, including where appropriate green spaces, schools, and medical facilities. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 29.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>JP-P 5 'Education, Skills and Knowledge' sets out significant enhancements in education, skills and knowledge will be promoted throughout Greater Manchester [see pages 182-184 of the Plan for the full policy].</p> <p>The issues identified in relation to St. Andrew's primary school are noted. School catchment areas and parental choice are not direct considerations for PfE. It is acknowledged however that the city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand within the local area to which pupils live. The city council's School Organisation Team will consider the capacity of schools within the local area and put in place provision to meet any need associated with the development.</p> <p>The allocation will be required to make appropriate contributions to address its impacts in accordance with policy PC1 (Planning obligations) of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations which is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.111	Lack of detail regarding social infrastructure improvements.	See response to JPA27.110 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 30.
JPA27.112	Concerns relating to the assessment of need and firm proposals around provision of social infrastructure, including a full impact assessment being needed and lack of clear proposals in respect of the extra healthcare burden.	<p>Chapter 23 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> deals with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically education and health. See response to JPA27.110 above for further details.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 31.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.113	Concerns relating to the quality of school provision in the area. One respondent referred to Moorside High School failing due to its size and Salford lingering at the bottom of school league tables due to overcrowding.	<p>The quality of school provision is not a material planning consideration. It is acknowledged however that the city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand within the local area to which pupils live.</p> <p>A new 750 place free school will be opening in September 2023 in the pupil planning area within which the allocation is located. This was granted planning permission in January 2022 and will be operated by the Star Leadership Academy Trust. It will add to the secondary school provision within the area and is located off Longshaw Drive in Little Hulton.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Tracy Bradley</p> <p>Richard Prosser</p> <p>Hayley And John Curran And Duckworth</p> <p>Naomi Jackson</p>
JPA27.114	Representations highlighting a need to also expand secondary school provision which is not referenced in the policy. One representation included secondary school allocation data.	<p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. The number of secondary pupils that would be likely to arise from the allocation would not in itself create sufficient demand for a new-on site secondary school; such provision would not be proportionate or directly related to the development as required by national policy.</p> <p>It is acknowledged however that the city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand within the local area to which pupils live.</p> <p>A new 750 place free school will be opening in September 2023 in the pupil planning area within which the allocation is located. Theis was granted planning permission in January 2022 and will be operated by the Star Leadership Academy Trust. It will add to the secondary school provision within the area and is located off Longshaw Drive in Little Hulton.</p>	<p>Haylea Jefferys</p> <p>Rebecca Smith</p> <p>Catherine Duff</p> <p>Amanda Beardow</p> <p>Ian Macknight</p> <p>Haylea Jefferys</p> <p>Lauren Millward</p> <p>Louise Seddon</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.115	Proposal is inconsistent with NPPF paragraph 93 in respect of not addressing how social infrastructure will be enhanced to sustain the increase in population.	See response to JPA27.110 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 32.
JPA27.116	Concerns regarding the allocation of land for a school at Hazelhurst to provide for demand for places. Issues highlighted included that there is no commitment to build the school, the Hazelhurst plans might be scrapped due to local objections and illegal proposals, it would generate further traffic.	<p>Criterion 15 of JP Allocation 26 requires that development of the Hazelhurst site will be required to set aside land to accommodate additional primary school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing. Detailed consideration of issues around a potential school at Hazelhurst will be considered as part of the masterplanning process (required under criterion 1 of the policy).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Louise Seddon</p> <p>Vicky Walsh</p> <p>Michael Sherrard</p> <p>Lily Pritchard</p>
JPA27.117	Reference to proper surveys needing to be done now covid restrictions are lifted.	See response to JPA27.110 above.	<p>Andrew Jay</p> <p>Alan Kirkman</p>
JPA27.118	No plans in place to improve the number of school places	<p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. The position in relation to the capacity of schools in order to meet forecast demand is constantly evolving. The city council's School Organisation Team will consider the capacity of schools within the local area and put in place provision to meet any need associated with the development.</p> <p>The allocation will where necessary be required to make appropriate contributions to address its impacts in accordance with policy PC1 (Planning obligations) of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations and policy ED2 (Residential development and education places). The Salford Local Plan is due to</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 33.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>be adopted in Summer 2022. Such contributions could be spent on extending / reconfiguring secondary schools within the pupil planning area in order to mitigate the impact of development as referenced above.</p> <p>The site promoter has prepared an education briefing note in support of the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.26]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.119	Council documents do not address the need for health care provision.	See response to JPA27.110 above.	Carol Ann Smith Simon Doherty Paul Glover Adrian Richards Louise Seddon Barbara Keeley
	<b>Environmental – Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity, open space</b>		
JPA27.120	Concerns relating to loss of agricultural land. One representation stated that they would like to see an independent assessment of agricultural land value.	<p>Reading Agricultural Consultants has completed an Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resources appraisal on behalf of the majority landowner <a href="#">[10.07.23]</a>. The findings of this assessment are summarised in Chapter 12 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>; paragraph 12.3 of the topic paper identifies that the soils are affected by wetness and workability and are most likely to be of sub-grade 3b, with the potential for sub-grade 3a and 4 to be present. Based on the adjacent survey data the report considers that soils mapped to the south of the site are likely to be of a better quality.</p> <p>Given the overall scale of development that needs to be accommodated across the 9 districts involved in the production of PfE up to 2037 and also having regard to qualitative needs, a limited</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 34.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>amount of development on grade agricultural land is proposed and considered necessary to meet development needs.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.121	<p>Concerns regarding loss of green space / recreation opportunities. Some representations noted that the site is open space, and that the framework acknowledges that an open space's purpose may simply be as an area of local countryside.</p>	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been specifically identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 35.
JPA27.122	Negative impact/ loss of wildlife and habitats including protected species.	As acknowledged in paragraph 18.3 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> , there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application. Criterion 9 requires development avoids harm to protected species.	See JPA27 Appendix table 36.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The majority landowner has submitted an ecological representation for the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.25]</a>. This has been prepared on their behalf by TEP to outline potential ecological constraints and opportunities in relation to developing the site for housing.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.123	Pursuit of development should not out-weigh significant ecological concerns.	See response to JPA27.122 above.	Gerald And Linda Gray Gerald Gray Ryan Rutter Elpeth Hanna Sarah Worthington Constable The Wildlife Trusts
JPA27.124	Policy should ensure safeguarding of nearby sites of biological importance	<p>Paragraph 18.1 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> identifies that Middle Wood SBI is located to the east of the site and briefly meets the site boundary. This SBI is now within RHS Garden Bridgewater; a landscaped buffer to the RHS garden is included as a requirement within the allocation policy (as criterion 5). The northern extent of the Botany Bay Wood SBI is located approximately 50m to the southwest of the site across the Bridgewater Canal. It is not considered that the development would have any unacceptable impact on Botany Bay Wood.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Clare Platt
JPA27.125	Add reference to increasing multifunctional GI on site and in particular the creation of new woodland buffers to provide wildlife corridors.	<p>A number of the site allocation policy criteria address these issues, particularly 3, 4, 5, and 9.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.126	Policy requirement for allotments is unjustified and an ineffective use of the site given that the dwellings will have gardens. Type/form of green infrastructure should instead come through masterplanning having regard to need at the time and targeted to maximise community benefit and be accessible to all. Suggested amendment to criterion 14 provided.	<p>Allotments provide a wide range of benefits to site users and the wider environment. The city council aims to expand the number of plots in the city having regard to rising waiting lists and anticipated growth in demand. The requirement for allotment provision within the site allocation reflects the standards in the Salford Greenspace Strategy Supplementary Planning Document 2019. It also reflect the requirements of criterion 4 of policy R1 (recreation standards) of the Salford Local Plan that is due to be adopted in Summer 2022. On this basis no modification is proposed to the PfE site allocation policy in relation to the representation.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.127	Proposal is inconsistent with NPPF paragraph 99. The proposal is not for alternative sports and recreation provision and an assessment has not been prepared to show that the open space is surplus to requirements or that it would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in the area.	<p>Criterion 13 of the site allocation policy requires that development of the site protects or retains the existing playing fields and so is fully consistent with paragraph 99 of the NPPF. Other parts of the site do not fall under the requirements of paragraph 99 as they are not recreational land.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 37.
JPA27.128	Loss of integral part of the natural landscape and people's connection with it	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant</p>	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been specifically identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 17 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> addresses issues relating to landscape and criteria within the site allocation policy (including 3, 5, 7 and 18) reflects identified mitigation measures and opportunities.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.129	Proposal is not consistent with NPPF paragraphs 120b and 174b as it will have a negative impact on ecology and the quiet rural environment that is used for physical and mental well-being activities.	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been specifically identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 38.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>It is recognised that the majority of the site is undeveloped land and that it can perform certain functions. Criteria within the site allocation policy mitigate the impact on the potential functions of undeveloped land as set out in NPPF paragraph 120b), including criteria 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14. As noted in the supporting text to the allocation, development will be required to provide a minimum 10% net biodiversity gain with the priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancement likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south. The approach taken is consistent with paragraph 120a) of the NPPF.</p> <p>With regards to paragraph 174b) of the NPPF, Reading Agricultural Consultants has completed an Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resources appraisal on behalf of the majority landowner <a href="#">[10.07.23]</a>. The findings of this assessment are summarised in Chapter 12 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>; paragraph 12.3 of the topic paper concludes that the soils are affected by wetness and workability and are most likely to be of sub-grade 3b, with the potential for sub-grade 3a and 4 to be present. Based on the adjacent survey data the report considers that soils mapped to the south of the site are likely to be of a better quality.</p> <p>The majority landowner has submitted an ecological representation for the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.25]</a>. This has been prepared on their behalf by TEP to outline potential ecological constraints and opportunities in relation to developing the site for housing.</p> <p>There is no statutory duty to undertake a health impact assessment as part of the plan-making process. Notwithstanding this, the integrated assessment <a href="#">[02.01.03]</a> Appendix D, and <a href="#">[02.01.05]</a> has</p>	

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>considered issues of health, alongside other environmental, sustainability and equality considerations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.130	Concerns regarding lack of consultation with experts in innovation in house building and road access, and any real assessment of the options to maximise opportunities and minimise the cost to the environment and air quality.	<p>PfE policy JP-S 1 (Sustainable development) states that in order to help tackle climate change development should aim to utilise sustainable construction techniques. This aim is supplemented by Policy JP- S 2 which identifies that a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, will be supported through a range of measures including development being net zero by 2028.</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan has to be produced in consultation with stakeholders. This will allow consideration of innovation and issues around the environment and air quality.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Alex Coll
JPA27.131	Objection referencing impact on the landscape	<p>Chapter 17 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> addresses issues relating to landscape and the site allocation policy reflects identified mitigation measures and opportunities.</p> <p>The site allocation policy ensures that key green infrastructure features such as Alder Wood and Shaw Brook are retained and that a landscaped buffer to the eastern boundary of the site will be provided as well as areas of green infrastructure and sustainable drainage (criterion 3, 4, 5 and 7).</p>	<p>Gerald And Linda Gray</p> <p>Gerald Gray</p> <p>David and Joan Taylor</p> <p>Jon Breward</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>Gareth Purdy</p> <p>Lesley Baker</p> <p>The Wildlife Trusts</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.132	Objections referencing species living on the site including foxes, hare, roe deer, bats, birds of prey, herons, voles, stoats, squirrels, toads, newts, owls. CPRE identified that the site supports wolverines and bird species reliant on habitats in Chat Moss to the South. One representation stated that it is the only location where there are natural hives for bees.	<p>As acknowledged in paragraph 18.3 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>, there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate *9detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application. Criterion 9 requires development to avoid harm to protected species.</p> <p>The majority landowner has submitted an ecological representation for the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.25]</a>. This has been prepared on their behalf by TEP to outline potential ecological constraints and opportunities in relation to developing the site for housing.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 39.
JPA27.133	The two adjacent Local Wildlife Sites (Botany Bay Wood SBI Middle Wood SBI) should be referred to in the introductory text and requirements added to safeguard the special wildlife features of both.	<p>Paragraph 18.1 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> identifies that Middle Wood SBI is located to the east of the site and briefly meets the site boundary. This SBI is now within RHS Garden Bridgewater; a landscaped buffer to the RHS garden is included as a requirement within the allocation policy (as criterion 5). The northern extent of the Botany Bay Wood is located approximately 50m to the southwest of the site across the Bridgewater Canal. It is not considered that the development would have any unacceptable impact on Botany Bay Wood.</p> <p>Given the above it is not considered that it is necessary to reference Botany Bay Wood and Middle wood SBIs in the introductory text for reasons of soundness.</p>	CPRE
JPA27.134	Reference to playing fields next to Boothsbank Marina being gifted to the people of the area for recreational use.	Criteria 13 of the site allocation policy requires that development of the site protects or retains the existing playing fields.	Anne Marie Morson Lorraine Rogers Camilla Schofield

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Diane Healey Christopher Lee
JPA27.135	Reference made to limited park/play facilities in the area for children	Criteria 12 identifies that development of the site will be required to include a new neighbourhood equipped area of play that will provide facilities for people in the development and the area.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Clare Platt Lorraine Rogers Alan Kirkman
JPA27.136	There is some suggestion that the playing fields could be used for development and "notional" replacements could be provided. Where and when?	As part of the masterplan process required under criterion 1 of the site allocation policy, regard will need to be had to criterion 13 policy which states that development of the site will be required to retain or replace existing playing fields. It is at the masterplan stage and following this the planning application process when it will be determined whether the playing pitches or retained or replaced (and if replaced where this would be). As part of these processes Sport England will be a key stakeholder.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Adrian Richards
JPA27.137	Question how the policy can refer to the retention of an existing playing field when the proposal is to build on it.	Chapter 27 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> , under paragraph 27.3, identifies an illustrative masterplan has been prepared by the majority landowner; this identifies the playing fields being retained. Notwithstanding this, the illustrative masterplan is not endorsed by the city council given that there is a requirement to prepare a masterplan in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders which has to be considered acceptable by the city council (under criterion 1 of the allocation policy). As part of the masterplan process regard will need to be had to criterion 13 of the site allocation policy which states that development of the site will be required to retain or replace existing playing fields.	Terence Burke

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.138	Criticism of point 4 of the policy in respect of utilising the brook as a central landscape feature; it is already a central landscape feature and has been for centuries.	<p>Agree that the brook is an existing landscape feature, however there is scope for it to be naturalised and its ecological value enhanced compared to the current situation. This is a requirement of criterion 4 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Terence Burke
JPA27.139	Concerns that the environmental impact has not been correctly monitored.	<p>Section C of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>, which incorporates Chapters 14 to 22, sets out issues relating to the environment and summarises key parts of the evidence base.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Alan Kirkman</p> <p>The Wildlife Trusts</p>
JPA27.140	No details regarding how the loss of greenspace will be addressed.	<p>It is recognised that the majority of the site is undeveloped land and that it can perform certain greenspace functions. Criteria within the site allocation policy aims to mitigate the impact including through criteria 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14. As noted in the supporting text to the allocation, development will be required to provide a minimum 10% net biodiversity gain with the priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancement likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lauren Millward
JPA27.141	Reference to Alder Wood as a quiet rural environment within an urban area, with some noting its recreation value/use. That development	The site allocation policy requires that Alder Wood and the other areas of mature deciduous woodland and protected trees on the site	See JPA27 Appendix table 40.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	could have a serious effect on the woods and that local ecology should be further studied.	are retained (criterion 3). In addition, development will be required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity, as required by policy JP-G 9 and referenced in the introductory text to the site allocation policy.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.142	The council analyses recognise that there is peat in the area. Peat forms a carbon sink how does these plans square with atmospheric carbon reduction policies?	Paragraph 18.3 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> identifies that although the site falls within the “Mosslands” area of the Carbon Landscape, geological surveys show peat is only dominant on the southern fringes of the site. Given the overall scale of development that needs to be accommodated (and qualitative needs including a significant number of affordable homes), a limited amount of development on peat is considered to be acceptable as part of the planning balance for the site.  In addition, and subject to further masterplanning work, it is likely that any development on peat will be limited given that criterion 7 requires that development will incorporate high quality sustainable drainage systems as part of the green infrastructure for the site and accommodate sufficient space for any necessary flood storage, particularly in the south of the site.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Vivien Blackshaw Adrian Richards
JPA27.143	Explain in detail how the proposed developments will comply with the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, and the Conservation Regulations 1994 particularly with regard to protected species and bio-diversity. Reference made to presence of bats, owls and deer.	As acknowledged in paragraph 18.3 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> , there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application. Criterion 9 requires development to avoid harm to protected species.	Adrian Richards

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.144	What consideration has been given to birds, insects and ground dwelling mammals when the hedgerows are ripped out?	<p>Criterion 9 of the site allocation policy requires that development avoids harm to protected species. Development will be required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity, as required by PfE policy JP-G 9 and as referenced in the introductory text to the site allocation policy. The layout of the development will be considered as part of the masterplanning process as required by criterion 1.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Adrian Richards
JPA27.145	Where is the 10% increase in biodiversity coming from?	<p>Details of the general principles relating to how a 10% biodiversity net gain can be measured and achieved can be found in GMCA Biodiversity Net Gain: Guidance for Greater Manchester (February 2021). The introduction to the site allocation policy at paragraph 11.253 notes the priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancements required from this site is likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Adrian Richards</p> <p>The Wildlife Trusts</p>
JPA27.146	Individual allocations must ensure a 10% biodiversity net gain, which must be in addition to the protection and enhancement of existing species.	See response to JPA27.145 above.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA27.147	Off-site biodiversity compensation should prioritise the S41 species adversely affected, such as farmland birds and Willow Tit. The justification for this site is that restoration of lowland raised bog and complimentary habitats in Chat Moss would be undertaken as off-site compensation for priority species.	As acknowledged in paragraph 18.3 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper [10.07.69], there are records of protected species on this site, and appropriate detailed ecological surveys would be required to accompany any planning application. Criterion 9 requires development to avoid harm to protected species.	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.148	The policy is generally supported but consider part 13 should be strengthened to ensure the playing field is replaced in accordance with Sport England Playing Fields Policy and paragraph 99 of the NPPF, if not retained.	<p>Criterion 13 sets out the overall approach to the playing fields and is considered to be a sound approach. Sport England Playing Fields Policy and paragraph 99 of the NPPF are material considerations that would inform the application of criterion 13.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Sport England
JPA27.149	Site used for hay production to support local farmers	<p>Comments noted. PfE sets out a clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs. However, given the scale of development required to meet the needs of Greater Manchester (both on a quantitative and qualitative basis) a limited amount of development is required on greenfield and Green Belt land such as at East of Boothstown as it is critical to the delivery of the overall vision and objectives of the plan. The release of greenfield and Green Belt land has, however been kept to a minimum.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Michelle Dawson
JPA27.150	Objecting to the proposal; it is identified that the RHS Bridgewater has already been developed on previously undisturbed land	See response to JPA27.149 above.	<p>Jacqueline Holt</p> <p>John Coll</p> <p>Andrew Dickson</p>
JPA27.151	Concerns regarding the impact on peatland.	See response to JPA27.142 above.	<p>Gerald And Linda Gray</p> <p>Gerald Gray</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>Lesley Baker</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.152	Maps provided suggest only a tiny sliver of Green Belt will be removed and are deeply deceptive. The last accessible walking paths not along the canal are through the site. And removing it would severely impact on possibilities for exercise for residents and their dogs. The canal paths are extremely crowded with cyclists moving at high speeds.	<p>The maps shown in the allocation policy shows the area of land to be removed from the Green Belt and it is not considered to be deceptive.</p> <p>Criterion 10 of the allocation policy requires that development includes the provision of a high quality network of pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site that link the canal to Leigh Road.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Christopher Lee
JPA27.153	The time has come to plant thousands of trees	<p>Policy JP-G 7 (Trees and Woodland) of PfE states that in making planning decisions and carrying out other associated activities, we will work to deliver the aims and objectives of the Greater Manchester Tree and Woodland Strategy, aiming to significantly increase tree cover, protect and enhance woodland, and connect people to the trees and woodland around them. 13 criteria are set out in policy JP-G 7 to deliver this.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Philip Sharples
JPA27.154	Rights of way give people access to a small piece of countryside in an urban area	<p>Criterion 10 of the site allocation policy requires that development includes the provision of a high quality network of pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site that link the canal to Leigh Road.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 41.
JPA27.155	No proposals within the houses which will provide for the environment and wildlife	Section C of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> , which incorporates Chapters 14 to 22, sets out issues	Ananya McCarthy

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>relating to the environment and summarises key parts of the evidence base.</p> <p>Development will be required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity, as required by PfE policy JP-G 9 and referenced in the introductory text to the policy. Criteria 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8 of the site allocation policy all address the issues raised.</p> <p>PfE aims to deliver a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038 and be net zero carbon from 2028. A number of the thematic policies within it will contribute to addressing climate change – it contains policies on Sustainable Development (Policy JP-S 1); Heat and Energy Networks (Policy JP-S 3); Resilience (JP-S 4); Clean Air (Policy JP-S 6); Resource Efficiency (JP-S 7); Green Infrastructure (Policies JP-G2, 5, 7, 9).</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan has to be produced in consultation with stakeholders. This will allow consideration of innovation and issues around the environment.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.156	Objection with reference to impact on top of loss of wildlife / greenspace through the development of the RHS	<p>Section C of the East of Boothstown allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>, which incorporates Chapters 14 to 22, sets out issues relating to the environment and summarises key parts of the evidence base relating to wildlife and greenspace.</p> <p>With regards to the loss of greenspace, the PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 42.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>. The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been specifically identified as a housing allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.157	Development Framework Document / technical evidence prepared by the majority landowner demonstrates how the site can deliver specific physical requirements including: retention of Alder Wood, areas of mature woodland and opportunities to enhance ecological habitats.	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.158	Whilst the policy refers to a landscape buffer to the RHS it does not in respect of Leigh Road.	<p>Criterion 17 of the site allocation policy requires that development incorporates mitigation to address noise pollution from nearby roads. This mitigation would include noise from Leigh Road and could include a landscape buffer. The precise details of the mitigation would be established as part of the masterplan required under criterion 1 of the policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Lorraine And Paul McCormick
JPA27.159	Meeting development needs must be done in the most sustainable and environmentally beneficial way possible, preserving, enhancing	Comments noted.	Royal Horticultural Society

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	and improving access to green spaces, and improving the natural environment, which will benefit present and future generations.		
JPA27.160	The RHS encourages all emerging allocations to achieve high standards of sustainable construction and to deliver developments that are landscape led, with suitable plant species selection to support biodiversity net gain on-site, high quality green spaces that contribute towards the health and well-being of communities, and where careful water management is achieved through the use of sustainable drainage systems.	<p>Comments noted. As set out in the supporting text to the policy (at paragraph 11.253) there is a need for a 10% minimum biodiversity net gain. Other criterion in the site allocation policy of relevance to this representation are 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17 and 18.</p> <p>PfE policy JP-S 1 (Sustainable development) states that in order to help tackle climate change development should aim to utilise sustainable construction techniques. This aim is supplemented by Policy JP- S 2 which identifies that a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, will be supported through a range of measures including development being net zero by 2028.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Royal Horticultural Society
JPA27.161	The RHS has only a limited space between the access road and the shared boundary with which to strengthen the planting between the two land parcels, therefore the residential development site will need to incorporate measures to help achieve this. A strong landscaped buffer in this location will protect the setting of the garden, its ecological habitats and the experience of its visitors by creating a sensitive transition and strong Green Belt boundary between the new housing and the garden. In addition to protecting the setting of the garden, an appropriate landscape buffer will also ensure that the traffic and movement associated with Occupation Road and the access to the garden car park, will not unduly impact on the amenity of future residents.	<p>This representation is considered to be addressed by criterion 5 of the site allocation policy which requires that development will be required to incorporate a landscaped buffer along the eastern boundary of the site facing RHS Garden Bridgewater.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Royal Horticultural Society

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.162	Allocation wording should be expanded to require retention of marshy grassland and pond habitat, or to make provision for suitable replacement as compensation.	<p>It is expected that the sustainable drainage systems requirement (criterion 7 of the site allocation policy, as well as the biodiversity net gain requirement under PfE policy JP-G 9 / referenced in the introduction to the site allocation policy, will result in the retention and/or replacement of wetland habitats.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA27.163	Allocation wording should be expanded to protect and enhance the banks of the brook for Water Vole and to ensure that any new water features such as swales seek to provide suitable habitat for Water Vole.	<p>Paragraph 11.253 of the supporting text for the East of Boothstown allocation identifies that water vole and bird surveys will be required prior to any development. Criterion 4 of the site allocation policy identifies that development will be required to take opportunities to enhance the ecological value of Shaw Brook including retaining significant open land around it. These issues and swales can be considered through the masterplanning process which is a requirement of criterion 1 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
	<b>Air Quality</b>		
JPA27.164	Development will lead to exacerbation of existing issues of poor air quality including through traffic and loss of green infrastructure	<p>Policy JP-S 6 of PfE identifies a comprehensive range of measures that will be taken to support improvements in air quality.</p> <p>Chapter 21 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> provides commentary with regards to the issue of air quality.</p> <p>In Salford, the current Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) was defined in 2016 and was declared for potential exceedances of the annual mean Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) air quality objective. The site is located to the south of part of the defined AQMA, which extends</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 43.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>along parts of Leigh Road. A detailed air quality impact assessment would be required at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Criterion 10 of the site allocation policy requires that development of the site will be required to ensure good quality access by walking and cycling for all residents to services and facilities in Boothstown and the local area, bus services on the surrounding road network, the Bridgewater Canal and Chat Moss to the south, including through the provision of a high quality network of pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site; off-site pedestrian crossings and a footpath adjacent to the site on the south side of Leigh Road. Criterion 10 will also secure further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route to RHS Garden Bridgewater, Worsley Village and Boothsbank Park.</p> <p>Various policies within Greater Manchester's Transport Strategy 2040 are aimed at improving air quality across the Region.</p> <p>The site promoter has prepared a baseline air quality assessment in support of the allocation <a href="#">[10.07.24]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.165	Contrary to clean air plan	See response to JPA27.164 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 44.
JPA27.166	Proposal is inconsistent with NPPF paragraphs 93, 104(d) and 105 in respect of air and noise pollution.	<p>See response to JPA27.164 above in respect of air quality / pollution.</p> <p>The East of Boothstown topic paper in Chapter 22 sets out issues relating to noise <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. The A572 Leigh Road is located to the immediate north of the site, such that the site would be subject to some traffic noise. The noise impacts would need to be considered at an early stage in the masterplanning process, and detailed noise</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 45.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>assessments would need to be submitted alongside any planning application. This is consistent with criterion 17 of the site allocation policy which requires that development will incorporate mitigation to address noise pollution from nearby roads.</p> <p>Specifically with regards to NPPF paragraph 105, the East of Boothstown topic paper in Chapter 10 sets out issues relating to transport <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.167	Evidence required to show compliance with air quality requirements/ that the plan is legal in this respect. Issues highlighted included a necessary downward trend (over a 5yr period), consideration of post lockdown/current pollution levels, consideration of additional road traffic from neighbouring development including in Wigan. One respondent stated that a monitoring station should be erected on Leigh Road so impacts can be monitored.	See response to JPA27.164 above.	Adrian Richards Louise Seddon Lesley Baker Christopher Lee
JPA27.168	M60 area is one of the most polluted areas in the country/area already has high level of air pollution	See response to JPA27.164 above.	See JPA27 Appendix table 46.
JPA27.169	Objections referencing the legality of adding traffic to an area that is already under legal obligations to improve air quality.	See response to JPA27.164 above.	Louise Seddon Linda Sincup Grantham Fidler
JPA27.170	Need for a plan for how to ensure NO2 levels remain within legal limits. Relying on electrification is a lazy and uncertain means of achieving this. With no additional public transport in an area that has had the bulk of its provision removed in recent years there is no credible way to achieve this in the current plans.	See response to JPA27.164 above.	Christopher Lee
	<b>Flood risk</b>		

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.171	<p>Development will impact on water table and increase flood risk.</p> <p>Reference made to the loss of greenspace and the impact this would have in terms of run-off.</p>	<p>The East of Boothstown topic paper in Chapter 11 sets out issues relating to flood risk and drainage specifically in relation to the proposed allocation <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Criterion 7 requires sustainable drainage systems to accommodate sufficient space for any necessary flood storage. Moreover, PfE policy JP-S 5 (flood risk) requires developments to not exceed greenfield run-off rates, whilst policy WA5 of the Salford local plan requires that greenfield developments shall result in no net increase in the rate of surface water discharge. The Salford local plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Any development on the allocation of over 1 hectare in size would need to be accompanied by a flood risk assessment at the planning application stage, as per the standard requirement.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 47.
JPA27.172	<p>Welcome requirement to protect and enhance green infrastructure and Suds but support should also be given to increasing multifunctional green infrastructure on site.</p>	<p>There are numerous criteria in the site allocation policy relating to green infrastructure (including criteria 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13 and 14). Criterion 7 specifically requires that development incorporates high quality sustainable drainage systems as part of the green infrastructure for the site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Royal Horticultural Society

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.173	Area is prone to flooding, recent flooding events cited in some responses including on Leigh Road, and houses at the bottom of Standfield Drive, the Standfield Centre and the Royal Oak. Two representations referred to a lack of investment by the council compounding the effects of flooding.	See response to JPA27.171 above. In particular, criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	See JPA27 Appendix table 48.
JPA27.174	Concerns regarding the adequacy of existing sewers to cope with increased demand. One representation referred to the sewers on Amberhill/ Highclove not being adopted with residents suffering the result	See response to JPA27.171 above. In particular, criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	See JPA27 Appendix table 49.
JPA27.175	Comply with legislation re:- flood risks and ensure that household insurance remains available to current residents and any future residents.	See response to JPA27.171 above. In particular, criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Adrian Richards
JPA27.176	The proposal is not consistent with national policy in respect of flooding. Reference made to NPPF paragraph 159 - 169	The proposal is consistent with the NPPF - see response to JPA27.171 above. In addition, the sequential test is addressed in the Flood Risk Sequential Test and Exception Test evidence paper <a href="#">[04.02.20]</a> . The proposal is considered to be consistent with the NPPF in this respect.	Neil Campbell

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.177	Concerns relating to further deterioration in quality of watercourses	<p>A background report has been published in relation to water courses and water quality <a href="#">[10.07.22]</a>.</p> <p>Criterion 4 of the site allocation policy requires that development of the site takes opportunities to enhance the ecological value of Shaw Brook, including naturalising where practicable, retaining significant open land around it, and utilising the brook as a central landscape feature running through the site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Louise Seddon
JPA27.178	Comments raising concerns regarding the drainage. Issues identified that drainage was inadequate, that houses on this level and lower in Boothstown already have to rely on pumped drainage systems, and that despite work being done the drainage in Boothstown has not improved.	<p>See response to JPA27.171 above. In particular, criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Natalie Taylor Philip O'Brien
JPA27.179	Need for clear plan to address flooding / sewers before new development is considered.	<p>See response to JPA27.171 above. In particular, criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Louise Seddon Alison Davies
JPA27.180	Topic Paper identifies that flood modelling work is ongoing in the context of the southern part of the site and along watercourses	Comments noted. It is correct that the issue identified does not affect the deliverability of the site but will inform mitigation to allow the	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	running through the site at risk from fluvial flooding – understood that this will not affect the deliverability of the site but will inform mitigation.	development to proceed. With regards to this issue, see letter from WSP to Salford City Council <a href="#">[10.07.27]</a> .  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.181	Development Framework Document and technical evidence provided by the site promoter demonstrates how the site can deliver specific physical requirements including: SUDS to mitigate surface water flooding.	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA27.182	Shaw brook overflows on both sides of the canal. Works have been carried out to deal with RHS flooding/standing water to ease flow. The size of the pipe carrying Shaw Brook under the canal is the same size and can only deal with the amount it carries. More housing will result in more standing water.	See response to JPA27.171 above. In particular, criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Richard Lines
JPA27.183	Every year the lack of drainage means the main Leigh Road becomes a flooded road	See response to JPA27.171 above. In particular, criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided in order to ensure that flood risk is adequately addressed, and that development does not increase flood risk elsewhere.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Rachel Mulhearn
JPA27.184	The drainage structure and ground conditions in and around RHS Garden Bridgewater are complex and have been the subject of significant investment. As with other matters, therefore, the RHS would welcome the opportunity to work with the promoters and future developers to ensure that the most suitable sustainable drainage strategy for the allocation can be adopted.	The East of Boothstown topic paper in Chapter 11 sets out issues relating to flood risk and drainage <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> .  Comments noted. Criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires that a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided, whilst criterion 7 requires that development incorporates high quality	Royal Horticultural Society

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>sustainable drainage systems as part of the green infrastructure for the site and accommodates sufficient space for any necessary flood storage, particularly in the south of the site.</p> <p>Full details of the drainage systems will need to be considered as part of the masterplanning process (as required by criterion 1 of the site allocation policy) and subsequently as part of any planning application. The RHS would be a clearly be a key stakeholder that would need to be involved in the production of any masterplan required under criterion 1.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.185	<p>Development proposals will be required to ensure that sustainable drainage systems are fully incorporated into the development to manage and control surface water run-off, discharging in accordance with the hierarchy of drainage options. Applicants should consider site topography, any naturally occurring flow paths and any low lying areas where water will naturally accumulate. Resultant layouts should take account of such existing circumstances to ensure the most sustainable and flood resilient solution is achieved. Landscaping proposals will be expected to be integrated with the strategy for surface water management. Natural and multi-functional SuDS should be utilised (in preference to traditional piped and tanked storage systems), prioritising the use of ponds, swales and other infrastructure which mimic natural drainage and connect to the wider green and blue infrastructure network. They will be designed in accordance with nationally recognised SuDS design standards. There should be a clear allocation-wide strategy for foul and surface water management which demonstrates a holistic approach with co-ordination between phases of development and no surface water</p>	<p>No change is considered necessary. A Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken <a href="#">[04.02.01]</a> across the plan, identifying the allocation as less vulnerable to flood risk and the need for a site specific Flood Risk Assessment <a href="#">[04.02.12]</a> at the planning application stage in accordance with national policy and guidance. Policy JP-S5 provides further detailed policy in relation to Flood Risk. Therefore, the Plan as a whole, is considered to provide an appropriate policy framework to deal with this matter</p> <p>The East of Boothstown topic paper in Chapter 11 sets out issues relating to flood risk and drainage <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Criterion 6 of the site allocation policy requires that a detailed drainage and flood risk management strategy is provided, whilst criterion 7 requires that development incorporates high quality sustainable drainage systems as part of the green infrastructure for the site and accommodates sufficient space for any necessary flood storage, particularly in the south of the site.</p>	United Utilities Group PLC

