


# Places for Everyone

Allocations: Bury Issues Summary

February 2022



# Chapter 11 – Allocations: Strategic Allocations in Bury

A summary of the issues raised in relation to the policies within PfE 2021 Chapter 11 Site Allocations (Bury) and the relevant respondents to PfE 2021 is set out below

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 7 Elton Reservoir

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	Green Belt/Green space		
JPA7_JPA7.2	Object to losing one of the last remaining accessible greenspaces in a lower socio-economic area.	<p>No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>The allocation policy makes provision for a significant green corridor which will remain within the Green Belt and will provide a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation.</p>	<p>Carl Mason</p> <p>Jane Bennett</p> <p>Louise Mee</p> <p>Helen Roberts</p> <p>Gareth Costello</p> <p>Janet Brooks</p>
JPA7_JPA7.14	Objection to Principle of allocation and removal from Green Belt	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.27	There is an adequate amount of unused/vacant properties which should be used instead of green belt.	No change necessary. Government policy does not allow the reduction of empty properties as a contributor to meet the borough's housing targets.	Maureen Buttle
JPA7_JPA7.28	There is insufficient confidence in the accuracy of the predictions of housing requirements in the current uncertain economic climate to justify Green Belt loss at the start of the plan. Greenbelt loss should only occur once all brownfield has been exhausted and NPPF requires other all other reasonable options to have been considered	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Paragraph 1.10 of Places for Everyone sets out the position with regard to a potential review of the plan.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF.</p> <p>However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban</p>	Craig Smith

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	
JPA7_JPA7.40	Disproportionate loss of Green Belt, which would merge Bury and Radcliffe.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>  The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	daniel obrien paul roebuck Paul Kallee-Grover Gillian Boyle
JPA7_JPA7.41	Has key functions in halting urban sprawl, sheltering wildlife, growing food and providing tranquility.	No change necessary. The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.42	Retained Green Belt insufficient. Unsure why it includes reservoirs and a crematorium. Additions can never compensate.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited	Alan Sheppard Paul Kallee-Grover

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land.</p> <p>It is considered that there are exceptional circumstances justifying the identification of new areas of Green Belt.</p> <p>The additions have not been identified as direct replacements, either in their extent or the use of the land identified, for the areas proposed for release through allocation(s) in the Plan. There is not therefore intended to be a direct correlation between the areas released from the Green Belt and those proposed as additions.</p> <p>The justification for the Green Belt additions proposed is provided in Appendix 3 of the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.43	Exceptional circumstances exist in which Green Belt loss can be acceptable and efforts to minimise this are welcomed.	Noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.44	Opposition to green belt development; including concern that there are no exceptional circumstances and that the site performs strongly against the purposes of Green Belt, so is contrary to the NPPF. There is plenty of brownfield land to use instead of greenbelt and we should consider the availability of brownfield first and build on Brownfield instead of Green Belt.	<p>No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.45	The modified Green Belt Boundaries have been done in such a way to make it appear less greenbelt is being sacrificed and the replacement/new green space provided is not justified by exceptional circumstances nor will it be accesssible for the residents losing access to the Green Belt site and is superficial.	<p>No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. No change necessary. It is considered that there are exceptional circumstances justifying the identification of new areas of Green Belt.</p> <p>The additions have not been identified as direct replacements, either in their extent or the use of the land identified, for the areas proposed for release through allocation(s) in the Plan. There is not therefore intended to be a direct correlation between the areas released from the Green Belt and those proposed as additions.</p> <p>The justification for the Green Belt additions proposed is provided in Appendix 3 of the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.46	The allocation is almost entirely surrounded by the existing urban area” Filling this green belt site in will contribute to creating urban sprawl contrary to compliance with National Policy NPPF para 134 parts a, c and e.	<p>No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	
JPA7_JPA7.47	The mitigation proposed by way of the creation of Elton Parkland on the remaining green belt land is not of substantial weight to justify the harm caused by the extent of proposed green belt release and the associated scale of proposed development	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.</p>	Hollins Strategic Land Jane Lester
JPA7_JPA7.49	Of that land proposed for development the majority of it extending to 116.5 hectares would result in higher levels of harm to the Green Belt than former allocation at GM.1.3 Whitefield.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green	The Strategic Land Group

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.50	The green belt assessment is incorrect and the harm would be very high.	No change necessary. The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Hollins Strategic Land
JPA7_JPA7.52	Objects to the proposal to retain an area of the site within the Green Belt at this early stage of the planning and delivery process; the land in question is not considered to be of strategic importance either on its own or in conjunction with adjoining land and is separate to the wider strategic Green Belt to the west which separates the urban parts of Bury and Bolton. It is considered that its protection from development can be secured by other formal policy provisions.	No change necessary. It is proposed to retain some Green Belt land within the allocation which would maintain some localized separation between Bury and Radcliffe.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.53	Concern that defining precise Green Belt boundaries that wrap tightly around the proposed development areas within the JPA7 allocation would unnecessarily constrain the detailed design and potential evolution of the comprehensive masterplan and the quality of development and place making which PfE aspires to. The identification of fixed Green Belt boundaries now is therefore considered to be unjustified and unsound so should either define and protect the area as strategic green infrastructure (with appropriate policy restrictions on development) within PfE, or provide that precise Green Belt boundaries will be designated through the Bury Local Plan once a masterplan has been sufficiently progressed.	No change necessary. It is proposed to retain some Green Belt land within the allocation which would maintain some localized separation between Bury and Radcliffe.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.110	Loss of a prized asset within easy reach of population, which is heavily used by a wide range of people. Opening this natural area up as a country park will sterilise it and harm views in the area.	<p>No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Elton allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Elton Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.</p>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.116	Elton and Walshaw don't have enough open green or recreational space in the area and access to open space and the recreational routes/PRoW will be lost	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for a significant green corridor which	Michelle Cardno Rod Storey Ryan Beardwood

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.</p> <p>In addition the Policy also requires the delivery of a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations.</p>	<p>Joanne Maffia Ann Yates Gillian Boyle Alison Nott Christopher Harper</p>
JPA7_JPA7.143	Elton Reservoir is already used by Bury's residents for their wellbeing and hobbies. In Places for Everyone says it said it will give us a country park which is a lie as we already have one which is used by Bury's residents. The country park they want to give us is a fraction of what is already used.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to retain a significant green corridor through the site to provide a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to the local population.	<p>Steven Higginbottom Bradley Mason</p>
JPA7_JPA7.145	The site is High quality Public easily accessible space promoting healthy and wellbeing community needs which will be sorely missed by the people of Elton, Seddons Farm and Radcliffe.	<p>No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to retain a significant green corridor through the site to provide a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to the local population.</p> <p>In addition, Policy JP-P6 sets out the requirements for new development in seeking to help tackle health inequalities, including the need for all developments that</p>	<p>Ann Knowles Joan Heffernan</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		require an Environmental Impact Assessment to also be supported by a Health Impact Assessment.	
JPA7_JPA7.151	Elton Reservoir should be treasured and improved upon, made into a properly serviced visitor attraction with proper facilities, parking and maintenance	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development makes provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	Andy Matthews
JPA7_JPA7.152	Surveys show that the site is located within an area which is most deprived of green space.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development makes provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	Susan Hopkinson Kevin Hopkinson
	Brownfield		
JPA7_JPA7.55	New employment patterns and covid repercussions such as working from home and businesses selling off buildings should be taken into account as this releases more brownfield	No change necessary. As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a> .	
JPA7_JPA7.62	There are many brownfield sites in Radcliffe which if used would aid regeneration and improve footfall.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a> , the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.63	This is not a brownfield first policy and Bury has implemented greenbelt release before brownfield use. The allocation is at odds with the Brownfield First policy.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a> , the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.64	Requests for an audit of Brown field sites and valid justification as to why all brown field sites are not used as priority and an acceptable case for any exceptional circumstances	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a> , the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	Steve Buck John Roberts Jennifer Simm
JPA7_JPA7.65	Brownfield sites will more than adequately cater for our housing needs	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a> , the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	Susan Hamer Gareth Costello
	Scale/Spatial Distribution		
JPA7_JPA7.1	Object to the scale of development in this area. Growth should be evenly distributed across the Borough and throughout the six towns.	No change necessary. The scale of the proposed allocation presents an opportunity to deliver the necessary infrastructure	Eric Thompson GMHP Alan Sheppard

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>required to support the proposed development including new and improved highways infrastructure and opportunities for cycling and walking routes.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	<p>Jon Hayward</p> <p>Bethany Hodgins's</p> <p>Gillian Boyle</p> <p>Alison Tovell</p>
JPA7_JPA7.5	Development should be focused on the southern area close to Derby High	<p>No change necessary. The Elton Reservoir allocation seeks to deliver 3,500 houses in Bury and Radcliffe.</p> <p>The scale of the proposed allocation presents an opportunity to deliver the necessary infrastructure required to support the proposed development including new and improved highways infrastructure and opportunities for cycling and walking routes.</p>	Lynn Clegg
JPA7_JPA7.7	Housing allocation in the plan is biased and too focused on Bury and Bolton	<p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23)</p>	Amit Parmar

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .	
JPA7_JPA7.8	The area has already had too much development/construction	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .	Julie Riley Iain MacFarlane Mr and Mrs Billington Robert Birchmore
JPA7_JPA7.9	The location of the proposed housing is on the opposite side of Bury (west) to the proposed employment site and the motorways (east).	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.13	Requests to limit development to the 1900 if you have to build there and improve traffic movement on Bolton Rd and Spring Lane.	No change necessary. The scale of the proposed allocation presents an opportunity to deliver the necessary infrastructure required to support the proposed development including new and improved highways infrastructure, a new Metrolink stop and opportunities for cycling and walking routes. In addition, Policy JPA7 also includes a requirement for any development on the site to make provision for off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement, including works in and around Radcliffe town centre.	Joan Heffernan
JPA7_JPA7.17	The allocation will make an important contribution towards boosting the competitiveness of the northern boroughs of the PfE area	No change necessary. The area around Elton Reservoir is of strategic significance, not only for Bury, but also in the Greater Manchester context given that it will bring forward one of the joint plan's largest contributions to future housing supply and provide a diverse mix of house types and affordable housing provision for the Bury and Radcliffe areas.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.18	The impact of 400 new homes in Radcliffe being built on another brown-field site have not been taken into consideration.	No change necessary. In assessing potential impacts from the strategic allocations of PfE, consideration has also been given to other sites within the existing supply. For example, the Transport Locality Assessments for Bury's site allocations <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> assess and evaluate the impact of the PfE proposals on the transport network and these take into account other non-PfE sites.	Bethany Hodgkiss
JPA7_JPA7.54	Plan assumes people live and work in the same place but most work 20 miles or so from where they live.	No change necessary. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	Lindsay Dennis Paul Roebuck



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.58	The Elton reservoir development is located in an area with few employment opportunities and the new employment sites will not be reachable by public transport.	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	June Clough
	Housing		
JPA7_JPA7.6	This is a site of strategic significance that will make a considerable contribution to housing supply, is accessible to surrounding towns and well connected to existing infrastructure.	Noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.20	There is too much proposed housing density	No change necessary. It is considered that the densities proposed for the Elton Reservoir site (JPA7) are consistent with PfE Policy JP-H4 (Density of New Housing).	Jackie Copley P Stanhope Tim Boaden
JPA7_JPA7.21	Proposed housing will not meet the needs of over 65s.	No change necessary. The allocation policy (JPA7) requires the delivery of a broad mix of houses which includes an appropriate mix of house types and sizes and accommodation for older people.	Gillian Boyle
JPA7_JPA7.22	Proposed housing will not be affordable.	No change necessary. The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing (in line with local affordable housing policy requirements). As summarised Section 26 of the JPA7 (Elton) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.	
JPA7_JPA7.23	There is not enough proposed housing density, which will result in a need to develop on Greenfield land.	No change necessary. Policy JP-H4 sets out density requirements for new residential development.	Graham Wood Ann Knowles
JPA7_JPA7.24	Releasing land for 3,500 homes but only building 1,900 during the life of PfE is contrary to national guidelines as the area is substantially larger than what is required and is an excessive/over-release of Green Belt.	No change necessary. Although the allocation has the capacity to deliver a total of around 3,500 new homes, it is anticipated that around 1,900 of these will be delivered within the plan period. Nevertheless, it is considered necessary to release the site in full at this stage given that the scale of the proposed development means that it will need to be supported by significant strategic infrastructure and this level of investment needs the certainty that the remaining development will still be able to come forward beyond the plan period.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.25	The town of Radcliffe has the least expensive housing in the whole of the borough and has high levels of deprivation but has been chosen as the site in preference however there are sites in other areas where affordable housing is in desperate need.	No change necessary. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .  The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.26	Unlikely that build rates can be met so plan is unrealistic and will not be effective	No change necessary. Details of the anticipated phasing for the Elton Reservoir site are set out in section 27 of the Site Allocation Topic Paper for Elton Reservoir <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.29	There is no indication of how delivery targets will be maintained or secured. A strategy should be provided.	No change necessary. Details of the anticipated phasing for the Elton Reservoir site are set out in section 27 of the Site Allocation Topic Paper for Elton Reservoir <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.30	The greatest needs are for one person dwellings, mostly for the 60+ age group, and couple only, mostly for the 60+ age group. Brownfield sites in Radcliffe (e.g. the former East Lancs Paper Mill site) could make significant contribution to these needs and allow the Green Belt to remain.	No change necessary. The allocation policy (JPA7) requires the delivery of a broad mix of houses which includes an appropriate mix of house types and sizes and accommodation for older people.  In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	Christopher Topping
JPA7_JPA7.32	The figures used to calculate housing need are inflating the number of houses required, meaning the green belt would not be needed if the figures were up to date and correct.	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	Steven Higginbottom
JPA7_JPA7.33	With relation to the housing element there is no detail on the quantity of each type of housing, the amount of affordable housing, the price of affordable housing or the impact of such housing on social services, schools hospitals etc or where these will be sited.	No change necessary. The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing (in line with local affordable housing policy requirements). As summarised Section 26 of the JPA7 (Elton) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be	Graham Wood Lindsay Dennis Helen Roberts Annette Corrigan