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	discharging to public sewer. A proliferation of pumping stations should be avoided	<p>Full details of the drainage systems will need to be considered as part of the masterplanning process (as required by criterion 1 of the site allocation policy) and subsequently as part of any planning application.</p> <p>In relation to this representation these issues are covered in more detail in PfE policy JP-S 5 (Flood Risk), and also in the Salford Local Plan: Development Management and Designations (Policy WA5) which is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Heritage</b>		
JPA27.186	Will destroy the historic character of Worsley and Roe Green.	<p>Due to the location of the proposed allocation, there will be no impact on the conservation areas within the Worsley and Roe Green area; moreover the allocation site itself does not have sufficient special architectural or historic interest to justify a designation as a new conservation area.</p> <p>In accordance with PfE policy JP-P 1 any development would be required to respect and acknowledge the character and identity of the locality in terms of design, siting, size, scale and materials used. It would also be required to comply with the design policies within chapter 19 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations once this document is adopted (expected to be summer 2022). Policies D1 and D2 of the Salford Local Plan are of particular relevance in addressing the concerns raised in the representation.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 50.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.187	Concerns regarding the impact on the setting of heritage assets including the RHS Bridgewater	<p>A detailed heritage assessment has been undertaken for the site allocation [<a href="#">10.07.21</a>, pages 81-91]. The East of Boothstown topic paper in Chapter 20 summarises issues relating to the historic environment as identified in the heritage assessment [<a href="#">10.07.69</a>]. It concludes that the proposed allocation for residential development would not have a detrimental impact upon the setting and significance of designated heritage assets at RHS Bridgewater.</p> <p>Criterion 18 of the site allocation policy requires that any development is informed by the published 2019 Heritage Assessment for the site, and any Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 51.
JPA27.188	Development Framework Document / technical evidence provided by the site promoter demonstrates how the site can deliver specific physical requirements including: A layout that will preserve or enhance the setting of heritage assets	Comments noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
	<b>Other</b>		
JPA27.189	Area provides a buffer to major infrastructure nearby and noise and air pollution.	<p>Criterion 17 of the site allocation policy requires that development will incorporate mitigation to address noise pollution from nearby roads. With regards to air pollution see response to JPA27.164. Green infrastructure will be provided as part of the development through criteria 4, 5, 7, 13 and 14), whilst Alder Wood and the other areas of mature deciduous woodland and protected trees will be retained through criterion 3 of the site allocation policy.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 52.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.190	Negative impact on the attractiveness of the area, quality of life, and residential amenity as a result of a number of issues including those set out above, overcrowding and increased crime levels.	<p>Criteria within the site allocation policy will mitigate the impact on the potential functions of undeveloped land including criterion 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14. As noted in the supporting text to the allocation, development will be required to provide a minimum 10% net biodiversity gain ,with the priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancement likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south. It is unclear how the development will directly relate to crime levels.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 53.
JPA27.191	Criticism of consultation process undertaken	Comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA27 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See JPA27 Appendix table 54.
JPA27.192	Concerns relating to climate change / carbon footprint impact, issues highlighted include increased emissions, congestion, absorption of Co2, consistency with the government's Net Zero targets and forthcoming legislation.	<p>PfE aims to deliver a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038 and be net zero carbon from 2028. A number of the thematic policies within it will contribute to addressing climate change – it contains policies on Sustainable Development (Policy JP-S 1); Heat and Energy Networks (Policy JP-S 3); Resilience (JP-S 4); Clean Air (Policy JP-S 6); Resource Efficiency (JP-S 7); and Green Infrastructure (Policies JP-G2, 5, 7, 9).</p> <p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires that a masterplan has to be produced in consultation with stakeholders. This will allow consideration of innovation and issues around the environment and air quality.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 55.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Whilst it is recognised that there can be climate change impacts resulting from new development, there is a need to find a balance between environmental, social and economic factors.</p> <p>A requirement to deliver new homes has been identified and, whilst the first priority has been to identify brownfield opportunities, it has been necessary to identify some Green Belt allocations.</p> <p>The site allocation policy includes important measures aimed at minimising impacts/contributions in relation to climate change including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retaining Alder Wood and the other areas of mature deciduous woodland and protected trees (criterion 3)</li> <li>• Incorporating high quality sustainable drainage systems as part of the green infrastructure for the site and accommodate sufficient space for any necessary flood storage, particularly in the south of the site (criterion 7)</li> <li>• Ensuring good quality access by walking and cycling for all residents to services and facilities in Boothstown and the local area including through the provision of a high quality network of pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site; off-site pedestrian crossings and a footpath adjacent to the site on the south side of Leigh Road (criterion 10)</li> <li>• Securing further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route to RHS Garden Bridgewater, Worsley Village and Boothsbank Park (criterion 11)</li> <li>• Including new allotment plots to meet the local standard unless suitable alternative provision can be made in the local area (criterion 14)</li> </ul>	

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.193	Concerns regarding the sharing of information and/or contact with residents of the area. One respondent stated that every home directly affected needs a one line link to make their opinions known	<p>Comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA27 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Anne Grennan
JPA27.194	Concerns regarding noise pollution for existing residents. One respondent referred to point 17 of the policy in dealing with new dwellings but not existing homes. One respondent noted that this has already been increased through RHS construction. One respondent indicated they couldn't use the front part of the house due to noise/pollution.	<p>The East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper in Chapter 22 sets out issues relating to noise <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>. The A572 Leigh Road is located to the immediate north of the site, such that the site would be subject to some traffic noise. Criterion 17 of the allocation policy requires that any development incorporates mitigation to address noise pollution from nearby roads. As such, noise impacts would need to be considered at an early stage in the masterplanning process, and detailed noise assessments would need to be submitted alongside any planning application.</p> <p>Policy JP-C 7 of PfE 2021 requires that Construction Management Plans are produced for developments, where appropriate, to mitigate construction logistics and environmental impacts including air quality and noise on the surrounding area and encourage sustainable deliveries.</p> <p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Noise pollution is covered by policy PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 56.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.195	Light pollution should be taken into consideration	<p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Light pollution is covered by policy PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Charlie Heron
JPA27.196	Inconsistencies raised with NPPF paragraphs 93, 104 and 105 in respect of air and noise pollution.	<p>In line with paragraphs 104 and 105 of the NPPF, transport issues have been considered through the evidence base, and in particular a locality assessment has been prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>. The findings of the locality assessment are summarised in Chapter 10 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 57.
JPA27.197	Representations suggesting that the site's existing use should be maintained or put to other positive green infrastructure functions such as allotments, rewilded or other enhancements to deliver environmental and social benefits.	<p>The site selection process undertaken to determine the allocations to be taken forward through Places for Everyone is detailed in the associated Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the Allocation Topic Paper provides a summary as to why the East of Boothstown site has been identified <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a>.</p>	<p>Danny Lyle</p> <p>The Wildlife Trusts</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>There are numerous criteria in the site allocation policy relating to green infrastructure functions (including criteria 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13 and 14).</p> <p>Chapters 16 and 17 of the East of Boothstown Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.69]</a> relate to green infrastructure and recreation. A number of potential enhancements to green infrastructure on the site and in surrounding areas are suggested in the Green Belt Opportunities work (summarised in the table below paragraph 14.15 of the Allocation Topic Paper). These opportunities and the potential deliverability of them would be considered through the masterplanning and planning application stages.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA27.198	Allocation plans should be reviewed to show Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure and more detail provided in the supporting text.	<p>The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore, it is not necessary to identify them on the allocation plan or in the supporting text.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Mineral Products Association
JPA27.199	Concerns regarding the development of the site from a health perspective pointing to existing benefits and the negative impacts that its development could have including physical and mental well-being activities and in respect of emissions, longer commutes and impact on family life etc	There is no statutory duty to undertake a health impact assessment as part of the plan-making process. Notwithstanding this, the integrated assessment <a href="#">[02.01.03]</a> Appendix D, and <a href="#">[02.01.05]</a> has considered issues of health, alongside other environmental, sustainability and equality considerations.	See JPA27 Appendix table 58.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.200	Proposal only serves private interest	<p>The proposal would provide 300 new homes, with 50% of them being affordable (some to be provided off-site). This provides a social benefit as well as being consistent with the objective in paragraph 60 of the NPPF of significantly boosting the supply of housing. Other public benefits would be provided through various criteria in the site allocation policy (including 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14 and 19).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA27 Appendix table 59.
JPA27.201	Many existing residents are selling their homes due to these plans.	Comment noted.	Ananya McCarthy K Thoday Markus Dyckmans Sarah Armitage
JPA27.202	<p>Criticism of lack of engagement and a commitment sought to consult in detail with regional and local groups with expertise in relevant areas with a view seriously to improving the quality of any such development; to investigate innovative approaches in other regions to house building and development that actively protects and enhances local quality of life, air quality and access to local services;</p> <p>demonstration of willingness to listen to and engage with local views on impacts of such a development on local roads and services: not as part of a seemingly reluctant nod in the direction of statutory national requirements, but as actively wanting to demonstrate commitment to local well-being.</p>	See response to JPA27.191 above.	Richard Bryan
JPA27.203	Concerns regarding impacts during construction. Impacts on existing residents and the RHS cited.	Policy JP-C 7 of PfE 2021 requires that Construction Management Plans are produced for developments, where appropriate, to mitigate construction logistics and environmental impacts including air quality and noise on the surrounding area and encourage sustainable deliveries.	Lorraine And Paul McCormick K Thoday Sarah Armitage Gareth Purdy Barbara Keeley

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Lesley Baker
JPA27.204	Negative impact on house values and question who will compensate those homeowners	House values are not a material planning consideration.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	K Thoday Sarah Armitage Gareth Purdy
JPA27.205	Reference to significant local opposition and opinions not being listened to/ over-ridden	The significant opposition is noted. However, the allocation is considered to be sound notwithstanding the points raised during consultation.  With regards to the consultation process, this comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA27 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Jon Breward Gareth Purdy Neil Standish Barbara Keeley Julie Roscoe Terence Dean
JPA27.206	Concerns regarding increasing crime rates in the area and the impact of additional homes	It is unclear why the addition of new homes in the area would directly relate to a rise in crimes in the area.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	K Thoday Sarah Armitage Emily Wilcox Alan Kirkman
JPA27.207	Negative impact on RHS Bridgewater. Issues referred to include the proposal "crowding it in", that the focus of the site on high spec housing for the affluent runs contrary to the RHS policy of working to be more socially inclusive, and that the development would damage the rural ambience.	In their representations to PfE, the RHS has said that it is keen to proactively participate in the PfE process, where necessary, and ultimately in the future planning application process to ensure that the new community at East of Boothstown and RHS Garden Bridgewater can co-exist sustainably, including input into any design matters that interact with the garden, its operation and its infrastructure.	See JPA27 Appendix table 60.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA27.208	Comment includes objection to separate PfE allocation and has also been lodged to that allocation.	Comment noted.	Gerald And Linda Gray Gerald Gray Jamie Bentham
JPA27.209	Objection including reference to the types of houses being proposed generating the highest amount of council tax for Salford City Council.	<p>The site allocation policy references the fact that site will be developed at a low density and to an exceptional quality, primarily targeting the top end of the housing market with the intention of attracting and retaining highly skilled workers within Greater Manchester. This is to take account of the site's location as being one of a small number of opportunities within Greater Manchester to deliver very high value housing in an extremely attractive environment, benefiting not only from an established premium housing market but also a location immediately next to the new RHS Garden Bridgewater. It is on this basis that the site is allocated for the type of dwellings identified, rather than being driven simply by council tax receipts.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Linda Sincup
JPA27.210	If homes are needed a new town should be built with good links and social infrastructure	<p>It is unclear from the representation where any new town should be built. PfE does not propose any new towns, rather it directs development and allocations to the urban area and its edge to take into account existing transport links and social infrastructure.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Philip Sharples
JPA27.211	Lots of brownfield sites but planners allow shopping centres like Walkden where 90% of shops lie empty	See response to JPA27.63	Philip Sharples

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA27.212	Objection stating that it feels like Salford sells off its only prime real estate to line its pockets to pay for the less desirable areas in Salford.	Comments noted. The majority of the land is in private ownership; the land owned by the council is the playing fields to the west of the site and criterion 13 of the site allocation policy requires that as part of any development they are retained or replaced.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Lindsay Ponsillo
JPA27.213	No demand for these homes from people who can afford high value homes.	It is unclear why there would be no demand for new homes on the site from those who could afford them.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Gerald And Linda Gray Gerald Gray
JPA27.214	Question RHS view on being next to a housing estate	RHS has provided comments relating to the proposed PfE allocation and have been summarised in this schedule.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	L Robinson
JPA27.215	The local grounds around the Boothstown Marina and surrounding area are not maintained	Comments noted.	Paul Glover
JPA27.216	Objection to separate PfE Site Allocation, JPA26 Land at Hazelhurst Farm, with various issues raised.	Comments have also been recorded against PfE allocation JPA26 Land at Hazelhurst Farm and responded to in the relevant summary table.	David Cailey Annie Tortoa-Cailey Barry and Elizabeth Moulton Clare Edwards Martin Hogg Ian Elsey

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 28 – North of Irlam Station

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	Principle / scale of development		
JPA28.1	Question the need for the scale of new dwellings in Salford with reference to issues including validity of government targets in light of Brexit, immigration control, the use of out-of-date data (i.e. using 2014 based household projections instead of the 2016 ones) and given the proposed scale of the development of new homes at Carrington.	<p>The Greater Manchester housing requirement is calculated using the government's standard local housing need methodology as required by the government's planning practice guidance. This requires the use of the 2014 based-household projections. The Housing Topic Paper explains further how the housing requirements have been calculated <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Proposed development of land at Carrington for new homes is noted. However, the development of the land to the North of Irlam increases the supply of new houses in Salford and has been identified through the PfE sites selection process due to its proximity to Irlam train station <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a>.</p> <p>An assessment of the implications of Covid-19 has been undertaken <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a>; the conclusion of this is set out in paragraph 6.9 of the housing topic paper which notes that there was insufficient evidence (either at a national or local level) to suggest that we should not be seeking to meet our overall housing need (as calculated by the standard LHN methodology) as a result of Covid-19. Any attempt to adjust forward projections as a result of Brexit would have a high degree of uncertainty.</p> <p>A transport locality assessment has been prepared for the site which looks at the cumulative impacts of development <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 1.
JPA28.2	So far there has been a preliminary assessment made on the depth of peat on the site. 20 bore holes were drilled but this is insufficient data for a site of this size.	It is acknowledged that only a preliminary assessment of ground conditions has been made <a href="#">[10.07.37]</a> and <a href="#">[10.07.38]</a> .	See JPA28 Appendix table 2.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The ground investigations undertaken are summarised in Chapter 12 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>. In particular paragraph 12.5 explains that “It is considered that the extent of the investigations to date have minimised the risk sufficiently to provide a reasonable indication of the ground conditions and confirm that the site can be allocated. Whilst there remains a possibility of localised risks, it is considered this could be dealt with at the detailed design stage.”</p> <p>The work undertaken is considered appropriate for the plan-making process.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.3	The cost of the infrastructure within Moss land will be far greater than other alternative land due to the nature of the ground - likely 15m piles will be needed. Additional costs of £18.5 used by 3D will be a underestimate.	<p>See Chapter 25 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper for a summary of the viability position <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 12 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> identifies that peat depths within the PfE allocation are generally at depths less than 3m (paragraph 12.3). It goes on to state that “... the site has ground conditions that can be dealt with using techniques to stabilise the ground and a number of technologies exist (for example soil mixing) to develop land on the type of ground encountered during the site investigation. In some areas where the peat is particularly shallow (particularly in areas in the southern part of the allocations), using conventional foundations extended to a slightly greater depth may also be appropriate”.</p> <p>Paragraph 12.4 of the topic paper identifies there will be increased build costs associated with the development. These costs have been factored into the viability work for the site <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> , pages 114-116]. The assumptions relating to the costs used by Three Dragons are set out in a separate report <a href="#">[10.07.48]</a>; such costs have been informed by the ground investigations, and advice from the Core Investment team at the GMCA and Deep Soil Mixing</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 3.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>who are a company that specialise in bringing sites with abnormal ground conditions forward.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.4	Although residents concerns are recognised in the plan no actual action is set out; rather terms such as possible / appropriate mitigation, consider further, potential tram service are used	<p>The plan sets out clear criteria that the development has to comply with to be considered acceptable. This is considered to be an appropriate approach given that the plan relates to plan-making rather than decision taking. It is in line with paragraph 16d) of the NPPF which requires that plans should contain policies that are clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 4.
	<b>Housing (inc affordable housing)</b>		
JPA28.5	General support for the provision of 25% affordable housing, although this may not be viable due to the depth and cost of building on peat.	<p>A viability assessment has been undertaken for the site by Three Dragons which has concluded that the site is viable for 25% affordable housing <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a>. This takes into account the costs of ground conditions identified in a separate report <a href="#">[10.07.48]</a>. See Chapter 25 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper for a summary of the viability position <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 5.
JPA28.6	75% of the homes will not be affordable / not enough affordable housing and will not be affordable to local people.	<p>The viability assessment undertaken for the site has identifies that a provision of affordable housing in excess of 25% would not be viable <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a>. The site will provide a mix of tenures and will contribute to the creation of mixed and sustainable communities. This is in line with policy JP-H 3 of PfE 2021.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 6.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA28.7	Delivery of 800 homes and 25% affordable housing supported, including some housing for older people and higher density nearer station.	Comments noted.	Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA28.8	Unclear what level of affordable housing is to be provided and what is actually meant by 'affordable'.	<p>Affordable housing is defined in Annex 2 (Glossary) of the NPPF. The affordable housing tenures required on the allocation site are set out in the policy (criterion 4 which requires social and affordable rented dwellings and shared ownership) and are consistent with the definition of affordable housing in the NPPF.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Irene Hamilton
JPA28.9	Houses will not increase diversity, nor enhance the local area and will not address local need, such as for older people, accessibility needs, starter homes.	<p>The site will address local affordable needs (25% requirement set out in criterion 4), whilst criterion 3 of the policy recognises the potential for some housing for older people closest to the train station. As required by other policies within PfE all new homes have to meet minimum internal space standards and be built to an accessible and adaptable standard (particularly PfE policy JP-H 3).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Save Our Green Belt</p> <p>Sue Campayne</p> <p>Richard Critchley</p>
JPA28.10	No need for new houses in Irlam and Cadishead given the scale of new housing in the area over recent years; properties vacant for a long time should be CPO'd.	<p>The inner areas and the City Centre (including City Centre Salford) are delivering a significant proportion of the housing development that is needed across Greater Manchester, predominantly in the form of apartments. There is a need to provide houses for families as well as for smaller households, in order to meet differing needs and ensure that there is a good range in the type and size of accommodation.</p> <p>In addition, the site provides one of the few opportunities across Greater Manchester to locate a new development of houses next to a train station.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 7.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Vacancy rates across Salford have fallen significantly over recent years to 2.9%; this is seen as being within an acceptable level for the functioning of the housing market. See paragraphs 5.70 to 5.76 of the Strategic Housing Market Assess for further details <a href="#">[06.01.02]</a>.</p> <p>Compulsory purchasing vacant properties would not be appropriate in this context, notwithstanding this, the city council does not have the capacity or resources to do this at any meaningful scale.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.11	Salford should not be meeting needs of other parts of GM	<p>The distribution of development is based on achieving the Strategy set out in the PfE plan as evidenced in the Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> and the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. As part of this, there has been redistribution of some of the local housing need that arises in the rest of Greater Manchester to Salford reflecting significant opportunities within the centre of the conurbation (and the market for high density apartments).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Sue Campayne
JPA28.12	Devaluation of existing properties	<p>Devaluation of existing properties is not a material planning consideration.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Samantha Brodie
JPA28.13	The density of development is too low meaning that more land is being released than needed. No more than 15-16ha is needed.	<p>The Plan seeks to make efficient use of land and part of this strategy is building homes at high density, particularly within the Core Growth Area. The Housing Chapter (7) provides policy in relation to housing type, size, design and density. Details of the housing land supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>.</p>	<p>Philip Legerton</p> <p>Lewis Nelson Cllr</p> <p>Sue Bridgford</p> <p>James Ness</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>At this stage an indicative layout / masterplan plan has not been prepared for the site. However, broad assumptions were provided to Three Dragons to enable work on the financial viability of the site to be completed. As set out in paragraph 27.3 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>, the total site area is 30 hectares and it has been assumed that around 20 hectares of the site will accommodate houses, generally at a net density of 35 dwellings per hectare. Some houses at a net density 70 dwellings per hectare will be located on land closest to the station [see <a href="#">10.07.70</a> paragraph 3.3]. The broad assumptions around density of development and land use used to inform the viability work are set out in the North of Irlam Station Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> and are consistent with PfE policy JP-H 4. A two form entry primary school would take up around 2 hectares of land. Given the above assumptions and a total site area of 30 hectares, around 73% of the site would accommodate built development.</p> <p>It has then been assumed that other parts of the site will accommodate infrastructure such as main service roads and open spaces and recreation land in order to meet policy requirements (such as for a neighbourhood park and allotments).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.14	Plans for Salford seems to align with local housing needs calculations but is arguably not aligned with growth and economic ambitions of the City. The reduction in overall numbers from where we were previously is only going to exacerbate affordability issues in GMCA. There is uncertainty to the deliverability, and the affordable housing delivery in particular given the City has not delivered the required rates of affordable housing in the past. The focus on higher quality, greener developments (spacious, green space, good place making) in Salford	<p>As identified within the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> in table 3.3 (page 6)] Salford's Local Housing Need using the government's standard methodology is 1,324 homes per annum, which equates to 21,184 over the plan period. Salford's housing requirement in PfE is significantly higher than the LHN figure (an annual average of 1,658 dwellings equating to a total of 26,528). This will help increase the supply of affordable housing, alongside emerging policy in the Salford local plan which sets out a minimum 20% affordable housing city-wide and higher in particular locations / developments. Through</p>	Greater Manchester Housing Providers



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	is supported but this may price young families and those on lower incomes out	<p>PfE, land within the current green belt is being allocated for housing as a means of increasing the supply of houses and also affordable housing.</p> <p>The distribution of development is based on achieving the Strategy set out in the PfE plan as evidenced in the Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Green Belt</b>		
JPA28.15	Object to the loss of Green Belt. Issues identified included a lack of exceptional circumstances, loss of role as a 'green lung', setting of a precedent, the boundaries of the proposed development site not being readily recognisable, and development leading to urban sprawl .	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>The Growth and Spatial Options Topic Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> sets out the approach to accommodating growth within the plan area which requires the release of some Green Belt.</p> <p>Chapter 14 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> sets out the assessment of Green Belt for this site, and the exceptional circumstances that justify its release (paragraphs 14.2 to 14.5). The topic paper also considers the issues of sprawl and the other purposes of Green Belt by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC. The case for exceptional circumstances is fully explained in the Green Belt topic paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>. The boundary for the allocation is for the most part defined by a hedgerow and</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 8.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>follows field boundaries and Astley. These features are readily recognisable as required by NPPF paragraph 143f.</p> <p>In amending the Green Belt boundary, through the allocations in PfE, opportunities have been identified to improve/enhance Green Infrastructure within the land remaining in the Green Belt. Details of the potential enhancement opportunities are detailed in Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study - Beneficial Use Appendix F <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a></p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.16	Although the amount of land to be released through the allocation has been reduced from the Draft GMSF, the vast majority of Green Belt land to be released in Salford would remain as being in the Irlam / Cadishead area.	<p>It is acknowledged that the majority of the land proposed to be released from the Green Belt in Salford through Places for Everyone is in Irlam and Cadishead (162ha out of a total 208ha). This is through the North of Irlam Station and Port Salford extension allocations.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[04.03.01]</a> explains the site selection process undertaken to determine the allocations in PfE and sets out the seven site selection criteria developed to identify the most sustainable sites. Chapter 5 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> explains that</p>	James Ness

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>this site has been selected on the basis of criterion 1 (Land which has been previously developed and/or land which is well served by public transport) of the assessment criteria, given that the vast majority of the site would be within 800m of Irlam Station.</p> <p>Chapter 5 of the Port Salford topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a> similarly explains the basis on which the Port Salford extension allocation was selected, detailing the relevant criteria.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.17	As proposed the boundary of the remaining green belt / moss would be vulnerable to further developments.	<p>PfE is not proposing to release any additional land from the Green Belt around the allocation site. Any further developments in the Green Belt would have to be considered against paragraph 147 and 148 of the NPPF which explains that inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances. When considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt. 'Very special circumstances' will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm resulting from the proposal, is clearly outweighed by other considerations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 9.
JPA28.18	Empirical evidence from ONS suggests green belt development is unnecessary.	<p>It is unclear what empirical evidence is being referred to. Notwithstanding this, the case for exceptional circumstances is fully explained in the Green Belt topic paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Lewis Nelson Cllr Sharon and Andrew Robinson Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith James Ness</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA28.19	Development would be contrary to GB purposes 1 and 3 as set out in NPPF 138.	Chapter 14 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> considers the allocation against the purposes of Green Belt by way of reference to assessments carried out by LUC.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Sue Bridgford
JPA28.20	No reference to compensatory improvements to the remaining GB as required by NPF para 142.	It is acknowledged that the NPPF requires compensatory improvements to remaining Green Belt land when drawing up or reviewing Green Belt Boundaries. To this end an assessment of the potential to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt around GMSF allocations has been undertaken <a href="#">[07.01.12]</a> , and Appendix F specifically <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a> . Further details in relation to this are set out in paragraphs 14.17 to 14.18 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> . This explains that compensatory improvements can be considered further through the masterplanning process required by the allocation policy (criterion 1). However, relevant to the above, the allocation policy includes relevant requirements in criteria 5, 6, 8 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16 and 17.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Wainhomes (NW) Ltd and Persimmon Homes Sue Bridgford
	<b>Brownfield</b>		
JPA28.21	Focus should instead be on available brownfield sites and vacant buildings; these sites mean that the release of Green Belt is not necessary.	In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. The supply of dwellings on brownfield land and vacant buildings has been maximised as set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) of the Plan summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the	See JPA28 Appendix table 10.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.22	Need to take account of new brownfield sites that will emerge during the plan period.	<p>Sites within the identified supply have to be available, suitable and likely to be viable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF. All sites that meet the criteria have been identified in the housing land supply spreadsheet <a href="#">[03.03.01]</a>, with this including those sites that will emerge over the plan period where there is current evidence that this will happen.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	James Ness
	<b>Transport – Highways / Public Transport / Cycling / Walking</b>		
JPA28.23	Lack of suitable access points into the site, including issues such as safety, road widths, road conditions, parking, proximity to the school and medical centre, bridge is one way and has weight restrictions.	<p>Chapter 10 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site.</p> <p>The site allocation policy states that vehicle access to the site should not have an unacceptable impact on the quality of existing residential areas (criterion 9).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 11.
JPA28.24	Most new infrastructure in the area will not be completed before 2026.	<p>Chapter 10 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site. Criterion in the allocation policy sets out infrastructure that would need to be provided as part of the development of the sites.</p> <p>Transport infrastructure proposals are set out in the Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a>.</p>	1278956 and PfE1315

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA28.25	Inadequate public transport links, including issues of overcrowding and reduced bus services.	<p>PfE policy JP-C 3 supports the delivery of major improvements to public transport and policy JP-C 5 supports a range of measures in order to help deliver a higher proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling. The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth</p> <p>Chapter 10 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> relates to transport, including public transport. Paragraph 10.1 notes that the site allocation is well served in terms of public transport and has been identified as being appropriate for development due to its location next to Irlam rail station. Regular bus services pass the allocation on Liverpool Road between Irlam and the Regional Centre and Irlam station is located immediately south of the allocation boundary</p> <p>Section 6 of the transport locality assessment <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> identifies the multi-modal accessibility of the site, including current and proposed public transport and walking and cycling options. At paragraph 6.3.1 recommendations are set out to improve cycling and walking access, and the allocations integration with public transport. These recommendations are reflected within the allocation policy requirements (including criteria 2, 6, 7, 8 and 9) and will be considered as part of the masterplanning process required by criterion 1.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 12.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The transport locality assessment for this site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> explains that there are plans to improve the capacity and frequency on the Manchester – Liverpool (via Warrington) line (referred to as the CLC corridor). The Transport Strategy 2040 Draft Delivery Plan also indicates that TFGM will work with the rail industry to deliver a continued programme of rail station accessibility and customer facilities improvement.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.26	Irlam train station is not fully accessible, has insufficient car parking, has poor facilities with services often cancelled or are short of the number of required carriages; cannot assume all of those living in the proposed development would use the train.	<p>The Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.09.01]</a> outlines how significant investment in sustainable modes of transport will be essential to achieving the vision for half of all daily trips in Greater Manchester to be made by public transport, walking and cycling. This is supported by Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> which sets out the immediate and longer term programme for transport interventions needed to support sustainable growth</p> <p>Chapter 10 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site.</p> <p>The transport locality assessment for this site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> explains that there are plans to improve the capacity and frequency on the Manchester – Liverpool (via Warrington) line (referred to as the CLC corridor). The Transport Strategy 2040 Draft Delivery Plan also indicates that TFGM will work with the rail industry to deliver a continued programme of rail station accessibility and customer facilities improvement. Irlam station is on the list of stations to benefit from Access for All funding from the government and TfGM are working with partners to develop a project to improve access at the station. In terms of service frequency, the 2040 Transport Strategy aspirations remain, although there are challenges nationally on timetables as a result of Covid-19.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 13.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The allocation policy includes a requirement for the allocation to include a new direct pedestrian and cycle route to Irlam Station from the west and enhance cycle parking and car parking facilities at the station (criterion 8).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.27	Scale of allocation is likely to give rise to traffic impacts on both an individual and cumulative level on the strategic route network.	<p>Chapter 10 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site.</p> <p>The transport locality assessment that has been prepared for the site looks at the individual and cumulative impacts of development including other site allocations in the plan including Port Salford extension <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>. Section 10.1.1 [of <a href="#">09.01.13</a>] sets out how cumulative impacts have been treated in the locality assessment.</p> <p>Although some localised issues have been identified, these can be overcome through mitigation measures, careful masterplanning and further, more detailed assessment. Further work will be required in parallel with Highways England to assess the impact at Junction 11 of the M60 depending on the approach to the Port Salford Extension and the emerging North West Quadrant Study. In addition, a full Transport Assessment will be necessary to ensure that potential mitigation measures are designed in more detail and remain appropriate as the allocation moves through the planning process</p> <p>The modelling in the locality assessment presents a 'worst case' scenario and does not reflect opportunities to secure a mode shift to active travel and public transport improvements associated with the significant continued investment proposals within the Greater Manchester 2040 Transport Strategy.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 14.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Alongside the locality assessments which focuses on the outcomes associated with each individual allocation, an analysis of the cumulative strategic impact of the existing land supply and on top of that the PfE allocations has been prepared <a href="#">[09.01.04]</a>. This analysis is clear that the existing land supply and the PfE allocations as a whole will present transport challenges that may require significant strategic interventions to mitigate negative impacts caused by the existing land supply and allocation sites</p> <p>The site allocation policy requires that a masterplan and delivery strategy is prepared for this site and these documents would consider issues relating to transport. This would allow traffic conditions at each phase to be assessed to ensure that the network is capable of accommodating the traffic associated with the development and would allow the phasing of development to be adjusted if necessary, to ensure that the impact on the network is minimised.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.28	There would be a large number of additional cars as a result of development; this would be exacerbated by the proposed allocations at Carrington and Port Salford.	See response above to JPA28.27.	See JPA28 Appendix table 15.
JPA28.29	There is only one road in and out of the area, with traffic already gridlocked as a result of congestion. This worsens when there are events on at the AJ Bell Stadium, or accidents on the M62 / M6.	See response above to JPA28.27.	See JPA28 Appendix table 16.
JPA28.30	Traffic problems associated with the construction of the development, including congestion and disruption.	<p>Policy JP-C 7 of PfE 2021 requires that Construction Management Plans are produced for developments, where appropriate, to mitigate construction logistics and environmental impacts including air quality and noise on the surrounding area and encourage sustainable deliveries.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 17.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA28.31	There has not been any full traffic survey / study of the effects of the development on local traffic patterns.	<p>It is considered that a proportionate evidence base has been provided to support the policy for the plan making stage. In particular transport locality assessments have been prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> which has included traffic modelling. The locality assessment has been produced using data provided from TfGM's Variable Demand Model (GMVDM). This model is a mathematical representation of the transport network, which works by determining all of the origins and destinations of trips within a given area, matching these two together in order to generate a set of journeys, assigning these journeys to a mode (for example, car, bus, or cycling) and then assigning these trips to a route.</p> <p>More detailed consideration of transport will be considered through the masterplanning and planning application stages, and the robust delivery strategy required by criterion 2 of the allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 18.
JPA28.32	The plan does mention improvements to two junctions on Liverpool Road, but these will have a minimal effect on the flow of traffic.	<p>Chapter 10 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site.</p> <p>The locality assessments <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> identify a number of schemes as being necessary to bring the allocation forward, and have been taken into account as part of the modelling work. These are reflected in the site allocation policy requirements, namely criteria 6, 7 and 8 and include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• B5320 Liverpool Road / B5471 Brinell Drive Junction Improvement</li> <li>• B5320 Liverpool Road / Roscoe Road / B5311 Fairhills Road Junction Improvement</li> </ul> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 19.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA28.33	Transport evidence is incomplete, does not identify in sufficient detail the nature, scale and timing of the infrastructure requirements on the SRN or what future assessments and studies will be required to determine any such infrastructure requirements.	<p>Chapter 10 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with transport matters relating to this site.</p> <p>Transport Locality Assessment – Salford <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> (pages 151 to 154) – GMSF2020 and Transport Locality Assessment Addendum – [Salford] <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>. (pages 42 to 43) provide detailed information on the nature, scale and timing of infrastructure requirements at the SRN.</p> <p>With respect to future assessments, the report states (on page 43 of <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>) that all sites associated with the allocations will be expected to prepare a Transport Assessment as part of a planning application to develop final, rather than indicative proposals, which mitigate the impact of the site. The full scope of the Transport Assessments will be determined by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority and National Highways) on a site-by-site basis, depending on the nature, scale and timing of the application, in accordance with the NPPF.</p> <p>In addition, the Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026. We are also working alongside National Highways to prepare a further piece of work examining a “policy-off/worst-case” impact on the SRN to help address National Highways remaining concerns.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	National Highways
JPA28.34	Development should only be considered if the Cadishead Viaduct and the old railway line to Trafford are opened (as proposed by the Hamilton Davies Trust).	Proposals are at an early stage with regards to reopening the old railway line to Trafford, via Cadishead viaduct. The transport locality assessments prepared for the site <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> demonstrate that the allocation	David Yates

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>is deliverable with the mitigation identified in the reports (this does not include the reopening of the old railway line).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.35	Unclear how criterion 10 will be satisfied (i.e. not have an unacceptable impact on neighbours living conditions) given the traffic movements that will be associated with the development.	<p>Detailed issues around access and the impact on existing residential areas will be considered as part of the masterplanning process and will take into account the design and layout of the vehicular access. It is however likely that restrictions would be placed on the number of homes for different land parcels to ensure that rat running through existing residential streets is minimised and traffic uses the most appropriate accesses.”</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Sue Bridgford
JPA28.36	Some of the dwellings will be quite a distance from away from Irlam station / bus stops (well in excess of government's 400m guidance, and page 30 of Planning for walking by CIHT, 2015, page 30). People will not walk to use these services.	<p>The 2008 DfT advice referred to in Planning for Walking identifies that walking neighbourhoods are typically characterised by having a range of facilities within a ten minute walking distance (around 800 metres). It goes on to identify a distance of 400m from bus stops and 800m to a train station for walking.</p> <p>All of the proposed dwellings will be within 800m of the train station, although some will not be within 400m of bus stops on Liverpool Road. However, the DfT advice notes that the propensity to walk or cycle is not only influenced by distance but also the quality of the experience; people may be willing to walk or cycle further where their surroundings are more attractive, safe and stimulating. With regards to this, criterion 6 of the allocation policy requires that development shall Incorporate a high quality network of public routes through the site, connected into the wider pedestrian and cycling network that provides access to local facilities, public transport services, New Moss Wood and Chat Moss. Furthermore, criterion 8 requires that development shall</p>	<p>Lewis Nelson Cllr</p> <p>Paul Roebuck</p> <p>Sue Campayne</p> <p>Janet Hickson</p> <p>Ryan Hodson</p> <p>Ian Hubbard</p> <p>Sue Bridgford</p> <p>James Ness</p> <p>Karen Lawrinson</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>include a new direct pedestrian and cycle route to Irlam Station from the west and enhance cycle parking and car parking facilities at the station;</p> <p>On this basis, the proposed allocation is considered to be acceptable in terms of its accessibility to public transport facilities.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Physical Infrastructure and utilities</b>		
JPA28.37	Infrastructure should be in place before development of houses.	<p>Criterion 2 of the allocation policy requires that development of the site will be required to be supported by a robust delivery strategy, which is prepared in partnership with key stakeholders and secures the effective delivery of the full masterplan, including transport, green and social infrastructure, affordable housing and planning obligation contributions. Other criterion in the policy also relate to infrastructure, including criterion 7 which requires that development will be required to support the improvement of affected local junctions.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Margaret Lawinson
JPA28.38	Sewers are already incapable.	<p>Chapter 11 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> identifies that development is appropriate from a flood risk perspective, subject to a site specific Flood Risk Assessment being undertaken at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Detailed issues around sewers will also be considered as part of the planning application process. As part of this regard will need to be had to criterion 14 of the allocation policy which requires that development shall incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off. This will reduce the amount of water entering the sewers.</p>	<p>P R Longbottom Philip Legerton Christine Cooper Irene Hamilton Helen Hubbard Janet Hickson Karen Ryan Anne Bracegirdle</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
	<b>Social Infrastructure</b>		
JPA28.39	Concerns relating to the existing capacity of community infrastructure (including schools, doctors, dentists, emergency services, shops and services for younger people) and the potential to support new homes.	<p>Chapters 23 and 24 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically education and health.</p> <p>The requirement for the development to set-aside land for additional primary school provision (unless sufficient additional school places can be provided off-site) is required by criterion 19. The detail regarding school provision will be determined at the masterplanning / planning application stage.</p> <p>A number of policies in PfE 2021 provide a sufficient policy framework to address issues around community infrastructure, such as policies JP-P 6 (Health, criterion 1) and JP-D2 (developer contributions). Objective 9 of PfE relates to ensuring access to physical and social infrastructure. To achieve this, PfE ensure new development is properly served by physical and social infrastructure including schools, health, social care, sports, and recreation facilities</p> <p>JP-P 5 'Education, Skills and Knowledge' sets out significant enhancements in education, skills and knowledge will be promoted throughout Greater Manchester [see pages 182-184 of the Plan for the full policy].</p> <p>Furthermore, the allocation will be required to make appropriate contributions to address its impacts in accordance with policy PC1 (Planning obligations) of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations which is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 20.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The allocation will be subject to masterplanning in accordance with the allocation policy criterion 1. Through this process, Policy HH2 (Provision of health and social care facilities) of the Salford Local Plan requires that appropriate provision is made for primary health care facilities.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.40	Noted plan mentions a new primary school - what about a secondary school.	<p>PfE policy JP-P 5 (Education, skills and knowledge criterion 2) will ensure the delivery of sufficient school places to respond to the demands from new housing, including through working education providers to forecast changes in demand for school places and where appropriate, requiring housing developments to make a financial contribution to the provision of additional school places proportionate to the demand they generate.</p> <p>The city council has a statutory duty to provide pupil places to meet demand. The number of secondary pupils that would be likely to arise from the allocation would not in itself create sufficient demand for a new-on site secondary school; such provision would not be proportionate or directly related to the development as required by national policy.</p> <p>The position in relation to the capacity of schools in order to meet forecast demand is constantly evolving. The city council's School Organisation Team will consider the capacity of secondary schools within the local area and put in place provision to meet any need associated with the development. Note that the allocation will take between 5 and 10 years to be fully completed and so this will be a consideration in planning to meet need.</p> <p>The allocation will where necessary be required to make appropriate contributions to address its impacts in accordance with policy PC1 (Planning obligations) of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations and policy ED2 (Residential development and education</p>	Irene Hamilton James Taylor

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>places). The Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022. Such contributions could be spent on extending / reconfiguring secondary schools within the pupil planning area in order to mitigate the impact of development as referenced above.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.41	Concerns relating to the assessment of need and firm proposals around provision of social infrastructure	<p>Chapter 23 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> deals with social infrastructure matters relating to this site, specifically education and health. Engagement with the council's School Organisation Team and the Clinical Commissioning Group will be important at the masterplanning stage to determine and ensure the appropriate provision of the requirements associated with the development. A masterplan and robust delivery strategy are requirements of criteria 1 and 2 of the allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Philip Hodson
	<b>Environmental – Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity, open space</b>		
JPA28.42	Concerns relating to loss of grade 1 agricultural land, which makes up only 2% of the agricultural land in the country.	<p>Agricultural land data suggests that majority of the site comprises grade 1 agricultural land (grades 1 to 3a are defined as the best and most versatile agricultural land). Given the overall scale of development that needs to be accommodated (and qualitative needs including a significant number of affordable homes), a limited amount of development on high grade agricultural land is proposed in PfE 2021 and considered necessary to meet development needs. This is acknowledged in paragraph 8.5 of PfE.</p> <p>Paragraph 4.7 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> explains how the allocation balances the positive and harmful impacts and the key considerations in determining the extent of the reduced site allocation boundary.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 21.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA28.43	Negative impact / loss of wildlife and habitats including farmland birds and those of importance at the national, Greater Manchester and local level (such as Skylark, Lapwing Willow, Yellow Wagtail).	<p>Chapter 18 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> summarises ecology / biodiversity issues and the evidence base relating to the site.</p> <p>An Ecological Appraisal has been prepared by GMEU which covers the PfE 2021 allocation and other land that was proposed through the Draft GMSF in 2016 <a href="#">[10.07.45]</a>. Recommendations from this assessment have been incorporated into the allocation policy requirements.</p> <p>As noted in paragraph 18.6 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>, it is understood that the area is important for farmland birds and other wildlife. Greater Manchester Ecology Unit (GMEU) advised that the birds are vulnerable to disturbance and rely on open sight lines such that it would be preferable to reduce the area allocated. Having regard to this, the PfE allocation is smaller in area than that proposed in the GMSF (when up to 2,250 homes were proposed in the first Draft). The site area in the Draft GMSF was 289.2 hectares whereas in PfE 2021 it is 30 hectares.</p> <p>The site allocation policy requires that the site is supported by breeding and winter bird surveys to understand and minimise any adverse impact on bird species in this area. Surveys of potential compensation areas should also be undertaken to demonstrate that displacement into the wider landscape is possible (criterion 12). This criterion was added on GEMU's recommendation. The allocation policy also identifies that development of the site will be required to avoid harm to protected species (criterion 11).</p> <p>Other criteria relevant to ecology / green infrastructure are identified in Annex 1 of the green infrastructure, landscape and water background report produced by the council for the site <a href="#">[10.07.46]</a>.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 22.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA28.44	Support criterion 12 but any compensation area identified must be managed and maintained under an appropriate long-term management plan.	<p>Details relating to long-term management of compensation will be a consideration through the planning application process and form part of any conditions / legal obligations that are required in relation to this matter.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.45	Support and agree with the appraisal's (HRA) recommendation that: appropriate assessment is carried out; New Moss wood, Glaze Brook Valley and Great Wolden Wood SBI are protected and enhanced; a draft masterplan should be prepared prior to development proposals dealing with on-or-off site ecological mitigation.	<p>Such issues will be considered through the masterplan and robust delivery strategy that are requirements of criteria 1 and 2 of the allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.46	Criterion 1 should be amended so it is a commitment and not just a consideration. The development must deliver opportunities to restore habitats and strengthen ecological network.	<p>Criterion 2 sets out that a robust delivery strategy is required to secure the effective delivery of the masterplan; those issues considered under criterion 1 would form part of the committed delivery strategy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.47	Concerns regarding loss of green space / recreation opportunities due to development, and the impact this has on mental health and well-being. An independent health and safety risk assessment / environmental impact assessment should be carried out.	<p>Chapters 15 and 16 of the North of Irlam allocation topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> consider issues relating to greenspace and infrastructure.</p> <p>Criterion 10 of the policy requires that development shall Integrate high levels of green infrastructure throughout the site, including retaining landscape features such as mature trees and hedgerows, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, achieve a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity, mitigate the environmental impacts of development and provide an attractive backdrop to walking and cycling routes.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 23.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>In amending the Green Belt boundary, through the allocations in PfE, opportunities have also been identified to improve/enhance green infrastructure within the land remaining in the Green Belt, including opportunities for improved access and sport and recreation opportunities, Details of the potential enhancement opportunities are detailed in Stage 2 Greater Manchester Green Belt Study - Beneficial Use Appendix F <a href="#">[07.01.18]</a>.</p> <p>There is no statutory duty to undertake a health impact assessment as part of the plan-making process. Notwithstanding this the integrated assessment <a href="#">[02.01.03]</a> Appendix D, and <a href="#">02.01.05]</a> has considered issues of health, alongside other environmental, sustainability and equality considerations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA28.48	Reassurance needed to ensure that the site does not impact upon Manchester Mosses Special Area of Conservation, and sites of special scientific interest.	<p>See Chapter 19 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>. The Habitats Regulations Assessment which is due to be submitted alongside the plan <a href="#">[11.02.01 Habitat Regulations Assessment of Places for Everyone 2022]</a> specifically considers the effects of the plan on the Manchester Mosses.</p> <p>Criterion 13 of the allocation policy requires that development shall be supported by a project specific Habitats Regulations Assessment for any planning applications involving 50 or more dwellings. As explained in the justification to the policy (paragraph 11.260) such developments may lead to traffic increases on the M62 motorway because of their size and relative proximity to the motorway. The M62 passes close to designated European sites known to be susceptible to traffic pollution, particularly nitrate deposition.</p>	E Jane Glew

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA28.49	The restoration of Little Woolden Moss will be negatively impacted by development.	<p>Given the location of the proposed allocation for housing on land to the North of Irlam station and the location of Little Woolden Moss, it is not clear what negative impacts the proposed development would have on the restoration of Little Woolden Moss.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Janet Hickson
JPA28.50	Loss of hedgerows and mature trees on the boundary between the school and the site - the mature trees provide protection from M62 noise and pollution.	<p>Issues relating to landscape are set out in chapter 17 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> and section 3 of the green infrastructure, landscape and water background report published by the city council <a href="#">[10.07.46]</a>.</p> <p>Criterion 10 of the policy requires that development shall Integrate high levels of green infrastructure throughout the site, including retaining landscape features such as mature trees and hedgerows, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, achieve a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity, mitigate the environmental impacts of development and provide an attractive backdrop to walking and cycling routes. A noise impact assessment would be required at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	C Bennett
JPA28.51	Development would adversely impact on the Salford Mosslands project.	<p>It is accepted that the allocation would lead to the loss of 30ha of the Moss. This represents only 0.8% of the total protected mosslands however; given the benefits of development include providing family and affordable homes in a location close to high quality public transport via Irlam Station this loss is considered acceptable.</p>	E Jane Glew

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA28.52	Development contrary to Nature for Climate Fund which is looking to restore peatlands across GM and Salford.	<p>Paragraph 4.7 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> explains how the allocation balances the positive and harmful impacts and the key considerations in determining the extent of the reduced site allocation boundary, which include estimated depths of peat.</p> <p>The allocation policy includes mitigation measures in relation to carbon, including managing the carbon implications of development being central to the masterplan that needs to be prepared for the site (criterion 1) and minimising the loss of the carbon function of the peat (criterion 5).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Janet Hickson
JPA28.53	Although the plan recognises the importance of Chat Moss it seeks to justify the loss of 30ha of it by saying it will not make much difference (plus additional loss of GB as a result of Port Salford proposals).	<p>It is accepted that the allocation would lead to the loss of 30ha of the Moss. This represents only 0.8% of the total protected mosslands however; given the benefits of development include providing family and affordable homes in a location close to high quality public transport via Irlam Station this loss is considered acceptable.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 24.
JPA28.54	Objection referencing impacts on the landscape.	<p>Issues relating to landscape are considered in chapter 17 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> and section 3 of the green infrastructure, landscape and water background report published by the city council <a href="#">[10.07.46]</a>.</p> <p>These reference the Salford Landscape Character Assessment <a href="#">[10.07.49]</a> and notes that the allocation land is a very flat, open landscape and therefore minimising the visual impact on the landscape will be important, as required by criterion 10 of the allocation policy 28.</p>	David Steel Louise Bentley

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	

JPA28.55	Referencing the England Peat Action Plan and the Greater Manchester Peat Pilot, Natural England state that they do not support the principle of developing on peat. It is identified that England's peatlands are our largest terrestrial carbon store and provide other valuable benefits including biodiversity rich ecosystems, improved water quality and natural flood management, the protection of historic environment features and connect people with nature. Natural England refers to the findings of the GM Peat Pilot in respect of carbon storage and estimated CO2-e loss from development on degraded lowland peatland. The representation also expressed strong support for maximising the extent of peat omitted from the development footprint and using this peat extent as a carbon bank and site for biodiversity net gain, with the potential to consider such opportunities across the wider GM Wetlands Nature Improvement Area.	<p>Paragraph 4.7 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> explains how the allocation balances the positive and harmful impacts and the key considerations in determining the extent of the reduced site allocation boundary, which include estimated depths of peat.</p> <p>The allocation policy includes mitigation measures in relation to carbon, including managing the carbon implications of development being central to the masterplan that needs to be prepared for the site (criterion 1) and minimising the loss of the carbon function of the peat (criterion 5).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1286762 and PfE10367 1279219 and PfE1677	Natural England Philip Hodson
JPA28.56	The allocation contradicts PfE Policies JP-S 2, JP-G 4 and NPPF Para 161c as it will directly result in a reduction of the amount of peat-based habitat available for restoration in the PfE area. It is one of a very limited number of undeveloped sites in the PfE area that can deliver alternative multifunctional benefits (as per NPPF Para 120b) and therefore an alternative strategy to this Allocation must be investigated as a matter of priority.	See response to JPA28.55 above.	1298936 and PfE18996	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.57	In order to achieve net zero by 2038 development would need to restore 19 times the area of the development footprint for each metre of peat depth affected - strongly support peat is retained in situ as a carbon bank / a site for BNG. The vast carbon storage potential of the lowland	See response to JPA28.55 above.	1286762 and PfE10367 1298936 and PfE18996	Natural England The Wildlife Trusts

	peat across GM can be secured by restoring the natural hydrological and ecological function of the peat. This would provide multiple natural capital benefits such as carbon sequestration, flood risk mitigation, enhanced air quality and biodiversity.			
JPA28.58	The Plan does not fully consider the importance of peat to the delivery of the Local Nature Recovery Strategy, ambitions around Net Zero and the GM 5 Year Environment Plan as well as the Climate Emergency declared by the GMCA.	See response to JPA28.55 above. It is not considered that the development of this site would prevent either net zero or the peatland restoration targets in the Greater Manchester 5 Year Environment Plan from being achieved.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	1286762 and PfE10367	Natural England
JPA28.59	Securing a measurable biodiversity net gain of 10% for this site, in line with PfE Policy JP-G 9 and NPPF Paragraphs 174d and 179b, may not be possible due to the current high value of the site, particularly depending on the extent of the remaining peat deposits.	If development could not achieve 10% net gain in biodiversity then the development would be contrary to criterion 10 of the site allocation policy. Issues relating to securing the 10% net gain would be considered at the masterplanning stage (and subsequently planning application stage), when issues such as layout would be considered in detail. The 10% net gain is also included within the Environment Act 2021.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	1279051 and PfE1451 1298936 and PfE18996 1279688 and PfE2294  1279923 and PfE2604  1283302 and PfE5232  1285859 and PfE5534 1278639 and PfE727	Lewis Nelson Cllr The Wildlife Trusts Sharon and Andrew Robinson Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith AARD - Action Against Rural Development Denise Ogden James Ness
JPA28.60	Insufficient assessment work has been undertaken re the depth of peat, flood risk, drainage, air pollution, carbon release levels, loss of carbon storage, effect on wildlife and any mitigation requirements.	The evidence base for the allocation includes ground investigations, a strategic flood risk assessment, and ecological appraisal. The North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> draws together the evidence base.  Issues around air quality are considered in Chapter 21 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> . Criterion 1 of the allocation policy requires that central to the masterplan that has to be prepared for the site is managing the carbon implications of development, whilst criterion 5 requires that the carbon storage function of the peat is minimised. In advance of	See JPA28 Appendix table 25.	See JPA28 Appendix table 25.



		<p>the masterplan and detailed plans being prepared for the site carbon release levels are unknown.</p> <p>Criterion 11 requires the development to avoid harm to protected species. In addition, Criterion 12 requires development to be supported by breeding and winter bird surveys to understand and minimise impacts on bird species, including consideration of potential compensation areas and the wider landscape.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
JPA28.61	<p>The land around Prospect Grange farm regularly supports a wintering roost of Common Snipe (Amber-listed under Birds of Conservation Concern 4) and Jack Snipe. In winter 2017-18 these fields were the third most important site in Greater Manchester for Common Snipe and the fifth for Jack Snipe. We would urge the Greater Manchester Combined Authority and Salford City Council (SCC) to give due consideration to their statutory duties under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act to "have regard to conserving biodiversity as part of your policy of decision making." In addition, in the near future, SCC will be required under the new Environment Act to draw up a district LNRS which will be legally binding. The high ecological value of the allocation should ensure its inclusion in Salford's future Nature Recovery Network.</p>	<p>An ecological appraisal has been prepared as part of the evidence base for the allocation by GMEU on behalf of the city council <a href="#">[10.07.45]</a>. Section 2 of the appraisal identifies UK legislation most relevant to the proposed site allocations, including the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act (2006).</p> <p>Policy JP-G 9 of PfE seeks a net enhancement of biodiversity assets across the plan as a whole. Specifically in relation to the North of Irlam site, criterion 10 requires a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity; other policy requirements for the allocation also clearly show that regard has been had to conserving biodiversity.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1287576 and PfE15486	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA28.62	<p>The government requires the loss of more than 20 hectares of countryside that Natural England is consulted about the proposals - there is no indication that this has been done.</p>	<p>The requirement set out in paragraph 1.3 of the Natural England Guide to assessing development proposals on agricultural land is that planning authorities must consult Natural England on all non-agricultural planning applications that result in the loss of more than 20 hectares (ha) of BMV land if the land is not included in a development plan. The paragraph referenced relates to The Town and Country Planning (Development Management</p>	1279051 and PfE1451	Lewis Nelson Cllr

		<p>Procedure) (England) Order 2015; it relates to determining planning applications through the development management process rather than the plan-making process (i.e. the preparation of PfE).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
JPA28.63	The area has little greenspace - this will be further reduced with proposed developments.	<p>The latest <a href="#">Salford open space audit</a> demonstrates that there is a good coverage of green space within the Irlam and Cadishead area which is comparable to other areas of the city. The majority of the residential population is within the maximum walking distances, as identified by local recreation standards, to District and Neighbourhood Parks, play areas for younger and older children and sports facilities. These facilities are complemented by access to a comprehensive network of public rights of way, bridleways and other footpaths within Chat Moss and connecting to the local neighbourhood.</p> <p>The proposed residential development allocation includes requirements to increase local green space provision by providing a new neighbourhood park and allotments on-site in addition to integrating high levels of green infrastructure and routes throughout the site.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1279522 and PfE2088	Rebecca Simmons
JPA28.64	Do not understand how the allocation can support the objective of improving the GM Wetlands NIA (criterion 11).	The Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area (NIA) was adopted by the Greater Manchester and Cheshire Local Nature Partnerships in May 2013, and currently covers around 48,000 hectares extending across parts of Salford, Wigan and Warrington. The NIA designation does not prevent new development. However, a location within the NIA makes it essential that a high level of suitable high quality green	1286000 and PfE6499	Sue Bridgford

		<p>infrastructure is incorporated within the development site in order to increase the area of priority habitats, improve connectivity between habitats and species populations, and enable the movement of species within the NIA and beyond, and also for development to contribute to off-site improvements within the Biodiversity Heartland to help deliver the NIA objectives. Criteria in the site allocation policy will help achieve this, including criterion 10.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
JPA28.65	Conflicts with PfE Policy JP-G 2 and NPPF Para 175 as part of the site sits within the GMSF Green Infrastructure network.	<p>PfE sets out a clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs. However, given the scale of development required to meet the needs of Greater Manchester (quantitative and qualitative) a limited amount of development is required on greenfield and Green Belt land such as at allocation 28, as it is critical to the delivery of the overall vision and objectives of the plan. The release of greenfield and Green Belt land has, however been kept to a minimum.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1298936 and PfE18996	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.66	Conflicts with the England Peat Action Plan, NPPF Para 161c Places for People Policy JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy, para 6 and Policy JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands, para 2, as it would reduce the amount of peat available for restoration in Greater Manchester.	<p>The allocation balances positive and harmful impacts. The benefits include providing family and affordable homes in a location close to high quality public transport via Irlam Station; the harmful impacts in particular relate to the loss of peat in terms of biodiversity and its role storing carbon.</p> <p>The allocation policy includes mitigation measures in relation to carbon, including managing the carbon implications of development being central to the masterplan that needs to be</p>	1285621 and PfE17931 1298936 and PfE18996	CPRE The Wildlife Trusts

		<p>prepared for the site (criterion 1) and minimising the loss of the carbon function of the peat (criterion 5).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
JPA28.67	All identified areas of peat should remain undeveloped and mitigation/compensation for the development should be on site. The restorable potential of the peat soils has not yet been investigated. The England Peat Action plan seeks to protect peatlands. Biodiversity net gain recognises the ecological value of peat with high or very high distinctiveness and as non-replaceable habitat.	See response to JPA28.55 above.	1298936 and PfE18996	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.68	With regards to criterion 11, these objectives should include the protection and enhancement of areas supporting wading birds, such as those identified within the development area.	<p>Consideration of such issues will be considered as part of the masterplanning requirement set out in criterion 1, and also criterion 12 which relates to minimising impact on bird species in the area.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1298936 and PfE18996	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.69	Salford City Council's Ground Conditions Summary identifies soil mixing using concrete as a binder as the preferred and best method of dealing with the on-site peat. Carbon will still be lost using this method and that this is contrary to the Defra Peat Action Plan as well as policies within the Places for People Strategy that aims to protect peat-based soils, i.e. Policy JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy, para 6; Policy JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands, para 2 and NPPF Para 161c as it will directly result in a reduction of the amount of peat-based habitat available for restoration in the PfE area	<p>It has been identified through ground investigations work that deep soil mixing (rather than excavation and replacement) is likely to be the preferred approach to dealing with the ground conditions <a href="#">[10.07.7]</a>, paragraph 6.5.5] on this site; this is considered to represent the most appropriate building solution from a carbon / ground condition perspective.</p> <p>The allocation balances positive and harmful impacts. The benefits include providing family and affordable homes in a location close to high quality public transport via Irlam Station; the harmful impacts in particular relate to the loss of peat in terms of biodiversity and its role storing carbon.</p>	1298936 and PfE18996	The Wildlife Trusts

		<p>The allocation policy includes mitigation measures in relation to carbon, including managing the carbon implications of development being central to the masterplan that needs to be prepared for the site (criterion 1) and minimising the loss of the carbon function of the peat (criterion 5).</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
	<b>Air Quality</b>			
JPA28.70	Development will worsen existing issues of poor air quality (in an area where there is an air quality management area designation) including through traffic, loss of green infrastructure and building on deep lying peat which acts as a carbon sink.	<p>Policy JP-S 6 of PfE identifies a comprehensive range of measures that will be taken to support improvements in air quality.</p> <p>Chapter 21 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> provides commentary with regards to the issue of air quality.</p> <p>The Air Quality Management Area associated with the M62 is located to the north of the allocation. It is not considered that the site presents any significant air quality constraints, subject to appropriate mitigation measures being taken. These matters will be considered in more detail at the masterplanning stage, and an air quality impact assessment will be required when a planning application is submitted</p> <p>Criterion 8 of the site allocation policy requires that the developments is designed to ensure good quality access by walking and cycling to Irlam rail station to encourage the use of nearby public transport services.</p> <p>Various policies within Greater Manchester's Transport Strategy 2040 are aimed at improving air quality across the Region.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 26.	See JPA28 Appendix table 26.

		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.		
	<b>Flood risk</b>			
JPA28.71	There is a shallow water table in the area.	<p>Comments noted. This can be taken into account at the masterplanning stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>1279248 and PfE1711 1279261 and PfE1727 1279536 and PfE2101 1283320 and PfE4867 1283302 and PfE5232</p>	<p>E Jane Glew Shanas Gorton Helen Hubbard Ian Hubbard AARD - Action Against Rural Development</p>
JPA28.72	Development will increase flood risk, including through increase run-off, particularly as peat holds water.	<p>The site lies entirely within flood zone 1 and it is not considered to present any significant flood risk constraints (as set out in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment – <a href="#">04.02.01</a>). The site allocation policy requires that the development incorporates green sustainable drainage to control surface water run-off (criterion 14). These matters will be considered in more detail at the masterplanning stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>1286934 and PfE1057 and PfE11370 and PfE11374 1278956 and PfE1315 1279243 and PfE1706  1279268 and PfE1737 1279877 and PfE2590 1279937 and PfE2634  1279941 and PfE2640 1279970 and PfE2659 1278243 and PfE293</p>	<p>Jamie Bentham</p> <p>Margaret Lohan Patricia and Anthony Lewis Alison Williams Steven Nelson Linda And Russell Ramsey Lisa Carruthers Janet Hickson Amanda Foster</p>
	<b>Other</b>			
JPA28.73	Land will be difficult to build on given the deep peat; in some parts of the site it is estimated to be 30m deep.	<p>Matters relating to ground conditions are set out in chapter 12 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>. This references ground investigations that have been undertaken which identify that peat depths within the allocation boundary are generally at depths of less and 3m.</p> <p>As noted in paragraph 12.5 of the topic paper “It is considered that the extent of the investigations to date have minimised the risk sufficiently to provide a reasonable indication of the ground</p>	<p>1278063 and PfE19 1279471 and PfE2063 1278052 and PfE5 1278462 and PfE517 1285859 and PfE5534</p>	<p>Colin Dixon Save Our Greenbelt Richard Whitehorse Russell Wood Denise Ogden</p>

		<p>conditions and confirm that the site can be allocated. Whilst there remains a possibility of localised risks, it is considered this could be dealt with at the detailed design stage. Further site investigation will be required at the detailed design stage (i.e. when a masterplan is produced/planning application prepared).”</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
JPA28.74	Criticism of consultation process undertaken, including previous comments being ignored, the PfE webinar hosted by the council, quality of documents in libraries, and engagement with landowners	<p>Comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA28 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 27.	See JPA28 Appendix table 27.
JPA28.75	Potential hydrology issues relating to Chat Moss and the GM Wetland Nature Improvement Area and the Manchester Mosses Special Area of Conservation; detailed hydrological assessment required.	<p>Criterion 5 of the allocation policy requires that a hydrological assessment will need to be undertaken in order to avoid any adverse impacts on the hydrology of Chat Moss. It is expected that this would be undertaken at the masterplanning/planning application stage.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1279051 and PfE1451 1279053 and PfE1453 1283320 and PfE4867	Lewis Nelson Cllr Kennet Hornly Ian Hubbard
JPA28.76	Concern regarding the impact on the well-being of local residents.	<p>There is no statutory duty to undertake a health impact assessment as part of the plan-making process. Notwithstanding this the integrated assessment <a href="#">[02.01.03]</a> Appendix D, and <a href="#">[02.01.05]</a> has considered issues of health, alongside other environmental, sustainability and equality considerations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 28.	See JPA28 Appendix table 28.
JPA28.77	Concerns regarding climate change impacts (and the council declaring a climate emergency), issues identified include development of peat lands, emissions and congestion.	PfE aims to deliver a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038 and be net zero carbon from 2028. A number of the thematic policies within it will contribute to addressing climate change – it contains policies on Sustainable Development (Policy	See JPA28 Appendix table 29.	See JPA28 Appendix table 29.