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.</p> <p>In addition, PfE includes policies that seek to ensure that the development proposals make an appropriate contribution to support the provision of new and improved education and healthcare facilities and this is reinforced within each of the allocations policies, where necessary.</p> <p>In the case of Elton Reservoir, the allocation policy makes provision for two new two-form entry primary schools and a new secondary school to meet the needs of the prospective school aged residents. The policy also includes requirements for new local centres in accessible locations which include a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities and ensure that they are integrated with existing communities.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.34	There are unused flats in the city centre of Manchester so do not need homes in Bury.	No change necessary. Government policy does not allow the reduction of empty properties as a contributor to meet the borough's housing targets.	Lucy Hamblett
JPA7_JPA7.35	Homes built should be social housing and not for sale.	No change necessary. The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing (in line with local affordable housing policy requirements). As summarised in Section 26 of the JPA7 (Elton) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.	Julie Woodruff
JPA7_JPA7.36	The HNDA did not identify Radcliffe as in need of more housing	No change necessary. Evidence of housing need for PfE is set out in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment <a href="#">[06.01.02]</a> .	Grace Birchmore

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.37	Object to requirement for residential development to be restricted until the required infrastructure is provided (Criterion 2) and that highway infrastructure must be in place before new housing is developed because in advance of detailed technical work being completed it is not justified for the policy to seek to place limitations on the level of housing delivery relative to infrastructure provision.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 does not state that the highways infrastructure must be in place before new housing is developed. It does state that that residential development within the allocation will be limited until the above infrastructure (or key elements of it) is implemented as necessary mitigation. The new highways infrastructure must be in place before <i>significant</i> amounts of housing are developed and this should be reflected in the Phasing Strategy.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.38	Objects to the requirement for provision of at least 25% affordable housing and for the defined split between social / affordable rented and affordable home ownership (Criterion 5) and requests that the draft policy wording be adjusted such that it incorporates sufficient flexibility for a lower level of provision and / or an alternative tenure mix to be considered acceptable should development viability appraisals / need assessments prepared at the point of individual applications demonstrate this to be appropriate and justified.	No change necessary. PfE Policy JP-H2 (Affordable Housing) sets out an aim to deliver our share of at least 50,000 additional affordable homes across Greater Manchester up to 2037. Bury's local HNDA recommends 25% target and a 60/40 tenure split which is reflected in Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) in order for this site to make a necessary contribution towards meeting the requirements of JP-H2.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.39	There is reference to the provision of custom build/self-build housing however it is clear that more detail on scale and how this is proposed to be supported and delivered is required.	No change necessary. There is a statutory duty to grant sufficient permissions for enough serviced plots to meet the needs for custom/self-build homes in the area and the allocation should contribute towards meeting this need.	Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA7_JPA7.51	The average lead-in times for sites of this scale (i.e. 2,000+ dwellings) is 8.4 years from the validation of the first application, allowing for sufficient time to secure planning permission, discharge relevant planning conditions and actually construct and complete the new homes. As such, at least 5 years from the adoption of the plan is a very optimistic estimate of the first completions being potentially delivered on	No change necessary. Details of the anticipated phasing for the Elton Reservoir site are set out in section 27 of the Site Allocation Topic Paper for Elton Reservoir <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Hollins Strategic Land

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	this site allowing for the preparation of the masterplan, planning permission and actual construction of homes.		
JPA7_JPA7.154	Supports the allocation of land at Elton Reservoir to comprise a new landscape-led community	Noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.192	We should be using the latest housing projections to calculate housing need.	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.197	The housing target has not been set by central government and the planning bill is not mandatory but advisory	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	Brian Hulme Liam Dean JamesDaly P Stanhope Brian Hulme
JPA7_JPA7.220	A plan using 2016 population projections can be used if it better suits the needs of the Local Authority	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	Liam Dean JamesDaly
	Site Selection		
JPA7_JPA7.10	The proposal is unsustainable.	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the	Christopher Harper Alan Heald Vicky Harper Liam Dean James Daly

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p> <p>In addition, the plan and the strategic site allocations within it have been subject to an Integrated Appraisal – the conclusions of which are included in section 29 of the Elton Reservoir Site Allocation Topic Paper – <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a></p>	
JPA7_JPA7.11	Building on such large scale will negatively impact local people's lives.	No change necessary. The Elton Reservoir allocation seeks to deliver 3,500 houses in Bury and Radcliffe, delivering a broad mix of homes designed to diversify the type of accommodation available in Bury and Radcliffe, and include provision of affordable housing to address local housing need.	Mark Haynes
JPA7_JPA7.19	The Elton Reservoir site does not meet the selection criteria	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .	See Appendix



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	
JPA7_JPA7.193	The site selection process has been developer-led.	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .  The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	Karen Pitt Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
	Climate Change/Carbon Reduction		
JPA7_JPA7.31	Since the original housing need policy was investigated a climate emergency has developed. Surely the need for precious greenbelt land has increased to counter the affects of the climate catastrophe we are now facing.	No change necessary. The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is	Robert Cahill Simon Holder



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a>, the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.48	Bury council must justify the release of these greenbelt areas given the climate crisis which must supersede any housing demand.	<p>No change necessary. The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of</p>	Susan Southward

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a> , the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> .	
JPA7_JPA7.113	Carbon reduction goals will not be achieved. New homes should be carbon- neutral.	No change necessary. Policy JP-S2 sets out a range of measures to support the aim of delivering a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038, with a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. This includes an expectation that new development will be net zero carbon from 2028.	J S Mole
JPA7_JPA7.126	Concern that the policy is unsound on the grounds that it goes against Government Policy. The proposed development will not avoid damage to the environment and climate, and will not allow the quality of life for future generations to be maintained. It contradicts the green agenda promoted by the council.	The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.	Jane Lester Andy Matthews Michelle Seddon Rosaleen O Donnell
JPA7_JPA7.150	There is disregard for climate change and carbon neutral policies.	The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is	Lucy Hamblett

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p> <p>In addition, Policy JP-S2 sets out a range of measures to support the aim of delivering a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038, with a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. This includes an expectation that new development will be net zero carbon from 2028.</p>	
	Highways/Transport		
JPA7_JPA7.66	There would be an impact on local roads and the wider network, particularly in Radcliffe, where the proposed link road exits onto these roads and merely joins one over congested area to another over congested area.	<p>No change necessary. The Transport Locality Assessments for Bury's site allocations <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.21]</a> assess and evaluate the impact of the PfE proposals on the transport network.</p> <p>In addition, PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for key enabling infrastructure, including off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement, including works in and around Radcliffe town centre.</p>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.67	There would be an impact on Bury Bridge/Bury New Road (some of worst congested nationally) and on motorway network.	<p>No change necessary. The Transport Locality Assessments for Bury's site allocations <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.21]</a> assess and evaluate the impact of the PfE proposals on the transport network.</p> <p>In addition, PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for key enabling infrastructure, including a north-south strategic spine road connecting Bury and Bolton Road (A58) to Bury Road in order to provide an alternative route to Bury Bridge. The policy also requires other off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement.</p>	Jacqueline Fletcher Lindsay Dennis

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.68	Public transport is unreliable. Buses will not be used and need to be integrated. The Metrolink is overcapacity.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Elton proposal. In addition, PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for major investment in public transport infrastructure to enable more sustainable transport choices, including a requirement for a new Metrolink stop and associated park and ride facilities in the Warth area. Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our "Right Mix" vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026.	Jacqueline Fletcher Lindsay Dennis Joanne Dallimore Paul roebuck
JPA7_JPA7.70	Walking/cycling plans will not work due to weather	No change necessary. PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations.	Paul Roebuck
JPA7_JPA7.71	Highways works and building can't be undertaken without destroying the character and undermining the regeneration of Radcliffe	No change necessary. PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to Inner Radcliffe, Radcliffe Town Centre, Radcliffe Metrolink Station. This will help to increase footfall into the town centre and support on-going regeneration efforts.	Mildred D'Amore Jacqueline Fletcher Marilyn & Raymond Critchley Alison Jackson Paul Cross Michael Donohoe Elaine Sharkey

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.72	The location is peripheral to the conurbation and very poorly served by public transport or local amenities. It will result in car based and isolated development. There are more suitable sites	<p>No change necessary. The Elton Reservoir allocation is almost entirely surrounded by the existing urban area and is well-connected to existing infrastructure.</p> <p>Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026.</p> <p>PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for major investment in public transport infrastructure to enable more sustainable transport choices, including a requirement for a new Metrolink stop and associated park and ride facilities in the Warth area.</p>	<p>Gillian Boyle</p> <p>Carol Birchwood Cllr</p> <p>Lindsay Dennis</p>
JPA7_JPA7.73	Detail required regarding how the roads will be maintained once the developers are gone.	No change necessary. The future responsibility for road maintenance would be determined at the planning application stage.	Sheila Tod
JPA7_JPA7.74	Unhappy with existing cycle route; suggestion that wildlife needs to be taken into account when planning cycle routes that are lit as swans and geese frequently fly into the overhead electricity cables resulting in power cuts.	No change necessary. PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, designed and constructed in accordance with national and GM standards and local planning policies.	Sheila Tod
JPA7_JPA7.75	The old railway lines could become dedicated bus roads.	No change necessary. PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for major investment in public transport infrastructure to enable more sustainable transport choices.	Sheila Tod

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.77	The new large housing estate built recently with no facilities and despite objections has added considerably to busy Bolton Rd and Higher Ainsworth Rd causing solid traffic jams from 7.30 am. The cars at 3,500 new homes will have nowhere to go. and those residents who now are able to walk to Elton Reservoir will add to the congestion and air pollution by having to travel out of Bury to open green space to exercise in fresh air.	Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Elton proposal.  In addition, PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to retain a significant green corridor through the site to provide a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to the local population.	LouiseMee Joan Heffernan
JPA7_JPA7.78	Any new connecting road will be a massive visual intrusion due to the canal and the metrolink if elevated.	No change necessary. Whilst the principle of the route of the new spine road will be established through a detailed masterplan, the specific design of this road would be considered as part of a planning application.	Peter And Linda Billington
JPA7_JPA7.79	Questions whether a new metrolink stop is necessary & raises concern that trams are not used.	No change necessary. The provision of a new Metrolink stop is intended to provide more sustainable travel options.	Jacqueline Fletcher Lewis Tod
JPA7_JPA7.80	Concern that the existing cycleways and walking routes are poorly maintained and that any upgrade would need maintenance.	No change necessary. PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, designed and constructed in accordance with national and GM standards and local planning policies.	Lewis Tod
JPA7_JPA7.81	Improve the cycling infrastructure in Bury to reduce the number of vehicles on the roads.	No change necessary. PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations.	Julie Woodruff

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.82	Improve public transport to support any new housing development and make it affordable	<p>No change necessary. PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for major investment in public transport infrastructure to enable more sustainable transport choices.</p> <p>Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026.</p>	Julie Woodruff
JPA7_JPA7.83	The scale of infrastructure required to be delivered upfront (strategic spine road, park and ride, tram station) will have a significant delay on the delivery of the scheme with the requirement for road infrastructure required early on in the construction phase and which may also impact on later phases. This questions whether the allocation is deliverable.	No change necessary. All site allocations have been tested through the Stage 2 Viability Study <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> and evidence on the viability and deliverability of the proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is set out in supporting documents and this is summarised in Section E of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Crossways Commercial Estates Ltd Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA7_JPA7.84	The transport evidence underpinning this allocation is incomplete and does not identify in sufficient detail, the nature, scale and timing of the infrastructure requirements at the SRN; or what future assessments and studies that will be required to determine any such infrastructure requirements.	<p>No change necessary. Bury’s Transport Locality Assessments <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.21]</a> provide detailed information on the nature, scale and timing of infrastructure requirements at the SRN.</p> <p>With respect to future assessments, the report states that all sites associated with the allocations will be expected to prepare a Transport Assessment as part of a planning application to develop final, rather than indicative proposals, which mitigate the impact of the site. The full scope of the Transport Assessments will be determined by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority and National Highways) on a</p>	National Highways



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>site-by-site basis, depending on the nature, scale and timing of the application, in accordance with the NPPF.</p> <p>In addition, the Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040.</p> <p>Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026. We are also working alongside National Highways to prepare a further piece of work examining a “policy-off/worst-case” impact on the SRN to help address National Highways remaining concerns.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.85	There is no coherent public transport strategy to allow ease of travel for commuters on bus and rail in the area and a lack of sustainable regular public transport links.	<p>No change necessary. Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040.</p> <p>Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026.</p>	Liam Dean James Daly
JPA7_JPA7.87	The existing transport network cannot cope.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Bury’s Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Elton proposal.</p> <p>In addition, PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for key enabling infrastructure, including a north-south strategic spine road connecting Bury and Bolton Road (A58) to Bury Road in order to provide an</p>	See Appendix



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		alternative route to Bury Bridge. The policy also requires other off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement.	
JPA7_JPA7.170	Bury Bridge and A56/A58 are some of worst areas in the country for air quality.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Elton proposal.</p> <p>In addition, PfE Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for key enabling infrastructure, including a north-south strategic spine road connecting Bury and Bolton Road (A58) to Bury Road in order to provide an alternative route to Bury Bridge. The policy also requires other off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement.</p>	<p>Ann Collins</p> <p>Eric Thompson</p> <p>Carol Birchwood Cllr</p> <p>Jacqueline Fletcher</p> <p>Ann Knowles</p> <p>Julie Woodruff</p>
	Infrastructure		
JPA7_JPA7.69	Support – Detailed proposals on infrastructure welcomed	Noted.	Canal & River Trust
JPA7_JPA7.76	Cross boundary infrastructure not in place	<p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site.</p> <p>A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	Stephen Nicholson
JPA7_JPA7.86	Utilities network would not cope with the increased demand.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site.	<p>SGMGB - Bury Groups</p> <p>Graham Wood</p> <p>Jacqueline Fletcher</p> <p>Lewis Tod</p> <p>Julie Woodruff</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Lindsay Dennis Susan Sollazzi
JPA7_JPA7.86	Sewerage system is at capacity and will need new and expanded facilities.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site.  A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Graham Wood Lewis Tod Julie Woodruff Lindsay Dennis
JPA7_JPA7.88	Need more information about how infrastructure will be funded and how commitments to this funding will be made.	No change necessary.  Chapter 12 of PfE covers the delivery of the plan and sets out information on an Infrastructure Strategy, delivering new infrastructure, funding etc.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.90	Concerns of spiralling costs of infrastructure (monetary and environmental) such as electricity and transport and whether the infrastructure will be provided	No change necessary.  Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site.  A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.  Chapter 12 of PfE covers the delivery of the plan and sets out information on an Infrastructure Strategy, delivering new infrastructure, funding etc.	Peter And Linda Billington Karen Pitt Lewis Tod Sheila Tod
JPA7_JPA7.91	More detail required: How will sewerage networks cope?	No change necessary.	Sheila Tod