		<p>JP-S 1); Heat and Energy Networks (Policy JP-S 3); Resilience (JP-S 4); Clean Air (Policy JP-S 6); Resource Efficiency (JP-S 7); Green Infrastructure (Policies JP-G2, 5, 7, 9).</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that there can be climate change impacts resulting from new development, there is a need to find a balance between environmental, social and economic factors.</p> <p>A requirement to deliver new homes has been identified and, whilst the first priority has been to identify brownfield opportunities, it has been necessary to identify some Green Belt allocations.</p> <p>The site has been selected due to its proximity to North of Irlam train station which brings the potential to encourage the use of more sustainable forms of transport.</p> <p>The allocation policy includes mitigation measures in relation to carbon, including managing the carbon implications of development being central to the masterplan that needs to be prepared for the site (criterion 1) and minimising the loss of the carbon function of the peat (criterion 5). Other criteria of relevance are 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 17 and 18. greenspace</p> <p>Paragraph 4.7 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> explains how the allocation balances the positive and harmful impacts and the key considerations in determining the extent of the reduced site allocation boundary, which include estimated depths of peat.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--



JPA28.78	Any assessment of Greater Manchester's path to carbon neutrality that does not take account of the potential requirement for peat extraction at this allocation would be highly inaccurate, disingenuous and undermine the aspiration of the combined authority to become carbon neutral by 2038. Locating this allocation on deep peat also contradicts NPPF Para 154b.	<p>See response to JPA28.77 above. Furthermore, it has been identified through ground investigations work that deep soil mixing (rather than excavation and replacement) is likely to be the preferred approach to dealing with the ground conditions [10.07.7, paragraph 6.5.5] on this site; this is considered to represent the most appropriate building solution from a carbon / ground condition perspective.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1298936 and PfE18996	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA28.79	Concerns regarding the presence of minerals within identified allocations and that Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure Safeguarding are not shown.	<p>The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore, it is not necessary to identify them on the PfE policies map and no change is necessary.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1278639 and PfE727	James Ness
JPA28.80	Unclear how long construction would last for.	<p>Assuming that the site is developed by two housebuilders, on the basis of the normal rates of construction it is likely that construction would take between 5 and 10 years.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1278052 and PfE5	Richard Whitehorse
JPA28.81	Development will change the character of the area.	It is recognised that building 800 homes will have an impact on the character of the local area in the vicinity of the application given that currently it is characterised by open fields. However, the allocation policy seeks to mitigate this, including through criterion 10 which requires that development will be required to Integrate high levels of green infrastructure throughout the site, including retaining landscape features such as mature trees and	1279051 and PfE1451 1279059 and PfE1463 1279688 and PfE2294 1279923 and PfE2604 1279930 and PfE2621	Lewis Nelson Cllr Elisabeth Allsey Sharon and Andrew Robinson Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith Samantha Lynch

		<p>hedgerows, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, achieve a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity, mitigate the environmental impacts of development and provide an attractive backdrop to walking and cycling routes.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>1279970 and PfE2659 1278639 and PfE727</p>	<p>Janet Hickson James Ness</p>
JPA28.82	Objection referencing noise pollution.	<p>Chapter 22 of the North of Irlam Station allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> identifies that a noise impact assessment would be required at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Criterion 18 of the allocation policy requires that development of the site will be required to incorporate appropriate mitigation including tree planting to address issues of noise generated by the M62 motorway and the rail line.</p> <p>Furthermore, policy JP-C 4 requires that the design and management of streets will follow a streets for all approach, including by mitigating the impacts of air and noise pollution and carbon emissions from road Transport. With regards to the construction phase, policy JP-C 7 requires that Construction Management Plans are produced for developments, where appropriate, to mitigate construction logistics and environmental impacts including air quality and noise on the surrounding area and encourage sustainable deliveries.</p> <p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Noise pollution is covered by policy PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p>	<p>1279246 and PfE1709 1279501 and PfE2073 1279930 and PfE2621 1279948 and PfE2650 1278231 and PfE273 1278243 and PfE293 1278052 and PfE5</p>	<p>C Bennett Stephen Lovell Samantha Lynch Gary Adamson Jacqueline Griffith Amanda Foster Richard Whitehorse</p>

		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.		
JPA28.83	Objection referencing light pollution.	<p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Light pollution is covered by policy PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1279970 and PfE2659	Janet Hickson
JPA28.84	Allocation plans should be reviewed to show Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure and more detail provided in the supporting text.	<p>The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore, it is not necessary to identify them on the allocation plan or in the supporting text.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1286776 and PfE10479	Mineral Products Association
JPA28.85	No reference to coal seams under areas of the site.	<p>Although there is no specific reference to coal seams criterion 5 of the allocation policy ensures that development will be required to ensure no future problems of land stability or subsidence. This is consistent with policy PH4 (land instability) of the Salford local plan which is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1278639 and PfE727	James Ness
JPA28.86	General objection with no reasons specified	General objection noted.	<p>1285681 and PfE16026</p> <p>1279519 and PfE2085</p> <p>1279924 and PfE2609</p>	<p>Danny Lyle</p> <p>Clare Hargreaves</p>

				Helen and Craig Grindley
JPA28.87	Contrary to various local plan policies, including GB2, GB1, GI2, BG2, CC1, PH4, ED1.	<p>The Publication Salford Local Plan notes that changes to the boundaries of the Green Belt in Salford are being considered through PfE; Chapter 27 of the local plan identifies that there may need to be a review or update of it for of a number of reasons, including as a result of the GMSF (now PfE).</p> <p>With regards to Chat Moss specifically, policy GI2 of the local plan explains that any development within or near to Chat Moss shall be consistent with the priorities in policy GI2 and shall ensure that the capacity of the hydrology of the area to support bog restoration is not adversely affected.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA28 Appendix table 30.	See JPA28 Appendix table 30.
JPA28.88	A better and more sound solution may be to move large scale future housing development to the South of the district in islands along the A57 Cadishead Way.	<p>Chapter 5 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> sets out the Site Selection process for this site. This site has been selected on the basis of criterion 1 (Land which has been previously developed and/or land which is well served by public transport) of the assessment criteria, given that the vast majority of the site would be within 800m of Irlam Station. The approach suggested would lead to dispersed development that would not be as accessible, and large parts would potentially be within Northbank industrial estate where there is no indication land would be available for housing or be suitable for such a use.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1278083 and PfE22	Paul S Heslop
JPA28.89	Additional wording should be added to criterion 14 with relation to drainage, surface water run-off, water consumption rates, and consultation with UU in relation to development proposals.	Criterion 14 of the allocation policy is considered to be sound as drafted and deals with issues including drainage and surface water run-off. With regards to points of detail raised, the city council would expect Unities Utilities to be one of those	1300581 and PfE19705	United Utilities Group PLC

		<p>stakeholders that is involved in the preparation of the masterplan / framework that is required under criterion 1 of the site allocation policy and have informed the robust delivery strategy required under criterion 2 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Water efficiency measures in new developments will be a matter for district local plans to determine. This approach is considered consistent with the NPPF, particularly paragraph 28 which confirms that it is for local planning authorities ‘to set out more detailed policies for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development’. Therefore, no change to the plan is considered as necessary. There is no evidence to justify going beyond the national standard in Salford in relation to water consumption.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>		
JPA28.90	The site plan in PfE IS vague and no site area is given in the plan itself.	<p>The site is 30 hectares in size as identified in paragraph 3.1 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper [<a href="#">10.07.70</a>]. It is noted that this is not specified in the plan itself although this is not considered to be unsound. The site plan in the site allocation policy is considered to be sufficient for consultees to understand the boundary of the site, including by the inclusion of road names.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1286000 and PfE6499	Sue Bridgford
JPA28.91	Surprised no masterplan has been produced to date - unclear as to the size and location of criterion 16-19	<p>A masterplan has not been produced to date as this is a requirement under criterion 1, with the masterplan having to be developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and then be considered acceptable by the city council. This process will determine the size and location of the requirements under criterion 16 to 19.</p>	1286000 and PfE6499	Sue Bridgford

		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.		
JPA28.92	Land at Moss Brow Farm off Roscoe Rd should be within the allocation (it was included at previous stages) - it continues present a logical, sustainable and sound choice for future housing development. There are no technical reasons as to why the allocation has been reduced in size. It is a deliverable site with evidence provided in relation to suitability, ecology, transport and availability (developer interest) and achievability. Development will bring many benefits. If not allocated it should be safeguarded.	<p>As set out in paragraph 4.6 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a>, compared to GMSF 2020 the yield of the site in PfE 2021 has been reduced from the GMSF 2020 range of 1,100 - 1,400 dwellings to 800 dwellings having regard to the available evidence (with the size of the allocation reducing accordingly from 58.5h to 30ha – the PfE boundary excludes land at Moss Brow Farm off Roscoe Road as referenced in the representation).</p> <p>The evidence referred to above primarily relates to changes resulting from the delay caused by Stockport's departure from the joint plan. The PfE plan period has been revised to 2021 to 2037 (from the GMSF 2020 plan period of 2020 to 2037) and as a result the overall (and individual) housing targets have been amended and the ability of the land supply to meet these targets has consequently altered.</p> <p>In considering the potential extent of the reduced site allocation and the subsequent boundary, consideration has been given to the proximity of land to Irlam Station, the need to comply with national Green Belt policy in defining clear boundaries, and the estimated depths of peat across the site.</p> <p>In these circumstances a smaller allocation at North of Irlam Station is considered to be justified.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1286817 and PfE19863	John Hamer
JPA28.93	Given multiple ownerships, question the deliverability of the allocation.	The site is in a small number of different ownerships; discussions have been had with the relevant landowners who are aware of the need for the sites to come forward in a comprehensive	<p>1301695 and PfE19833</p> <p>1286817 and PfE19863</p>	<p>Wainhomes (NW) Ltd and Persimmon Homes</p> <p>John Hamer</p>

		manner and co-ordinated manner. On this basis the allocation site is considered as a whole to be developable and deliverable.		
JPA28.94	Evidence base fails to set out why land included in the Draft GMSF has been excluded from the PfE boundary - undue focus on proximity to train station. The revised allocation would not meet the identified shortfall of larger, family-sized and affordable homes.	<p>Chapter 5 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> sets out the Site Selection process for this site. This site has been selected on the basis of criterion 1 (Land which has been previously developed and/or land which is well served by public transport) of the assessment criteria, given that the vast majority of the site would be within 800m of Irlam Station.</p> <p>The allocation is providing 800 houses which would include a range of sizes and affordable housing (200 houses) in order to meet a range of needs.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1301695 and PfE19833	Wainhomes (NW) Ltd and Persimmon Homes
JPA28.95	Land off Springfield Lane and School Lane should be allocated for development	<p>Chapter 5 of the North of Irlam Station topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.70]</a> sets out the Site Selection process for this site. This site has been selected on the basis of criterion 1 (Land which has been previously developed and/or land which is well served by public transport) of the assessment criteria, given that the vast majority of the site would be within 800m of Irlam Station. Land off Springfield Lane and School Lane is around 2km from the station and so on this basis it is clearly not as accessible as the site that has been allocated.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	1301695 and PfE19833	Wainhomes (NW) Ltd and Persimmon Homes
JPA28.96	Objections to JPA27 Land East of Boothstown with various issues raised.	Comments have been recorded against PfE Allocation JPA27 Land East of Boothstown and responded to in the relevant summary table.	1287575 and PfE15479 1286241 and PfE19108	Julie Ward Philip Crombleholme

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 29 – Port Salford Extension

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	<b>Principle / scale of development</b>		
JPA29.1	Support for the allocation and the principle of an integrated tri-modal facility.	Support noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd Martin Arthur Historic England
JPA29.2	Technical analysis demonstrates that there are no technical or overriding environmental constraints to developing the site.	Comment noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.3	Site should be considered after giving priority to the main Port Salford site.	<p>Criterion 3 of the PfE site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	David Steel
JPA29.4	Sheer scale of development is unacceptable.	<p>The scale of development responds to the tri-modal opportunities that the site will benefit from (and is unique in Greater Manchester). Chapter 5 of the Port Salford extension Allocation Topic Paper sets out the rationale for the section of the site <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 1.
JPA29.5	Currently too many unknowns, for example is there a definitive time frame on the multimodal Port Salford?	<p>The first phase of highways works associated with Port Salford (Part WG1S) was completed and opened in late 2017.</p> <p>The preferred rail link option has been approved by Network Rail (NR) via the Governance for Railway Investment Projects (GRIP) and GRIP 3 sign off was recently achieved.</p>	National Highways



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>It is understood that Peel is at advanced stage of securing funding, and it is anticipated that the main contract works, and delivery of the rail link and high performance container facility will commence in Spring 2022 and will be operation in early 2024. The canal berth will be delivered once the rail link is operational and in response to business demand.</p> <p>Criterion 3 of the PfE site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.6	The expansion would further blight Barton, once a pleasant rural area. Any development should be limited to the South and West of Tunnel Farm.	<p>The justification for the proposal, and its boundary (see chapter 4), is set out in the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a></p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	David Yates
JPA29.7	Removal of the designation would allow the landowner to put forward planning applications that the council would be powerless to resist.	<p>The site allocation policy sets out specific requirements for the development of the site and any future planning application would be considered in relation to them.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
JPA29.8	Release of the site is premature without infrastructure associated with the permitted Port Salford in place.	Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted	National Highways

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.9	Reference to two dwellings within the area that it is considered should remain within the Green Belt.	<p>Commentary in respect of the site allocation boundary identified is provided in chapter 4 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>The dwellings identified within the representation fall within the allocation boundary and would become detached from the wider Green Belt as a result. It would not therefore be possible to retain the dwellings within the Green Belt.</p> <p>Criterion 20 of the PfE site allocation policy states that development will be required to “protect the amenity of remaining residential properties within or on the edge of the allocation, including through the provision of appropriate landscape buffers”.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Fiona Stringer
JPA29.10	Proposal is unsustainable.	<p>The site has been selected in order to capitalise on the opportunity presented by Port Salford’s tri-modal connections in terms of encouraging the sustainable movement of freight and attracting investment to the sub-region. PfE paragraphs 10.69 – 10.72 and policy JP-C 6 provide further details with regards to the approach to the sustainable and efficient movement of freight.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Sue Campayne Vicky Harper

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA29.11	The site should be removed from Places for Everyone/general objection to the proposal.	<p>The justification for the proposal is set out in the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>. In summary, the allocation has been identified to capitalise on tri-modal freight handling infrastructure to be delivered as part of the permitted Port Salford to the south of the A57. Port Salford has been identified as a key asset for Greater Manchester that will distinguish it from its competitors. The Port, and its extension, as is proposed through this allocation, has the potential to support more sustainable freight movements and attract investment into the Greater Manchester conurbation.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 2.
JPA29.12	Support principle (only) of tri-modal facility.	Support noted	CPRE
JPA29.13	Plan is not positively prepared as required by NPPF paragraph 35.	<p>The justification for the proposal is set out in the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Rachel Widdicombe Jannine McMahon Janet Hickson

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA29.14	The JPA 29 allocation boundary has been drafted incorrectly. It should be extended to include a small area to the south where the corner of a proposed logistics unit and the highway infrastructure (A57-M62 link road) is proposed.	<p>The western boundary of the allocation has been drawn to follow the line (indicative) of the proposed A57-M62 link road. There is not yet an agreed proposal in this regard. Similarly, the layout of development is yet to be determined, as required by criterion 1 of the allocation policy. No change to the allocation boundary is therefore proposed.</p> <p>Commentary in respect of the boundary identified is provided in chapter 4 of the Port Salford Extension Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.15	The first sentence of the policy should be modified as follows: ii. “A major expansion of Port Salford accommodating around 355,000320,000 sqm of employment floorspace <u>and 3,000 sqm of ancillary floorspace</u> will be delivered to the north and west of Barton Aerodrome...”	<p>The policy is not definitive on the level of floorspace that will be delivered, describing that <u>around</u> 320,000sqm of employment floorspace will be developed. There is therefore the potential for flexibility around this figure subject to the requirements of the policy being met and all other relevant material considerations.</p> <p>The policy describes that there will be a strong focus on logistics activities but also incorporating high quality manufacturing floorspace. Proposals for ancillary floorspace in support of this main role would again need to be considered in relation to the various requirements of the policy and also other relevant material considerations.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.16	The 1st stated criteria of the policy should be modified to read: “Be in accordance with a masterplan/framework or <del>Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</del> that has been developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders, and is considered	The masterplan requirement will allow local community to be involved in how the site will be developed. The Revised Draft GMSF required that any masterplan/framework or SPD should be adopted by the city council. This has been amended so that the requirement	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	acceptable by the city council, <del>or in the case of an SPD adopted by the City Council.</del> <u>This requirement can be satisfied through the progression of an outline or full planning application for the majority of the site which includes a masterplan for the full extent of the allocated area.</u>	for a masterplan/framework does not need adopting by the city council, rather it has to be considered acceptable by the city council (see criterion 1 of the allocation policy).  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA29.17	The 3rd stated criteria of the policy should be removed.	Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.  The site has been selected on the basis of the opportunity to utilise infrastructure connections to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford to the south of the A57. The infrastructure components identified in criterion 3 are integral parts of the scheme and essential to its success and are specifically referenced in PfE policies JP-C 6 and JP-Strat 4.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.18	Land to the west of the allocation and east of Irlam should be identified as part of the Port Salford Extension allocation.  The illustrative masterplan prepared by the site promoter illustrates that a gap between residential and commercial uses can be maintained between Irlam and the Port Salford Extension, such that the character and distinctiveness of the settlement can be maintained.	It is not considered that the boundary of the allocation should be expanded to include the area of land referred to. The western boundary of the allocation follows an indicative line for a potential A57-M62 link road. This along with the M62 to the north and the rail spur into the permitted Port Salford to the south of the A57 will provide definitive Green Belt boundaries whilst retaining Green Belt land between the major development proposed and the residential areas of Irlam and Eccles. Whilst these settlements have merged to a degree, the allocation boundary would ensure that some open land would remain between them as relevant to Green Belt purpose	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	<p>The proposed uses for the additional land (small scale employment units, roadside amenity uses and other ancillary uses e.g. college or academy providing space for training and apprenticeship development) are complementary to the land uses at the Port Salford Extension and will act as a 'hub' for Port Salford at a gateway entrance into Salford .</p> <p>The additional land provides opportunities for infrastructure and landscape enhancements as well as significant community and social benefits including the potential for a LEAP, NEAP, MUGA, skate park, pump track, trim trail and tree top adventure park The Mossland Gateway Opportunity and event space could include amenities and facilities such as farm shops, community space and cafes.</p>	<p>'b' as set out in paragraph 134 of the NPPF (to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another).</p> <p>Commentary in respect of the boundary identified is provided in chapter 4 of the Port Salford Extension Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Housing (inc affordable housing)</b>		
JPA29.19	Oppose the development on grounds of loss of residential amenity.	<p>Criterion 20 of the allocation policy requires development to: "Protect the amenity of remaining residential properties within or on the edge of the allocation, including through the provision of appropriate landscaped buffers".</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 3.
JPA29.20	No affordable or eco homes.	The site has been identified for employment development on the basis of the opportunity to utilise infrastructure connections to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford to the south of the A57. On this basis no homes (affordable, eco or otherwise) are to be provided as part of the allocation. As outlined in PfE policy JP-H 2, PfE aims to deliver its share of at least 50,000 affordable homes across Greater Manchester. The other PfE allocations in Salford (which are proposed for housing) require that a proportion of the homes are affordable.	Vicky Harper

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA29.21	This is not allocated for residential/housing development.	See response to JPA29.20 above.	Greater Manchester Housing Providers
	<b>Employment and Economy</b>		
JPA29.22	Site will meet the specific locational demands of the growing logistics sector and support economic growth in Greater Manchester in accordance with the strategic objectives of PfE with significant employment, GVA and business rate gains.	Comment noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.23	Question requirement for the development with reference to a more realistic economic ambition and the potential impact of Brexit.	<p>As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Sue Campayne
	<b>Green Belt</b>		
JPA29.24	No strategic need to deallocate Green Belt if the port is essential as this would provide the very special circumstances to override the policy protection.	The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> .	Lynne Hudson Philip Lindoe

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The need to deliver the long-term positive outcomes of the Greater Manchester Strategy is considered to amount to exceptional circumstances which justify altering the boundaries of the Green Belt. The case for exceptional circumstances is explained further in chapter 14 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>. Tri-modal facilities at Port Salford will support a more sustainable logistics sector and enabling its expansion will help to significantly boost the competitiveness of Greater Manchester.</p> <p>Port Salford and its tri-modal connections have been identified as a strategic opportunity for Greater Manchester and it is therefore appropriate to consider its allocation through Places for Everyone in order to provide greater certainty around its deliverability.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.25	Object to the loss of Green Belt. Issues identified included a lack of exceptional circumstances, loss of role as a 'green lung', setting of a precedent, and settlements merging.	<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p> <p>The need to deliver the long-term positive outcomes of the Greater Manchester Strategy is considered to amount to exceptional circumstances which justify altering the boundaries of the Green</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 4.



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Belt. The case for exceptional circumstances is explained further in chapter 14 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>. Tri-modal facilities at Port Salford will support a more sustainable logistics sector and enabling its expansion will help to significantly boost the competitiveness of Greater Manchester.</p> <p>Port Salford and its tri-modal connections have been identified as a strategic opportunity for Greater Manchester and it is therefore appropriate to consider its allocation through Places for Everyone in order to provide greater certainty around its deliverability.</p> <p>An assessment of Green Belt harm resulting from the release of Places for Everyone allocations has been undertaken. It is identified that the release of this allocation would cause ‘moderate’ harm to Green Belt purposes and ‘no/negligible’ harm to adjacent Green Belt. Further details are set out in chapter 14 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>The land between the proposed site allocation and Irlam which is currently designated as Green Belt is proposed to be retained as Green Belt in Places for Everyone.</p> <p>Remaining Green Belt would continue to be protected in line with national policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.26	Contribution to Green Belt purposes is limited and far outweighed by the economic benefits. Site is separated from the wider Green Belt by the M62 which will form a long term defensible boundary, is	Comment noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	surrounded on all sides by urbanising features which are part of a contiguous urban area and has an urban-fringe character.		
JPA29.27	Special circumstances exist to justify releasing the site from the Green Belt to meet the specific locational demands of the growing logistics sector and support economic growth in Greater Manchester in accordance with the strategic objectives of PfE.	Comment noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.28	Releasing land from the Green Belt for a second phase without any progress on the first seems reckless, poorly thought out and unjustified. It would leave a large area of land with no protection, vulnerable to speculative applications, which may have no link to a port. Should Port Salford not progress, it would be extremely difficult to return it to the greenbelt.	<p>The response to JPA29.5 includes an update on progress in relation to the infrastructure associated with the permitted Port Salford south of the A57.</p> <p>Criterion 3 of the PfE site allocation policy requires that the development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>The site allocation policy sets out specific requirements for the development of the site and any future planning application would be considered in relation to them.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	David Steel Philip Lindoe
JPA29.29	Retention of the Green Belt designation would protect the land from inappropriate development and speculative applications whilst ensuring that land is retained for possible future port expansion.	See response to JPA29.24 above.	Philip Lindoe
JPA29.30	The plans on which this allocation depend are too shaky and insecure to warrant the loss of our important Green Belt land.	See response to JPA29.5 and JPA29.28 above.	David Steel Barbara Keeley
	<b>Brownfield</b>		
JPA29.31	Focus should instead be on available brownfield sites including those in Trafford Park.	In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the	See JPA29 Appendix table 5.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>. JPA29 Port Salford Extension as it is critical to the delivery of the overall vision and objectives of the plan.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Transport – Highways / Public Transport / Cycling / Walking</b>		
JPA29.32	Development will exacerbate significant existing issues of congestion on nearby local road network (with reference made to there being only one road through the area) and strategic roads (M60) including the cumulative impact of planned housing in Irlam and Carrington.	<p>Issues of congestion on the highway network in proximity to the site are recognised and interventions are being investigated at a strategic level by National Highways through their North West Quadrant Study. The need for intervention is similarly recognise in the Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> , with the associated five year delivery plan 2021-26 <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a>, page 120] identifying that in the next five years options will be developed for multi-modal interventions to tackle congestion on the M60 North West Quadrant.</p> <p>Transport assessments (known as locality assessments have been prepared for the Port Salford Extension allocation <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> have been undertaken having regard to the cumulative impacts of development. An overview of the evidence available in respect of transport is covered in chapter 10 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 6.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>There is sufficient confidence through the locality assessment undertaken that the allocation can be delivered without unacceptable highway impacts. Further work is needed to confirm the details of the required infrastructure package, but supporting evidence indicates that impacts can be adequately mitigated. Work in this area is continuing in discussion with National Highways.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.33	Insufficient information has been provided about the impact on the Strategic Road Network, scale of development likely to give rise to significant traffic impacts on both an individual and cumulative level.	<p>Transport Locality Assessment – Salford <a href="#">[09.01.13]</a> (pages 197 to 204) – GMSF2020 and Transport Locality Assessment Addendum – [Salford] <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a> (pages 50 to 52) provide detailed information on the nature, scale and timing of infrastructure requirements at the SRN. In relation to the proposed Port Salford Extension allocation, further work is also needed to confirm the details of the required infrastructure package, but the supporting evidence indicates that impacts can be adequately mitigated. Work in this area is continuing in discussion with National Highways</p> <p>The locality assessment report states (on page 11 of <a href="#">[09.01.25]</a>) that all sites associated with the allocations will be expected to prepare a Transport Assessment as part of a planning application to develop final, rather than indicative proposals, which mitigate the impact of the site. The full scope of the Transport Assessments will be determined by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority and National Highways) on a site-by-site basis, depending on the nature, scale and timing of the application, in accordance with the NPPF.</p> <p>In addition, the Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 7.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026. We are also working alongside National Highways to prepare a further piece of work examining a “policy-off/worst-case” impact on the SRN to help address National Highways remaining concerns.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.34	Insufficient / inadequate transport infrastructure in place.	See response to JPA29.32 above.	See JPA29 Appendix table 8.
JPA29.35	Lack of detail regarding transport infrastructure improvements.	See response to JPA29.32 above.	<p>James Ness</p> <p>Jannine McMahon</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>National Highways</p> <p>Barbara Keeley</p>
JPA29.36	Comments questioning the delivery and timing of the infrastructure linked to the permitted Port Salford.	<p>The first phase of highways works associated with Port Salford (Part WG1S) was completed and opened in late 2017.</p> <p>The preferred rail link option has been approved by Network Rail (NR) via the Governance for Railway Investment Projects (GRIP) and GRIP 3 sign off was recently achieved.</p> <p>It is understood that Peel is at advanced stage of securing funding, and it is anticipated that the main contract works, delivery of the rail link and high performance container facility, will commence in Spring 2022 and will be operational in early 2024. It is further understood that the canal berth will be delivered by Peel once the rail link is operational and in response to business demand.</p>	<p>AARD - Action Against Rural Development</p> <p>Peel L&amp;P Investments (North) Ltd</p> <p>National Highways</p> <p>David Yates</p> <p>Philip Lindoe</p> <p>Barbara Keeley</p> <p>Martin Arthur</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.37	Phasing of the site needs to be linked to the delivery of the permitted Port Salford.	<p>Criterion 3 of the allocation policy (JPA29) states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	AARD - Action Against Rural Development Martin Arthur
JPA29.38	The allocation will support the business case for infrastructure improvements including proposed link road from the A57 to the M62; expansion of Trafford Park Metrolink; Park and Ride and Junction 12 of the M60; and a new Western Gateway Rail station.	Comment noted	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.39	Concerns that users may not rely equally on road water and rail based modes. Policy should support equal shares and limit volume of HGV movements to ensure it really is a tri-modal facility.	<p>The allocation recognises the potential to utilise the infrastructure to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford. Any requirements in respect of modal share are likely to be difficult to assign and implement; however any potential need for such quotas could be considered as part of the transport assessment as part of any future planning application.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	CPRE

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA29.40	Insufficient investment in quays and docks to remove road traffic.	<p>The permitted Port Salford scheme to the south of the A57 will be served by a rail link and canal berths/ container terminal providing new opportunities to transport freight by rail and water.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	David Steel
JPA29.41	Bus services through the area are delayed and/or cancelled due to congestion.	<p>Criterion 8 of the PfE site allocation policy describes that development of the site will be required to: Maximise links to existing public transport services and support new routes and enhanced services as appropriate, including accommodating a potential extension of the Trafford Park Metrolink line to serve Port Salford.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>M P Stemmer</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p>
JPA29.42	Concerns in relation to impacts on road safety.	<p>Criterion 5 of the PfE site allocation policy describes that development of the site will be required to: Deliver necessary highway improvements of a strategic and local nature to cater for the additional traffic created by the expansion of Port Salford in a way that is compatible with any proposals for the enhancement of the wider motorway network and ensures the safe and efficient operation of the local road network;</p> <p>Issues of road safety would therefore be considered further as part of any future planning application.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jannine McMahon</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>Harry McMahon</p>
JPA29.43	Support for a Metrolink extension to and through the area.	Support noted	Richard Critchley
JPA29.44	Supportive of a new station to enable more sustainable travel.	Support noted	CPRE

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA29.45	Not close to major rail links and motorways.	<p>The site has been selected on the basis of the opportunity to utilise infrastructure connections to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford to the south of the A57. These include highway improvements, a rail link and canal berths. The site selection process is discussed in chapter 5 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jackie And Anthony Norcross Taylor Norcross Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith Paul Roebuck</p>
JPA29.46	Unacceptably weak assurances that works to this site would not be commenced until the rail link and other highway improvements have been completed are operational.	<p>Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>AARD - Action Against Rural Development Barbara Keeley</p>
JPA29.47	This document provides unacceptably weak assurances about the ongoing maintenance and full operation of this transport infrastructure.	<p>Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational and there is a clear commitment to the ongoing maintenance and full operation of this transport infrastructure.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Barbara Keeley
JPA29.48	There is no committed funding place for the rail link and no operator identified to run it. It would be the responsibility of Peel Holdings to identify the funding and the timescale is said to be 5 years of more.	The preferred rail link option has been approved by Network Rail (NR) via the Governance for Railway Investment Projects (GRIP) and GRIP 3 sign off was recently achieved.	Barbara Keeley



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>It is understood that Peel is at advanced stage of securing funding and it is anticipated that the main contract works, delivery of the rail link and high performance container facility, will commence in Spring 2022 and will be operation in early 2024. It is further understood that the canal berth will be delivered by Peel once the rail link is operational and in response to business demand.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.49	The container terminal and lifting cranes for the terminal (and funding of up to £35 million) would not happen until after the rail link is in place in 5 years or more and it would be up to Peel Holdings to find the funding.	<p>It is understood that the canal berth associated with Port Salford will be delivered by Peel once the rail link is operational and in response to business demand.</p> <p>Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Barbara Keeley
JPA29.50	In terms of the canal berths, I understand the Ship Canal is part-owned by a Dutch Company. They and Peel would have to make the operational and financial arrangements for this, and this has not been done.	See response to JPA29.49 above.	Barbara Keeley
JPA29.51	I understand that there is no funding committed or firm plan for the highway improvements needed (Described as WGIS2). These improvements are needed for new slip roads onto the M60 to make sure that heavy goods traffic from Port Salford does not swamp local roads. It is 9-10 years since the modelling was originally done for these roads and the cost of these highway improvements is put at	Salford City Council continues to work with partners in both the public and private sector to plan for infrastructure to support the development of the Western Gateway. This includes reviewing the 'WGIS2' infrastructure and the options for funding this in the future.	Barbara Keeley

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	£150 million. No such sum of money has been committed by Government and it would also require a 20% contribution from the local authority or the GM Combined Authority. I understand that there is no commitment to fund this 20% sum (£30 million) towards the highway improvements. I heard these improvements described as potentially being "a monster you can't fund".	<p>Criterion 3 of the site allocation policy states that development of the site will not commence until the rail link, highway improvements, canal berths and container terminal associated with the permitted Port Salford Scheme to the south of the A57 have been completed and are operational.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.52	Objection referencing aircraft.	<p>Criterion 9 of the site allocation policy requires development of the site to “protect the full functioning and operational safety of Barton Aerodrome”.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Maureen And Steve Drinkwater
JPA29.53	Site is too reliant on car access.	<p>Criterion 8 of the site allocation policy describes that development of the site will be required to: Maximise links to existing public transport services and support new routes and enhanced services as appropriate, including accommodating a potential extension of the Trafford Park Metrolink line to serve Port Salford.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Richard Critchley
JPA29.54	Policy does not emphasise enough the need to extend Metrolink or an alternative cheaper 3rd generation tram system to Port Salford. There must be a very early commitment to extending the Metrolink or another tramway AND in advance of any less justified extensions of the network.	<p>Criterion 8 of the site allocation policy requires development to “maximise links to existing public transport services and support new routes and enhanced services as appropriate, including accommodating a potential extension of the Trafford Park Metrolink line to serve Port Salford”.</p> <p>The TfGM Five Year Delivery Plan 2021 – 2026 <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a>, page 113 and Map 3, identifies that options will be developed for a Metrolink extension in the next five years. It describes that the final</p>	Richard Critchley

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>intervention will be contingent on appropriate planning approvals and developer contributions.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.55	Public transport investment should proceed, and so underpin, not follow housing and other developments.	<p>Criterion 8 of the site allocation policy requires development to “Maximise links to existing public transport services and support new routes and enhanced services as appropriate, including accommodating a potential extension of the Trafford Park Metrolink line to serve Port Salford.”</p> <p>Where investment in such infrastructure is a requirement of any future planning application appropriate phasing can be secured as part of any permission granted.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>David Steel</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>Richard Critchley</p>
	<b>Social Infrastructure</b>		
JPA29.56	Concerns relating to the capacity of community infrastructure (including schools, doctors, dentists, and other basic amenities).	<p>The allocation proposes employment development as such there are no education or site-specific health provision/mitigation arising from it. The capacity of local social infrastructure is more appropriately considered in relation to new housing development. This is explained in chapters 23 and 24 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>James Ness</p> <p>Rachel Widdicombe</p> <p>M P Stemmer</p> <p>Sue Campanye</p> <p>Janet Hickson</p> <p>Katherine Allsey</p> <p>Mike Bolton</p> <p>Kelly Baker</p>
	<b>Environmental – Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity, open space</b>		
JPA29.57	Technical analysis demonstrates that there are no technical or overriding environmental constraints to developing the site.	Comment noted	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA29.58	Object to loss of agricultural land.	Chapter 12 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a> considers ground conditions. Agricultural land data suggests that majority of the site comprises grade 1 agricultural land (grades 1 to 3a are defined as the best and most versatile agricultural land). The majority landowner considers that the site does not comprise best and most versatile agricultural land, based on its understanding of the site and its experience of farming it. On balance, the unique economic opportunity provided by the location is considered to outweigh the loss of the land's farming potential.	See JPA29 Appendix table 9.
JPA29.59	Concerns regarding loss of green space / recreation opportunities.	<p>Criteria in the site allocation policy seek to mitigate the impact on recreation, particularly criterion 11 which requires that full compensation for the loss of the golf course is provided, and criterion 12 which requires high levels of landscaping and the achievement of a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity.</p> <p>See also the response to JPA29.73 below with regards to the strategic rationale for the allocation.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 10.
JPA29.60	Negative impact/ loss of wildlife and habitats including protected species.	An ecological appraisal has been undertaken for the site by the Greater Manchester Ecology Unit on behalf of the city council <a href="#">[10.07.51]</a> . This appraisal has been used to inform the site allocation policy criteria in order to mitigate the impacts development (in particular criteria 12, 13, 14 and 15 of the allocation document). Criterion 13 specifically identifies that harm to protected species should be avoided, whilst the justification to the site allocation policy (at paragraph 11.266) identifies that wider ecological surveys, including water vole, brown hare, great crested newts and birds will be required prior to any development.	See JPA29 Appendix table 11.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>See also the response to JPA29.73 below with regards to the strategic rationale for the allocation.</p> <p>TEP has prepared an ecological representation for the site on behalf of the site promoter <a href="#">[10.07.56]</a>.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.61	Barton Moss is an integral part of the wider Chat Moss ecological network.	See response to JPA29.60 above.	See JPA29 Appendix table 12.
JPA29.62	The absence of any accompanying analysis of the natural capital of this allocation, and specifically of its habitats & species of principal importance and its ecological networks, preclude further objective nature conservation comment on the justification for the selection of this site.	See response to JPA29.60 above.	David Steel
JPA29.63	Further information is required, to understand how the site will support the objectives of the Great Manchester Wetlands NIA (Point 13). Would like to see habitat restoration and re-wetting in this location.	<p>The Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area (NIA) was adopted by the Greater Manchester and Cheshire Local Nature Partnerships in May 2013, and currently covers around 48,000 hectares extending across parts of Salford, Wigan and Warrington. The NIA designation does not prevent new development.</p> <p>However, a location within the NIA makes it essential that a high level of suitable high quality green infrastructure is incorporated within the development site in order to increase the area of priority habitats, improve connectivity between habitats and species populations, and enable the movement of species within the NIA and beyond, and also for development to contribute to off-site improvements within the Biodiversity Heartland to help deliver the NIA objectives.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Criteria in the site allocation policy will help achieve this, including criterion 11 (i.e. through incorporating high levels of landscaping, including the retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where practicable). The location of the site within the Nature Improvement Area has also informed a number of the policy criteria, particularly criteria 12, 13, 14 and 15.</p> <p>See also the response to JPA29.73 below with regards to the strategic rationale for the allocation.</p> <p>As noted in the justification to the site allocation policy (at paragraph 11.266) the priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancements required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity from the development of the site is likely to include the enhancement of Foxhill Glen Site of Biological Importance, ecological enhancements to remaining areas of Green Belt to the site's south western and north eastern boundaries and the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the north.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.64	Development of the site is not compatible with the Nature Improvement Area objectives and therefore does not comply with GMSF Policy JP-G9.	See response to JPA29.63 above.	David Steel Samantha Dugmore Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group The Wildlife Trusts
JPA29.65	Further reassurance is needed to ensure that the site does not impact upon Manchester Mosses Special Area of Conservation.	Potential impacts upon the Manchester Mosses Special Area of Conservation are considered in the Habitats Regulations Assessment and Air Quality Assessment for Places for Everyone [documents <a href="#">02.02.01</a> and <a href="#">02.02.02</a> ]. The Habitats Regulations	Janet Hickson CPRE The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Assessment which is due to be submitted alongside the plan <a href="#">[11.02.01 Habitat Regulations Assessment of Places for Everyone 2022]</a> specifically considers the effects of the plan on the Manchester Mosses.</p> <p>Moreover, criterion 16 of the site allocation policy requires that any planning applications involving a floorspace of 1,000 sqm and above would be supported by a project specific Habitats Regulations Assessment. The justification to the site allocation policy (at paragraph 11.266) explains that this is required such developments may lead to traffic increases on the M62 motorway because of their size and relative proximity to the motorway. The M62 passes close to designated European sites known to be susceptible to traffic pollution, particularly nitrate deposition. The criterion and supporting text were added in response to earlier comments from Natural England.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.66	Concerned that the capacity of the environment is at its limit.	<p>Section C of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>, which contains chapters 14 to 22, sets out environmental considerations relating to the allocation.</p> <p>The site allocation policy contains a number of criteria to avoid or mitigate harm to the environment (particularly criteria 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, and 23). In addition, criterion 12 of the site allocation policy requires development to achieve a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>David Steel</p> <p>Chris and Ruth Roach</p> <p>Janet Hickson</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA29.67	Allocation provides opportunities to secure net gains for nature and communities.	Comments noted. Criterion 12 of the site allocation policy requires development to achieve a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd The Wildlife Trusts
JPA29.68	Opportunity to demonstrate an exemplar development using green infrastructure designed to support biodiversity and strengthen coherent ecological networks beyond the site boundary.	The masterplanning requirements of criterion 1 of the allocation policy, together with the specific requirements of criteria 12 to 19, will provide an opportunity to consider these issues in further detail.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd The Wildlife Trusts
JPA29.69	Ecological net gains should be sought as well as sensitively designed green infrastructure that will reduce the impact of any species fragmentation.	The issue raised is addressed by criteria 12 to 16 of the site allocation policy, which includes a requirement for a 10% net gain in biodiversity.  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	David Steel The Wildlife Trusts
JPA29.70	Concerns relating to loss of carbon storage function of peat and implications of developing it for climate change.	Chapters 12 and 15 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper provide commentary on the presence of peat on the site.  Criterion 18 of the site allocation policy requires that development “Minimise the loss of the carbon storage function of the peat and avoid any adverse impacts on the hydrology of surrounding areas of peat/mossland, whilst ensuring that there is no potential for future problems of land stability or subsidence.”  Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	James Ness Rachel Widdicombe David Steel Chris and Ruth Roach Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith CPRE Natural England The Wildlife Trusts
JPA29.71	Development would be visually intrusive in its open rural location.	It is recognised that the proposal will have a significant impact on the existing landscape.	David Steel Sue Campayne Janet Hickson



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>This site has been selected due to its proximity to the infrastructure to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford south of the A57, including rail and water connections. This has the potential to enhance the competitiveness of Greater Manchester for new investment and encourage the sustainable movement of freight. The site selection process is discussed in chapter 5 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Further commentary around landscape impacts and mitigation included within the policy is provided in chapter 17 of the Port Salford Extension Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>. Criterion 12 of the site allocation policy requires that the development incorporates high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.72	Loss of the golf course has had a detrimental impact on the local community.	<p>The golf course on the site was closed in 2018. Criterion 11 of the site allocation policy states that development will be required to “Justify and provide full compensation for the loss of the golf course in accordance with paragraph 97 of the NPPF (February 2019) or any subsequent revision of national planning policy.”</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Rachel Widdicombe
JPA29.73	Objection on the basis of expanding industrial areas onto the mossland.	The site provides a unique opportunity within Greater Manchester to deliver part of the sub-region’s economic development requirements in close proximity to the tri-modal connections to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford south of the A57 in Irlam. As such the site is considered to be a unique opportunity to distinguish Greater Manchester from its competitors and also to encourage the	See JPA29 Appendix table 13.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>sustainable movement of freight. The site selection process is discussed in chapter 5 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Given the significance of the opportunity presented, subject to the mitigation identified within the allocation policy, the site's allocation through Places for Everyone is considered to be justified.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.74	<p>Referencing the England Peat Action Plan and the Greater Manchester Peat Pilot, Natural England state that they do not support the principle of developing on peat. It is identified that England's peatlands are our largest terrestrial carbon store and provide other valuable benefits including biodiversity rich ecosystems, improved water quality and natural flood management, the protection of historic environment features and connect people with nature. Natural England refers to the findings of the GM Peat Pilot in respect of carbon storage and estimated CO2-e loss from development on degraded lowland peatland. The representation also expressed strong support for maximising the extent of peat omitted from the development footprint and using this peat extent as a carbon bank and site for biodiversity net gain, with the potential to consider such opportunities across the wider GM Wetlands Nature Improvement Area.</p>	<p>Chapters 12 and 15 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a> provide commentary on the presence of peat on the site.</p> <p>Criterion 12 of the site allocation policy requires development to achieve a 10% net gain in biodiversity, whilst criterion 13 requires development to support the objectives for the Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area.</p> <p>In accordance with criterion 18, the development of the site will be required to "Minimise the loss of the carbon storage function of the peat and avoid any adverse impacts on the hydrology of surrounding areas of peat/mossland, whilst ensuring that there is no potential for future problems of land stability or subsidence."</p> <p>The above criteria will be relevant considerations in the production of a masterplan for the site as is required by criterion 1 of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>See also the response to JPA29.73 above with regards to the strategic rationale for the allocation.</p>	<p>Natural England The Wildlife Trusts</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA29.75	Objection to development of moss land.	See response to JPA29.73 above.	See JPA29 Appendix table 14.
JPA29.76	Objection referencing impacts on the landscape.	See response to JPA29.71 above.	See JPA29 Appendix table 15.
JPA29.77	In reference to presence of protected bird species, urge that due consideration is given to statutory duties under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006.	<p>An ecological appraisal has been prepared as part of the evidence base for the allocation by GMEU on behalf of the city council <a href="#">[10.07.51]</a>. Section 2 of the appraisal identifies UK legislation most relevant to the proposed site allocations, including the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act (2006).</p> <p>Criterion 13 of the site allocation policy specifically identifies that harm to protected species should be avoided. Criterion 14 relates to undertaking breeding and winter bird surveys to understand and minimise any adverse impact on bird species in this area; surveys of potential compensation areas should also be undertaken to demonstrate that displacement is possible into the wider landscape</p> <p>In addition, any planning application will need to be supported by all the required information such that duties with regards to protected species are complied with. This is consistent with the supporting text for the allocation which identifies that wider ecological surveys, including water vole, brown hare, great crested newts and birds will be required prior to any development.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Samantha Dugmore Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA29.78	Proposal is inconsistent with Policy JP-G9, specifically clauses 6 and 7. It is identified that the proposal could undermine the viability of the Nature Improvement Area and that the site's peat-based soils should be protected (reference also made to Policy JP-G4 in this regard).	See responses above to JPA29.64 with regards to the Nature Improvement Area and to JPA29.73 with regards to the peat soils.	Samantha Dugmore Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.	
JPA29.79	<p>As a general principle, we believe development should be avoided on all peat-based soils to comply with the 25 Year Environment Plan objectives, Chapter 1: Using and managing land sustainably and especially given the GMCA's commitment to "Promote carbon neutrality of new developments." The removal of peat from this site prior to development commencing would contravene Carbon and Energy Policy JP-S 2, clause 6: "Increasing carbon sequestration through the restoration of peat-based habitats, woodland management and tree-planting."</p> <p>Conflict with NPPF para 161, JP-S2, JP-G4 as it would reduce the amount of peat available for restoration in GM.</p>	<p>See response to JPA 29.73 above with regard to issues relating to the development of peatlands and the strategic rationale for the allocation.</p> <p>The allocation balances positive and harmful impacts. It is accepted that the Port Salford Extension Allocation would lead to the loss of 124ha of the moss and that this has carbon implications. However, the allocation represents less than 3% of the total protected mosslands; given the benefits of development this loss is considered acceptable.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Samantha Dugmore Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group The Wildlife Trusts</p>
JPA29.80	Conflict with NPPF para 161, JP-S2, JP-G4 as it would reduce the amount of peat available for restoration in GM	See response to JPA29.79 above.	CPRE
JPA29.81	Given the close proximity of Astley Moss SSSI/Manchester Mosses SAC, welcome the commitment to a project-specific Habitats Regulations Assessment.	Support noted	The Wildlife Trusts
	<b>Air Quality</b>		
JPA29.82	Development will lead to exacerbation of existing issues of poor air quality including through traffic, loss of green infrastructure and development of peat land.	<p>Policy JP-S 6 of PfE identifies a comprehensive range of measures that will be taken to support improvements in air quality.</p> <p>Chapter 21 of Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>. provides commentary with regards to the issue of air quality.</p> <p>Criterion 22 of the site allocation policy requires development to "Implement an agreed strategy for dealing with its local air quality impacts." It will be important to consider issues of air quality again</p>	See JPA29 Appendix table 16.

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>when the site comes forward as there may have been considerable changes in circumstances due to the effects of technology and policy.</p> <p>Various policies within Greater Manchester's Transport Strategy 2040 <a href="#">[10.09.01]</a> are aimed at improving air quality across the Region.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.83	Area has high level of chronic breathing issues.	See response to JPA29.82 above.	James Ness Rachel Widdicombe Chris and Ruth Roach
	<b>Flood risk / Drainage</b>		
JPA29.84	Reference should be made to Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems.	Criterion 17 of the site allocation policy states that development will be required to: Mitigate the risk of surface water and groundwater flood risk, incorporating green sustainable drainage systems as part of the landscaping of the site.	Jannine McMahon
JPA29.85	Increased risk of flooding.	<p>The Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper in Chapter 11 sets out issues relating to flood risk and drainage specifically in relation to the proposed allocation <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Criterion 17 of the site allocation policy states that development will be required to: Mitigate the risk of surface water and groundwater flood risk, incorporating green sustainable drainage systems as part of the landscaping of the site. Moreover, PfE policy JP-S 5 requires developments to not exceed greenfield run-off rates, whilst policy WA5 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management Policies and Designations requires that greenfield developments shall result in no net increase in the rate of surface water discharge. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p>	Rachel Widdicombe Jannine McMahon Vicky Harper

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Any development on the allocation of over 1 hectare in size would need to be accompanied by a flood risk assessment at the planning application stage, as per the standard requirement.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.86	Objection referencing impact on existing surface water and sewage drainage systems.	See response to JPA29.85 above.	Jannine McMahon Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith
JPA29.87	<p>Development proposals will be required to ensure that sustainable drainage systems are fully incorporated into the development to manage and control surface water run-off, discharging in accordance with the hierarchy of drainage options. Applicants should consider site topography, any naturally occurring flow paths and any low lying areas where water will naturally accumulate. Resultant layouts should take account of such existing circumstances to ensure the most sustainable and flood resilient solution is achieved.</p> <p>Landscaping proposals will be expected to be integrated with the strategy for surface water management. Natural and multi-functional SuDS should be utilised (in preference to traditional piped and tanked storage systems), prioritising the use of ponds, swales and other infrastructure which mimic natural drainage and connect to the wider green and blue infrastructure network. They will be designed in accordance with nationally recognised SuDS design standards.</p> <p>There should be a clear allocation-wide strategy for foul and surface water management which demonstrates a holistic approach with co-ordination between phases of development and no surface water discharging to public sewer. A proliferation of pumping stations should be avoided.</p>	<p>The Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper in Chapter 11 sets out issues relating to flood risk and drainage specifically in relation to the proposed allocation <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>No change is considered necessary. A Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken <a href="#">[04.02.01]</a> across the plan, identifying the allocation as less vulnerable to flood risk and the need for a site specific Flood Risk Assessment <a href="#">[04.02.12]</a> at the planning application stage in accordance with national policy and guidance. Policy JP-S5 provides further detailed policy in relation to Flood Risk. Therefore, the Plan as a whole, is considered to provide an appropriate policy framework to deal with this matter.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	United Utilities Group PLC
JPA29.88	Recommend additional criteria to this policy: Non-domestic buildings will also be expected to incorporate water saving measures and	PfE Policy JP-S 5 notes that an integrated catchment based approach will be taken by (amongst other things) criterion 8:	United Utilities Group PLC

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	equipment in accordance with the requirements of BREEAM or any other best practice targets as appropriate.	<p>“Conserving water and maximising water efficiency in new development.”</p> <p>The water supply situation in Salford does not provide justification for setting any further requirements.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
	<b>Heritage</b>		
JPA29.89	Objection referencing impact on heritage assets in close proximity	<p>Issues of heritage impact are addressed in chapter 20 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>. Criterion 10 of the site allocation policy requires that development conserves and where appropriate enhances, the significance of surrounding designated and non-designated heritage assets, including by those points identified in criterion i. to iii. of the site allocation policy.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	M P Stemmer
	<b>Other</b>		
JPA29.90	No justification for requiring that a masterplan is developed with the community. Requirement is unduly onerous and would be likely to lead to delays, policy should refer instead to a masterplan being prepared in consultation with the local community.	<p>Criterion 1 of the site allocation policy requires a masterplan / framework or Supplementary Planning Document “developed in consultation with the local community and other stakeholders”. This would seem to be in line with the suggested alternative wording. It is not considered that there is a significant difference between the terms ‘prepared’ and ‘developed’.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA29.91	Huge disruption during building and once up and running.	Policy JP-C 7 of PfE 2021 requires that Construction Management Plans are produced for developments, where appropriate, to mitigate construction logistics and environmental impacts including	<p>Rachel Widdicombe</p> <p>David Steel</p> <p>Jannine McMahon</p>



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>air quality and noise on the surrounding area and encourage sustainable deliveries.</p> <p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management and Designations relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy, which include impacts on amenity. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Other issues relating to disruption during operation are addressed in this table under relevant categories.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jackie And Anthony Norcross Taylor Norcross Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith Harry McMahon</p>
JPA29.92	Object to change in character of the area.	<p>Objection noted. It is recognised that the character of the area will be changed by the proposal. This site has been selected due to its proximity to the infrastructure to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford south of the A57, including rail and water connections. This has the potential to enhance the competitiveness of Greater Manchester for new investment and encourage the sustainable movement of freight. The site selection process is discussed in chapter 5 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>In addition the site allocation policy (criterion 10) requires that the development conserves and where appropriate enhances the significance of the surrounding heritage assets and Criterion 12 of the site allocation policy requires that the development incorporates</p>	<p>James Ness Rachel Widdicombe Suzanne Pendleton Jannine McMahon Sharon and Andrew Robinson Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith Harry McMahon Janet Hickson Katharine Allsey</p>



Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.93	Criticism of consultation process undertaken.	<p>Comment not relevant to the content of the proposed JPA29 allocation. Matter addressed elsewhere.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>James Ness</p> <p>Rachel Widdicombe</p> <p>Jannine McMahon</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>Harry McMahon</p> <p>Fiona Stringer</p>
JPA29.94	Concern regarding the impact on the well-being of local residents.	<p>See response to JPA 29.73 above with regard to issues relating to the development of peatlands and the strategic rationale for the allocation.</p> <p>A number of the site allocation policy criteria will mitigate the impact on local residents; in particular criteria 1, 2, 12, and 20. The creation of jobs also has the potential to improve the well-being of local residents.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>James Ness</p> <p>Rachel Widdicombe</p> <p>David Steel</p> <p>Chris and Ruth Roach</p> <p>Sue Campayne</p> <p>Harry McMahon</p> <p>Janet Hickson</p> <p>Katharine Allsey</p> <p>Kelly Baker</p>
JPA29.95	Concerns regarding climate change impacts, issues identified include development of peat lands, emissions and congestion.	<p>PfE aims to deliver a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038 and be net zero carbon from 2028. A number of the thematic policies within it will contribute to addressing climate change – it contains policies on Sustainable Development (Policy JP-S 1); Heat and Energy Networks (Policy JP-S 3); Resilience (JP-S 4); Clean Air (Policy JP-S 6); Resource Efficiency (JP-S 7); Green Infrastructure (Policies JP-G2, 5, 7, 9).</p>	<p>James Ness</p> <p>Rachel Widdicombe</p> <p>David Steel</p> <p>Jannine McMahon</p> <p>Sharon and Andrew Robinson</p> <p>Hannah, James, Ada and Olive Smith</p> <p>Paul Roebuck</p> <p>Natural England</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Whilst it is recognised that there can be climate change impacts resulting from new development, there is a need to find a balance between environmental, social and economic factors.</p> <p>A requirement to deliver new employment floorspace has been identified and, whilst the first priority has been to identify brownfield opportunities, it has been necessary to identify some Green Belt allocations.</p> <p>This site has been selected due to its proximity to the infrastructure to be provided as part of the permitted Port Salford south of the A57, including rail and water connections. This has the potential to enhance the competitiveness of Greater Manchester for new investment and encourage the sustainable movement of freight. The site selection process is discussed in chapter 5 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>The site allocation policy also includes important measures aimed at minimising impacts/contributions to climate change in particular including criteria 3 and 4 in respect of access new Port Salford's tri-modal connections, criteria 7 and 8 in respect of sustainable forms of travel to and from the site, criterion 18 in respect of minimising the carbon storage function of peat, and criterion 23 in respect of positive consideration of renewable and low carbon energy infrastructure.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.96	Concerns regarding the potential presence of minerals within identified allocations and that Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure Safeguarding are not shown.	The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are	Mineral Products Association

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore, it is not necessary to identify them on the PfE policies map and no change is necessary.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	
JPA29.97	Proposal will serve private interest.	<p>Development would help to significantly boost the competitiveness of Greater Manchester, offering the type of site that can compete with locations internationally for investment. As such the proposal would be expected to bring wider benefits to the city and conurbation.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Janet Hickson Vicky Harper</p>
JPA29.98	Objection referencing noise pollution.	<p>Issues of noise are addressed in chapter 22 of the Port Salford Extension Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.07.71]</a>.</p> <p>Criterion 12 of the site allocation policy requires that development to incorporate high levels of landscaping to, amongst other things, mitigate the environmental impacts of development including noise.</p> <p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management and Designations relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Noise pollution is addressed by PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jannine McMahon MP Stemmer Maureen And Steve Drinkwater</p>

Row	Summary of main issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA29.99	Objection referencing light pollution.	<p>Policy PH1 of the Salford Local Plan: Development Management and Designations relates to pollution control; this requires that development shall minimise and mitigate pollution during both the construction and operational phases of development; the acceptability of likely pollution levels are determined having regard to factors A to E of the policy. Light pollution is addressed by PH1. The Salford Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2022.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jannine McMahon Maureen And Steve Drinkwater</p>
JPA29.100	Objection to the impact of reducing income of local businesses including farmers.	<p>Development would help to significantly boost the competitiveness of Greater Manchester, offering the type of site that can compete with locations internationally for investment.</p> <p>Given the above, no modifications are necessary to make the site allocation sound.</p>	<p>Jannine McMahon Harry MCMahon</p>
JPA29.101	Objection to the apparent requirement that the masterplan/SPD should be approved by the LPA prior to planning applications being submitted. the same objective could be achieved through a policy requirement for a comprehensive masterplan to be prepared and consulted upon prior to the submission of the first planning application. Thereafter, a final masterplan for the whole site should be submitted as part of the first planning application and which would then become part of a conditional planning approval.	See response to JPA29.16 above.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

# Appendix

## Respondents to PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 26 - Hazelhurst

Table 1. Row JPA26.1

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Angela	Kimber	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
David	Williams	
Julie	Williams	
David	Williams	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	
Lee	Sherrard	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Jamie	Bentham	

**Table 2. Row JPA26.2**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Brian	Leigh	
Andrew	Stephenson	
K	Thoday	
Ryan	Rutter	
Gail	Muskett	
Jannine	Walker	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Louise	Norton	
Paul	Higson	
David	Williams	
Julie	Williams	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
David	Williams	
Philip	Sharples	
Sonya	Downey	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Andrew	Ashton	
Nicola	Ashton	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Alan	Kirkman	
Naomi	Jackson	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Gill	Pearson	
Philip	Lindoe	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Thomas	Freeman	

**Table 3. Row JPA26.6**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Matt	Johnson	
Emma	Gregson	
Barrie	Benson	
Greg	Wilson	
Kevin and Helen	Ryan	
David	Keene	
Steven	Ball	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Brian	Leigh	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Paul	Higson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Angela	Kimber	
Michelle	Johnson	
Kaream	El-Said Dawoud	
Basim ES	Dawoud	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Lee	Hoggett	
Rebecca	Smith	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
L And P	Read	
Michael	Henn	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Russell	Wolstenholme	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Rachael	Parks	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Wendy	Howell	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Anita	Douglas	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
A	Hyde	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Denise	Wade	
Ben	Liu	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	
Brian	McGahey	
Vicente F	Orts	
Karl	Turner	
Brian	Gee	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
Rita	Ashworth	
A J	McNally	
Niall	Irwin	
Alan	Hird	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Paul	Higson	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
Albert	Proctor	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Dorothy	Jowett	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Natalie	Taylor	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Val	Wallwork	
Jade	Livsey	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Thomas	Collins	
Paul	Marsden	
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Jayson	Lally	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Sonya	Downey	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Edward	Howard	
Ben	Eastham	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Lee	Sherrard	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jayne	Reddy	
Michelle	Flynn	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Susan	Buckley	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Danny	Lyle	
Ian	Davenport	
Darren	Meredith	
Kelly	Moss	
Scott	Brown	
Husnara	Kuraishe Meech	
Lynda	Sherratt	
Lindsay	Hodgkiss	
Emily	Wilcox	
Peter	Carr	
Nicola	Taylor	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Amanda	Heap	
Andrew	Carr	
Mike	Bolton	
Laura	Johnson	
Declan	Cairns-Holder	
Robin	Dean	
Kelly	Baker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Natalie	Ball	
Deborah	Brown	
Kate	Mullineux	
Willie	Mills	
Paul	Roebuck	
Zoe	Wilson	
Kelsey	Marsland	
Angela	Burrows	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Kate	Blessing	
Jennifer	Davis	
Rachael	Cutting	
Chris	Handley	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Carey	Gempton	
Faye	Fox-Walker	
David	Mccomas	
Ben	Pascall	
Helen	Lomax	
Stephen	Mannion	
Jen	Hurst	
Peter	Yates	
Lauren	Millward	
William	Deakin	
Naomi	Jackson	
Mike	Hanmer	
Andrew	Hodson	
Rebecca	Brady	
Susan	Roberts	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Anne	Grennan	
Louise	Seddon	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Ellis	Barker	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Tom	Binns	
Beverley	Jones	
Laura	Yarwood	
Linda	Sincup	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Gill	Pearson	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Francesca	Warburton	
Richard	Yates	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert	Taylor	
Holly	Rotheram	
Nicola	Weedall	
Patrick	Lord	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kate	Briggs	
Mary	Sharkey	
Amy	Hallsworth	
Lynne	Seal	
Thomas	Freeman	
Rob	Orford	
Carol	Mole	
John	Turner	
Richard	Griffiths	
Vicky	Harper	
Paul	Howard	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
R	Nawaz	
Elizabeth	Jones	
Neill	Virtue	
Danielle	Tudor	
Norah	Virtue	
John	Platt	
Michael	Robb-Elliott	
Julie	Ward	
Raymond	Vause	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 4. Row JPA26.45**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Steven	Ball	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
K	Thoday	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Harry	Muskett	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Russell	Wolstenholme	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Ben	Liu	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
Rita	Ashworth	
A J	Mcnally	
Alan	Hird	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Chris	Ollerhead	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Dorothy	Jowett	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Sarah	Hook	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kathryn	Rigby	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Thomas	Collins	
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Jayson	Lally	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Lee	Sherrard	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Helen	Thomson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mathew	Benton	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Susan	Buckley	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Danny	Lyle	
Darren	Meredith	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Natalie	Ball	
Deborah	Brown	
Paul	Roebuck	
Zoe	Wilson	
Angela	Burrows	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Carey	Gempton	
David	Mccomas	
Ben	Pascall	
Mike	Hanmer	
Andrew	Hodson	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Anne	Grennan	
Louise	Seddon	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Tom	Binns	
Beverley	Jones	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Lynne	Seal	
Rob	Orford	
Neill	Virtue	
Norah	Virtue	
John	Platt	
Julie	Ward	
Jennifer	Antrobus	



**Table 4. Row JPA26.49**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Ian	Elsey	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	

**Table 5: Row 26.54**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
Andrew	Moore	
Caroline	Howard	
Jayson	Lally	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Ian	Elsy	

**Table 6: Row 26.55**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
K	Thoday	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Billie	Andrews	
Ryan	Rutter	
Jannine	Walker	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Alan	Bibby	
Rob	Orford	
John A	Platt	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Peter	Yates	
Alan	Hook	
Clare	Platt	
Harriet		
M P	Stemmer	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	

**Table 7: Row 26.56**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Niall	Irwin	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Ian	Eley	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	

**Table 8: Row 26.60**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Steven	Ball	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Paul	Higson	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Thoday	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Billie	Andrews	
Ryan	Rutter	
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Denise	Wade	
Ben	Liu	
Alan	Bibby	
Vicente F	Orts	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Rita	Ashworth	
Alan	Hird	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Paul	Marsden	
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Clare	Platt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Lee	Sherrard	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Michelle	Flynn	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Kelly	Baker	
Deborah	Brown	
Zoe	Wilson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Carey	Gempton	
Mike	Hanmer	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Elizabeth	Griffin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Tom	Binns	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Patrick	Lord	
Rob	Orford	
Neill	Virtue	
Norah	Virtue	
John	Platt	
Julie	Ward	

**Table 9: Row 26.68**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Barrie	Benson	
Angela	Kimber	
Alexandra	Puddy	
L And P	Read	
Michael	Henn	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Garvey	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
Edna	Kenny	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Denise	Wade	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Alan	Bibby	
Brian	Gee	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
J	Barnes	
Rita	Ashworth	
Niall	Irwin	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Maggie	McNally	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Rob	Orford	
Keith	Hughes	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Robert	Bennett	
Peter	Yates	
Paul	Marsden	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Rob	Mitchell	
Edward	Howard	
Anne	Hook	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Michelle	Flynn	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Husnara	Kuraishe Meech	
Lynda	Sherratt	
Peter	Carr	
Laura	Johnson	
Willie	Mills	
Zoe	Wilson	
Jennifer	Davis	
Mike	Hanmer	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Tom	Binns	
Emma	O'Neill	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Richard	Yates	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Robert	Taylor	
Patrick	Lord	
Kate	Briggs	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 10: Row 26.69**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Matt	Johnson	
Graham	Pickup	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
K	Thoday	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
L And P	Read	
Michael	Henn	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Wendy	Howell	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Alan	Bibby	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
Rita	Ashworth	
Niall	Irwin	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Natalie	Taylor	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Paul	Marsden	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Harriet		

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Ben	Eastham	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Lee	Sherrard	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Michelle	Flynn	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Darren	Meredith	
Laura	Johnson	
Natalie	Ball	
Willie	Mills	
Paul	Roebuck	
Angela	Burrows	
Rachael	Cutting	
Helen	Lomax	
Lauren	Millward	
Naomi	Jackson	
Mike	Hanmer	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ben	Faulkner	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Tom	Binns	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Nicola	Weedall	
Kate	Briggs	
Lynne	Seal	
Thomas	Freeman	
Rob	Orford	
Vicky	Harper	
Paul	Howard	
Terence	Dean	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 11: Row 26.70**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Matt	Johnson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Barrie	Benson	
Greg	Wilson	
Kevin and Helen	Ryan	
David	Keene	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Angela	Kimber	
Kaream	El-Said Dawoud	
Basim ES	Dawoud	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Rebecca	Smith	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
L And P	Read	
Michael	Henn	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Rachael	Parks	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Wendy	Howell	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrea	Johnson	
A	Hyde	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Ben	Liu	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Brian	McGahey	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
A J	Mcnally	
Niall	Irwin	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
Albert	Proctor	
J	Crudden	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Dorothy	Jowett	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Natalie	Taylor	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Val	Wallwork	
Jade	Livsey	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Thomas	Collins	
Paul	Marsden	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Sonya	Downey	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Edward	Howard	
Ben	Eastham	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Lee	Sherrard	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Michelle	Flynn	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Susan	Buckley	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Emily	Wilcox	
Natalie	Ball	
Deborah	Brown	
Zoe	Wilson	
Angela	Burrows	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Kate	Blessing	
Jennifer	Davis	
Rachael	Cutting	
Chris	Handley	
Alan	Kirkman	
Ben	Pascall	
Helen	Lomax	
Lauren	Millward	
William	Deakin	
Naomi	Jackson	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mike	Hanmer	
Andrew	Hodson	
Rebecca	Brady	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Louise	Seddon	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Ellis	Barker	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Beverley	Jones	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Gill	Pearson	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Richard	Yates	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Robert	Taylor	
Nicola	Weedall	
Patrick	Lord	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Briggs	
Amy	Hallsworth	
Lynne	Seal	
Thomas	Freeman	
Rob	Orford	
Paul	Howard	
Terence	Dean	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 12: Row 26.71**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Matt	Johnson	
Emma	Gregson	
Barrie	Benson	
Greg	Wilson	
Kevin and Helen	Ryan	
David	Keene	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Brian	Leigh	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Paul	Higson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Angela	Kimber	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Johnson	
Kaream	El-Said Dawoud	
Basim ES	Dawoud	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Rebecca	Smith	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
L And P	Read	
Michael	Henn	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Russell	Wolstenholme	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Rachael	Parks	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Wendy	Howell	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
A	Hyde	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Denise	Wade	
Ben	Liu	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Brian	McGahey	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
Rita	Ashworth	
A J	Mcnally	
Niall	Irwin	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Paul	Higson	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
Albert	Proctor	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Dorothy	Jowett	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Mackenzie	
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Natalie	Taylor	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Val	Wallwork	
Jade	Livsey	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
Thomas	Collins	
Paul	Marsden	
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Jayson	Lally	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Sonya	Downey	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Edward	Howard	
Ben	Eastham	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Lee	Sherrard	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jayne	Reddy	
Michelle	Flynn	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Susan	Buckley	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Darren	Meredith	
Lindsay	Hodgkiss	
Emily	Wilcox	
Amanda	Heap	
Andrew	Carr	
Mike	Bolton	
Laura	Johnson	
Robin	Dean	
Kelly	Baker	
Natalie	Ball	
Deborah	Brown	
Paul	Roebuck	
Zoe	Wilson	
Angela	Burrows	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Kate	Blessing	
Jennifer	Davis	
Rachael	Cutting	
Chris	Handley	
Alan	Kirkman	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Carey	Gempton	
David	Mccomas	
Ben	Pascall	
Helen	Lomax	
Jen	Hurst	
Peter	Yates	
Lauren	Millward	
William	Deakin	
Naomi	Jackson	
Mike	Hanmer	
Andrew	Hodson	
Rebecca	Brady	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Louise	Seddon	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Tom	Binns	
Beverley	Jones	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Gill	Pearson	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Richard	Yates	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Robert	Taylor	
Nicola	Weedall	
Patrick	Lord	
Kate	Briggs	
Amy	Hallsworth	
Lynne	Seal	
Thomas	Freeman	
Rob	Orford	
Vicky	Harper	
Paul	Howard	
Terence	Dean	
Lily	Pritchard	
Raymond	Vause	

**Table 13: Row 26.76**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Alexandra	Puddy	
L And P	Read	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lynda And John	Casasola	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Maggie	McNally	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rob	Mitchell	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Darren	Meredith	
Peter	Carr	
Willie	Mills	
Alan	Kirkman	
Mike	Hanmer	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Nigel	Hyams	
Paul	Howard	
Elizabeth	Jones	
Neill	Virtue	
Norah	Virtue	

**Table 14: Row 26.78**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Ryan	Rutter	
Jannine	Walker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Rob	Orford	
Keith	Hughes	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Natalie	Ball	
Zoe	Wilson	
Kate	Blessing	
Rachael	Cutting	
Alan	Kirkman	
Jen	Hurst	
Lauren	Millward	
Naomi	Jackson	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Robert	Taylor	
Terence	Dean	