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Policy JPA specifies that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. This should include the delivery of highways infrastructure, surface water drainage, grey infrastructure including utilities provision, green and blue infrastructure, broadband, electric vehicle charging points, recreation provision and social infrastructure and ensure coordination between phases of development.</p> <p>Information on utilities in association with the proposed strategic allocation at Elton Reservoir is included in the plan's supporting evidence <a href="#">[10.03.19]</a>.</p> <p>Information on utilities is also summarised in Section 14 of the Strategic Allocation Topic Paper for Elton Reservoir <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.92	Development requirements have not been objectively assessed and tested for deliverability. Clear delivery plans for infrastructure should be included and cumulative impacts should be assessed. There is no guarantee the infrastructure can be delivered.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>All site allocations have been tested through the Stage 2 Viability Study <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> and evidence on the viability and deliverability of the proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is set out in supporting documents and this is summarised in Section E of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 12 of PfE covers the delivery of the plan and sets out information on an Infrastructure Strategy, delivering new infrastructure, funding etc.</p>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.93	Not actually deliverable in the timescale of the plan, given the potential lack of infrastructure funding.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>All site allocations have been tested through the Stage 2 Viability Study <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> and evidence on the viability</p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>and deliverability of the proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is set out in supporting documents and this is summarised in Section E of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>Chapter 12 of PfE covers the delivery of the plan and sets out information on an Infrastructure Strategy, delivering new infrastructure, funding etc.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.94	Concern that the site will be split up into multiple parcels and infrastructure will not be funded.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) specifies that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D1 'Infrastructure Implementation'.</p> <p>Chapter 12 of PfE covers the delivery of the plan and sets out information on an Infrastructure Strategy, delivering new infrastructure, funding etc.</p>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.95	There is a lack of infrastructure	<p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site.</p> <p>A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	<p>Eric Thompson</p> <p>Corinne Thompson</p> <p>Susan Sollazzi</p> <p>Annette Corrigan</p> <p>Vicky Harper</p>
JPA7_JPA7.98	The financial burden of infrastructure will have to be met by the Bury taxpayer and Bury MBC will not be financially able to meet such requirements.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In terms of who pays for the delivery of infrastructure, there will continue to be national and sub-regional funding available to help provide new or improved infrastructure.</p>	<p>Liam Dean</p> <p>James Daly</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		However, where these are not secured, the expectation is that the developers of the sites will be responsible for funding the provision of infrastructure on these sites in order to ensure that their proposals are compatible with policies set out in PfE.	
JPA7_JPA7.99	Large number of community facilities have been lost in recent years and still need replacing i.e. swimming pools, secondary schools, and civic suite.	A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. In addition, Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site. This includes a requirement for the provision of necessary social infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Peter And Linda Billington Eric Thompson Grace Birchmore
JPA7_JPA7.100	Health provision is currently inadequate.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site. This includes making provision for new local centres in accessible locations which include a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities.  In addition, a number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	J S Mole Paul Roebuck
JPA7_JPA7.101	All of the schools in area are over-subscribed.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site. This includes making provision for two new two form entry	June Clough J S Mole Jacqueline Fletcher

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		primary schools and a new secondary school to meet the needs of the prospective school-aged residents.	
JPA7_JPA7.102	Infrastructure should be built prior to houses being occupied.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site. The Policy also states that residential development within the allocation will be limited until the required infrastructure (or key elements of it) is implemented as necessary mitigation. A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Susan Sollazzi Robert Birchmore
JPA7_JPA7.103	There is a lack of detail on new health facilities.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site. This includes making provision for new local centres in accessible locations which include a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities. The detail of any health-related provision would be set out in conjunction with a planning application.  A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Bethany Hodgkiss Lynn Clegg
JPA7_JPA7.104	There is no consideration given to the new facilities needed to support the existing and new residents and how the plans will be supported by these services- no new schools, hospitals,	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for the key enabling infrastructure necessary to support the development of the site. This includes making	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	GPs and the existing are already at capacity so new services need to be provided so will be under increased pressure	provision for two new two form entry primary schools and a new secondary school to meet the needs of the prospective school-aged residents as well as making provision for new local centres in accessible locations which include a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities. A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	
JPA7_JPA7.105	A new secondary school has already been funded for Radcliffe, to provide for pupils already in the area and will not satisfy future need. Regeneration of Radcliffe town centre has also already been funded, so neither of these should be included in the plan.	No change necessary. The position with regard to educational requirements associated with the Elton Reservoir site are set out in section 24 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.107	Plans should include a second school	No change necessary. The position with regard to educational requirements associated with the Elton Reservoir site are set out in section 24 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Jennifer Simm Kenneth Simpson Carol Birchwood Cllr
JPA7_JPA7.108	Currently there are no outstanding secondary schools in Bury with the majority requiring improvement. All the schools in Bury are oversubscribed and full to bursting. There is not enough in the plan to address this.	No change necessary. The position with regard to educational requirements associated with the Elton Reservoir site are set out in section 24 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Graham Wood Olivia Allen
JPA7_JPA7.109	Objects to the requirement for future development to “make provision for a new secondary school” (Criterion 7) and it would be more appropriate, for the policy wording to require that future developments make financial contribution(s) to the	No change necessary. The position with regard to educational requirements associated with the Elton Reservoir site are set out in section 24 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	extension of this school subject to an assessment of need at the point of future planning application(s).		
	Natural Environment		
JPA7_JPA7.12	The proposed development appears to be based on land ownership rather than any intrinsic merit, and if given the go-ahead will result in a long-term negative impact on Bury and Greater Manchester's biodiversity. The creation of an urban park around the proposed housing development will not compensate for the loss of important UK/GM Biodiversity Priority habitats.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) includes a specific requirement for development within this allocation to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA7_JPA7.111	Would lead to the loss of the most biodiverse area in the Borough. Opportunities exist for net gain. Biodiversity gains are unrealistic and will not mitigate for loss. New woodland is needed. The policy should mention maintain and enhance priority habitats.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.117	Conflicts with policies to protect biodiversity and floodplains	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'. In addition, the Policy also requires any new development to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. The delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy which ensures co-ordination between phases of development. It also requires the need to ensure that sustainable drainage systems are fully incorporated into the development to manage	Lindy Jarvis



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		surface water and control the rate of surface water run-off.	
JPA7_JPA7.118	New SSI survey needed	No change necessary. Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Margaret Fulham
JPA7_JPA7.119	Flood risk assessments, site wildlife surveys and other surveys have been done by consultants paid for by developers, rather than the D of E or independent wildlife organisations so is not independent	No change necessary. Whilst the evidence on flood risk and wildlife has been commissioned by the site promoters, this has been fully considered by independent bodies such as the Environment Agency and Greater Manchester Ecology Unit.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.120	Ecological mitigation needs to be given consideration	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA7_JPA7.121	Protected species and use of the allocated area by migratory birds has not been given due consideration	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05, 10.03.06, 10.03.07, 10.03.08, 10.03.09, 10.03.10, 10.03.11]</a> Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Lewis Tod SGMGB - Bury Groups
JPA7_JPA7.124	Concerns regarding the loss of the natural environment and climate change.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05, 10.03.06, 10.03.07, 10.03.08, 10.03.09, 10.03.10, 10.03.11]</a>	Graham Wood Sheila Tod

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.125	Request for impact assessments in respect of wildlife and mental and physical health of those who regularly use these green areas	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05]</a>, <a href="#">10.03.06</a>, <a href="#">10.03.07</a>, <a href="#">10.03.08</a>, <a href="#">10.03.09</a>, <a href="#">10.03.10</a>, <a href="#">10.03.11]</a></p> <p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>In addition, Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents.</p> <p>Policy JP-P6 sets out the requirements for new development in seeking to help tackle health inequalities, including the need for all developments that require an</p>	Susan Evans

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		Environmental Impact Assessment to also be supported by a Health Impact Assessment.	
JPA7_JPA7.127	Within the allocation there are 6 SBIs, with SSSIs and a SAC in proximity to the allocation – all of which would be impacted on by the scale of development proposed and for which substantial mitigation is likely to be required.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [<a href="#">10.03.05</a>, <a href="#">10.03.06</a>, <a href="#">10.03.07</a>, <a href="#">10.03.08</a>, <a href="#">10.03.09</a>, <a href="#">10.03.10</a>, <a href="#">10.03.11</a>]</p> <p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [<a href="#">10.03.43</a>].</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.</p>	Jane Lester
JPA7_JPA7.128	The wildlife and environmental surveys by Peel were not done correctly and the current survey from the Elton Reservoir warden needs to be taken into consideration.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [<a href="#">10.03.05</a>, <a href="#">10.03.06</a>, <a href="#">10.03.07</a>, <a href="#">10.03.08</a>, <a href="#">10.03.09</a>, <a href="#">10.03.10</a>, <a href="#">10.03.11</a>]</p> <p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [<a href="#">10.03.43</a>].</p> <p>Whilst wildlife and environmental surveys have been commissioned by the site promoters, this has been fully considered by the Greater Manchester Ecology Unit.</p>	<p>Jon Longworth</p> <p>The Wildlife Trusts</p> <p>Jane Lester</p>
JPA7_JPA7.129	Work with the "local environmental warden" for Elton and the area around the reservoir and canal must be given protected status due to the local and national importance of the habitat and archaeological sites of importance.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [<a href="#">10.03.05</a>, <a href="#">10.03.06</a>, <a href="#">10.03.07</a>, <a href="#">10.03.08</a>, <a href="#">10.03.09</a>, <a href="#">10.03.10</a>, <a href="#">10.03.11</a>]</p>	Susan Southward

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.131	Will result in increased net carbon with the destruction of fields trees ponds and biodiversity	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.06]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.07]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.08]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.09]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.10]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.11]</a></p> <p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>.</p> <p>The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p>	Michael Brooks
JPA7_JPA7.132	If an environmental survey had been done for Elton then these findings will have changed. Also, with the pandemic of last year and the further extremes of weather the findings will have changed and there should be a new environmental/bio-diversity plan for PfE.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.06]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.07]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.08]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.09]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.10]</a>, <a href="#">[10.03.11]</a></p>	Susan Ruddock

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Wildlife and environmental surveys have been fully considered by independent bodies such as the Environment Agency and the Greater Manchester Ecology Unit.	
JPA7_JPA7.133	The plan states a Green corridor linking Elton Reservoir, will be created. The proposed corridor will stop at Elton Reservoir, surely a green corridor should continue through and onto another linked green space. The second point is if it is a corridor linking into the reservoir area, how does the corridor connect. There is a major road, the A58 blocking the corridor into the proposed Elton Reservoir area.	No change necessary. The plan for the Elton Reservoir site allocation shown in Policy JPA7 includes the extent of the proposed Green Belt. This is not to be confused with the wider green infrastructure network which will link into the green corridor proposed as part of the Elton Reservoir site.	Christian Jones
JPA7_JPA7.134	Despite the Elton Reservoir area having been identified by the Greater Manchester Ecology Unit as an important part of the wider GM green infrastructure network and shown as such on the Green Infrastructure map published in the draft PfE, the site allocation process appears to be developer led and has given little consideration to the ecological importance of the area. The proposed destruction of large parts of three Sites of Biological Importance (SBIs) adjacent to the River Irwell, in Bury, with significant negative impacts on a further three SBIs, is not acceptable to us and cannot be considered as a sustainable development.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05, 10.03.06, 10.03.07, 10.03.08, 10.03.09, 10.03.10, 10.03.11]</a> Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA7_JPA7.135	SBI: The Sites of Biological Importance (SBIs) at Elton Reservoir form a unique mosaic of habitats not found in this combination elsewhere in Bury, comprising open water, ponds, grassland, hedgerows, marsh, flush, scrub and swamp many of which are UK, or Greater Manchester, Biodiversity Priority	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05, 10.03.06, 10.03.07, 10.03.08, 10.03.09, 10.03.10, 10.03.11]</a>	CPRE Annette Corrigan Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	habitats. Whilst the proposed development retains the reservoir, its value will be significantly diminished by the encroachment of housing and increased levels of recreational disturbance. The land adjoining the SBIs also plays a key role in the overall ecology of the site providing feeding areas for protected and priority species. Requests for current land use for agriculture and the existing public rights of way to be maintained to safeguard the area's high biodiversity value.	Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA7_JPA7.136	Concern that Elton Reservoir itself is one of the most important sites for birds. A number of the birds are UK and EU Protected Species, Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Species, and species requiring conservation measures via the UK's international obligations.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.06]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.07]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.08]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.09]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.10]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.11]</a> Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA7_JPA7.137	The site is an important stop-off point for migrant birds moving through the Irwell Valley on spring and autumn passage. The proposed loss of a significant proportion of the terrestrial habitats, and the cover provided by hedgerows and scrub, will reduce its suitability for migrants and force birds to fly further to find alternative sites which are already in short supply along the Irwell corridor. In 2015, Elton Goyt SBI was the second most important site in GM for both wintering Common Snipe (Birds of Conservation Concern Amber-listed species) and Jack Snipe, and the wider Elton Reservoir area also the achieved the same rank for Common Snipe in 2016. Elton Reservoir SBI is one of	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.06]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.07]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.08]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.09]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.10]</a> , <a href="#">[10.03.11]</a> Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	the top ten sites in GM and the second most important site in Bury (after Heaton Park Reservoir), for wintering Goosander, Tufted Duck and Wigeon.	within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	
JPA7_JPA7.138	Given that policy GM-G10 (GMSF 2019) states "Across the plan as a whole, a net enhancement of biodiversity resources will be sought" ... we would strongly recommend that alternative locations are considered to meet the district's housing needs which have a minimal impact on biodiversity.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [<a href="#">10.03.05</a>, <a href="#">10.03.06</a>, <a href="#">10.03.07</a>, <a href="#">10.03.08</a>, <a href="#">10.03.09</a>, <a href="#">10.03.10</a>, <a href="#">10.03.11</a>]</p> <p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [<a href="#">10.03.43</a>].</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.</p>	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA7_JPA7.139	Requests that the Council 'Land Swap' with the current major landowner, so the important habitats around Elton Reservoir can be transferred to an organisation with the necessary expertise to draw up and deliver a long-term management plan to enhance and manage sensitively this area for future generations to enjoy and learn about its special wildlife.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [<a href="#">10.03.05</a>, <a href="#">10.03.06</a>, <a href="#">10.03.07</a>, <a href="#">10.03.08</a>, <a href="#">10.03.09</a>, <a href="#">10.03.10</a>, <a href="#">10.03.11</a>]</p> <p>Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [<a href="#">10.03.43</a>].</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.</p>	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA7_JPA7.140	The proposed allocation does not comply with JP-G3 on River Valleys and Waterways and JP-G9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JP-G3 will be taken into account when considering development proposals on the Elton site.</p>	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	
JPA7_JPA7.141	Given the relatively small area of the site, this requirement and the retention of the existing Sites of Biological Importance is clearly not compatible with the initial proposed construction of around 1,900 houses (potentially increasing to 3500) and “delivery of highways infrastructure.” However, the retention of the SBIs would obviate the need for the provision of “new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland” The ecosystems of the Elton Reservoir SBI and those in the surrounding area form a rich, interconnected network which has developed over many years and cannot simply be replaced by “open space/parkland” which would undoubtedly be of lower biodiversity value and so would not deliver on site net gain.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group
JPA7_JPA7.142	The ecology survey is full of failures. Not identifying the importance of the wildlife habitats to the vast amount of wildlife and plants not recorded in peel ecology surveys.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	Steven Higginbottom
JPA7_JPA7.144	Elton Reservoir has the 4th largest wetland in greater Manchester and is of Biological importance and the most diverse large wetland which should be given government	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on	Steven Higginbottom Karen Pitt