**Table 15: Row 26.79**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Barrie	Benson	
Kevin and Helen	Ryan	
Graham	Pickup	
Kieran	Wynne	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Niall	Irwin	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Rob	Orford	
John A	Platt	
Jade	Livsey	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Sarah	Hook	
A	Vesty	
Alan	Hook	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Rachel	Bishop	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Natalie	Ball	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Emma	O'Neill	
Charles	Magee	
Nicola	Weedall	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 16: Row 26.80**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
Vivien	Hallam	
Jill	Hughes	
Niall	Irwin	
Keith	Hughes	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Paul	Marsden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Willie	Mills	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Kate	Briggs	
Julie	Ward	

**Table 17: Row 26.81**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Alexandra	Puddy	
L And P	Read	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Martin	Hogg	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Ian	Elsey	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	

**Table 18: Row 26.86**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
L And P	Read	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Maggie	McNally	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Rob	Mitchell	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	

**Table 19: Row 26.91**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Graham	Pickup	
Rebecca	Smith	
Alison	Rutter	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Pauline	Deacon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alan	Deacon	
Mike	Bewley	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Rita	Ashworth	
Lol	Duffy	
Peter	Yates	
Paul	Marsden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Angela	Burrows	
Nicola	Weedall	

**Table 20: Row 26.96**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Niall	Irwin	
Maggie	McNally	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Keith	Hughes	
Jade	Livsey	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Edward	Howard	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Julian	Grant	
Zoe	Wilson	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Barbara	Keeley	

**Table 21: Row 26.97**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Sharon	Crabtree	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
John	Cairns	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Ian	Elsey	

**Table 22: Row 26.98**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Thoday	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Ryan	Rutter	
W	Newham	
Gail	Muskett	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Louise	Norton	
Brian	Gee	
Natalie	Taylor	
John A	Platt	
Megan	Powell	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Alan	Hook	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Anne	Hook	
Laura	Johnson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Naomi	Jackson	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	

**Table 23: Row 26.99**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Jannine	Walker	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Alan	Bibby	
Rita	Ashworth	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Rob	Orford	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Peter	Yates	
Mathew	Benton	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Mike	Hanmer	
Ben	Faulkner	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Crombleholme	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 24: Row 26.105**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Thoday	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Billie	Andrews	
Ryan	Rutter	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Alan	Hook	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Nigel	Hyams	

**Table 25: Row 26.118**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Matt	Johnson	
Emma	Gregson	
Barrie	Benson	
Graham	Pickup	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Angela	Kimber	
Michelle	Johnson	
Kaream	El-Said Dawoud	
Basim ES	Dawoud	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Rebecca	Smith	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
Michael	Henn	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ryan	Rutter	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Russell	Wolstenholme	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Wendy	Howell	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
A	Hyde	
Tony	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Ben	Liu	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
Rita	Ashworth	
A J	Mcnally	
Niall	Irwin	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Paul	Higson	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
Albert	Proctor	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Natalie	Taylor	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Val	Wallwork	
Jade	Livsey	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Paul	Marsden	
Andrew	Moore	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Edward	Howard	
Ben	Eastham	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Lee	Sherrard	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Flynn	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Emily	Wilcox	
Amanda	Heap	
Mike	Bolton	
Laura	Johnson	
Kelly	Baker	
Natalie	Ball	
Deborah	Brown	
Kate	Mullineux	
Zoe	Wilson	
Angela	Burrows	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Rachael	Cutting	
Chris	Handley	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Carey	Gempton	
David	Mccomas	
Helen	Lomax	
Lauren	Millward	
William	Deakin	
Naomi	Jackson	
Mike	Hanmer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rebecca	Brady	
Susan	Roberts	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Beverley	Jones	
Linda	Sincup	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Gill	Pearson	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Nicola	Weedall	
Kate	Briggs	
Amy	Hallsworth	
Lynne	Seal	
Rob	Orford	
Vicky	Harper	
Paul	Howard	
Elizabeth	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 26: Row 26.120**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kieran	Wynne	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
A J	Mcnally	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Emily	Wilcox	
Laura	Johnson	
Natalie	Ball	
Zoe	Wilson	
Barbara	Keeley	
Patrick	Lord	
Elizabeth	Jones	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 27: Row 26.121**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Graham	Pickup	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Angela	Kimber	
K	Thoday	
L And P	Read	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
David	Williams	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
A	Vesty	
David	Williams	
Caroline	Howard	
Clare	Platt	
Angela	Grey	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Ian	Elsey	
Nicola	Ashton	
Peter	Carr	
Natalie	Ball	
Rebecca	Brady	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen	Bradshaw	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Beverley	Jones	
Barbara	Keeley	
Kate	Briggs	
Elizabeth	Jones	
Neill	Virtue	
Norah	Virtue	

**Table 28: Row 26.123**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rebecca	Smith	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Chris	Ollerhead	
David	Williams	
Julie	Williams	
David	Williams	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	
Nicola	Ashton	
Naomi	Jackson	
Louise	Seddon	
Nicola	Weedall	

**Table 29: Row 26.124**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Ian	Elsey	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Julie	Ward	

**Table 30: Row 26.125**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kieran	Wynne	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Helen	Thomson	
Lily	Pritchard	
Julie	Ward	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 31: Row 26.129**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Haylea	Jefferys	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Rita	Ashworth	
David	Williams	
Julie	Williams	
David	Williams	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Nicola	Ashton	

**Table 32: Row 26.136**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Paul	Higson	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Mike	Bewley	
Edna	Kenny	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Maggie	McNally	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Rob	Mitchell	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Julian	Grant	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Anne	Grennan	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Tom	Binns	
Charles	Magee	

**Table 33: Row 26.137**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Brian	Leigh	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Carol Ann	Smith	
Michelle	Johnson	
Kaream	El-Said Dawoud	
Basim ES	Dawoud	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Rebecca	Smith	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
L And P	Read	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Harry	Muskett	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	
Vicente F	Orts	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
Niall	Irwin	
Paul	Higson	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Jade	Livsey	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Paul	Marsden	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Sonya	Downey	
Clare	Platt	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Ben	Eastham	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Darren	Meredith	
Kelly	Baker	
Natalie	Ball	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Mike	Hanmer	
Andrew	Hodson	
Rebecca	Brady	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Anne	Grennan	
Louise	Seddon	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Tom	Binns	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Rob	Orford	
John	Turner	
Vicky	Harper	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elizabeth	Jones	

**Table 34: Row 26.138**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Graham	Pickup	
Brian	Leigh	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Paul	Higson	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Angela	Kimber	
Michelle	Johnson	
Kaream	El-Said Dawoud	
Basim ES	Dawoud	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Rebecca	Smith	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
Michael	Henn	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Harry	Muskett	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Mike	Bewley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Denise	Wade	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alan	Bibby	
Vicente F	Orts	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
A J	Mcnally	
Niall	Irwin	
Paul	Higson	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
Albert	Proctor	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Dorothy	Jowett	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Rob	Orford	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Williams	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
A	Vesty	
Thomas	Collins	
Paul	Marsden	
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Brendan And Sue	Beckett	
Jayson	Lally	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sonya	Downey	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Ben	Eastham	
Ken	Lowndes	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsy	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Amanda	Heap	
Natalie	Ball	
Kelsey	Marsland	
Angela	Burrows	
Lynne	Hudson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alan	Kirkman	
Carey	Gempton	
David	Mccomas	
Mike	Hanmer	
Rebecca	Brady	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Ellis	Barker	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Beverley	Jones	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Patrick	Lord	
Thomas	Freeman	
Rob	Orford	
Vicky	Harper	
Paul	Howard	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elizabeth	Jones	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 35: Row 26.141**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Billie	Andrews	
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
David	Haines	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
John A	Platt	
Neil	Grant	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Sarah	Hook	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lily	Pritchard	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Ben	Eastham	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Rachel	Bishop	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Julian	Grant	
Natalie	Ball	
Barbara	Keeley	

**Table 36: Row 26.142**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Ian	Elsey	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	

**Table 37: Row 26.145**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Keith	Hughes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Ian	Elsey	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	

**Table 38: Row 26.149**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
Gordon	Mayer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Caroline	Howard	
John	Cairns	
Ian	Elsy	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE

**Table 39: Row 26.164**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nadine	Brown	
Barrie	Benson	
Greg	Wilson	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Brian	Leigh	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Paul	Higson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Rebecca	Smith	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
L And P	Read	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Wendy	Howell	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
Mike	Bewley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
A	Hyde	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jill	Hughes	
Ben	Liu	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	
Vicente F	Orts	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
Rita	Ashworth	
A J	Mcnally	
Niall	Irwin	
Chris	Ollerhead	
Paul	Higson	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
Albert	Proctor	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Dorothy	Jowett	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Rob	Orford	
David	Williams	
John A	Platt	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Sarah	Hook	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kathryn	Rigby	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
Thomas	Collins	
Paul	Marsden	
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Jayson	Lally	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Sonya	Downey	
Clare	Platt	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Edward	Howard	
Ben	Eastham	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Lee	Sherrard	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Michelle	Flynn	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Darren	Meredith	
Lynda	Sherratt	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Amanda	Heap	
Andrew	Carr	
Mike	Bolton	
Laura	Johnson	
Robin	Dean	
Natalie	Ball	
Deborah	Brown	
Paul	Roebuck	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Rachael	Cutting	
Chris	Handley	
Alan	Kirkman	
Carey	Gempton	
David	Mccomas	
Peter	Yates	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
William	Deakin	
Naomi	Jackson	
Mike	Hanmer	
Andrew	Hodson	
Rebecca	Brady	
Susan	Roberts	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ben	Faulkner	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Louise	Seddon	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Ellis	Barker	
Tom	Binns	
Beverley	Jones	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Richard	Yates	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Kate	Briggs	
Rob	Orford	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
John	Turner	
Vicky	Harper	
Terence	Dean	
Elizabeth	Jones	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 40: Row 26.165**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jill	Hughes	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Keith	Hughes	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Lily	Pritchard	
Caroline	Howard	
Rob	Mitchell	
John	Cairns	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Ian	Elsey	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Danielle	Tudor	
Julie	Ward	

**Table 41: Row 26.167**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Greg	Wilson	
Katie	Whittaker	
Eric G	Taylor	
Louise	Norton	
J	Barnes	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Philip	Sharples	
Ben	Eastham	
Peter	Yates	
Louise	Seddon	
Michelle	Duncalf	
Nigel	Hyams	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 42: Row 26.170**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Graham	Pickup	
Paul	Higson	
Katie	Whittaker	
K	Thoday	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Billie	Andrews	
Ryan	Rutter	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Wendy	Howell	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Jannine	Walker	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	
Rita	Ashworth	
Paul	Higson	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Rob	Orford	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Williams	
Julie	Williams	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Peter	Yates	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	
Anne	Hook	
Mathew	Benton	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Deborah	Brown	
Rachael	Cutting	
Mike	Hanmer	
Ben	Faulkner	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Nigel	Hyams	
Rob	Orford	
Lily	Pritchard	
Danielle	Tudor	
Julie	Ward	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 43: Row 26.171**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Katie	Whittaker	
K	Thoday	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Harry	Muskett	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Margaret	Hayhurst	
Graham	Bailey	
Jannine	Walker	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Alan	Bibby	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Paul	Higson	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Rob	Orford	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Williams	
Neil	Grant	
Jade	Livsey	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Julie	Williams	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Megan	Powell	
Kate And Philip	Briggs	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
Paul	Marsden	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Ben	Eastham	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Julian	Grant	
Nicola	Ashton	
Zoe	Wilson	
Susan	Roberts	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Elizabeth	Griffin	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Patrick	Lord	
Rob	Orford	
Vicky	Harper	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 44: Row 26.172**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Benjamin	Campbell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Tony	Jones	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Maggie	McNally	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Rob	Mitchell	

**Table 45: Row 26.176**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karl	Turner	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Amanda	Heap	
Jennifer	Davis	
Anne	Grennan	
Paul	Howard	
Terence	Dean	
Elizabeth	Jones	

**Table 46: Row 26.177**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Matt	Johnson	
Barrie	Benson	
Kevin and Helen	Ryan	
David	Keene	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Kieran	Wynne	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Dan	Meadowcroft	
Russell	Wolstenholme	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
A J	McNally	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
Rachael	Vaughan	
Philip	Sharples	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Amanda	Heap	
Laura	Johnson	
Kelly	Baker	
Kelsey	Marsland	
Alan	Kirkman	
Rebecca	Brady	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Jenny	Lindoe	
Patrick	Lord	
Kate	Briggs	
Terence	Dean	
Lily	Pritchard	

**Table 47: Row 26.181**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Steven	Ball	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
Andrew	Stephenson	
Paul	Higson	
Pam and Paul	Rimmer	
Kevin	Morris	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Vicente F	Orts	
Paul	Marsden	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Charles	Magee	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	

**Table 48: Row 26.183**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Graham	Pickup	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
L And P	Read	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
Billie	Andrews	
David	Garvey	
Ryan	Rutter	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Harry	Muskett	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	
David	Cailey	
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Vivien	Hallam	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
Jenny and Tim	Davis	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
A	Hyde	
Tony	Jones	
Jill	Hughes	
Ben	Liu	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Alan	Bibby	
Brian	Gee	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
Julia	Lightfoot	
J	Barnes	
A J	McNally	
Niall	Irwin	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Maggie	McNally	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Dorothy	Jowett	
J E	Burton	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
R	Rawlinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Keith	Hughes	
Neil	Grant	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	
Megan	Powell	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Kathryn	Rigby	
Robert	Bennett	
Lily	Pritchard	
Peter	Yates	
Paul	Marsden	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Jayson	Lally	
Rob	Mitchell	
Ben	Eastham	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Lee	Sherrard	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jayne	Reddy	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Ian	Elsey	
Mike	Hanmer	
Rebecca	Brady	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Ellis	Barker	
Tom	Binns	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Richard	Yates	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 49: Row 26.184**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Martin	Hogg	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Clare	Edwards	
Jayne	Reddy	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Charles	Magee	
Mark	Tyldesley	

**Table 50: Row 26.185**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Thoday	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Billie	Andrews	
Ryan	Rutter	
Harry	Muskett	
W	Newham	
Matthew	Yarwood	
Gail	Muskett	
Laura	Yarwood	
John	Walsh	
Eric G	Taylor	
Shaun	Adams	
Philip And Michelle	Robinson	
Oliver	Foster	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gordon	Mayer	
Jacqueline	Mayer	
Kevin	Morris	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
David	Haines	
Barry	Haines	
E M	Prill	
Susan	Prill	
Jillian	Prill	
Rob	Prill	
Pauline R	Russell	
D A	Russell	
Julia	Lightfoot	
Neil	Young	
Lol	Duffy	
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Susan	Brooksbank	
Cheryl	Gioia	
Harold	Richards	
Jean	Richards	
J	Crudden	
Jayne	Roebuck	
Bessie B	Clegg	
Raymond	Mackin	
R	Rawlinson	
Sharon	Crabtree	
Kevin	Crabtree	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Megan	Powell	
Rhianna	Parkinson	
Sarah	Hook	
Lily	Pritchard	
Alan	Hook	
Caroline	Howard	
Anne	Hook	
John	Cairns	
Ian	Elsey	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
John	Turner	

**Table 51: Row 26.188**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Graham	Pickup	
K	Thoday	
Rebecca	Smith	
L And P	Read	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Alison And Ryan	Potter	
Sherina Ann	Rooke	
Lynda And John	Casasola	
David	Cailey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Benjamin	Campbell	
Carole	Morris	
Mena	Charles	
Alison And Steven	Sherratt	
Pauline And Alan	Williamson	
S	Roberts	
S	Lane	
Neil	Campbell	
Rosie	Lane-Poole	
Tom	Evans	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Graham	Bailey	
Pauline And Geoff	Ogden	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Raymond	Pimbley	
Mike	Bewley	
Edna	Kenny	
Patricia	Flockton	
Andrea	Johnson	
Tony	Jones	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
Louise	Norton	
Valerie	Dagnan	
Qamar	Uddin	
A J	Mcnally	
Niall	Irwin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret Gillian	Duffy	
Maggie	McNally	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
J E	Burton	
Susan	Mackenzie	
David	Williams	
Neil	Grant	
Julie	Williams	
Kimberley	Rowley	
A	Vesty	
Thomas	Collins	
Andrew	Moore	
David	Williams	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	
Rob	Mitchell	
Ben	Eastham	
Shirley and Michael	Bertenshaw	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Rachel	Bishop	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Karen and Robin	Garrido Cllr	
Jayne	Reddy	
Elizabeth	Pollitt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Laura	Johnson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Peter	Yates	
William	Deakin	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Karen	Bradshaw	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Steven	Sherratt	
Louise	Seddon	
Beverley	Jones	
Emma	O'Neill	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nigel	Hyams	
Jonathan	Brown	
Tracy	Noone	
Kate	Briggs	
Terence	Dean	

**Table 52: Row 26.190**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Steven	Ball	
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Carol Ann	Smith	
Michelle	Johnson	
K	Thoday	
Dan	Meadowcroft	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Michael	Fitzsimmons	
J	Fitzsimmons	
Denise	Wade	
Brian	Gee	
Susan Lesley	Wrightson	
David	Williams	
Kimberley	Rowley	
Andrew	Phipson	
Eleanor	Hughes	
A	Vesty	
David	Williams	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	
Ken	Lowndes	
Marcelle and Edwin Paul	Blinston and Van-Calster	
Martin	Hogg	
Clare	Edwards	
Nicola	Ashton	
Angela	Burrows	
Kate	Briggs	
Vicky	Harper	

**Table 53: Row 26.193**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martyn and Rosie	Wright	
Billie	Andrews	
Ryan	Rutter	
Lindsey	Deacon	
Pauline	Deacon	
Alan	Deacon	
Mike	Bewley	
Helen	Thomson	
Mathew	Benton	
Julian	Grant	
Nigel	Hyams	

## Respondents to PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 27 - East of Boothstown

**Table 2. Row JPA27.1**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Jill	Roberts	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Garry	Lyle	
Julie	Williams	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Andrew	Ashton	
Lee	Sherrard	
Charlie	Heron	
David	Kirkham	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Nicola	Ashton	
Danny	Lyle	
Nicola	Shenton	
Lindsay	Hodgkiss	
Andrew	Hodson	
Lilian	Cretin	
Jamie	Bentham	

**Table 2. Row JPA27.2**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Johanna	Stuart	
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Nadine	Brown	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Mary	Deuison	
Zoe	Edwards	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Sharon	Taylor	
Ann	Cunningham	
Stuart	Lyon	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Katie	Whittaker	
Simon	Doherty	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
Tracy	Bradley	
James	Toole	
Tim	Simpson	
Sarah	Jones	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Scott	West	
Natalie	Jones	
K	Thoday	
Jill	Roberts	
Haylea	Jefferys	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Danny	Lyle	
Karen	Cooper	
Helen	Bryan	
Rachel	Rutherford	
James	Worthington	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Bernard	Gray	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Jayne	Allman	
Elaine	West	
Janice	Meehan	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Sian	Elsay	
M	Midgley	
Joe	Steed	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Sandra	Castle	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Richard	Lines	
Daniel	Higginson	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Anne	Cox	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Carl	Smith	
Francis	Langan	
Sally	Smith	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Andrew	Jones	
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Pam and David	Smith	
Phillip	Tunney	
Naomi	Jackson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Oliver	Heron	
Carl	McGurk	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lamptey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Clare	Callery	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Emma	Midgley	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Matthew and Emma	Hampson and Birkett	
Danielle	Tudor	
Paul	Glover	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Val	Wallwork	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Ben	Cox	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Jackie	Kelly	
Adam	Thoday	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Jon	Beward	
Diane	Hobin	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Patricia	Hamilton	
Lynne	Hudson	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah	Murphy	
Rob	Lines	
Andrew	Ashton	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Anne	Hook	
Mary	Higginbottom	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Dave	Johnson	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Trisha	Naik	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Justin	Richardson	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Omair	Razzaq	
Hayley And John	Curran And Duckworth	
Richard	Nadin	
June	Barrett	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	
Clare	Brady	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Alex	Humphreys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Lyndsay	May	
Richard	Linster	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Neil	Albury	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Lee	Salsbury	
Danny	Lyle	
Gareth	Purdy	
David	French	
Jo	Davies	
Nicola	Shenton	
Ian	Davenport	

Given Name		Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual	
Kelly		Moss		
Lindsay		Hodgkiss		
Emily		Wilcox		
Peter		Carr		
Nicola		Taylor		
Lorraine		Rogers		
David		Yates		
Mike		Bolton		
Andrew		Jay		
Declan		Cairns-Holder		
Adam		Langley		
Kelly		Baker		
Kate		Mullineux		
Paul		Roebuck		
Kelsey		Marsland		
Angela		Burrows		
Dorothy	Lennard			
Terence		Burke		
Frances		Davidson		
Paul		Barker		
Chris		Handley		
Lynne		Hudson		
Valerie		Dixon		
Alan		Kirkman		
Faye		Fox-Walker		
Ben		Pascall		
John		Marginson		
Helen		Lomax		
Michael		Lyons		
Stephen		Mannion		

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jen	Hurst	
Neil	Standish	
Lauren	Millward	
Naomi	Jackson	
Andrew	Hodson	
Camilla	Schofield	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Susan	Seely	
Adrian	Richards	
Angela	Kelly	
Richard	Critchley	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Anne	Grennan	
Louise	Seddon	
Neil	Griffiths	
Helen	Johnson	
Ellis	Barker	
Linda	Sincup	
Vicky	Walsh	
Gill	Pearson	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Grantham	Fidler	
Jane	Lomas	
Jane	Lomas	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Lesley	Baker	
Alison	Davies	
Lilian	Cretin	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	
Holly	Rotheram	
Nicola	Weedall	
Jamie	Bentham	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Mary	Sharkey	
Amy	Hallsworth	
Jeff	Gosling	
Susan	Edge	
Jim	Roscoe	
Matthew	Broadbent	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Sarah	Jayne	
Rob	Orford	
Carol	Mole	
Sean	Nugent	
John	Dawson	
John	Turner	
Ian	Sharpe	
Michael	Sherrard	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vicky	Harper	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Abbie	Stallard	
Catherine	Rutherford	
R	Nawaz	
Zoe	Worthington	
Lily	Pritchard	
Danielle	Tudor	
Mary	Mcbride	
Christopher	Lee	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Robert	Crehan	
John	Platt	
Sarah	Muszynski Scott	
Michael	Robb-Elliott	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Allison	Brierley	
Elspeth	Hanna	
Cara	Pitman	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Fiona	Ogg	
Geoffrey	White	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts



**Table 3. Row JPA27.8**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Johanna	Stuart	
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Mary	Deuison	
Zoe	Edwards	
Alan	Denham	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Hannah	Jones	
Emma	McNamee	
Stuart	Lyon	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Margaret	Taylor	
James	Toole	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Richard	Prosser	
K	Thoday	
Lyn	Webster	
Ankur	Mishara	
Danny	Lyle	
Karen	Cooper	
Helen	Bryan	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Philip	Robinson	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Elaine	West	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Sandra	Castle	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Pam and David	Smith	
Phillip	Tunney	
Oliver	Heron	
Clare	Callery	
Alana	Henry	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Fahy	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Helen	Lomax	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Janett	Sheddon	
Garry	Lyle	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Julie	Williams	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Janet	Smajli	
David	Williams	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Jon	Beward	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Ashton	
Terri	Nolan	
Michael	Cunningham	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Dipak	Ram	
Zoe	Whittle	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Maria	O'Brien	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Trisha	Naik	
Omair	Razzaq	
Richard	Nadin	
Catharine	Cooper	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
L	Taylor	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Terence	Adams	
Greg	Smith	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Neil	Albury	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Maureen	Christie	
Nicola	Shenton	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Kate	Mullineux	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Frances	Davidson	
Paul	Barker	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Naomi	Jackson	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Linda	Sincup	
Grantham	Fidler	
Jane	Lomas	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Lilian	Cretin	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Christopher	Lee	
Vinny	Fahy	
Julie	Ward	

**Table 4. Row JPA27.26**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Margaret	Taylor	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
K	Thoday	
Danny	Lyle	
Markus	Dyckmans	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Jackie	Heron	
J M	Riley	
Jeremy	Heron	
M	Midgley	
David	Williams	
Oliver	Heron	
Andrew	Dickson	
Julie	Williams	
Karl	Burrows	
David	Williams	
Philip	Sharples	
Andrew	Ashton	
Sarah	Armitage	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Blackshaw	
Philip	O'Brien	
Nicola	Ashton	
Richard	Critchley	
Michelle	Dawson	

**Table 5. Row JPA27.36**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Hannah	Jones	
Stuart	Lyon	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Margaret	Taylor	
Helen	Bryan	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsay	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Sandra	Castle	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Haz	Shaniqua	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rosie	Jackson	
Gary	Brandwood	
Oliver	Heron	
Clare	Callery	
Alana	Henry	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
David	Williams	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
	Howells Household	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Omair	Razzaq	
Catharine	Cooper	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Matt	Moore	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Greg	Smith	
L	Robinson	
Neil	Albury	
Paul	Holland	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alice	Sheldon	
	Cooper	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Maureen	Christie	
Nigel	Hyams	

**Table 6. Row JPA27.37**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Alan	Denham	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Hannah	Jones	
Stuart	Lyon	
B	Jones	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Margaret	Taylor	
David	Caulfield	
Karen	Cooper	
Helen	Bryan	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Elaine	West	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Rosie	Jackson	
Gary	Brandwood	
Oliver	Heron	
Clare	Callery	
Alana	Henry	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Heather	Kenny	
Danielle	Tudor	
Keith	Hughes	
Garry	Lyle	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
David	Williams	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
	Howells Household	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Omair	Razzaq	
Catharine	Cooper	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Matt	Moore	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Sebastian	Banach	
Greg	Smith	
Neil	Albury	
Alice	Sheldon	
	Cooper	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nigel	Hyams	

**Table 7. Row JPA27.51**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Johanna	Stuart	
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Nadine	Brown	
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Stuart	Lyon	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
Margaret	Taylor	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Richard	Prosser	
K	Thoday	
Jill	Roberts	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Karen	Cooper	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Nicola	Drake	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lyndsey	Furse	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Beth	Garvey	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Denise	Wade	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsy	
M	Midgley	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joe	Steed	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Daniel	Higginson	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Gary	Brandwood	
Pam and David	Smith	
Phillip	Tunney	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lamptey	
Andrew	Parry	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Emma	Midgley	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Matthew and Emma	Hampson and Birkett	
Danielle	Tudor	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Garry	Lyle	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Katie	Whittaker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Jackie	Kelly	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Jon	Beward	
Diane	Hobin	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Nicola	Wellens	
Rob	Lines	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michael	Cunningham	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Justin	Richardson	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Omair	Razzaq	
Hayley And John	Curran And Duckworth	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
L	Taylor	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	
Philip	O'Brien	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Catherine	Gibson	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Alex	Humphreys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Zachary	Stone	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Raymond	Wakelin	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Maureen	Christie	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Lee	Salsbury	
Danny	Lyle	
Gareth	Purdy	
David	French	
Nicola	Shenton	
Paul	Roebuck	
Angela	Burrows	
Terence	Burke	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lynne	Hudson	
Ben	Pascall	
John	Marginson	
Michael	Lyons	
Neil	Standish	
Andrew	Hodson	
Camilla	Schofield	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Susan	Seely	
Richard	Critchley	
Neil	Campbell	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Anne	Grennan	
Louise	Seddon	
Helen	Johnson	
Linda	Sincup	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Lesley	Baker	
Lilian	Cretin	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jeff	Gosling	
Susan	Edge	
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Rob	Orford	
Sean	Nugent	
Ian	Sharpe	
Michael	Sherrard	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Christopher	Lee	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
John	Platt	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Cara	Pitman	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Geoffrey	White	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 8. Row JPA27.55**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Karen	Cooper	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Rosie	Jackson	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Oliver	Heron	
Stephen	Langford	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	
Clare	Platt	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	
Neil	Campbell	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 9. Row JPA27.57**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Hannah	Jones	
Stuart	Lyon	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
David	Williams	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Pam and David	Smith	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Heather	Kenny	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Kah Yin	Hor	
David	Williams	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
	Howells Household	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Trisha	Naik	
Sean	O'Connor	
Catharine	Cooper	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nicki	Sullivan	
Terence	Adams	
Greg	Smith	
Neil	Albury	
Alice	Sheldon	
	Cooper	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nigel	Hyams	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 10. Row JPA27.63**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Paul	Higson	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Zoe	Edwards	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Ryan	Rutter	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Denise	Wade	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	
David	Williams	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Oliver	Heron	
Alana	Henry	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Heather	Kenny	
Sarah	Worthington	
Keith	Hughes	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Katie	Whittaker	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
David	Williams	
Jon	Beward	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Clare	Platt	
Anne	Holden	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ken	Lowndes	
Lynn	Massey	
Lee	Sherrard	
Maria	O'Brien	
Rachel	Henry	
Catharine	Cooper	
Philip	O'Brien	
Peter	Cleary	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Neil	Albury	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
	Cooper	
Gareth	Purdy	
Andrew	Jay	
Kelly	Baker	
Kate	Mullineux	
Paul	Roebuck	
Paul	Barker	
Alan	Kirkman	
Neil	Standish	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Susan	Seely	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Jane	Lomas	
Mark	Tyldesley	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Lilian	Cretin	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Susan	Edge	
Rob	Orford	
Sean	Nugent	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
John	Platt	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elspeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Geoffrey	White	

**Table 11. Row JPA27.65**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Nadine	Brown	
Paul	Higson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Lee	
Mary	Deuison	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Jayne	Allman	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Denise	Wade	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
David	Williams	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Phillip	Tunney	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Emma	Midgley	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Heather	Kenny	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Jackie	Kelly	
David	Williams	
Diane	Hobin	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Anne	Holden	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Michael	Cunningham	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Justin	Richardson	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hayley And John	Curran And Duckworth	
Richard	Nadin	
June	Barrett	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	
Clare	Brady	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Lyndsay	May	
Richard	Linster	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Neil	Albury	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Katie	Allen	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Nigel	Hyams	
Jeff	Gosling	
Rob	Orford	
Sean	Nugent	

**Table 12. Row JPA27.66**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Carol Ann	Smith	
Helenya	Jones	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Karen	Lythgoe	
K	Thoday	
Karen	Cooper	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Richard	Bryan	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Paula	Nuttall	
Thomas	Hunter	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Stephen	Langford	
Adele	Fearn	
Garry	Lyle	
Karl	Burrows	
Kah Yin	Hor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Sarah	Armitage	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	

**Table 13. Row JPA27.69**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Simon	Doherty	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
Margaret	Taylor	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
David	Caulfield	
Danny	Lyle	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	
Helen	Bryan	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Rebecca	Smith	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
Richard	Bryan	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Anne Marie	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Paula	Nuttall	
John	Walker	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
J M	Riley	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Catherine	Stone	
Catherine	Duff	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Daniel	Higginson	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Anne	Cox	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Carl	Smith	
Francis	Langan	
Sally	Smith	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Andrew	Jones	
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Phillip	Tunney	
Natalie	Taylor	
Naomi	Jackson	
Mae	Lampzey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Emma	Midgley	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Paul	Glover	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Jackie	Kelly	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Diane	Hobin	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Amanda	Beardow	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Rob	Lines	
Harriet		

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Ashton	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Mary	Higginbottom	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Omair	Razzaq	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
L	Taylor	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Clare	Brady	
Philip	O'Brien	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Alex	Humphreys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Greg	Smith	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
L	Robinson	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Lee	Salsbury	
David	French	
Jo	Davies	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Andrew	Jay	
Angela	Burrows	
Helen	Lomax	
Naomi	Jackson	
Camilla	Schofield	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Seely	
Adrian	Richards	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Neil	Griffiths	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Jane	Lomas	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Alison	Davies	
Lilian	Cretin	
Nicola	Weedall	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Rob	Orford	
Sean	Nugent	
Michael	Sherrard	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Christopher	Lee	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elspeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 14. Row JPA27.70**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Bryan	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
J M	Riley	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
David	Williams	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Alana	Henry	
Andrew	Dickson	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Sandra	Edwards	
David	Williams	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Maria	O'Brien	
Catharine	Cooper	
Philip	O'Brien	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Terence	Adams	
Neil	Albury	
Alice	Sheldon	
	Cooper	
David	French	
Jo	Davies	
Frances	Davidson	
Nigel	Hyams	
Alison	Davies	
Sean	Nugent	