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	protection as it is home to many uk/eu protected species including, Otter, Badger, Great crested newt. This site will be destroyed by places for everyone.	wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	
JPA7_JPA7.146	The undesignated Wildlife Corridor across Pilkington Fold/Spen Moor supports a wealth of mammals mammals including Roe Deer, Brown Hare, Rabbit, Badger, Red Fox, Stoat , Weasel, Mink, Brown Rat, Short-tailed Field Vole, Wood Mouse, Grey Squirrel, Hedgehog, Common Shrew , Mole, and Otter. Seven species of bats have been recorded, including Recent data shows that Nathusius' Pipistrelle which is considered to be vulnerable in the European context and is protected under the 'EU Habitats Directive.' Furthermore, this species is listed in the United Nations convention on the 'Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals' (Bonn, 1979) via the EUROBATS agreement (London, 1991). . A wildlife corridor should be designated so the link is not lost.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.147	The Elton Goit Site of Biological Importance will be destroyed and the wildlife value will be removed to allow development.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the	Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	
JPA7_JPA7.148	The site is important to amphibians. The Proposed Housing Allocation has ponds and land habitats that support 5 species of amphibians – Common Frog, Common Toad, Great Crested Newt, Smooth Newt and Palmate Newt.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock
JPA7_JPA7.149	Grassland and hedgerows are important to the Elton Reservoir Area ; Lots of Yellow Rattle marks some of these meadows as semi-improved grasslands. The improved pastureland used for dairy cattle contains the worms eaten by Great Crested Newts.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock
JPA7_JPA7.155	Experienced local ecologists have disputed the amphibian data' indicating that the survey data from the consultant ecologists is out of date and has seriously underestimated the distribution of Great Crested Newt and Toads within the	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ]	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	development area as well as underestimating the number of high value 4 and 5 species amphibian ponds.	Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	
JPA7_JPA7.156	Within the Supplementary Information provided for this allocation, the Outlined Ecological Mitigation and Enhancement Strategy (EMES) highlights the ecological importance of this site. 7 SBI's are contained within the proposed development area, covering over 57ha. 36 ponds have been identified, with 10 supporting Great Crested Newt and/or Common Toad and are identified as Priority Habitat.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05, 10.03.06, 10.03.07, 10.03.08, 10.03.09, 10.03.10, 10.03.11]</a> Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.157	Winter and Breeding bird surveys have identified 12 priority species within the winter surveys and 13 priority species over the summer surveys. Many of these are amber and red listed birds of conservation concern and their protection and enhancement of their populations should be seen as a biodiversity priority. The area is known as an important wintering, breeding and passage site for many species of birds. In addition to its bird and amphibian interest, Brown Hare, a UK priority species has also been recorded on the site. Information provided by local ecological experts shows that the site also supports breeding Otter and Badger, both species of principal	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters <a href="#">[10.03.05, 10.03.06, 10.03.07, 10.03.08, 10.03.09, 10.03.10, 10.03.11]</a> Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> . Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock The Wildlife Trusts Greater Manchester Bird Recording Group

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	importance that require special consideration as part of the planning process.		
JPA7_JPA7.158	The EMES identified that much of the site's ecological assets are of County (i.e. City Regional) Importance, including for wintering and breeding birds, Great Crested Newt and Ponds identified as Priority habitat. Together with the remaining biodiversity habitats and species, this area is undoubtedly a biodiversity hot spot and the Trusts believe that the site is wholly unsuitable for such largescale development. Not only will there be a significant loss of habitat to development, it is also clear that one of the main aims of the allocation is to develop a strategic recreation, leisure and tourism resource within the site and the wider area. Whilst this is often seen as a positive for development, the choice of location in this instance has very serious and damaging implications for key biodiversity assets and there are grave doubts as to how the identified biodiversity assets can be incorporated into the development proposals.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.159	Concern that although the desktop study and ecological survey undertaken by the ecological consultants recommended that "the areas of the site which are designated as Sites of Biological Importance should be retained and protected in the design of the site layout" and the topic paper also stating that it was feasible to achieve protection of the SBIs, priority habitats and protected species as part of the mitigation proposals, the plans show that significant areas of these SBI's will be lost to development ( both Elton Goyt and Spen Moor Pond SBI's suffering partial losses).	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.160	The protection of the SBI's has been watered down within the ecology reports through the use of "where possible" and	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	"where feasible" and as such, there is no clear allocation policy that indicates that the SBI's will be protected. Therefore, the allocation policy needs to be amended to include a clear indication that the SBI's and their features of interest should be protected	wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	
JPA7_JPA7.161	Local Wildlife Sites (SBI's) must be excluded from the allocation to comply with PfE policies JP-G 2 and JP-G 9 and NPPF (2021) Paragraphs 174a, 174d, 175, 179a, 179b and 180a. There is also a presumption against the loss of SBI's within current Bury Local Plan policies (EN6.1 to EN6.3 inclusive). This development is therefore contrary to such policies.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.162	The desk top surveys and the 2017 breeding and winter bird surveys show that the site is an important area for its farmland bird species, including good numbers of Lapwing, a UK priority Species. The ecology reports indicate that the two main areas for Lapwing are proposed to be developed. This includes large tracts of semi-improved grassland within the southern part of the site and parts of Elton Goyt SBI.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	
JPA7_JPA7.163	Priority species identified in the surveys, namely Skylark, Grey Partridge and Brown Hare, are reliant on these open undisturbed areas and there are concerns that the impact will be higher than that indicated on habitats and sensitive areas as at present the managed agricultural fields and grasslands to be provided as mitigation are ringed around the main reservoir, which is the main centre for recreational activities. The Parkland Strategy itself states that "Future residents will have access to a new country park including a variety of recreation and leisure facilities centred around the Elton and Withins reservoirs". Therefore it is not possible to ensure that enough open and undisturbed habitat can be provided within the development area to support a healthy population of breeding farmland birds such as Lapwing and Skylark and mammals such as Brown Hare that the proposal could lead to the loss of these Section 41 species from the area.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.164	Residual impacts on identified priority species are not acceptable Residual impacts on identified priority species are not acceptable	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.165	No evidence has yet been provided to show what the actual effects of the development will be on these S41 species. What will be the fall in breeding pairs as a result of the development? and is this significant in terms of percentages losses? The EMES states that there will be a residual effect, however, given the reduced amount of suitable habitat in combination with increased visitor pressure we feel this is likely to be higher. The increased recreational pressures brought about by the development will also adversely affect many other important species contained within the site such as Otter, Barn Owl and Brown Hare	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.166	Concern as the plan seeks to concentrate the biodiversity features into a smaller area however some of the identified species have conflicting habitat requirements. Similarly the development will concentrate recreation activities and bring the biodiversity features into closer contact and conflict with site users.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ]. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.167	Concern that the ecology report considers habitat creation at the grasslands and at Elton Reservoir along with other measures to provide enhancement for Priority Species/species of a similar conservation status will off-set any reduction in carrying capacity for the site for use by Lapwing and that this is wrong as S41 species are a material consideration in planning decisions. It is not acceptable to compensate for the loss or reduction of one species through mitigation of another. Even	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ].	The Wildlife Trusts



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	BNG, where you can trade habitats will not accept this habitat trading within higher level habitats.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	
JPA7_JPA7.168	Serious doubts as to the deliverability of on-site mitigation for a number of S41 species. Evidence needs to be provided as to what land is available for on-site compensation/mitigation. Has the land been identified where appropriate management can be undertaken? and who owns the land on which these mitigations are proposed, are there agreements in place? Offsite compensation may therefore be required if this the allocation was consented.	Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to minimise the impact on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA7_JPA7.169	A local ecological expert disputes the stated value of the grassland areas and that these are higher than reported. Further species records have also been provided by the local expert, indicating the site supports more biodiversity than the surveys indicate.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation at Elton Reservoir is supported by a range of evidence on wildlife and ecological matters [ <a href="#">10.03.05</a> , <a href="#">10.03.06</a> , <a href="#">10.03.07</a> , <a href="#">10.03.08</a> , <a href="#">10.03.09</a> , <a href="#">10.03.10</a> , <a href="#">10.03.11</a> ] Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Elton Reservoir site are also summarised in section 19 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.43</a> ].	The Wildlife Trusts
	Health		
JPA7_JPA7.115	Loss of land which is essential to residents mental and physical health and wellbeing, and quality of life, especially in the wake of the pandemic. Public health and wellbeing should be at the heart of any plans.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents. Policy JP-P6 sets out the requirements for new development in seeking to help tackle health inequalities,	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		including the need for all developments that require an Environmental Impact Assessment to also be supported by a Health Impact Assessment.	
	Flood Risk		
JPA7_JPA7.172	Existing residents were adversely affected in the 2015 floods including Bury and Bolton Road due to the area being in a natural flood plain.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. The delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy which ensures co-ordination between phases of development. The Policy also requires that development ensures that sustainable drainage systems are fully incorporated into the development to manage surface water and control the rate of surface water run-off.</p> <p>This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.</p>	<p>Amy Gaffney</p> <p>Stephen Guy</p> <p>Ann Collins</p> <p>Ann Knowles</p> <p>Lindsay Dennis</p> <p>Louise Mee</p> <p>Annette Corrigan</p>
JPA7_JPA7.173	Concerned at the potential for the reservoir to fail and the subsequent danger for new and existing residents. Properties will be uninsurable	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.</p>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.174	Concern that the policies have a lack consistency of approach between authorities and do not set a clear expectation of the need to deliver high quality sustainable drainage and water	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Water efficiency measures in new developments will be a matter for district local plans to determine. This approach</p>	United Utilities Group PLC

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	efficient development. Therefore amendments to the policies are required to address inconsistencies in approach and set clearer expectations to ensure the policies more appropriately align with the ambition of Greater Manchester to be a city that is resilient to flooding and climate change.	is considered consistent with the NPPF, particularly paragraph 28 which confirms that it is for local planning authorities 'to set out more detailed policies for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development'. Therefore, no change to the plan is considered as necessary.	
JPA7_JPA7.175	The location of the proposed housing will cause increased flooding and climate change.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.</p> <p>The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.176	Concerns that if the reservoir is used for run off from the developments and roads, it cannot be used for recreation as it will be too polluted.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure that sustainable drainage systems are fully incorporated into the development to manage surface water and control the rate of surface water run-off.</p>	Sheila Tod Lewis Tod
JPA7_JPA7.177	Large parts of the area designated Flood Zone 2 and 3.	No change necessary.	Jane Lester

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		It is not considered that large parts of the site fall within flood zones 2 and 3. Nevertheless, Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.	
JPA7_JPA7.178	The damage and risks to both the local and further afield areas cannot be underestimated from further building on flood planes.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.	Lynda Healey Susan Southward
JPA7_JPA7.179	The development will increase water flow into the river Irwell that is already stressed as has been witnessed by recent flood events. The fields identified for building are currently huge sponges holding vast quantities of water that will have to be drained to river.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.	Michael Brooks
JPA7_JPA7.180	Concerns that the hydrology report is untrue in its findings as the fields flood every year where the houses are proposed.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and	Steven Higginbottom

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.</p>	
JPA7_JPA7.181	<p>Flood risk will be increased, There are 4 vast areas of water within close proximity to each other and even with flood defence work that is ongoing it will then push the volume of water into areas that have never flooded before this ontop of any homes being built will add to the problem. The River Irwell has badly flooded recently and this will only increase with the size of the new development the more houses, pavings and roads means nowhere for the rainwater to go, Mile Lane, Watling St and Starling road are steep hills with gallons of water rushing down them towards the new builds. The farm lands absorbed some of the rain.</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.</p>	<p>Tracy Owen</p> <p>Joan Heffernan</p>
JPA7_JPA7.182	<p>Need to ensure that prevention works are undertaken to stop the severe flooding of the Irwell.</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere.</p> <p>This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.</p>	<p>Joan Heffernan</p>
JPA7_JPA7.183	<p>Felling trees will increase flood risk</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere.</p>	<p>Louise Mee</p> <p>Annette Corrigan</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.	
JPA7_JPA7.184	Residential development on parts of the allocated site would result in a change of classification to a Category A, where a breach in the reservoir would endanger lives in a community. Consequently, the reservoir would need to be inspected and maintained to a much higher standard and frequency than at present with significant upgrades to the reservoir infrastructure (including the spillway, dam and feeder channel) being necessary.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. This is supported by thematic Policy JP-S5 relating to flood risk and the water environment.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.185	Support: recognition that key enabling infrastructure including appropriate structural upgrades to the Reservoir are required to be delivered by the development.	No change necessary. Paragraph 11.113 of the justification to Policy JPA7 specifies that Structural upgrades may be required to Elton Reservoir to reflect any changes to the categorisation of the reservoir as a result of new residential development being located downstream.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.186	Support: Point 14 which refers to development ensuring that the allocation is safe from and mitigates for potential flood risk from all sources (including reservoirs) and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere is also relevant and welcomed	Noted.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
	Heritage		
JPA7_JPA7.187	Presence of Bronze Age burial site and embankment of a horse-pulled railway.	No change necessary. The site promoters have instructed Salford University to undertake additional work to investigate the possible late Neolithic 'hengiform' located between Radcliffe Crematorium and Withins Reservoir.	Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock
JPA7_JPA7.188	Canal is a major heritage asset in the area and must be fully considered.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to provide a significant green corridor which remains within the Green	Manchester Bolton & Bury Canal Society

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
		Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	
JPA7_JPA7.189	There is a single Grade II listed building within the Site and another three in close enough proximity to require any development to consider impacts on setting and context. The Roman Road runs through the allocation and 17 sites of archaeological interest have been identified.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage and archaeological assets and their setting, including the Old Hall Farmhouse Grade II listed building and wider historic character of the surrounding area, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA7_JPA7.190	There are sites identified for building hold archaeology that should be saved	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) states that new development on the site will be required to protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage and archaeological assets and their setting, including the Old Hall Farmhouse Grade II listed building and wider historic character of the surrounding area, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	Michael Brooks
	Other		

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.3	There is poor land stability and mineshafts on-site.	No change necessary. Information on ground conditions is included within 'JPA7 – Elton Parkland Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment, 2019' <a href="#">[10.03.15]</a> .	Louise Mee
JPA7_JPA7.4	Question the relationship between the Council and developers.	The Council has worked with developers/site promoters to bring forward the proposed site allocations set out in the plan.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.15	There is no vision as to how our villages will look in 25 years and is based solely on chance. There is no link in the plan between what residents need and the foreseen housing	No change necessary. There is no requirement in NPPF for the plan to look ahead 25 years.	Liam Dean James Daly
JPA7_JPA7.16	Object to the requirement for a masterplan to be approved by the LPA prior to planning applications being submitted which would unnecessarily delay the preparation / submission of detailed proposals and, in time, the delivery of housing / infrastructure across the site and can be more appropriately dealt with as part of planning applications for the delivery of the development.	No change necessary. The requirement for a masterplan to be approved by the LPA in advance of the submission of a planning application is intended to ensure that the LPA is fully satisfied with the intended development. It is considered that this will actually save time during the planning application process as key development parameters will have already been agreed.	Peel L&P Investments (North) Ltd
JPA7_JPA7.56	Information needed regarding what businesses have been engaged and which major partners have been identified to ensure it is deliverable.	No change necessary. Information on the deliverability of the proposed strategic allocation at Elton Reservoir is included within the plan's evidence base and summarised in Section E of the Strategic Allocation Topic Paper for Elton Reservoir <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a>	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.57	Businesses will move out to Rossendale or out of the area	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Michelle Cardno
JPA7_JPA7.59	Concern that the employment opportunities proposed to be created by the plan are mainly planned for Manchester and Salford with only low paid warehouse type Jobs available in Bury.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Ann Collins Graham Wood Ann Knowles



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.60	Concern that employment through retail development will take away revenue from shops in the Millgate and the Rock which are already struggling to survive	No change necessary. PfE Policy JP-Strat 12 relates to main town centres and states that the role of the main town centres as local economic drivers will continue to be developed, providing the primary focus for office, retail, leisure and cultural activity for their surrounding areas.	Lindsay Dennis
JPA7_JPA7.61	Bury has one of the highest percentages of people who have to leave their local area for employment purposes and this plan will increase this disparity.	No change necessary. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	Liam Dean JamesDaly
JPA7_JPA7.89	Not known whether it is deliverable	No change necessary. Information on the deliverability of the proposed strategic allocation at Elton Reservoir is included within the plan's evidence base and summarised in Section E of the Strategic Allocation Topic Paper for Elton Reservoir <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA7_JPA7.96	Concern regarding the impact upon waste management which is already under pressure.	No change necessary. The specific impact on waste management would be fully considered at the planning application stage.	Lewis Tod
JPA7_JPA7.97	Minor changes to the wording of the policy and supporting text are necessary to make the plan effective by improving clarity of meaning and ensuring that works necessary to make the site acceptable in principle are delivered, including; reference to spillways and feeder channels, maintenance, and emergency access, measures to mitigate any increase in visitor risk or adverse impact on water safety, avoiding any conflict with the operational requirements of the reservoir or existing users,	No change necessary. Whilst it is considered that this proposed wording could improve the clarity of the policy, it is not considered to be a soundness issue, therefore no change is proposed.	Canal & River Trust

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	necessary improvements to the Manchester Bolton & Bury (MB&B) Canal etc.		
JPA7_JPA7.106	Policy needs to be strengthened to make it effective. The provision of recreation is cited but not sport. Sport has a very different formal function to recreation.	No change necessary. Whilst it is considered that this proposed wording could improve the clarity of the policy, it is not considered to be a soundness issue, therefore no change is proposed.	Sport England
JPA7_JPA7.112	Improve the canal for leisure e.g. water taxi, improved walking/cycling routes and off road routes for horse riders.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Within's Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	Christopher Hallows Manchester Bolton & Bury Canal Society
JPA7_JPA7.114	Need to take into account ground conditions (coal workings, farming, railway, aquifers, sink hole potential) and capacity for surface water drainage and flooding	No change necessary. Information on ground conditions is included within 'JPA7 – Elton Parkland Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment, 2019' <a href="#">[10.03.15]</a> .	Richard Thew SGMGB - Bury Groups J S Mole Jacqueline Fletcher Lewis Tod Lindsay Dennis Louise Mee
JPA7_JPA7.122	It is disappointing that Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure Safeguarding are not shown on the plan. The graphics associated with the plan are poor. The proposed allocation plans should clearly indicate whether or not the allocations overlie. The policies and the supporting text	The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is	Mineral Products Association

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	on occasion do recognise the presence of mineral resources. Where such text is included, we support the proposals to extract the economic minerals in advance of construction taking place, however, we do not believe all the proposed allocations properly consider MSAs. This should be clarified.	adopted. Therefore it is not necessary to identify them on the PfE policies map and no change is necessary.	
JPA7_JPA7.123	This proposal will impact negatively on the use of the Bury / Bolton canal and the leisure routes and paths within this area	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development on the site will be required to make provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	Gillian Boyle Michelle Seddon
JPA7_JPA7.153	Must maximise the benefit for the use of the canal whilst minimising negative impacts, including reinstating the bridge at Water Street, Radcliffe must be reinstated, raising the spillway near Elton, restoring the Canal further northward to make it a logical and linear through route for leisure, and green corridor for wildlife.	No change necessary. Policy JPA7 (Elton Reservoir) requires that new development makes provision for a significant green corridor which remains within the Green Belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	Manchester Bolton & Bury Canal Society

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.171	The location of the proposed housing will cause increased congestion, air pollution, light pollution, noise pollution with knock on health consequences, particularly for the location of the proposed new school.	No change necessary. Sections 22 and 23 of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> include information regarding air and noise pollution and there are a number of policies within the plan that seek to reduce exposure to pollution, including Policy JP-S4 (Resilience), JP-S6 (Clean Air), JP-G6 (Urban Green Space), JP-P1 (Sustainable Places) and those seeking to reduce the health impacts of air pollution through accessibility of sustainable travel such as public transport, cycling and walking.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.191	There was a lack of consultation from Bury Council	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.194	This is a plan for Manchester and Councillors in Bury who have voted in favour of this and Bury Council have not taken the views of Bury electorate into account.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.195	The consultation process is too long/difficult and the information needed is hidden or has been poor	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.196	Demolish Radcliffe town centre to save greenbelt	No change necessary. Radcliffe town centre is an important community asset that is subject to major regeneration plans following a recently successful bid via the Levelling Up Fund.	Lee Cawley
JPA7_JPA7.198	Land is not owned by those proposing the development and therefore not deliverable	No change necessary. The site promoters for the Elton Reservoir Allocation have produced an Indicative Masterplan <a href="#">[10.03.01]</a> to show how proposed development could come forward within the allocation. Section E of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> sets out information in relation to the deliverability of the proposal.	Andrew Broadhurst
JPA7_JPA7.199	Is illegal, substantially and significantly different from the GMSF so cannot be considered effectively the same plan and is not legally compliant	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.200	Plans are not achievable/realistic for build out	No change necessary. Information on the deliverability of the proposed strategic allocation at Elton Reservoir, including proposed phasing, is included within the plan's evidence base and summarised in Section E of the Elton Reservoir Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.43]</a> .	Alan Sheppard Ian Culman Craig Smith Carol Birchwood Cllr
JPA7_JPA7.202	There has been deliberate misinformation through letters to Wards.	No change necessary. The letters that were sent by Bury Council to all households in the Borough (around 84,000) were a way of engaging with as many Bury residents as possible. These letters provided an overview of what the plan is, set out how people could have their say and highlighted what the plan meant for the Borough as a whole and for the recipient's particular ward. It also highlighted that, in addition to proposals within or close to that ward that the plan is proposing other sites for development elsewhere in Bury and other areas of Greater Manchester and strongly encouraging people to look at the plan in more detail to find out more.	Jennifer Hardman Ian Culman Carl Southward Steven Higginbottom David Boulger Robert Birchmore
JPA7_JPA7.203	Need to liaise with protest groups to find out what is best for the area.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Helen Bailey Jennifer Hardman
JPA7_JPA7.204	Other: BMBC failed to adhere to their own statement of community involvement such as no notification of residents regarding the initial call for sites, residents not informed of plan across the wider borough, not accessible, language and terminology deterring responses. There was no use of social media or posters on notice boards.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Carol Birchwood Cllr Lucy Hamblett David Boulger Craig Smith Mark Chicot Susan Ruddock
JPA7_JPA7.205	Each authority needs to come up with its own local plan. No details have been given about when these plans will be available.	Bury Council will be continuing to progress its emerging Local Plan and the timetable for this is set out in the Local Development Scheme	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.206	There are no details of how Duty to Cooperate will be achieved with Blackburn and Darwen and Stockport	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.207	A change in the methodology for Manchester City Council was resulted in a 35% uplift for the Manchester City Council area represents a significant change between the previous spatial framework the Greater Manchester Spatial Framework and the current joint development plan Places for Everyone.	No change necessary. A higher annualised plan figure for Manchester City than in the GMSF 2020 (2,951 vs 3527) has been introduced within Pfui 2021 as a result of the revised LHN. Through this process Manchester City Council has identified sufficient land in the urban area to meet its increased need and consequently remove a very small Green Belt housing site. This remains consistent with the GMSF 2020 spatial strategy which concentrated growth in the centre of the conurbation. Manchester City's increased LHN, and therefore its PfE 2021 housing target, helps to maintain a consistent spatial strategy, between the two plans, despite Stockport's withdrawal. and results in a Plan with substantially the same effect as the GMSF 2020 on the nine districts.	See Appendix
JPA7_JPA7.208	Consultation has relied upon computer literacy and internet access.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Laura Ettrick David Boulger
JPA7_JPA7.209	Consultation was a tick box exercise.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Mike Smith
JPA7_JPA7.210	Based upon outdated data by 7 years, Brexit and Covid 19 have changed our lives and will have a huge impact on the way we now live our lives.	No change necessary. As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a> .	Karen Pitt Helen Roberts Gareth Costello John Roberts Janet Brooks Julie Mills Steven Higginbottom
JPA7_JPA7.211	Legal compliance is not being met since work as already started on some of these proposals without consultation. Exemplified by the destruction of habitat for migrating Sand	No change necessary. Any works carried out in the vicinity of the site have been undertaken to address specific issues and have not been	Carl Southward

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
	Martins on the banks of the Irwell. This work seems to be required to reduce flooding in the area of the proposed Metrolink Station rather than any present need. Work already carried out in the area, for 'flood protection and bank protection' of the reservoir has been done with no or little regard for the protection of other natural habitats.	undertaken as preparation for the development of the Elton Reservoir site.	
JPA7_JPA7.212	It already appears that the proposed developments have started in the area, such as preparations for the new Metrolink station. This work should be halted with immediate effect and only restarted after full consultation. Bury Council should rectify the lack of information about the proposals by better publicity and sending residents further information not limited to their immediate area.	No change necessary. Any works carried out in the vicinity of the site have been undertaken to address specific issues and have not been undertaken as preparation for the development of the Elton Reservoir site.	Carl Southward Susan Sollazzi
JPA7_JPA7.213	There needs to be more consultation	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Mary Fleming Ben Parker Tony Parker Leesa Parker Patricia Deacon Raymond Chamberlain
JPA7_JPA7.214	Questions how this is beneficial to existing residents.	No change necessary.  The proposal is to deliver a broad mix of around 3,500 homes to diversify the type of accommodation in the Elton Reservoir area and provide a significant amount of affordable housing.  The proposal would also include the provision of new and improved transport, social and green infrastructure for the Elton Reservoir area.	Simon Holder
JPA7_JPA7.215	Perception that no thought was given to feedback that was provided during the last consultation so has little faith in the process.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Margaret Fulham

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021.	Respondent name(s)
JPA7_JPA7.216	The Legality of PfE must be in doubt however public opinion cannot decide legality.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Robert Birchmore
JPA7_JPA7.217	Bury should start the whole PfE process again, starting with a new call for sites and publicly visible consultation or drop out of PfE and come up with a properly worked out and consulted local plan taking actual housing need as defined in the recent HNDA.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA7. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Robert Birchmore
JPA7_JPA7.218	The plan is based on a misunderstanding of national planning guidance and the most recent government guidance regarding green belt areas.	No change necessary. The plan is considered to be consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework.	Liam Dean JamesDaly
JPA7_JPA7.219	Concern of complete subcontracting of future planning responsibility to private sector developers	No change necessary. The responsibility for planning sits with Local Planning Authorities and this has not been subcontracted to private developers.	Liam Dean JamesDaly
JPA7_JPA7.221	Policy unsound / not legally compliant (no further details given).	No change is considered necessary. JPA7 is considered to be consistent with the NPPF and provides an appropriate strategy for the density of new housing which is a key objective of the plan and NPPF.	See Appendix

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 8 Seedfield

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	Principle (incl. scale and distribution)		
JPA8_JPA8.1	The local area is already largely built-up.	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23)	Glenn Dillon



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> . The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	
JPA8_JPA8.2	Generalised opposition to scale of development and request to reduce scale of development if it must take place.	No change necessary. It is considered that that the proposal to accommodate around 140 dwellings on this site is appropriate.	Carole Easey Linda Field Rod Storey
JPA8_JPA8.3	The policy does not cite specific details of relevant local factors or give commitment to ensuring they are effectively addressed.	No change necessary. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes a number of criteria that would address local matters and these would need to be satisfied before planning permission were granted.	Paul Roebuck Tim Boaden Rosaleen O Donnell
JPA8_JPA8.4	Objection to principle of allocation and removal of site from Green Belt.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Seedfield allocation has been informed by several studies	Paul Roebuck Christopher Russell Holly Dennett Daniel Lawson Judith Sheppard Gary West