**Table 15. Row JPA27.71**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Johanna	Stuart	
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Nadine	Brown	
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sharon	Hayes	
Alan	Denham	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Sharon	Taylor	
Emma	McNamee	
Ann	Cunningham	
Stuart	Lyon	
B	Jones	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Katie	Whittaker	
Simon	Doherty	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
Margaret	Taylor	
Tracy	Bradley	
Lorraine And Paul	McCormick	
James	Toole	
Tim	Simpson	
Sarah	Jones	
Stephanie	Simpson	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Scott	West	
Richard	Prosser	
Natalie	Jones	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Lyn	Webster	
Ankur	Mishara	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jill	Roberts	
Haylea	Jefferys	
David	Caulfield	
Danny	Lyle	
Markus	Dyckmans	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	
Helen	Bryan	
Rachel	Rutherford	
James	Worthington	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Rebecca	Smith	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Philip	Robinson	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Bernard	Gray	
Jonathan	Bell	
Beth	Garvey	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Janice	Meehan	
Paddy	Farrelly	
David	Cailey	
Annie	Tortoa-Cailey	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Denise	Wade	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Joe	Steed	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Daniel	Higginson	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Anne	Cox	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Carl	Smith	
Francis	Langan	
Sally	Smith	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Andrew	Jones	
Gary	Brandwood	
Julie	Mulligan	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Pam and David	Smith	
Phillip	Tunney	
Natalie	Taylor	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
Carl	McGurk	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Clare	Callery	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Emma	Midgley	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Matthew and Emma	Hampson and Birkett	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Danielle	Tudor	
Paul	Glover	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Mary	Driscoll	
Janett	Sheddon	
Garry	Lyle	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Val	Wallwork	
Rachel	Rimmer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ben	Cox	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Janet	Smajli	
Jackie	Kelly	
Adam	Thoday	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Jon	Beward	
Diane	Hobin	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Amanda	Beardow	
Joanne	Coezy	
Philip	Sharples	
Gillian	Raine	
Patricia	Hamilton	
Lynne	Hudson	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nicola	Wellens	
Rob	Lines	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Ken	Lowndes	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Mary	Higginbottom	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Dave	Johnson	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Justin	Richardson	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Omair	Razzaq	
Hayley And John	Curran And Duckworth	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Martin	Hogg	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
Clare	Edwards	
Andrea	Eliades	
L	Taylor	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Clare	Brady	
Alex	Coll	
Philip	O'Brien	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Catherine	Gibson	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Sebastian	Banach	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Alex	Humphreys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Ian	Elsey	
Alice	Sheldon	
Raymond	Wakelin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Ward	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Maureen	Christie	
Lee	Salsbury	
Danny	Lyle	
Gareth	Purdy	
David	French	
Nicola	Shenton	
Lindsay	Hodgkiss	
Emily	Wilcox	
Peter	Carr	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Mike	Bolton	
Andrew	Jay	
Adam	Langley	
Kelly	Baker	
Kate	Mullineux	
Paul	Roebuck	
Angela	Burrows	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Dorothy	Lennard	
Terence	Burke	
Frances	Davidson	
Paul	Barker	
Chris	Handley	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Faye	Fox-Walker	
Ben	Pascall	
John	Marginson	
Helen	Lomax	
Michael	Lyons	
Stephen	Mannion	
Jen	Hurst	
Neil	Standish	
Naomi	Jackson	
Andrew	Hodson	
Camilla	Schofield	
Sophie	Hadfield	
Susan	Seely	
Adrian	Richards	
Angela	Kelly	
Richard	Critchley	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Neil	Griffiths	
Helen	Johnson	
Ellis	Barker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Linda	Sincup	
Vicky	Walsh	
Gill	Pearson	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Jane	Lomas	
Jane	Lomas	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Lesley	Baker	
Alison	Davies	
Lilian	Cretin	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	
Nicola	Weedall	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Susan	Edge	
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Rob	Orford	
Sean	Nugent	
Ian	Sharpe	
Michael	Sherrard	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vicky	Harper	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Abbie	Stallard	
Catherine	Rutherford	
R	Nawaz	
Zoe	Worthington	
Lily	Pritchard	
Danielle	Tudor	
Mary	Mcbride	
Christopher	Lee	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Robert	Crehan	
Sarah	Muszynski Scott	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Allison	Brierley	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Cara	Pitman	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Fiona	Ogg	
Geoffrey	White	

**Table 16. Row JPA27.73**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Helenya	Jones	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Alan	Denham	
Gerald	Gray	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Katie	Whittaker	
Simon	Doherty	
Tracy	Bradley	
Lorraine And Paul	McCormick	
Tim	Simpson	
Stephanie	Simpson	
Natalie	Jones	

Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Danny	Lyle	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Susan	Harrison	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
Elaine	West	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Paula	Nuttall	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Thomas	Hunter	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Francis	Langan	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Jasmine	Kirkham	

Andrew	Jones	
Natalie	Taylor	
Carl	McGurk	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Kim	Law	
Val	Wallwork	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Alan	Hook	
Diane	Hobin	
Jennifer	Nadin	
Hannah	Murphy	
Rob	Lines	
Andrew	Ashton	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
M P	Stemmer	



Samantha	Lingard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Adam	Stone	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Clare	Brady	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Sebastian	Banach	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kate	Bendelow	

Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Jason	Connolly	
Richard	Laine	
Nicola	Ashton	
Emily	Wilcox	
Frances	Davidson	
Paul	Barker	
Alan	Kirkman	
Stephen	Mannion	
Jen	Hurst	
Lauren	Millward	
Camilla	Schofield	
Adrian	Richards	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Neil	Griffiths	
Ellis	Barker	
Linda	Sincup	
Jason	Reynolds	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Alison	Davies	
Lilian	Cretin	
Jeff	Gosling	
Vicky	Harper	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Danielle	Tudor	
Christopher	Lee	
Wesley	Britton	

Vinny	Fahy	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 17. Row JPA27.76**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Richard	Bryan	
Carl	Smith	
Sally	Smith	
Natalie	Taylor	
Alan	Hook	
Sion	Owen-Ellis	National Highways
Gareth	Purdy	
Peter	Carr	
Terence	Burke	
Alan	Kirkman	
Adrian	Richards	
Neil	Campbell	
Gill	Pearson	
Barbara	Keeley	
Lilian	Cretin	
Zoe	Worthington	

**Table 18. Row JPA27.77**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Gerald	Gray	
Garry	Lyle	
Sebastian	Banach	
Alan	Kirkman	
Stephen	Mannion	
Jen	Hurst	
Camilla	Schofield	
Ellis	Barker	
Jane	Lomas	
Jason	Reynolds	
Barbara	Keeley	
Alison	Davies	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Zoe	Worthington	
Christopher	Lee	
Elsbeth	Hanna	

**Table 19. Row JPA27.78**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Kieran	Wynne	
Katie	Whittaker	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Helen	Bryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Bernard	Gray	
Elaine	West	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Catherine	Stone	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Richard	Lines	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Phillip	Tunney	
John A	Platt	
Rosie	Robinson	
Les	Edwards	
Paul	Glover	
Helen	Lomax	
Janett	Sheddon	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Sarah	Hook	
Alan	Hook	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Terri	Nolan	
Michael	Cunningham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Dipak	Ram	
Zoe	Whittle	
Anne	Hook	
Sarah	Armitage	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Richard	Nadin	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Bhavin	Patel	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
Millhall Financial		
Nicola	Weedall	

**Table 20. Row JPA27.79**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Karen	Cooper	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Thomas	Hunter	
Rob	Orford	
Rosie	Jackson	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Oliver	Heron	
Stephen	Langford	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	
Neil	Campbell	
Helen	Johnson	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 21. Row JPA27.83**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Stuart	Lyon	
Tim	Simpson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stephanie	Simpson	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	
Helen	Bryan	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Nicola	Drake	
Philip	Robinson	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Greg	Russell	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Thomas	Hunter	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Rob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
David	Williams	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Gary	Brandwood	
Julie	Mulligan	
Natalie	Taylor	
Oliver	Heron	
Carl	McGurk	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Emma	Midgley	
Stephen	Langford	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Anupriya	Prasad	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Heather	Kenny	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Garry	Lyle	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Sandra	Edwards	
Kah Yin	Hor	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Amanda	Beardow	
Jennifer	Nadin	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
M P	Stemmer	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Maria	O'Brien	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Rachel	Henry	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Clare	Brady	
Philip	O'Brien	
Peter	Cleary	
Matt	Moore	
Amanda	Booth	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Alice	Sheldon	
Raymond	Wakelin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Janet	Ward	
Gareth	Purdy	
Adam	Langley	
Kelly	Baker	
Terence	Burke	
Paul	Barker	
Faye	Fox-Walker	
John	Marginson	
Naomi	Jackson	
Andrew	Hodson	
Adrian	Richards	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Neil	Griffiths	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Grantham	Fidler	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	
Nigel	Hyams	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Rob	Orford	
Ian	Sharpe	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Christopher	Lee	
Wesley	Britton	
Robert	Crehan	
Paul	Hickey	
Allison	Brierley	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 22. Row JPA27.84**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Katie	Vanden	
Harriet		
Philip	O'Brien	
Gareth	Purdy	
David	French	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	
Christopher	Lee	

**Table 23. Row JPA27.90**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Mary	Deuison	
Zoe	Edwards	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Stuart	Lyon	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Nicola	Drake	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Ryan	Rutter	
Jayne	Allman	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsay	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Rob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Lines	
Daniel	Higginson	
Thomas	Armstrong	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Phillip	Tunney	
Oliver	Heron	
Mae	Lampthey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Heather	Kenny	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Richard	Nadin	
June	Barrett	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Neil	Albury	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Millhall Financial		
Nigel	Hyams	

**Table 24. Row JPA27.91**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Mary	Deuison	
Zoe	Edwards	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stuart	Lyon	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Katie	Whittaker	
Margaret	Taylor	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
David	Caulfield	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Philip	Robinson	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Ryan	Rutter	
Jayne	Allman	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Joe	Steed	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Rob	Orford	
Richard	Lines	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Thomas	Armstrong	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Pam and David	Smith	
Phillip	Tunney	
Oliver	Heron	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Alana	Henry	
Emma	Fahy	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Danielle	Tudor	
Helen	Lomax	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Julie	Williams	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Robert	Bennett	
Jackie	Kelly	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Jon	Beward	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Andrew	Ashton	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Steve	Crombleholme	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Dipak	Ram	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Ken	Lowndes	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Omar	Razzaq	
Richard	Nadin	
June	Barrett	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Neil	Albury	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Katie	Allen	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Nigel	Hyams	

**Table 25. Row JPA27.92**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Stuart	Lyon	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Margaret	Taylor	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Scott	West	
Richard	Prosser	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rachel	Rutherford	
James	Worthington	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Rob	Orford	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Pam and David	Smith	
Phillip	Tunney	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Helen	Lomax	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Anne	Holden	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Omair	Razzaq	
Richard	Nadin	
June	Barrett	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
L	Taylor	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Clare	Brady	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
Vivien	Blackshaw	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Millhall Financial		
Nigel	Hyams	

**Table 26. Row JPA27.93**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Danny	Lyle	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Ryan	Rutter	
Elpeth	Hanna	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sarah	Worthington	
Julie	Williams	
Lisa	Holder	
	Constable	
Nicola	Ashton	
Michael	Sherrard	

**Table 27. Row JPA27.94**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helenya	Jones	
Mary	Deuison	
Tim	Simpson	
Sarah	Jones	
Stephanie	Simpson	
K	Thoday	
Markus	Dyckmans	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Alice	Graham	
Chris	Robinson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Steph	Chande	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Ian	Macknight	
Sarah	Armitage	
Sean	O'Connor	
Haylea	Jefferys	

**Table 28. Row JPA27.101**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Mary	Deuison	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
K	Thoday	
Karen	Cooper	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Jayne	Allman	
Elaine	West	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Rob	Orford	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Phillip	Tunney	
Natalie	Taylor	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Jackie	Kelly	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Ian	Macknight	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michael	Cunningham	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Dave	Johnson	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Richard	Nadin	
June	Barrett	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Dean	Hawthorne	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gail	Butler	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Neil	Albury	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Ward	
Millhall Financial		
Angela	Burrows	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Anne	Grennan	
Nigel	Hyams	
Christopher	Lee	

**Table 29. Row JPA27.110**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Alan	Denham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Sharon	Taylor	
Emma	McNamee	
Ann	Cunningham	
Stuart	Lyon	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Katie	Whittaker	
Simon	Doherty	
Margaret	Taylor	
Tracy	Bradley	
Lorraine And Paul	McCormick	
James	Toole	
Tim	Simpson	
Sarah	Jones	
Stephanie	Simpson	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Richard	Prosser	
Natalie	Jones	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Lyn	Webster	
Ankur	Mishara	
Haylea	Jefferys	
David	Caulfield	
Danny	Lyle	
Markus	Dyckmans	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Bryan	
Rachel	Rutherford	
James	Worthington	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Rebecca	Smith	
Nicola	Drake	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Bernard	Gray	
Jonathan	Bell	
Beth	Garvey	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Elaine	West	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Denise	Wade	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Joe	Steed	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Rachel	Byram	
Rob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Anne	Cox	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Andrew	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Pam and David	Smith	
Natalie	Taylor	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Clare	Callery	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Midgley	
Stephen	Langford	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Heather	Kenny	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Danielle	Tudor	
Paul	Glover	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kim	Law	
Mary	Driscoll	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Val	Wallwork	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Jackie	Kelly	
Adam	Thoday	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gill and Robert	McCullough	
Diane	Hobin	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Amanda	Beardow	
Philip	Sharples	
Gillian	Raine	
Lynne	Hudson	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Nicola	Wellens	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Angela	Grey	
Rob	Lines	
Harriet		
Andrew	Ashton	
Anne	Holden	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Simone	Sweeney	
M P	Stemmer	
Samantha	Lingard	
Ken	Lowndes	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Mary	Higginbottom	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Dave	Johnson	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Justin	Richardson	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Omair	Razzaq	
Hayley And John	Curran And Duckworth	
Richard	Nadin	
June	Barrett	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	
Clare	Brady	
Philip	O'Brien	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Matt	Moore	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Catherine	Gibson	
Angela	Harding	
Nicki	Sullivan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sebastian	Banach	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
L	Robinson	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Bhavin	Patel	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Paul	Holland	
Alice	Sheldon	
Raymond	Wakelin	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Ward	
Nicola	Ashton	
Danny	Lyle	
David	French	
Emily	Wilcox	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mike	Bolton	
Andrew	Jay	
Adam	Langley	
Kelly	Baker	
Kate	Mullineux	
Angela	Burrows	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Frances	Davidson	
Paul	Barker	
Chris	Handley	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Faye	Fox-Walker	
Helen	Lomax	
Michael	Lyons	
Stephen	Mannion	
Jen	Hurst	
Neil	Standish	
Naomi	Jackson	
Camilla	Schofield	
Susan	Seely	
Adrian	Richards	
Angela	Kelly	
Neil	Campbell	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Anne	Grennan	
Louise	Seddon	
Helen	Johnson	
Linda	Sincup	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vicky	Walsh	
Gill	Pearson	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Grantham	Fidler	
Jane	Lomas	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Alison	Davies	
Nicola	Weedall	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Rob	Orford	
Sean	Nugent	
Ian	Sharpe	
Michael	Sherrard	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Abbie	Stallard	
Lily	Pritchard	
Danielle	Tudor	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mary	Mcbride	
Christopher	Lee	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Allison	Brierley	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Cara	Pitman	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Fiona	Ogg	
Geoffrey	White	

**Table 30. Row JPA27.111**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Carol Ann	Smith	
Helenya	Jones	
Katie	Baxter	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Alan	Denham	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Simon	Doherty	
Lorraine And Paul	McCormick	
Tim	Simpson	
Sarah	Jones	
Stephanie	Simpson	
Natalie	Jones	
David	Caulfield	
Danny	Lyle	
Helen	Bryan	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Susan	Harrison	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Greg	Russell	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Richard	Bryan	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Paula	Nuttall	
Thomas	Hunter	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Stephen	Langford	
Paul	Glover	
Julie	Williams	
Diane	Hobin	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Angela	Grey	
Andrew	Ashton	
Ray	McGinnis	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Sebastian	Banach	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	
Nicola	Ashton	
Kelly	Baker	
Paul	Barker	
Alan	Kirkman	
Neil	Standish	
Lauren	Millward	
Jason	Reynolds	
Barbara	Keeley	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison	Davies	

**Table 31. Row JPA27.112**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alan	Denham	
Simon	Doherty	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Richard	Bryan	
Paul	Glover	
Julie	Williams	
Clare	Platt	
Andrew	Ashton	
Nicola	Ashton	
Peter	Carr	
Frances	Davidson	
Adrian	Richards	
Neil	Campbell	
Louise	Seddon	
Linda	Sincup	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	
Barbara	Keeley	
Alison	Davies	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michael	Sherrard	

**Table 32. Row JPA27.115**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen	Cooper	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Rosie	Jackson	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Oliver	Heron	
Stephen	Langford	
Rachel	Smith	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	
Raneesha	Manoharan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Tyldesley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 33. Row JPA27.118**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kieran	Wynne	
Simon	Doherty	
Sarah	Jones	
Markus	Dyckmans	
Rebecca	Smith	
Bernard	Gray	
Paul	Glover	
Harriet		
Ray	McGinnis	
Stephen	Mannion	
Jen	Hurst	
Lauren	Millward	

**Table 34. Row JPA27.120**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Natalie	Taylor	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Julie	Roscoe	
Lesley	Baker	
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 35. Row JPA27.121**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Paul	Higson	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Kieran	Wynne	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Emma	McNamee	
Ann	Cunningham	
Stuart	Lyon	
Katie	Whittaker	
James	Toole	
Sarah	Jones	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Richard	Prosser	
Natalie	Jones	
K	Thoday	
Ankur	Mishara	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Danny	Lyle	
Karen	Cooper	
Helen	Bryan	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Rebecca	Smith	
Lyndsey	Furse	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Bernard	Gray	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Janice	Meehan	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Richard	Bryan	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Joe	Steed	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Anne	Cox	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Williams	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Gary	Brandwood	
Pam and David	Smith	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lamptey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Emma	Midgley	
Stephen	Langford	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Heather	Kenny	
Matthew and Emma	Hampson and Birkett	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Danielle	Tudor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Garry	Lyle	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Adam	Thoday	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Jon	Beward	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Amanda	Beardow	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Andrew	Ashton	
David	Craft	
Ian	Macknight	
M P	Stemmer	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Dave	Johnson	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	
Alex	Coll	
Amanda	Booth	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Terence	Adams	
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
Zachary	Stone	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alice	Sheldon	
Raymond	Wakelin	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Maureen	Christie	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Danny	Lyle	
Gareth	Purdy	
Nicola	Shenton	
Lindsay	Hodgkiss	
David	Yates	
Andrew	Jay	
Adam	Langley	
Kelly	Baker	
Kate	Mullineux	
Angela	Burrows	
Terence	Burke	
Frances	Davidson	
Paul	Barker	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Faye	Fox-Walker	
Lauren	Millward	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Hodson	
Camilla	Schofield	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Anne	Grennan	
Louise	Seddon	
Neil	Griffiths	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Jane	Lomas	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Rob	Orford	
John	Dawson	
Vicky	Harper	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Mary	Mcbride	
Christopher	Lee	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Cara	Pitman	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 36. Row JPA27.122**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Nadine	Brown	
Paul	Higson	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Michelle	Johnson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Emma	McNamee	
Stuart	Lyon	
Katie	Whittaker	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
Lorraine And Paul	McCormick	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Scott	West	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Lyn	Webster	
Danny	Lyle	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	
James	Worthington	
Rebecca	Smith	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Joe	Steed	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Daniel	Higginson	
David	Williams	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Gary	Brandwood	
Pam and David	Smith	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Clare	Callery	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Stephen	Langford	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Heather	Kenny	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Danielle	Tudor	
Sarah	Worthington	
Keith	Hughes	
Garry	Lyle	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	
Jackie	Kelly	
David	Williams	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Amanda	Beardow	
	Howells Household	
Andrew	Ashton	
Sian	Hopkin	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Maria	O'Brien	
Trudi	Kaye	
Dave	Johnson	
Justin	Richardson	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Andrew	Moore	
Amanda	Booth	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Sebastian	Banach	
	Constable	
Greg	Smith	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Holland	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
	Cooper	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Maureen	Christie	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Gareth	Purdy	
Andrew	Jay	
Adam	Langley	
Terence	Burke	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Andrew	Hodson	
Camilla	Schofield	
Susan	Seely	
Neil	Campbell	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Louise	Seddon	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jane	Lomas	
Jane	Lomas	
Jason	Reynolds	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Alison	Davies	
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Sean	Nugent	
John	Dawson	
Vicky	Harper	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Abbie	Stallard	
Catherine	Rutherford	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mary	Mcbride	
Vinny	Fahy	
Sarah	Muszynski Scott	
Julie	Ward	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 37. Row JPA27.127**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Karen	Cooper	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Rosie	Jackson	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Oliver	Heron	
Stephen	Langford	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	
Clare	Platt	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	
Neil	Campbell	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 38. Row JPA27.129**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
Suzanne	Basson	
Mark	Bennett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Karen	Cooper	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Beth	Garvey	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Rosie	Jackson	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Oliver	Heron	
Stephen	Langford	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Justin	Richardson	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Kath	Clark	
Neil	Campbell	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 39. Row JPA27.132**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Carol Ann	Smith	
Michelle	Johnson	
Mary	Deuison	
Gerald	Gray	
Lorraine And Paul	McCormick	
Scott	West	
James	Worthington	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Garvey	
Michelle	Murphy	
Jackie	Heron	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Jeremy	Heron	
Daniel	Higginson	
Oliver	Heron	
Clare	Callery	
Paul	Glover	
Garry	Lyle	
Sandra	Edwards	
Clare	Platt	
Trudi	Kaye	
Charlie	Heron	
Sebastian	Banach	
Maureen	Christie	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Gareth	Purdy	
Adam	Langley	
Alan	Kirkman	
Andrew	Hodson	
Adrian	Richards	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Jim	Roscoe	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sarah	Jayne	
Sean	Nugent	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 40. Row JPA27.141**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah	Jones	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Karen	Cooper	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Alison	Rutter	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
Janice	Meehan	
Barry and Elizabeth	Moult	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Phillip	Tunney	
Oliver	Heron	
Mae	Lampzey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Alan	Hook	
Anne	Holden	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Rob	Lines	
Harriet		
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrea	Eliades	
L	Taylor	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Clare	Brady	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Lyndsay	May	
Richard	Linster	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Millhall Financial		
Camilla	Schofield	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Seely	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nigel	Hyams	
Barbara	Keeley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Rob	Orford	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Geoffrey	White	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 41. Row JPA27.154**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nadine	Brown	
Mary	Deuison	
Karen	Lythgoe	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Jayne	Allman	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
John	Walker	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
J M	Riley	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Catherine	Stone	
Catherine	Duff	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Phillip	Tunney	
Naomi	Jackson	
Mae	Lamptey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Helen	Lomax	
Steph	Chande	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Sarah	Hook	
Jackie	Kelly	
Alan	Hook	
Anne	Holden	
Joanne	Coezy	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Dipak	Ram	
Zoe	Whittle	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Adam	Stone	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Nicki	Sullivan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Neil	Albury	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Millhall Financial		
Nigel	Hyams	

**Table 42. Row JPA27.156**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Mary	Deuison	
Gerald	Gray	
Ananya	McCarthy	
Susan	Mills	
James	Worthington	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Jacqueline	Holt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
John	Coll	
Charlie	Heron	
Adam	Langley	
Louise	Seddon	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Susan	Edge	

**Table 43. Row JPA27.164**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Nadine	Brown	
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Kieran	Wynne	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
Zoe	Edwards	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Gerald	Gray	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Sharon	Taylor	
Stuart	Lyon	
Katie	Whittaker	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
Lorraine And Paul	McCormick	
Sarah	Jones	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Scott	West	
Richard	Prosser	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Lyn	Webster	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	
Helen	Bryan	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Rebecca	Smith	
Lyndsey	Furse	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Michelle	Murphy	
Bernard	Gray	
Beth	Garvey	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Elaine	West	
Janice	Meehan	
Paddy	Farrelly	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Rachel	Byram	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Richard	Lines	
Daniel	Higginson	
Thomas	Armstrong	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Anne	Cox	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Haz	Shaniqua	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Carl	Smith	
Francis	Langan	
Sally	Smith	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Pam and David	Smith	
Phillip	Tunney	
Natalie	Taylor	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
John A	Platt	
Mae	Lampzey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Midgley	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Danielle	Tudor	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Julie	Williams	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
Kah Yin	Hor	
Robert	Bennett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jackie	Kelly	
Adam	Thoday	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Diane	Hobin	
Anne	Holden	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Joanne	Coezy	
Philip	Sharples	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Nicola	Wellens	
Rob	Lines	
Harriet		
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Richard	Blackshaw	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Justin	Richardson	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Omair	Razzaq	
Hayley And John	Curran And Duckworth	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Lisa	Holder	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Alex	Coll	
Philip	O'Brien	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Alex	Humphreys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Rhiannon	Burke	
Greg	Smith	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Alice	Sheldon	
Raymond	Wakelin	
Kate	Bendelow	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Ward	
Nicola	Ashton	
Millhall Financial		
Maureen	Christie	
Lee	Salsbury	
Gareth	Purdy	
David	French	
Adam	Langley	
Paul	Roebuck	
Dorothy	Lennard	
Terence	Burke	
Chris	Handley	
Alan	Kirkman	
Faye	Fox-Walker	
John	Marginson	
Andrew	Hodson	
Camilla	Schofield	
Susan	Seely	
Adrian	Richards	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Louise	Seddon	
Helen	Johnson	
Ellis	Barker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lesley	Heneghan	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Grantham	Fidler	
Jane	Lomas	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Jason	Reynolds	
Philip	Lindoe	
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Lesley	Baker	
Alison	Davies	
Lilian	Cretin	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Jim	Roscoe	
Sarah	Jayne	
Rob	Orford	
Sean	Nugent	
Vicky	Harper	
Terence	Dean	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Abbie	Stallard	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Christopher	Lee	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Sarah	Muszynski Scott	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Allison	Brierley	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	

**Table 44. Row JPA27.165**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Prosser	
Richard	Lines	
David	Williams	
David	Williams	
Philip	Sharples	
Rob	Lines	
Y	Lines	
John	Marginson	
Susan	Seely	
Louise	Seddon	
Barbara	Keeley	
Susan	Edge	
Allison	Brierley	



**Table 45. Row JPA27.166**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Rosie	Jackson	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Oliver	Heron	
Stephen	Langford	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	
Clare	Platt	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	

**Table 46. Row JPA27.168**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Helenya	Jones	
Katie	Baxter	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Katie	Whittaker	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
Elaine	West	
Paula	Nuttall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Catherine	Duff	
Thomas	Hunter	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Alice	Graham	
Carl	Smith	
Sally	Smith	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Stephen	Langford	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Steph	Chande	
Kim	Law	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Jackie	Kelly	
Alan	Hook	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Philip	Sharples	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
M P	Stemmer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lynn	Massey	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Trudi	Kaye	
Ruth	Laine	
Sean	O'Connor	
Jill	Roberts	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Y	Lines	
Peter	Cleary	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Paul	Holland	
Raymond	Wakelin	
Janet	Ward	
Maureen	Christie	

**Table 47. Row JPA27.171**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Garry	Lyle	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karl	Burrows	
Amanda	Beardow	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Philip	O'Brien	
Paul	Holland	
Kath	Clark	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Shenton	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Terence	Burke	
Camilla	Schofield	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Louise	Seddon	
Lesley	Baker	
Alison	Davies	
Sean	Nugent	
Vicky	Harper	
Diane	Healey	

**Table 48. Row JPA27.173**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Paul	Higson	
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Lee	
Mary	Deuison	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Sandra	Jarrett	
Katie	Whittaker	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Karen	Cooper	
Susan	Mills	
Natalie and Anthony	Green	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Jayne	Allman	
David and Joan	Taylor	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Paul	Higson	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Katie	Vanden	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Lines	
David	Williams	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Carl	Smith	
Sally	Smith	
Rosie	Jackson	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Paul	Hickey	
Gary	Brandwood	
Natalie	Taylor	
Oliver	Heron	
Mae	Lampsey	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Emma	Fahy	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Heather	Kenny	
Daniel James	Tudor	
Danielle	Tudor	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Garry	Lyle	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Julie	Williams	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sandra	Edwards	
Sarah	Hook	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	
Gillian	Raine	
	Howells Household	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Sian	Hopkin	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
M P	Stemmer	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Rachel	Henry	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Y	Lines	
Mark And Laura	Spavin	
Peter	Cleary	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
Vivien	Blackshaw	
Lyndsay	May	
Zachary	Stone	
Neil	Albury	
Paul	Holland	
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
	Cooper	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Nicola	Ashton	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Andrew	Jay	
Terence	Burke	
Camilla	Schofield	
Neil	Campbell	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Louise	Seddon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nigel	Hyams	
Lesley	Baker	
Alison	Davies	
Sean	Nugent	
Vicky	Harper	
Diane	Healey	
Danielle	Tudor	
Geoffrey	White	

**Table 49. Row JPA27.174**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mary	Deuison	
Karen	Lythgoe	
K	Thoday	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Jayne	Allman	
Elaine	West	
Paul	Higson	
Susan	Mackenzie	
Catherine	Duff	
Thomas	Hunter	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Katie	Vanden	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Mae	Lamptey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Kim	Law	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Gillian	Raine	
Jennifer	Nadin	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
M P	Stemmer	
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sean	O'Connor	
Jill	Roberts	
Y	Lines	
Peter	Cleary	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Richard	Laine	
Camilla	Schofield	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Louise	Seddon	
Alison	Davies	
Jeff	Gosling	
Diane	Healey	
Wesley	Britton	
Paul	Hickey	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Geoffrey	White	

**Table 50. Row JPA27.186**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ryan	Rutter	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Natalie	Taylor	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Jon	Beward	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
	Howells Household	
Steve	Crombleholme	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
	Constable	

**Table 51. Row JPA27.187**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Zoe	Edwards	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Alison	Rutter	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Ryan	Rutter	
Jayne	Allman	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Richard	Morson	
Helen	Taylor	
Jill	Hughes	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Eley	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Phillip	Tunney	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
Mae	Lampsey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Karl	Burrows	
Sarah	Hook	
Jackie	Kelly	
Alan	Hook	
Anne	Holden	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
	Howells Household	
Nicola	Wellens	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Samantha	Lingard	
Lynn	Massey	
Anne	Hook	
Sarah	Armitage	
Janet And Robert	Taylor	
Adam	Stone	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	
Trisha	Naik	
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	
L	Taylor	
Peter	Cleary	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Zachary	Stone	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Holland	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	
Kate	Bendelow	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
Richard	Laine	
Millhall Financial		
Camilla	Schofield	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jeff	Gosling	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Geoffrey	White	

**Table 52. Row JPA27.189**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helenya	Jones	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Karen	Cooper	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Paula	Nuttall	
Thomas	Hunter	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Stephen	Langford	
	Howells Household	
Clare	Platt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Greg	Smith	
Leena	Das	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	
Neil	Campbell	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 53. Row JPA27.190**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gerald And Linda	Gray	
Gerald	Gray	
K	Thoday	
Rachel	Rutherford	
Elaine	West	
Katie	Whittaker	
Lynne	Hudson	
Sarah	Armitage	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Adam	Langley	
Terence	Burke	
John	Marginson	
Lauren	Millward	
Barbara	Keeley	
Terence	Dean	
Haylea	Jefferys	

**Table 54. Row JPA27.191**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Johanna	Stuart	
Neil Patrick	Smith	
Carl	Smith	
Sally	Smith	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Lee	Sherrard	
Maria	O'Brien	
Gareth	Purdy	
Neil	Standish	
Anne	Grennan	
Terence	Dean	

**Table 55. Row JPA27.192**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
John	Pye	Royal Horticultural Society



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Paul	Roebuck	
Adrian	Richards	
Richard	Critchley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Lesley	Baker	
R	Nawaz	

**Table 56. Row JPA27.194**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Helenya	Jones	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Susan	Wareing	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
George	Allan	
Claire	Butler	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Nigel	Jones	
Mark	Bennett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Soraya And Stephen	Cartwright	
Sarah	Jones	
Ananya	McCarthy	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Karen	Cooper	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	
Ryan	Rutter	
Anne And Paul	Seaborn	
Greg	Russell	
Jayne	Allman	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Graham And Shirley	Todd	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
John	Walker	
Claire	Kimmins	
Brian	Gee	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
J M	Riley	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Bob, Darren and Les	Clarke, Ward and Turner Cllr	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Catherine	Stone	
Jeremy	Heron	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Mackenzie	
Catherine	Duff	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
Simon	Halliday	
J	Cardwell	
Andrew	Frintzilas	
Rachel	Byram	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Richard	Lines	
Thomas	Armstrong	
David	Williams	
Catherine and David	Whitehead	
James	Masterman	
Alice	Graham	
Veronica	Tunney	
Francis	Langan	
Rosie	Jackson	
Elpeth	Hanna	
Paul	Hickey	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Gary	Brandwood	
Tomas	Adomavicius	
Phillip	Tunney	
Naomi	Jackson	
Oliver	Heron	
Mae	Lamptey	
Hannah	Kerrigan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Parry	
Ruth	Ferreira	
Chris	Robinson	
Alana	Henry	
Vincent	Hafferty	
Emma	Fahy	
Stephen	Langford	
Rosie	Robinson	
Adele	Fearn	
Andrew	Dickson	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Rachel	Smith	
Stephanie	Limbert	
Anupriya	Prasad	
Les	Edwards	
Heather	Kenny	
Helen	Lomax	
Sarah	Worthington	
Steph	Chande	
Keith	Hughes	
Kim	Law	
Janett	Sheddon	
Kirstie	Brandwood	
Rachel	Rimmer	
Liam and Soraya	Gallagher	
Katie	Whittaker	
Siobhan	Morrison	
Sandra	Edwards	
David	Williams	
Alan	Hook	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Hobin	
Anne	Holden	
Joanne	Coezy	
Gillian	Raine	
Lucas	Johnstone	
Jennifer	Nadin	
Clare	Platt	
Rob	Lines	
Anne	Holden	
Terri	Nolan	
Sian	Hopkin	
David	Craft	
Teresa	Pepper	
Lisa	Hamilton	
Ian	Macknight	
Michael	Cunningham	
Simone	Sweeney	
Dipak	Ram	
M P	Stemmer	
Zoe	Whittle	
Lynn	Massey	
Lee	Sherrard	
Sarah	Armitage	
Maria	O'Brien	
Barry	McPhillips	
Trudi	Kaye	
Peter And Lisa	Smith	
Pete		
Nicole	Chidgey	
Rachel	Henry	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ruth	Laine	
Antony	Cunliffe	
Sean	O'Connor	
Ray	McGinnis	
Richard	Nadin	
Jill	Roberts	
Catharine	Cooper	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Vivienne	Cunningham	
Y	Lines	
Andrea	Eliades	
L	Taylor	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Philip	O'Brien	
Dean	Hawthorne	
Gail	Butler	
Amanda	Booth	
Nicki	Sullivan	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Terence	Adams	
	Constable	
Lyndsay	May	
Bhavin	Patel	
Leena	Das	
Neil	Albury	
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Josephine	Maley-Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alice	Sheldon	
Kate	Bendelow	
Kath	Clark	
Katie	Allen	
Joanne	Parkes	
Sarah	Maine	
Jason	Connolly	
	Cooper	
Richard	Laine	
Millhall Financial		
Terence	Burke	
Camilla	Schofield	
Susan	Seely	
Neil	Campbell	
Samantha	Dugmore	
Philip	Crombleholme	
Ellis	Barker	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Nigel	Hyams	
Julie	Higginbottom	
Barbara	Keeley	
Lesley	Baker	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Jeff	Gosling	
Rob	Orford	
Diane	Healey	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Haylea	Jefferys	
Wesley	Britton	
Vinny	Fahy	
Paul	Hickey	
Julie	Ward	
Elsbeth	Hanna	
Jennifer	Antrobus	
Geoffrey	White	

**Table 57. Row JPA27.196**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alexandra	Puddy	
Elizabeth	Whittaker	
Kate	Lee	
Katie	Baxter	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
Zoe	Edwards	
Suzanne	Basson	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Rebecca	McDonnell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen	Lythgoe	
Hannah	Jones	
Sarah	Jones	
Karen	Cooper	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Robert and Barbara	Seward	
David	Garvey	
Gail	Blackford	
Ken	Burgess	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Janice	Meehan	
Jackie	Heron	
Joseph	Vipond	
Billy	Vipond	
Andrew	Jay	
Fiona	Leathem	
Carolyn	Radcliff	
Lee	Saunders	
Tom	Rutherford	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Richard	Morson	
Kieran	Burke	
Andrew	Robbins	
Helen	Taylor	
Lesley	Heneghan	
Jill	Hughes	
Paula	Nuttall	
Kerry	Hodgkiss	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Innes	Mcnamara	
Lindsay	Saunders	
Joanne	Mcnamara	
Claire	Kimmins	
Jacqueline	Holt	
John	Coll	
Al and Val	Butterworth	
Beverley	Carpenter	
Hugh and Susan	Jones	
Jeremy	Heron	
Sian	Elsey	
M	Midgley	
Leena and Venkat	Das and Mahesh	
Thomas	Hunter	
R+39:75ob	Orford	
Rosie	Jackson	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Oliver	Heron	
Stephen	Langford	
Andrew	Dickson	
Rachel	Smith	
Heather	Kenny	
Keith	Hughes	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
Andrea	Eliades	
Caroline	Robbins	
David	Kirkham	
Amanda	Booth	
Leena	Das	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Bruce	Taylor	
Paul	Sanders	
Kath	Clark	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	

**Table 58. Row JPA27.199**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Emma	Gregson	
Katie	Baxter	
Hayley	Taylor	
Stephanie	Williams	
Mary	Deuison	
Robert	Whittaker	
Dawn	Entwistle	
Katie	Whittaker	
Mark	Bennett	
Nicola	Smith	
Martin	Hobson	
Debra	Davis	
Sharon	Hayes	
Karen	Lythgoe	
Emma	McNamee	
K	Thoday	
Haylea	Jefferys	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Danny	Lyle	
Karen	Cooper	
Catherine	Rutherford	
Emily	Sanders	
Magdalena	Banach	
Susan	Harrison	
Greg	Russell	
Anne Marie	Morson	
Paula	Nuttall	
Rachel	Mulhearn	
Thomas	Hunter	
Anne	Cox	
Carl	Smith	
Sally	Smith	
Julie	Sedgebeer	
Jasmine	Kirkham	
Andrew	Jones	
Stephen	Langford	
Sarah	Jeffery	
Julie	Williams	
Adam	Thoday	
Clare	Platt	
Hannah	Murphy	
Terri	Nolan	
Ian	Macknight	
Sarah	Armitage	
Trudi	Kaye	
Charlie	Heron	
Emily	Ashcroft	
David	Kirkham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Haylea	Jefferys	
Bruce	Taylor	
Katie	Allen	
Nicola	Ashton	
Maureen	Christie	
Lee	Salsbury	
Lorraine	Rogers	
Kelly	Baker	
Terence	Burke	
Alan	Kirkman	
Neil	Standish	
Camilla	Schofield	
Susan	Seely	
Neil	Campbell	
Michelle	Dawson	
Louise	Seddon	
Raneesha	Manoharan	
Mark	Tyldesley	
Lindsay	Ponsillo	
Dan	Schofield	
Peter	Schofield	
Sue	Schofield	
Rob	Orford	
Diane	Healey	
Haylea	Jefferys	

**Table 59. Row JPA27.200**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Higson	
Emma	McNamee	
Chris And Raynor	Topping	
Scott	West	
K	Thoday	
Charlotte	Wilkinson	
Elaine	West	
Brian	Gee	
Paul	Higson	
Sandra	Castle	
Carl	Smith	
Sally	Smith	
Jon	Beward	
Sarah	Armitage	
Mike	Bolton	
Angela	Burrows	
Terence	Burke	
Michelle	Dawson	
Jane	Lomas	
Lesley	Baker	
Vicky	Harper	
Christopher	Lee	

**Table 60. Row JPA27.207**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Thoday	
Danny	Lyle	
Chris	Robinson	

Matthew and Emma	Hampson and Birkett	
Nicola	Wellens	
Sarah	Armitage	
David	Yates	
Susan	Seely	
Barbara	Keeley	
Julie	Roscoe	
Lesley	Baker	
Haylea	Jefferys	
Geoffrey	White	



## Respondents to PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 28 - North of Irlam Station

Table 3. Row JPA28.1

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
Philip	Legerton	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Philip	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Martin	Sloan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Hubbard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Julian	Matthews	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Janet	Hickson	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
James	Ness	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 2. Row JPA28.2**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
Philip	Legerton	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Helen	Hubbard	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Louise	Irvine	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 3. Row JPA28.3**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret	Lohan	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Leon	Callaghan	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Helen	Hubbard	
Janet	Hickson	
Mick	Gorton	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
James	Ness	
Karen	Lawrinson	

**Table 4. Row JPA28.4**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
Philip	Legerton	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	
Paula	Callaghan	
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Louise	Irvine	
Janet	Hickson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 5. Row JPA28.5**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Elisabeth	Allsey	
Leon	Callaghan	
Margaret	Lawinson	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	
Colin	Dixon	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Jacqueline	Watson	
Helen	Hubbard	
Paul S	Heslop	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Julian	Matthews	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Oliver	Hart	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Linda And Russell	Ramsey	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Stubbs	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Sue	Bridgford	
Christine	Robinson	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 6. Row JPA28.6**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Bob	Speakman	
Eric	Lowndes	
P R	Longbottom	
Eric	Lowndes	
Jayne	Higham	
Christine	Cooper	
Margaret	Lohan	
Vicky	Harper	
David	Greenhalgh	
Kennet	Hornly	
Margaret	Lawinson	
Tracy	Mellett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Hodson	
Rochelle	Hodson	
Lindsay	Johnston	
E Jane	Glew	
Alison	Williams	
Jason	Horsfall	
Helen	Hubbard	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Taylor	Norcross	
Angela	Solan	
S J	Brodie	
Samantha	Lynch	
Catherine	Webster	
Gary	Adamson	
Janet	Hickson	
Ryan	Hodson	
James	Taylor	
Karen	Ryan	
Samantha	Brodie	
Karen	Lawrinson	

**Table 7. Row JPA28.10**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
David	Steel	
Hannah	Sallows	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
P	Scott	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joyce	Foots	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Lindsay	Johnston	
Susanna	Matthews	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Louise	Irvine	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Samantha	Brodie	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Veronica	Fowler	
Jamie	Bentham	

**Table 8. Row JPA28.15**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
Steven	Nelson	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Bob	Speakman	
J	Carruther	
David	Steel	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
Eric	Lowndes	
Philip	Legerton	
Irene	Blay	
Eric	Lowndes	
Jayne	Higham	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Diane	Reed	
Jason	Reed	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Margaret	Lawinson	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Tracy	Mellet	
Russell	Wood	
Philip	Hodson	
Rochelle	Hodson	
Janice	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
Patricia and Anthony	Lewis	
C	Bennett	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Shanas	Gorton	
Kavanna	Gorton	
Janet	Parkinson	
Paul	Roebuck	
Angela	Burrows	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Save Our Greenbelt		Save Our Green Belt Action Against Rural Development
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Steven	Fowler	
Stephen	Lovell	
Jason	Horsfall	
Helen	Hubbard	
Paul S	Heslop	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Steven	Nelson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Gary	Adamson	
Janet	Hickson	
Jacqueline	Griffith	
David And Linda	Blay	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
James	Taylor	
Karen	Ryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Amanda	Foster	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Irene	Blay	
Mick	Gorton	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Denise	Ogden	
Lynne	Hudson	
Samantha	Brodie	
Eddie	Waddell	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Sue	Bridgford	
William	Smith	
Sally	Lester-Card	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Louise	Seddon	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	
Jamie	Bentham	

**Table 9. Row JPA28.17**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Andrew	Devine	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Leon	Callaghan	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Helen	Hubbard	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sue	Bridgford	
Christine	Robinson	

**Table 10. Row JPA28.21**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Lindoe	
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Bob	Speakman	
Hannah	Sallows	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
Jayne	Higham	
J	Egan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Joyce	Foots	
David	Greenhalgh	
Andrew	Devine	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Elisabeth	Allsey	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Tracy	Mellet	
Rochelle	Hodson	
Janice	Hodson	
Lindsay	Johnston	
Susanna	Matthews	
Patricia and Anthony	Lewis	
C	Bennett	
E Jane	Glew	
Kelly	Baker	
Paula	Callaghan	
Janet	Parkinson	
Paul	Roebuck	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Thomas	Meaney	
Sue	Taylor	
Jason	Horsfall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Hubbard	
Paul S	Heslop	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Emma	Johnson	
S J	Brodie	
Catherine	Webster	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Peter J	Watson	
Louise	Irvine	
Alan	Davenport	
Andrew	Hilton	
Janet	Hickson	
Janet	Nelson	
Elizabeth	Patten	
Jacqueline	Griffith	
David And Linda	Blay	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
James	Taylor	
David	Phillips	
Karen	Ryan	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
Sally	Lester-Card	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Richard	Critchley	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Louise	Seddon	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Kevin	Traynor	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 11. Row JPA28.23**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Bob	Speakman	
Barbara	Keeley	
Eric	Lowndes	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
Irene	Blay	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Eric	Lowndes	
Jayne	Higham	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Leon	Callaghan	
Margaret	Lawinson	
Tracy	Mellet	
C	Bennett	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Shanas	Gorton	
Stephen	Lovell	
Thomas	Meaney	
Helen	Hubbard	
Paul S	Heslop	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Angela	Solan	
Samantha	Lynch	
Linda And Russell	Ramsey	
Peter J	Watson	
Janet	Hickson	
Janet	Nelson	
Elizabeth	Patten	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David And Linda	Blay	
James	Taylor	
Helen	Ness	
Anne	Bracegirdle	
Irene	Blay	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Denise	Ogden	
Lorraine	Johnson	
Eddie	Waddell	
Sue	Bridgford	
Christine	Robinson	
James	Ness	
Karen	Lawrinson	

**Table 12. Row JPA28.25**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Lindoe	
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Bob	Speakman	
Hannah	Sallows	
Philip	Legerton	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€	
Irene	Jenkins	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
P	Scott	
Joyce	Foots	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Beatrice	Perrin	
Philip	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
E Jane	Glew	
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Helen	Hubbard	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Ryan	Hodson	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
David	Phillips	
Karen	Ryan	
Aimee	Swindell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Sally	Lester-Card	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	
Jamie	Bentham	

**Table 13. Row JPA28.26**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
Steven	Nelson	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridgen	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
P	Scott	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Elisabeth	Allsey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Ian	Davenport	
Susanna	Matthews	
David	Yates	
C	Bennett	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Sue	Taylor	
Helen	Hubbard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Andrew	Hilton	
Gary	Adamson	
Janet	Hickson	
Jacqueline	Griffith	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
David	Phillips	
Karen	Ryan	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 14. Row JPA28.27**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joyce	Foots	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Tracy	Mellet	
Susanna	Matthews	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Helen	Hubbard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Julian	Matthews	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Louise	Irvine	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	
Jamie	Bentham	

**Table 15. Row JPA28.28**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Lindoe	
Seanie	Lynch	
Steven	Nelson	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Bob	Speakman	
David	Steel	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
Philip	Legerton	
Irene	Blay	
Jayne	Higham	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Diane	Reed	
Jason	Reed	
Joyce	Foots	
E J	Jackson	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Tracy	Mellett	
Rochelle	Hodson	
Janice	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
Patricia and Anthony	Lewis	
C	Bennett	
Mike	Bolton	
E Jane	Glew	
Kelly	Baker	
Paula	Callaghan	
Shanas	Gorton	
Kavanna	Gorton	
Alison	Williams	
Denise	Ogden	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Save Our Greenbelt		Save Our Green Belt Action Against Rural Development
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
J and V	Chamberlain	
Sue	Taylor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helen	Hubbard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Steven	Nelson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Emma	Johnson	
S J	Brodie	
Samantha	Lynch	
Catherine	Webster	
Oliver	Hart	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Peter J	Watson	
Louise	Irvine	
Andrew	Hilton	
Janet	Hickson	
Andrew	Stubbs	
Ryan	Hodson	
Janet	Nelson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
David	Phillips	
Anne	Bracegirdle	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Irene	Blay	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Richard	Whitehorse	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Denise	Ogden	
Lynne	Hudson	
Lorraine	Johnson	
Samantha	Brodie	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Sue	Bridgford	
William	Smith	
Christine	Robinson	
Sally	Lester-Card	
James	Ness	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	
Jamie	Bentham	



**Table 16. Row JPA28.29**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Bob	Speakman	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
Irene	Blay	
Jayne	Higham	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Diane	Reed	
Jason	Reed	
Joyce	Foots	
E J	Jackson	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Elisabeth	Allsey	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Tracy	Mellet	
Ian	Davenport	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Russell	Wood	
Philip	Hodson	
Rochelle	Hodson	
Janice	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
David	Yates	
Patricia and Anthony	Lewis	
C	Bennett	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Kavanna	Gorton	
Alison	Williams	
Denise	Ogden	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Jacqueline	Watson	
Rebecca	Simmons	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Samantha	Lynch	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Linda And Russell	Ramsey	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Janet	Hickson	
Rebecca	Hodson	
Jacqueline	Griffith	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
David	Phillips	
Karen	Ryan	
Anne	Bracegirdle	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Irene	Blay	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Denise	Ogden	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lorraine	Johnson	
Samantha	Brodie	
Ben	Pascall	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
Sally	Lester-Card	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 17. Row JPA28.30**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Bob	Speakman	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jayne	Higham	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Philip	Hodson	
Shanas	Gorton	
Alison	Williams	
Colin	Dixon	
Angela	Solan	
Gary	Adamson	
Amanda	Foster	
James	Ness	
Karen	Lawrinson	

**Table 18. Row JPA28.31**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrew	Devine	
Leon	Callaghan	
Paula	Callaghan	
Helen	Hubbard	
Paul S	Heslop	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Christine	Robinson	

**Table 19. Row JPA28.32**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Joyce	Foots	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Julian	Matthews	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Louise	Irvine	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 20. Row JPA28.39**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Lindoe	
Barbara	Keeley	
Margaret	Lohan	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Irene	Hamilton	
Ian	Davenport	
Philip	Hodson	
Rochelle	Hodson	
Janice	Hodson	
Patricia and Anthony	Lewis	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mike	Bolton	
Kelly	Baker	
Alison	Williams	
Denise	Ogden	
Steven	Fowler	
Jacqueline	Watson	
Rebecca	Simmons	
J and V	Chamberlain	
Paul S	Heslop	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Angela	Solan	
Emma	Johnson	
S J	Brodie	
Samantha	Lynch	
Catherine	Webster	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Tracey Heather	Kilshaw	
Ryan	Hodson	
Rebecca	Hodson	
Jacqueline	Griffith	
James	Taylor	
Karen	Ryan	
Anne	Bracegirdle	
Russell	Wood	
Denise	Ogden	
Lynne	Hudson	
Samantha	Brodie	
Eddie	Waddell	
Sue	Bridgford	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
William	Smith	
James	Ness	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 21. Row JPA28.42**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Bob	Speakman	
David	Steel	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
Eric	Lowndes	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
Irene	Blay	
Eric	Lowndes	
Jayne	Higham	
J	Egan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
P	Scott	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Diane	Reed	
Jason	Reed	
Joyce	Foots	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Greenhalgh	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Elisabeth	Allsey	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Margaret	Lawinson	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Ian	Davenport	
Philip	Hodson	
Janice	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Shanas	Gorton	
Kavanna	Gorton	
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Save Our Greenbelt		Save Our Green Belt Action Against Rural Development
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Steven	Fowler	
Thomas	Meaney	
Helen	Hubbard	
Paul S	Heslop	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Julian	Matthews	
Sue	Campayne	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Catherine	Webster	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Alan	Davenport	
Andrew	Hilton	
Gary	Adamson	
Janet	Hickson	
Janet	Nelson	
Elizabeth	Patten	
David And Linda	Blay	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
David	Phillips	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen	Ryan	
Anne	Bracegirdle	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Irene	Blay	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Eddie	Waddell	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Sue	Bridgford	
Christine	Robinson	
Sally	Lester-Card	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Richard	Critchley	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kate	Riordan	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 22. Row JPA28.43**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
Steven	Nelson	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
J	Carruther	
David	Steel	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
Eric	Lowndes	
P R	Longbottom	
Eric	Lowndes	
Jayne	Higham	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Valerie	Ferrier	
P	Scott	
Louise	Bentley	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Vicky	Harper	
Joyce	Foots	
E J	Jackson	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Elisabeth	Allsey	
Leon	Callaghan	
Beatrice	Perrin	
NA	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
Philip	Hodson	
Rochelle	Hodson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Janice	Hodson	
Lindsay	Johnston	
Susanna	Matthews	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Shanas	Gorton	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Save Our Greenbelt		Save Our Green Belt Action Against Rural Development
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Stephen	Lovell	
Thomas	Meaney	
Sue	Taylor	
Helen	Hubbard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Steven	Nelson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Samantha	Lynch	
Catherine	Webster	
Stuart	Collier	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Linda And Russell	Ramsey	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Andrew	Hilton	
Janet	Hickson	
Tracey Heather	Kilshaw	
Andrew	Stubbs	
Elizabeth	Patten	
David And Linda	Blay	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Karen	Ryan	
Amanda	Foster	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Lesley	Parkinson	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Paul	Elliott	
Eddie	Waddell	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Louise	Seddon	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 23. Row JPA28.47**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
J	Carruther	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
Eric	Lowndes	
Philip	Legerton	
Eric	Lowndes	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Diane	Reed	
Jason	Reed	
Joyce	Foots	
David	Greenhalgh	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Rochelle	Hodson	
Janice	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
C	Bennett	
E Jane	Glew	
Kavanna	Gorton	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Save Our Greenbelt		Save Our Green Belt Action Against Rural Development
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Helen	Hubbard	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Steven	Nelson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Emma	Johnson	
Catherine	Webster	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Janet	Hickson	
Elizabeth	Patten	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Karen	Ryan	
Amanda	Foster	
Helen	Ness	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Lesley	Parkinson	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Samantha	Brodie	
Eddie	Waddell	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Sally	Lester-Card	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Kevin	Traynor	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 24. Row JPA28.53**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
David	Steel	
Hannah	Sallows	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Joyce	Foots	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Louise	Irvine	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Samantha	Brodie	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 25. Row JPA28.60**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€Ÿ	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Joyce	Foots	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	
E Jane	Glew	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Sue	Taylor	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Louise	Irvine	
Elizabeth	Patten	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Eddie	Waddell	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	
Jamie	Bentham	

**Table 26. Row JPA28.70**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Lindoe	
Seanie	Lynch	
Steven	Nelson	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
David	Steel	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
P R	Longbottom	
Philip	Legerton	
Irene	Blay	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Valerie	Ferrier	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Diane	Reed	
Jason	Reed	
Vicky	Harper	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Kennet	Hornly	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Margaret	Lawinson	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Ian	Davenport	
Russell	Wood	
Rochelle	Hodson	
Susanna	Matthews	
Patricia and Anthony	Lewis	
C	Bennett	
Paula	Callaghan	
Shanas	Gorton	
Kavanna	Gorton	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Jacqueline	Watson	
Sue	Taylor	
Helen	Hubbard	
Paul S	Heslop	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Steven	Nelson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Julian	Matthews	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Angela	Solan	
Emma	Johnson	
S J	Brodie	
Catherine	Webster	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Andrew	Hilton	
Gary	Adamson	
Janet	Hickson	
Andrew	Stubbs	
Jacqueline	Griffith	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
James	Taylor	
Karen	Ryan	
Amanda	Foster	
Anne	Bracegirdle	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Irene	Blay	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Eddie	Waddell	
Thomas	Hodson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
Sally	Lester-Card	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Richard	Critchley	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Kevin	Traynor	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 27. Row JPA28.74**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
Steven	Nelson	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
Eric	Lowndes	
Philip	Legerton	
Eric	Lowndes	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	
E Jane	Glew	
Paula	Callaghan	
Alison	Williams	
Janet	Parkinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lorraine	Rogers	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Julian	Matthews	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Andrew	Hilton	
Janet	Hickson	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
David	Phillips	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
James	Ness	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Kevin	Traynor	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 28. Row JPA28.76**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Hannah	Sallows	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	Grahi	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Joyce	Foots	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Irene	Hamilton	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Susanna	Matthews	
Kelly	Baker	
Alison	Williams	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Alan	Davenport	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
S	Foster	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 29. Row JPA28.77**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
Steven	Nelson	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
Bob	Speakman	
Barbara	Keeley	
Hannah	Sallows	
Eric	Lowndes	
Philip	Legerton	
Irene	Blay	
Eric	Lowndes	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Jamie	Bentham	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ„â€j	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Briden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Valerie	Ferrier	
P	Scott	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Diane	Reed	
Jason	Reed	
Joyce	Foots	
Andrew	Devine	
Lewis	Nelson Cllr	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Irene	Hamilton	
Margaret	Lawinson	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Ian	Davenport	
Russell	Wood	
Susanna	Matthews	
Paula	Callaghan	
Shanas	Gorton	
Kavanna	Gorton	
Janet	Parkinson	
Paul	Roebuck	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Stephen	Lovell	
Jacqueline	Watson	
J and V	Chamberlain	
Sue	Taylor	
Helen	Hubbard	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Julian	Matthews	
Sue	Campayne	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Catherine	Webster	
Stuart	Collier	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Linda And Russell	Ramsey	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
Gary	Adamson	
Janet	Hickson	
Jacqueline	Griffith	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
David	Phillips	
Karen	Ryan	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Irene	Blay	
Mick	Gorton	
Ian	Hubbard	
Zoe	Sherlock	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Russell	Wood	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Marlene	Mclean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	
Eddie	Waddell	
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Christine	Robinson	
Sally	Lester-Card	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Richard	Critchley	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Karen	Lawrinson	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Kevin	Traynor	
Richard	Merrall	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Veronica	Fowler	

**Table 30. Row JPA28.87**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Seanie	Lynch	
James	Ryan	
Jean	McKenna	
Margaret	Lohan	
Karen	Ryan	
Ann	Cavanagh	
Pauline	Bauer	
Hazel	Hague	
Lynette	Purby	
J	Carruther	
Hannah	Sallows	
J	Egan	
K	Mangnall	
Owen	Chapman	
Holly	Chapman	
Stan	Chapman	
Carl	Richardson	
Chloe	Traynor	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen	Traynor	
Annie	Traynor	
Ashley	Beswick	
J	Matthews	
B B	Matthews	
P	McFaslane	
W	McFaslane	
Iain	McCaig	
Eleanor	McCaig	
Kirsty	Howcroft	
Lee	Kirkham	
Joann	Wilkinson	
Laura	McCaig	
Leighton	Beswick	
Linda	Savile	
Julie And Matthew	Blackwood And Stringer	
Nessa	GrahiÃ	
Irene	Jenkins	
R	Jenkins	
Kimberley	Beswick	
David	Beswick	
Christine	Ryder	
M A	Lovell	
Jackie	Kennedy	
Jenni	Daniels	
Steven	Daniels	
Hayley	Chapman	
Mark	Johnson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Hazell	
Ruth	Hazell	
Adam	Odey	
Nigel	James	
Jean	Allcroft	
Lisa	Carruthers Sharkey	
L	Stanley	
Zackery	Carruthers-Sharkey	
D	Stanley	
Paul	Carruthers-Sharkey	
T	Hughes	
Eve	Yaffa	
Jean	Carruthers	
Alastair	Carruthers	
Leanne	Doyle	
J	King	
Julie	Keymer	
Pat	Williams	
Jane	Taylor	
James	Dunbar	
Alison	Ward	
Charlotte	Hickson	
Ashley	Montague	
M	Vasey	
Lewis	Hickson	
Rosemarie	Browne	
Francis	McMahon	
Ela	Acir	
Katherine	Broadstock	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Sandra	Mcmahon	
Vivienne J	Finney	
Peter	Finney	
Lee	Jenkins	
Stanislava	Kovakova	
Rita	Newey	
Steve	Ryder	
Karen	Ward	
Hayley	Burgess	
Linda Patricia	Chilton	
M	Frier	
Evette	Davies	
Laura	Kirkham	
K	Hollinshead	
Gail	McGilligan	
Debra	Harvey	
Stuart R	Gartside	
P A	Gartside	
Holly	Bridden	
SheilaAndJoseph	Ilidge	
Linda	Meehan	
Jean	McKenna	
Brian	Johnson	
Suzanne	Lowndes	
Beryl	Johnson	
Norah	Rostron	
Christine	Cooper	
Val	Whitehead	
Mike	Whitehead	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jean	Thomas	
Stacey	Roberts	
Elaine	Roberts	
Tony	Grainson	
Ali	Carruthers	
Sandra	MacDonald	
Margaret	Lohan	
Ben	Sharkey	
Tracey	Skillicorn	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Karl	Daniels	
Kirsty	Daniels	
Devon	Briggs	
Connor	Smith	
Stuart	Salt	
Lynn	Salt	
Joyce	Foots	
E J	Jackson	
Andrew	Devine	
Joanne	Higham	
D	Higham	
Leon	Callaghan	
Beatrice	Perrin	
Lindsay	Johnston	
Susanna	Matthews	
Paula	Callaghan	
Denise	Ogden	
Janet	Parkinson	
Valerie	Greenhalgh	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Gareth	Greenhalgh	
Paul	Dennen	
Jennifer	Bajer	
Julie	Dennen	
Clive	Jones	
Lee	Jones	
David J Patricia and Matthew D	Lever	
Martin	Harrison	
Lisa	Harrison	
Annette	Jones	
Claire	Melling	
Peter	Graham	
Mark	Foster	
Laura	Hulme	
	Higginbotham	
Claire	Ryan	
Paul	Egom	
Lisa	Egom	
Michael	Ryan	
Ann	Chaplin	
Jane	Ryan	
Gareth	Hoskisson	
Ronnie	Chaplin	
S	McKay	
Luke	Hamilton	
T	Higginbotham	
Barry	Lever	
Karen	Foster	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Christina	Hamilton	
Owen	Meehan	
James	Beswick	
Joe	Murchan	
Steven	Fowler	
Martin	Sloan	
J and V	Chamberlain	
Sue	Taylor	
Jacqueline	Toft	
Julian	Matthews	
Stacey	Antcliff	
Helena	Graham	
Claire And Daniel	Fowler And Bennett	
Russ	Phillips	
Patrick	Hall	
Suzanne	Hall	
Lisa	Carruthers	
Louise	Irvine	
David And Linda	Blay	
Ray	Nugent	
Janet	Nugent	
Aimee	Swindell	
Allan	Rogerson	
Elaine and Ian	Taylor	
Mick	Gorton	
Sheila And Joseph	Illidge	
Marlene	McClean	
William	Sloan	
Anthony Joseph	Challoner	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Thomas	Hodson	
A J	Taylor	
Cheryl	Ferguson	
Mandy	Jones	
Lisa	Harrison	
William Thomas	Hill	
Carole	Wright	
Amy	Brown	
Christine	Rice	
Julie	King	
Yvonne	Brown	
C M	Canning	
June	Hunt	
Michelle	Thorpe	
Stephen	Thorpe	
John Samuel	Wright	
Elizabeth	Malin	
Barbara	Hill	
R	Whittaker	
Susan	Adams	
S	Foster	
Raymond	Shaw	
Beryl	Shaw	
Lizzie	Stott	
Irene	Hampson	
Rachelle And Frank	Cleary	
Tom	Beckett	
J	McLochlin	
Lorraine	Shaw	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jean And Brian	Kettle	
Janice	Wood	
Ann	Reed	
Dorothy	Mason	
Patricia	Williamson	
Barbara	Canavan	
J	Brown	
Elizabeth	Hamblett	
Anthony	Walker	
W J	Bailey	
M T	Bailey	
Gio	Connor	
Debbie	Kemp	
Gill	McLaughlin	
A M	O'Connor	
Margaret	Hannah	
Helen	Canavan	
Marjorie	Canavan	
T R	Holian	
Letitia	Roberts	
Donna	Chapman	
Margaret	Musgrave	
Pauline	Booth	
Elaine	Heaton	
Ian	Heaton	
J	Parker	
Pauline	Davies	
Lee	Hind	
Laura	Hind	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Catherine	Heaton	
Bhavna	Kotecha	
Reema	Kotecha	
Hiten	Kotecha	
Darren	Heaton	
Christopher	Heaton	
B	Thomas	
J J	Thomas	
Peter	Blay	
Kate	Riordan	
Chris	Austin	
Sophie	Brading	
Sheila	Brown	
Alison	Ryan	
Kirsty	Elvin	
A	Wright	
Zoe	Lee	
Mandy	King	
Celia	Tomlinson	
Richard	Merrall	
Clair	Merrall	
Michelle	Gibson	
Joan Sheila	Bannon	
Linda	Ramsey	
V A	Asquith	
Allan D	Whitfield	
Alan And Julie	Page	
Christine	Taylor	
M	Lawrinson	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Peter	Bloor	
Mr And Mrs	Barnett	
Peter And Pat	Scott	
John	Walton	
Nicola	Walton	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Kevin	Traynor	
Steve	Harrison	
S	Sharples	
Brian	Hughes	
Patricia	Bennett	
T	Dennett	
Susan	Ryles	
Nicola	Smith	
Lin	Bosomworth	
Jessica	Oxley	
James	Brooks	
Robert Donald	Smith	
Lydia	Burgess	
Allan	Woods	
John	Macpherson	
Colette	Sallows	
James	Ingrouille	
Teresa	Ingrouille	
Dorothy	MacPherson	
Jamie	Bentham	

## Respondents to PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 29 - Port Salford Extension

**Table 4. Row JPA29.4**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Louise	Bentley	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	

**Table 2. Row JPA29.11**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	McMahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Tracy	Mellet	
Ian	Davenport	
Kelly	Moss	
Lindsay	Hodgkiss	
Lorraine	Rogers	
David	Yates	
Mike	Bolton	
Kelly	Baker	
Paul	Roebuck	
Kelsey	Marsland	
Lynne	Hudson	
Alan	Kirkman	
Ben	Pascall	
Samantha	Dugmore	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Philip	Crombleholme	
Louise	Seddon	
Philip	Lindoe	
Barbara	Keeley	
Jamie	Bentham	
Fiona	Stringer	
Mary	Sharkey	
Carol	Mole	
Vicky	Harper	
Maureen And Steve	Drinkwater	
Julie	Ward	
NA	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 3. Row JPA29.19**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development

**Table 4. Row JPA29.25**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	McMahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Paul	Roebuck	
Louise	Seddon	
Philip	Lindoe	
Barbara	Keeley	

**Table 5. Row JPA29.31**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Lorraine	Rogers	
Kelly	Baker	
Paul	Roebuck	
Louise	Seddon	

**Table 6. Row JPA29.32**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Tracy	Mellet	
Mike	Bolton	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Kelly	Baker	
Paul	Roebuck	

**Table 7. Row JPA29.33**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Steel	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Hickson	
Sion	Owen-Ellis	National Highways

**Table 8. Row JPA29.34**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Mike	Bolton	
Maureen And Steve	Drinkwater	
Martin	Arthur	

**Table 9. Row JPA29.58**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Samantha	Dugmore	
Maureen And Steve	Drinkwater	
NA	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group

**Table 10. Row JPA29.59**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Kelly	Baker	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vicky	Harper	
Maureen And Steve	Drinkwater	

**Table 11. Row JPA29.60**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Samantha	Dugmore	
Janet	Baguley	Natural England

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vicky	Harper	
NA	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 12. Row JPA29.61**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Janet	Hickson	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 13. Row JPA29.73**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	McMahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Barbara	Keeley	

**Table 14. Row JPA29.75**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Louise	Bentley	

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	McMahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Sue	Campayne	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Harry	McMahon	
Janet	Hickson	
Katharine	Allsey	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Barbara	Keeley	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 15. Row JPA29.76**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Steel	
Suzanne	Pendleton	
Jannine	McMahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Taylor	Norcross	



Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Maureen And Steve	Drinkwater	
Ross	Harding	The Wildlife Trusts

**Table 16. Row JPA29.82**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
James	Ness	
Rachel	Widdicombe	
David	Steel	
Chris and Ruth	Roach	
Gavin	Wickham	
Faye	Steel	
Jannine	Mcmahon	
Sharon and Andrew	Robinson	
M P	Stemmer	
Jackie And Anthony	Norcross	
Taylor	Norcross	
Hannah, James, Ada and Olive	Smith	
Stuart	Collier	
Frances	Henry	AARD - Action Against Rural Development
Paul	Roebuck	
Vicky	Harper	