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.44</a> ].	
JPA8_JPA8.5	Homes should be built in accessible areas where there are more workplaces so that people on low wages do not have to spend a significant proportion of their income on travel.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The Seedfield allocation is largely surrounded by development within the existing urban area with residential development bounding the site on three sides. The allocation is already well connected to the existing urban area and is in a sustainable location.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper [<a href="#">02.01.10</a>].</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper [<a href="#">03.04.01</a>].</p>	Lindsay Dennis
JPA8_JPA8.73	The site selection methodology for site allocations is fundamentally flawed.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23)</p>	Wainhomes (NW) Ltd

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	
JPA8_JPA8.69	Site selection process has not been clear and the rationale for the selection and rejection of each site should be available including considered alternatives.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	See Appendix
	Housing (incl. Affordable)		
JPA8_JPA8.6	Concern that proposed homes will not be affordable.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line</p>	Greater Manchester Housing Providers

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As summarised in the JPA8 (Seedfield) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.	
JPA8_JPA8.7	Housing target is not set by government and is not mandatory	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	P Stanhope Glenn Dillon
JPA8_JPA8.8	There is no evidence to support the assumed capacity of 140 homes as the site has not been subject to masterplanning work or take into account the effect of the requirements for retention or delivery of recreation facilities, improvements to the wildlife corridor and green infrastructure upon the developable area.	No change necessary. In total the allocation measures 5.15 ha with an approximate developable area of 3.46 ha meaning that the proposal represents a density of around 40 dwellings/ha. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) also includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site. The capacity for housing on the site will clearly be determined by the approach to retaining or replacing the existing recreational facilities on the site.	Hollins Strategic Land Wainhomes (NW) Ltd
JPA8_JPA8.9	The availability of the site and timescales for delivery is questioned due to the requirement for a replacement site and the fact that a replacement site would be the responsibility of any future developer to secure. Land owners would be willing to provide sufficient land to deliver the replacement playing pitches	No change necessary. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site. Information on the deliverability of the proposed strategic allocation at Seedfield is included within the plan's	Hollins Strategic Land Wainhomes (NW) Ltd

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	and facilities on their site at Gin Hall as part of an allocation for residential development.	evidence base and summarised in Section E of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> .	
JPA8_JPA8.10	More houses should be built on this site	No change necessary. In total the allocation measures 5.15 ha with an approximate developable area of 3.46 ha meaning that the proposal represents a density of around 40 dwellings/ha.	Margaret Fulham
JPA8_JPA8.11	The most up to date housing figures should be used. The current housing figures are out of date and ignores the potential impact of Brexit and Covid-19. Housing need must be re-assessed using the latest (2018) ONS population predictions and take into account the effect of Covid on work patterns.	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area. As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.12	There is no indication of how delivery targets will be maintained. A strategy to guarantee housing delivery rates must be provided.	No change necessary. Section 27 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> sets out the anticipated phasing of housing delivery on the Seedfield site.	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.13	The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area.	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN).	Tracy Raftery

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.</p> <p>Unlike for housing need, there is no standard methodology for calculating employment land need.</p> <p>However, as detailed in the paper Employment Land Needs in Greater Manchester <a href="#">[05.01.02]</a> the approach adopted is considered to be a robust, widely accepted methodology.</p>	
JPA8_JPA8.14	Concerns that there is no mention of what should be the selling price of an affordable house and questions what safeguards will be put in place to stop investors buying up these properties.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As summarised in the JPA8 (Seedfield) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a>, an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.</p>	Lindsay Dennis
JPA8_JPA8.15	We should be managing existing housing stock in a much more socially, environmentally and economically responsible way instead of the default being build more housing.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Government policy does not allow the reduction of empty properties as a contributor to meet the borough's housing targets.</p>	Lindsay Dennis
JPA8_JPA8.16	Housing built should be built at much higher density to significantly reduce the amount of greenfield and greenbelt land than is currently proposed in this consultation	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JP-H4 sets out density requirements for new residential development.</p>	Julie Woodruff
JPA8_JPA8.17	Build more social housing and do not sell.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As summarised in the JPA8 (Seedfield) Topic Paper</p>	Julie Woodruff

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.	
JPA8_JPA8.18	Should improve the existing housing stock	No change necessary. Government policy does not allow the reduction of empty properties as a contributor to meet the borough's housing targets.	Christopher Hallows
JPA8_JPA8.19	The sites identified only cover a proportion of the borough and do not help to address affordability issues across the six townships	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> . The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	Christopher Hallows
	Employment		
JPA8_JPA8.20	Major partners for employment provision should be identified.	No change necessary. At this stage in the process, it is unrealistic to expect potential employers/businesses to be identified for sites proposed for employment.	See Appendix



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA8_JPA8.21	Concern that the employment opportunities proposed are mainly planned for Manchester and Salford with only low paid warehouse type Jobs available in Bury.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA8. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Julie Woodruff Ann Collins
	Green Belt		
JPA8_JPA8.22	Green Belt addition to replace the loss is not justified by exceptional circumstances and is not accessible to the public and does not meet the definition of Green Belt	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Seedfield allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.23	Generalised opposition to building on Greenbelt and that only Brownfield land should be used in order to stop fly tipping and antisocial behaviour. Brownfield sites are being ignored and should be used first.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation	Joanne Maffia Linda Field Glenn Dillon Christopher Nott Lindsay Dennis

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Seedfield allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a>.</p>	
JPA8_JPA8.24	The concept of Greenbelt was developed to prevent urban sprawl.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Seedfield allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a>.</p>	Alan Heald
JPA8_JPA8.25	Building on Green Belt land is illegal and against the NPPF. There are no exceptional circumstances.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the</p>	Christopher Hallows Tracy Raftery

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Seedfield allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a>.</p>	
	Brownfield		
JPA8_JPA8.26	Practical use of a brownfield site and an obvious infill opportunity that needs redevelopment.	Noted.	Rod Storey
JPA8_JPA8.27	Building should only take place on the brownfield portion where the school is.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) also includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site.</p>	<p>Daniel Obrien</p> <p>Michael Fawcett</p>
JPA8_JPA8.28	Questions how building unaffordable homes on green belt is justified when there are many brownfield sites to meet the needs of the borough.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Seedfield allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a>.</p>	Alan Heald

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA8_JPA8.29	Bury Council falsely states on their website that the Seedfield site is largely Brownfield when it is clearly all Greenbelt land as shown on the Bury definitive maps. As this false information is currently in the public domain during the consultation period consultation should be stopped and restarted with the correct information presented to the public.	No change necessary. It is possible to have brownfield sites within the Green Belt.	Daniel OBrien
	Transport (Incl. public transport and active travel)		
JPA8_JPA8.30	Additional development would lead to likely congestion on the A56.	No change necessary. As described in Section 11 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , no strategic transport interventions have been identified for the allocation. However, a signalised junction at Walmersley Road could potentially be required if traffic modelling demonstrates that it is necessary and a secondary emergency access point into the allocation may also be required. Further work will be required to establish the exact nature of any transport interventions as the allocation moves through the planning process.	Mark Chicot Tim Boaden Rod Storey
JPA8_JPA8.31	Public transport improvements are required e.g. rail/Metrolink.	No change necessary. As described in Section 11 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , no strategic transport interventions have been identified for the allocation. However, a signalised junction at Walmersley Road could potentially be required if traffic modelling demonstrates that it is necessary and a secondary emergency access point into the allocation may also be required. Further work will be required to establish the exact nature of any transport interventions as the allocation moves through the planning process.	Stephen Woolley Julie Woodruff
JPA8_JPA8.32	The transport network, specifically Parkinson Street, is already congested with traffic going to and from the Seedfield Centre on	No change necessary.	Stephen Woolley Julie Woodruff

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	top of established community service activities of both St. John's Church Hall and Seedfield Bowling Club - both of which rely on Parkinson Street for parking. It is too small to cope with traffic from 140 new households.	As described in Section 11 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , no strategic transport interventions have been identified for the allocation. However, a signalised junction at Walmersley Road could potentially be required if traffic modelling demonstrates that it is necessary and a secondary emergency access point into the allocation may also be required. Further work will be required to establish the exact nature of any transport interventions as the allocation moves through the planning process.	Ann Collins Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.33	A new and separate egress should be implemented so that there are two entrances and exits to the site.	No change necessary. As described in Section 11 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , no strategic transport interventions have been identified for the allocation. However, a signalised junction at Walmersley Road could potentially be required if traffic modelling demonstrates that it is necessary and a secondary emergency access point into the allocation may also be required. Further work will be required to establish the exact nature of any transport interventions as the allocation moves through the planning process.	Robert Masters-Hall Tim Boaden
JPA8_JPA8.34	Congestion at the crossroads of Parkinson Street and Seedfield Road is common place and there are many instances where parking overflows into the adjacent streets making existing residential parking difficult.	No change necessary. As described in Section 11 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , no strategic transport interventions have been identified for the allocation. However, a signalised junction at Walmersley Road could potentially be required if traffic modelling demonstrates that it is necessary and a secondary emergency access point into the allocation may also be required. Further work will be required to establish the exact nature of any	Michael Fawcett

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		transport interventions as the allocation moves through the planning process.	
JPA8_JPA8.35	The Plans suggestion of “Active travel links to Burrs Country Park” is unclear. If this provides access by car, then this opens up a new access to the Park via the A56 and will greatly increase the volume of traffic down Parkinson Street. Even if “Active travel links to Burrs Country Park” is limited, we could still see an increase in traffic flow down Parkinson Street from the A56 as visitors to the Burrs Country Park use the new estate as a Carpark!	No change necessary. Active travel links to Burrs Country Park would involve walking and cycling routes, not access via car.	Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.36	Sink holes appearing at the top of Parkinson Street in recent years suggest the road structure is inadequate for an increased volume of Traffic or the use of larger Public Transport Vehicles.	No change necessary. As described in Section 11 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , no strategic transport interventions have been identified for the allocation. However, a signalised junction at Walmersley Road could potentially be required if traffic modelling demonstrates that it is necessary and a secondary emergency access point into the allocation may also be required. Further work will be required to establish the exact nature of any transport interventions as the allocation moves through the planning process.	Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.37	Should provide active travel links to the Burrs Park and improved cycling infrastructure across Bury	No change necessary. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes provision for the development of the site to ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links to Burrs Country Park and employment opportunities in Bury Town Centre.	Julie Woodruff Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.38	More detail required to show how significant infrastructure will be funded, particularly to address transport connectivity issues, without any reference to how and when this will come forward,	No change necessary. Chapter 12 of PfE covers the delivery of the plan and sets out information on an Infrastructure Strategy, delivering new infrastructure, funding etc.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	therefore bringing into question how deliverable the individual allocations are.		
JPA8_JPA8.39	The plan does not provide adequate details of access to the proposed allocation so fails with a duty to cooperate and is unsound.	No change necessary. Access into the site will be via existing routes i.e. Parkinson Street.	Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.40	The town currently experiences high levels of congestion on the roads and building additional roads will not solve this problem	No change necessary. As described in Section 11 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> , no strategic transport interventions have been identified for the allocation. However, a signalised junction at Walmersley Road could potentially be required if traffic modelling demonstrates that it is necessary and a secondary emergency access point into the allocation may also be required. Further work will be required to establish the exact nature of any transport interventions as the allocation moves through the planning process.	Julie Woodruff Ann Collins
JPA8_JPA8.41	Employment allocations are proposed for the east of the town, but the proposed housing plan is mainly for the West of the town, creating even more traffic through Bury centre, should these jobs actually materialise.	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	Patricia Cooke D W And J Tandy
	Physical Infrastructure		



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA8_JPA8.42	Lack of detailed information on infrastructure requirements and provision.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes a number of criteria setting out the infrastructure required to support the development of the site.</p> <p>A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	Vicky Harper
JPA8_JPA8.43	Clear delivery plans for infrastructure should be provided	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Chapter 12 of PfE covers the delivery of the plan and sets out information on an Infrastructure Strategy, delivering new infrastructure, funding etc.</p> <p>A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.44	It is not clear how the existing infrastructure will be affected by this development.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes a number of criteria setting out the infrastructure required to support the development of the site.</p> <p>A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	Robert Masters-Hall
	Social Infrastructure		
JPA8_JPA8.45	Existing schools in northeast Bury over-subscribed. The former secondary school at Seedfield should be brought back into use	No change necessary.	<p>Stephen Woolley</p> <p>Julie Woodruff</p> <p>D W And J Tandy</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Section 24 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> sets out the conclusions in terms of education provision in relation to this site.	United Utilities Group PLC Mark Chicot
JPA8_JPA8.46	GPs and dentists are in short supply.	No change necessary. Section 25 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> sets out the conclusions in terms of healthcare provision in relation to this site.	Stephen Woolley Julie Woodruff D W And J Tandy
JPA8_JPA8.47	Local primary schools are already full; there are children not able to access places in their local schools because there are not enough places, so they have to travel some distance away. New residents children would have to travel out of the area and be subject to travel costs. How will cope with a surge in demand following this development?	No change necessary. Section 24 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> sets out the conclusions in terms of education provision in relation to this site.	D W And J Tandy Robert Masters-Hall Mark Chicot Tim Boaden Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.64	A sports mitigation strategy will be required to detail the deliverability of the replacement facilities and mitigation measures in order to demonstrate that it can be delivered in accordance with the policy wording.	No change necessary. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site. Whether a proposal meets this requirement would be determined at the planning application stage.	Hollins Strategic Land
JPA8_JPA8.65	The Strategic Viability Report State 2 Allocated Sites Report (2021) assesses the Seedfield site allocation. It includes a £250,000 contribution towards playing pitch relocation. It is not understood how this figure has been arrived at. The Sport England playing pitch calculator available online suggests a capital cost of £520,000 alone for two adult football pitches and four junior pitches. This excludes maintenance costs and other costs association with car parking and changing facility provision	No change necessary. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site. The £250,000 for the potential relocation of the pitches are what the report author (Three Dragons) consider to be an appropriate cost.	Wainhomes (NW) Ltd
	Environment (Green Infrastructure, Biodiversity and Ecology)		

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA8_JPA8.48	These proposals would lead to a loss of wildlife. We need to make the most of natural resources.	No change necessary. There are no known ecological issues on the allocation and it should be suitable for residential development in principle. There is, however, a wildlife corridor to the west and south of the allocation that will need to be retained and enhanced as part of any proposals. A detailed Ecological Assessment will be undertaken as part of any development proposals as necessary.	Vicky Harper Tracy Raftery Glenn Dillon Julie Woodruff Ann Collins
JPA8_JPA8.49	There would be a loss of recreation space, in particular playing pitches. These are in demand and there is a lack of suitable replacement sites in the area.	No change necessary. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site.	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.50	Paragraph 11.116 acknowledges playing fields are affected by the allocation and need to be replaced. However, there is no clause within the policy that seeks to protect the playing field. Any loss of playing field would be contrary to Sport England's Playing Fields Policy and paragraph 99 of the NPPF. Sport England would be a statutory consultee on any development affecting the playing field, and would object to its loss. It is important the policy protects the playing field. It is suggested to amend the policy to include the justification text in the policy as point (12) to bring it in line with Sport England Playing Fields Policy and paragraph 99 of the NPPF.	No change necessary. Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site.	Sport England
JPA8_JPA8.51	It is disappointing that Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure Safeguarding are not shown on the plan.	The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore, it is not necessary to identify them on the PfE policies map and no change is necessary.	Mineral Products Association

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA8_JPA8.52	The graphics associated with the plan are poor. The proposed allocation plans should clearly indicate whether or not the allocations overlie Minerals Safeguarding Areas	The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore it is not necessary to identify them on the PfE policies map and no change is necessary.	Mineral Products Association
JPA8_JPA8.53	This allocation selection is not Justified as it is unevidenced in biodiversity terms. No ecological appraisal has been undertaken on the site and the current biodiversity interest has not been detailed. Without this information we cannot determine what ecological mitigation and/or compensation will be required to provide the Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG); nor is it possible to identify what current ecological interest needs to be retained. This information is required to fully assess the allocation.	No change necessary. There are no known ecological issues on the allocation and it should be suitable for residential development in principle. There is, however, a wildlife corridor to the west and south of the allocation that will need to be retained and enhanced as part of any proposals. A detailed Ecological Assessment will be undertaken as part of any development proposals as necessary.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA8_JPA8.54	Support for policy reference to retain and enhance wildlife corridors and green infrastructure elements to the west and south of the allocation and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation and to provide for the long-term management and maintenance of GI.	Noted.	The Wildlife Trusts
	Air Quality		
JPA8_JPA8.55	Concern that congestion will negatively impact air quality.	No change necessary. Section 22 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> include information regarding air pollution and there are a number of policies within the plan that seek to reduce exposure to pollution, including Policy JP-S4 (Resilience), JP-S6 (Clean Air), JP-G6 (Urban Green Space), JP-P1 (Sustainable Places) and those seeking to reduce the health impacts of air pollution through accessibility of sustainable travel such as public transport, cycling and walking.	Vicky Harper Michael Fawcett

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	Flood Risk		
JPA8_JPA8.56	The pitch is a soak away and will cause more water to directly go into the River causing future flooding down stream.	No change necessary. Section 12 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> sets out the situation with regard to flood risk associated with the site.	Annette Corrigan Daniel Obrien
JPA8_JPA8.57	Concern that site-specific policies lack consistency of approach between authorities and do not set a clear expectation of the need to deliver high quality sustainable drainage and water efficient development and therefore require amendments which address inconsistencies in approach and set clearer expectations to ensure the policies more appropriately align with the ambition of Greater Manchester to be a city that is resilient to flooding and climate change.	Water efficiency measures in new developments will be a matter for district local plans to determine. This approach is considered consistent with the NPPF, particularly paragraph 28 which confirms that it is for local planning authorities 'to set out more detailed policies for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development'. Therefore, no change to the plan is considered as necessary.	United Utilities Group PLC
JPA8_JPA8.58	Proposals to build additional housing on these high-risk areas will create additional flood risks.	No change necessary. Section 12 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> sets out the situation with regard to flood risk associated with the site.	Julie Woodruff Ann Collins
JPA8_JPA8.59	The proposal to build on greenfield sites flies in the face of the concept of sustainable development and clean air policies. The plan will make air quality much worse and that this will have a knock-on effect to health services and health of our current population.	No change necessary. Sections 22 and 23 of the Seedfield Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.44]</a> include information regarding air and noise pollution and there are a number of policies within the plan that seek to reduce exposure to pollution, including Policy JP-S4 (Resilience), JP-S6 (Clean Air), JP-G6 (Urban Green Space), JP-P1 (Sustainable Places) and those seeking to reduce the health impacts of air pollution through accessibility of sustainable travel such as public transport, cycling and walking.	Alan Heald Julie Woodruff Ann Collins
	Consultation		
JPA8_JPA8.60	Little done to publicise proposals, online portal was difficult to use and questions were leading in nature.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA8. Matter addressed elsewhere.	John A Holden Lynn Clegg

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
			Lindsay Dennis
JPA8_JPA8.62	Failure to fairly consult with everyone including disabled.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA8. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Michelle Cardno Christopher Nott
JPA8_JPA8.68	There has been poor public consultation, a lack of accessible information and little spent by councils in generating awareness.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA8. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
	Other		
JPA8_JPA8.61	This is a plan for Manchester and Councillors in Bury who have voted in favour of this and Bury Council have not taken the views of Bury electorate/residents into account.	No change necessary.  The Places for Everyone Joint Plan with form part of the statutory development plan for each of the participating Greater Manchester districts, including Bury.  Consultation has been undertaken on two draft versions of the GMSF and all comments received have been fully considered during the subsequent preparation of the Publication Places for Everyone plan.	Tracy Raftery Glenn Dillon John A Holden Sarah Fallon
JPA8_JPA8.63	The Map of the Site fails to show that all the allocation North of the Seedfield Centre is currently green land. This fails to comply with a duty to cooperate and could be seen as a deliberate attempt to mislead.. Also questions whether this suggests that any retained or enhanced recreational area would be exclusive to prospective residents at the exclusion of the existing residents. As such, the Plan fails to provide space for future sport, leisure and recreational activities required for the physical and mental health and well-being of existing residents.	No change necessary.  Policy JPA8 (Seedfield) includes provision to ensure that any development on the site retains and enhances and/or replaces existing recreation facilities on the site.  In addition, the Policy also requires that any development makes provision for new recreation facilities to meet the needs of the prospective residents in accordance with local planning policy requirements.	Julie Woodruff Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.66	The Plan is unsound as it puts the needs of new residents above those of existing residents and is unsustainable	No change necessary.  The plan does not put the needs of new residents above those of existing residents.  The JPA8 (Seedfield) allocation is already connected to the existing urban area and is in a sustainable location.	Vicky Harper Michael Fawcett
JPA8_JPA8.67	It is questionable whether PfE and the GMSF can be treated as effectively, significantly and substantially the same plan.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA8. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA8_JPA8.70	A 35% uplift for the Manchester City Council area represents a significant change between the previous spatial framework the Greater Manchester Spatial Framework and the current joint development plan Places for Everyone	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>A higher annualised plan figure for Manchester City than in the GMSF 2020 (2,951 vs 3527) has been introduced within PfE 2021 as a result of the revised LHN.</p> <p>Through this process Manchester City Council has identified sufficient land in the urban area to meet its increased need and consequently remove a very small Green Belt housing site. This remains consistent with the GMSF 2020 spatial strategy which concentrated growth in the centre of the conurbation.</p> <p>Manchester City's increased LHN, and therefore its PfE 2021 housing target, helps to maintain a consistent spatial strategy, between the two plans, despite Stockport's withdrawal. and results in a Plan with substantially the same effect as the GMSF 2020 on the nine districts.</p>	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.71	In addition to PfE each authority needs to come up with its own local plan	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>It is accepted that each district needs to have an up-to-date local plan in place to cover non-strategic planning policies.</p>	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.72	There are no details of how Duty to Cooperate will be achieved	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA8. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA8_JPA8.74	Policy unsound / not legally compliant (no further details given).	No change is considered necessary. JPA8 is considered to be consistent with the NPPF and provides an appropriate strategy for the density of new housing which is a key objective of the plan and NPPF.	See Appendix

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 9 Walshaw

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	Principle (incl. scale and distribution)		
JPA9_JPA9.1	It would be an unfair concentration of large-scale development in one area with no clear rationale for its inclusion.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	<p>Margaret Fulham</p> <p>Kay And Brian Nolan</p> <p>Mark Haynes</p> <p>Olivia Hamnett</p> <p>Rod Storey</p>
JPA9_JPA9.2	The setting of Walshaw village would be harmed. Separation required between existing and proposed properties.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JP-P1 seeks to create sustainable places including the use of measures to ensure that new development is fully integrated into existing communities and that it makes a positive contribution to its coherence and character.</p>	<p>Mark Haynes</p> <p>Gillian Boyle</p> <p>Michelle Smith</p> <p>Stephen Barnes</p> <p>Christine Etchells</p> <p>Rebecca Hindle</p> <p>Bill Gibson</p>



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.3	Walshaw is home to a number of businesses, which would be affected. Should be selecting sites that are vacant/have fewer constraints.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	Roz Kaufman
JPA9_JPA9.4	Loss of farmland that should be kept open as it offers local benefits e.g. residential amenity and improved health/wellbeing.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that the development of this site will be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents and to create a visually attractive environment. This should include the integration and enhancement of the existing green infrastructure corridors and assets at Walshaw and Elton Brooks.</p> <p>Policy JP-P6 sets out the requirements for new development in seeking to help tackle health inequalities, including the need for all developments that require an Environmental Impact Assessment to also be supported by a Health Impact Assessment.</p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.5	The area is unsuitable for housing. Poor land stability due to past mining activity, culverted watercourses and natural springs are on-site. Evidence required addressing land stability/hydrology.	No change necessary. Section 13 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> summarises the ground conditions associated with the proposed site allocation.	Louise Mee Christopher Russell
JPA9_JPA9.198	Objection to the principle of the allocation and request for removal of allocation	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> . The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.6	We don't need this scale of development in Bury.	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.7	The necessity for an AAP or SPD is superfluous in terms of ensuring that a comprehensive masterplan is followed and	No change necessary.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	would lead to delays in the delivery of development. It is considered that the existing parameters plan and development framework provide a comprehensive framework for applications and therefore the policy is not effective as potential delays could limit the ability to deliver homes in a timely manner. The wording should be revised to reflect this.	The requirement for a masterplan to be approved by the LPA in advance of the submission of a planning application is intended to ensure that the LPA is fully satisfied with the intended development. It is considered that this will actually save time during the planning application process as key development parameters will have already been agreed.	
JPA9_JPA9.8	The need to allocate sites within green belt is more pressing than currently set out in PfE.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a> , the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.10	The plan is unsustainable as it creates too much housing concentrated in one area, which has already seen new building and construction take place over the last few years	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and	Paul Fecitt Fran Greer Vicky Harper Margaret Fulham Rod Storey

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.11	The plan for Bury and specifically Walshaw, demonstrates major failings in meeting the vision and strategy outlined as overarching requirements of PfE.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>It is considered that the plan for Bury and Walshaw is consistent with the strategy and vision set out in PfE.</p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.12	The Walshaw site performs poorly against site selection criteria and only met one of the criteria for site selection whereas all the objectives could be satisfied by any number of sites in the borough	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>It is considered that the site at Walshaw meets the site selection criteria (Site Selection Topic Paper) as set out in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a></p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.13	Mass urbanisation of communities is not sound policy particularly when they do not relate to areas of planned industrial growth or sound means of transport infrastructure to the City of Manchester or the motorway system in general	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	<p>Geoffrey Seward</p> <p>Emma Pike</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.14	Development here will undermine regeneration efforts in the town centres.	No change necessary. It is considered that the site at Walshaw meets the site selection criteria (Site Selection Topic Paper) as set out in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.15	The site is in fact in multiple ownerships, there does not appear to be any evidence that land agreements between landowners are in place to enable the whole site to be delivered. The site is therefore not available.	No change necessary. The allocation is split into four separate outlets under the control of Himor (2 outlets), Redrow and Vernon and Co. Developments. Each parcel can be served by a separate access and can therefore be delivered simultaneously as described in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.16	There is no vision as to how our villages will look in 25 years and is based solely on chance, this on its own should the plan be viewed as unsound.	No change necessary. There is no requirement in NPPF for the plan to look ahead 25 years.	Liam Dean JamesDaly
JPA9_JPA9.17	Support: the site is available, suitable, achievable and deliverable.	Noted.	Redrow Homes HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.179	The site selection process for Bury has been unclear. Little information has been given about why other more apparently suitable sites were rejected, or what alternatives were considered. This site choice cannot be justified as the most appropriate when no reasonable alternatives appear to have been examined. Alternative options were ruled out too early or were not considered despite other areas having direct motorway access or being situated nearer to employment sites.	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	
JPA9_JPA9.180	More detail on the worked up scheme and therefore the achievability of the site is needed to be provided.	No change necessary. Information on the deliverability of the proposed strategic allocation at Walshaw is included within the plan's evidence base and summarised in Section E of the Strategic Allocation Topic Paper for Walshaw <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	See Appendix
	Housing (incl. affordable housing)		
JPA9_JPA9.18	The affordable housing situation will not be addressed.	No change necessary. The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As summarised in the JPA9 (Walshaw) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.19	More information needed on affordability and house type. They need to cater for over 65s and first time buyers not aspirational homes.	No change necessary. The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As summarised in the JPA9 (Walshaw) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.	Gillian Boyle

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.20	Higher density terraced housing would minimise greenfield loss and would be in keeping with local area.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) specifies that development in this allocation will be required to deliver a broad mix of homes to diversify the type of accommodation in the Walshaw area, including an appropriate mix of house types and sizes, accommodation for older people, and provision of plots for custom and self-build housing.	Christopher Russell Harry James Melling Graham Wood
JPA9_JPA9.21	Housing Minister has specified in writing that housing targets were not made by the government and were solely made by the Manchester Council. Government guidance states that housing need is not a target but merely a starting point according to local circumstances. Requests that GMCA explicitly articulate why they are continuing to maintain that the targets they are following are mandated by government, when the government have been abundantly clear that this is simply not true. The additional housing and warehousing exceeds the governments predicted requirements of the area.	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.22	The density assumptions made in the SHLAA are optimistic in terms of the capacity of the sites.	No change necessary. It is considered that the density assumptions in Bury's SHLAA are realistic and they are broadly consistent with the housing densities set out under PfE Policy JP-H4.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.23	The average lead-in times for sites of this scale (i.e. 1,000-1,499 dwellings) is 6.9 years from the validation of the first application, allowing for sufficient time to secure planning permission, discharge relevant planning conditions and actually construct and complete the new homes so completion at least 5 years from the adoption of the plan as a very optimistic estimate of the first completions being potentially delivered on this site allowing for the preparation of the masterplan, planning permission and actual construction of homes.	No change necessary. Details of the anticipated phasing for the Walshaw site are set out in section 27 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.45</a> ].	Hollins Strategic Land



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.24	To be considered justified the policy should make reference to the mix being linked to housing requirements at the time that the scheme is coming forward.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The policy provides for a broad mix of around 1,250 homes to diversify the type of accommodation in the Walshaw area. This includes an appropriate mix of house types and sizes, accommodation for older people, and provision of plots for custom and self-build housing.</p> <p>Policy JP-H3 sets out that the precise mix of dwelling types and sizes will be determined through district local plans.</p>	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.25	The houses will not be affordable or suitable for local residents, especially given the level of policy contributions required for infrastructure.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As summarised in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>, an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.</p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.26	Improve the housing stock already built	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.</p>	Louise French
JPA9_JPA9.27	The plan uses 2014 data to predict housing need and ignores the potential impact of Brexit and Covid-19 on housing need and work patterns. The plan is based upon out of date data to calculate housing need. The most up to date figures should be	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020</p>	See Appendix



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	used to reduce the housing need figure. Government guidance is clear that standard housing methodology is just a starting point and can be changed in exceptional circumstances	and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a> .  NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	
JPA9_JPA9.28	The Housing Need Assessment was carried out by Arc4, who were supposed to carry out a non-biased survey of housing need. However, they have a partnership with Greater Manchester Housing Partnership, an organisation of housing associations, including Six Town Housing in Bury. The assessment was therefore not impartial.	No change necessary.  Bury's HNDA is considered to be robust and credible evidence of the needs and demands for housing in Bury.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.29	Building targets and rates are unlikely to be met and are unrealistic. Several of the authorities involved have consistently failed to meet housing delivery targets There is no evidence that the site can deliver the number of dwellings required over the plan period. Using typical build out rates applicable for volume house builders at an average delivery rate of 0.75 dwellings per week over the plan period the site will only deliver approximately 486 dwellings. Even with 3 outlets on the site delivering 75 units per year the realistic delivery allowing for the local plan to be adopted and planning permission to be granted over the plan period would only be 1010. This would also result in a lower revenue for the housebuilders due to increased competition driving down sales prices and impacting	No change necessary.  Information on the deliverability of the proposed strategic allocation at Walshaw is included within the plan's evidence base and summarised in Section E of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	viability further. Phasing plans should be provided so a robust delivery strategy can be agreed.		
JPA9_JPA9.30	The plan is unjustified as there is no need or to build additional houses. There are plenty of houses	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area.	Michelle Smith Susan Hamer Hazel Sarras
JPA9_JPA9.31	Delivery of this scale of housing in a meaningful timescale is unlikely especially when looking at other large development allocations in the south of the borough.	No change necessary. Details of the anticipated phasing for the Walshaw site are set out in section 27 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	Geoffrey Seward Gillian Boyle
JPA9_JPA9.32	There is no inclusion of a windfall allowance in the PFE for Bury and currently over the last 10 years 1252 homes have been built on large windfall sites larger than 1 hectare. Based on the economic shock of Brexit and Covid 19 it is logical to accept more windfall sites will become available in Bury and an allowance of 125 pa over the 19 years should be allowed that's a reduction of 2375. This would drop the PFE home requirement to 7125 home	No change necessary. The approach to windfalls is set out in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> and is considered to be in line with the NPPF.	Christopher Russell
JPA9_JPA9.33	Homes currently with planning permission from 2018 but not completed in Bury stands at 3000, these should also be taken off the requirement over the 19 year period. This was agreed in a meeting with Andrew Burnham in Manchester 2019 along with the start date of the GMSF being April 2018.	No change necessary. Sites with planning permission are included within the existing land supply. Details can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> .	Christopher Russell
JPA9_JPA9.34	There are currently 1111 vacant homes (longer than 6 months) in Bury, these should also be taken off the requirement as they can be brought back into service.	No change necessary. Government policy does not allow the reduction of empty properties as a contributor to meet the borough's housing targets.	Christopher Russell

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.35	Little is being provided in the way of social housing and affordable homes in the plan, so it unlikely that those employed on these sites would be able to afford to live in Bury. If the warehousing is to go ahead, the sites should incorporate houses of a type that would be affordable to potential employees on these sites as well as facilities for them, to help to reduce the need to travel and help to prevent further congestion on our roads and reduce building on the greenbelt elsewhere in the area.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>PfE Policy JP-H2 (Affordable Housing) sets out an aim to deliver our share of at least 50,000 additional affordable homes across Greater Manchester up to 2037.</p> <p>In addition, the ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As summarised in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>, an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable.</p> <p>Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.</p>	Lindsay Dennis Christopher Russell
JPA9_JPA9.36	Should be more innovative and allow areas for self builders of moderate means	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that the development of this site will be required to deliver a broad mix of around 1,250 homes to diversify the type of accommodation in the Walshaw area. This includes an appropriate mix of house types and sizes, accommodation for older people, and provision of plots for custom and self-build housing</p>	Paul Fecitt Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA9_JPA9.37	There are millions of empty houses across Manchester, there should be legislation which forces these to become available.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Details of the empty homes in the plan area are set out in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment <a href="#">[06.01.02]</a>.</p> <p>Government policy does not allow the reduction of empty properties as a contributor to meet the borough's housing targets.</p>	E Sharpe
JPA9_JPA9.38	Build more social housing than houses to sell	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The ambition for the site has always been to maximise the potential for the delivery of affordable housing [in line with local affordable housing policy requirements]. As</p>	Julie Woodruff

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		summarised in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> , an affordable housing contribution of 25% has been shown to be deliverable. Development proposals on this site would be subject to further viability assessment(s) at the detailed application stage, taking into account policy requirements in place at that time.	
JPA9_JPA9.39	There is no need for any new houses, the housing stock is already diverse with a sufficient local centre. There is no need for a new school.	No change necessary. NPPF and the PPG is clear that the starting point for housing targets is the Government's standard methodology for calculating Local Housing Needs (LHN). This is designed to provide local authorities with a clear and consistent understanding of the number of new homes needed in an area. The justification for a new school as part of the wider development is set out in section 24 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>	Fran Greer
JPA9_JPA9.40	There is no need for any new housing when taking into account brownfield site capacities and vacant/unused housing.	No change necessary. A large number of previously developed sites suitable for housing are identified in the council's Brownfield Land Register and its Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA). However, these sites are insufficient to meet Bury's identified need and as such there is a need to identify additional sites. Government policy does not allow the reduction of empty properties as a contributor to meet the borough's housing targets.	Gareth Costello
JPA9_JPA9.41	Policy wording amendments are required to ensure that any affordable housing remains deliverable by ensuring up-to-date SHMA findings are taken into account when determining the tenure split, which also considers the requirements of Registered Providers who are continuously aware of the	No change necessary. The tenure split is based on evidence from Bury's Housing Needs and Demand assessment (August 2020) which considers needs over the period 2020-2037.	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	housing needs of the local community and any associated viability considerations		
JPA9_JPA9.42	Policy wording should be amended to acknowledge that the Allocation will be delivered on a phased basis.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'.	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)
	Business and Employment		
JPA9_JPA9.43	More detail is needed regarding how businesses have been engaged, who has been engaged and how the plan will address an increase in home working.	No change necessary. Bury Council has consulted in accordance with its Statement of Community Involvement. As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a> .	Paul Roebuck
JPA9_JPA9.44	There isn't enough local employment to sustain such a big increase in housing.	No change necessary. A key aim of the plan's strategy is to rebalance the Greater Manchester economy and significantly boost economic output from the north. Part of this includes the identification of a strategic employment site at the Northern Gateway which will generate a significant amount of job opportunities accessible to Bury residents.	LouiseFrench
JPA9_JPA9.45	Places for Everyone proposes employment sites on the other side of the borough from Walshaw so should build more in the	No change necessary.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	south of the borough to support the northern gateway, The needs of the Walshaw community have been overlooked in favour of mass urbanisation and exacerbating congestion by using this particular site rather than sites on the outskirts nearer motorway access, transport hubs and employment sites. There is too much emphasis on economic growth at the expense of mental and physical health of residents with the benefits of the greenbelt being underestimated and no new public transport route to employment hubs proposed.	In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	
JPA9_JPA9.46	There are no partners or industries identified for employment provision. Major partners for employment provision should be identified.	No change necessary. At this stage in the process, it is unrealistic to expect potential employers/businesses to be identified for sites proposed for employment.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.47	It is overly ambitious that Bury will be able to provide jobs for such numbers and no evidence has been provided of interest from employers who wish to move their businesses to Bury.	No change necessary. The proposal for employment at the Northern Gateway is not just about providing employment opportunities for Bury. This is a sub-regional allocation designed to assist in achieving the plan's aim to rebalance the Greater Manchester economy by increasing economic output from the north. At this stage in the process, it is unrealistic to expect potential employers/businesses to be identified for sites proposed for employment.	Christopher Russell
JPA9_JPA9.48	Warehousing jobs will be poorly paid, and many such jobs are being overtaken by automation, which could in turn create higher unemployment in Bury.	No change necessary. The specific breakdown of employment provision at the Northern Gateway is yet to be determined. However, it is anticipated that the site will include a range of	Christopher Russell

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		employment opportunities including industrial and advanced manufacturing.	
JPA9_JPA9.49	Concerned that the employment opportunities proposed to be created by the PFE are mainly planned for Manchester and Salford with only low paid warehouse type Jobs available in Bury	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Julie Woodruff Graham Wood Ann Collins
JPA9_JPA9.50	Economic projections are flawed.	No change necessary. Unlike for housing need, there is no standard methodology for calculating employment land need. However, as detailed in the paper Employment Land Needs in Greater Manchester <a href="#">[05.01.02]</a> the approach adopted is considered to be a robust, widely accepted methodology.	Harry James Melling
	Green Belt		
JPA9_JPA9.9	Support: Exceptional circumstances exist for the release of the site from green belt.	Noted.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.51	Large amount of loss, which will merge Bury/Tottington and cause urban sprawl.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies	See Appendix



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.45</a> ].	
JPA9_JPA9.52	Has role in enabling recreation, leisure, good health and wellbeing.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that the development of this site will be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents and to create a visually attractive environment. This should include the integration and enhancement of the existing green infrastructure corridors and assets at Walshaw and Elton Brooks.</p> <p>In addition, the Policy includes a requirement to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation.</p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.53	Christ Church should be designated as green belt as it is appropriate development and does not affect the openness and other green belt boundaries wash over existing ribbon development such as this.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>It is not considered that the designation of Christ Church as Green Belt would accord with any of the purposes of including land within it.</p> <p>The Church will, however, be protected through its status as a Grade II* Listed building and Policy JPA9 specifically requires any development of the allocation to protect and, where appropriate enhance heritage assets and their settings, including the Christ Church Grade II * listed building.</p>	Hollins Strategic Land
JPA9_JPA9.54	The green belt assessment has not been carried out in a robust manner and there has been a failure to properly identify sub-areas within the draft allocation which are likely to result in clear variations of harm as required by Step 5 of the Stage 2 Methodology	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper [<a href="#">10.03.45</a>].</p>	Hollins Strategic Land



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.55	Green belt is important to residents health and has a positive effect on air quality through its role in creating a barrier between urban areas which reduces pollution.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JP-P6 sets out the requirements for new development in seeking to help tackle health inequalities, including the need for all developments that require an Environmental Impact Assessment to also be supported by a Health Impact Assessment.</p> <p>Climate change is a key theme running throughout PfE including measures to support improvements in air quality.</p>	Michelle Smith
JPA9_JPA9.56	Green Belt land should be protected, not built on. Utilise brownfield land first and if Green Belt is still needed, scale down the level of development.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.57	There has been no evidence of the existence of exceptional circumstances to justify the alteration of the green belt boundaries to allow building on the Walshaw allocation as is required by the NPPF. Housing need is not an exceptional circumstance to justify the release of greenbelt.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation</p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.58	To prove that exceptional circumstances to justify alteration to greenbelt boundaries exist, the NPPF requires evidence that all other reasonable options to meet identified need have been considered (NPPF para 141). This must include maximising use of brownfield and underutilised sites and maximising density.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>.</p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.59	The site performs strongly against greenbelt assessment criteria and release would cause moderate/ high harm and the approach is flawed as there has been no consideration as to whether the existing Green Belt boundary to the west is appropriate	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the</p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>Housing Topic Paper<a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.60	There is insufficient confidence in the accuracy of the predictions in the current uncertain economic climate to justify Green Belt loss at the start of the plan. Greenbelt loss should only occur once all brownfield has been exhausted. A review mechanism should be built in to only include greenbelt at a later stage if proven necessary.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>As detailed in Chapters 1, 6 and 7 of the PfE Plan, two assessments of the potential impacts of Covid-19 and Brexit on the economy were carried out, initially in 2020 and again in 2021. Both assessments concluded that there was insufficient evidence to amend the assumptions underpinning the PfE Plan. For further information see COVID-19 and Places for Everyone Growth Options <a href="#">[05.01.03]</a>.</p> <p>Paragraph 1.10 of Places for Everyone sets out the position with regard to a potential review of the plan.</p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.61	The replacement green belt sites and amendments to the boundary are not justified and are superficial/unusable. Green belt areas to be added will not provide any benefit for those within the Walshaw area, who will be experiencing increased urban sprawl and the environmental and mental health and health issues that brings and will not be usable.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>It is considered that there are exceptional circumstances justifying the identification of new areas of Green Belt.</p> <p>The additions have not been identified as direct replacements, either in their extent or the use of the land identified, for the areas proposed for release through allocation(s) in the Plan. There is not therefore intended to be a direct correlation between the areas released from the Green Belt and those proposed as additions.</p> <p>The justification for the Green Belt additions proposed is provided in Appendix 3 of the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.62	General opposition to loss of Green Belt	No change necessary.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.63	There should be a fresh assessment of where it may be appropriate to release a small area of land for housing, that will not impact on the surrounding community and maintains the openness of this area	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a></p> <p>The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a>.</p>	Gillian Boyle

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.64	These proposals contravene one of the purposes of green belt “to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment”. The only way of achieving this is to leave this piece of land undeveloped to preserve the intrinsic value of the countryside.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.65	Where greenbelt has been identified, there needs to be a more even distribution of where this is built rather than concentrated in 3 areas, with particular focus on building near motorway and transport links.	No change necessary.	Mark Brodigan
JPA9_JPA9.66	Suggests that areas of greenbelt can be preserved in the Walshaw area by reducing the size of the houses/estates to be built. By significantly reducing the number of houses and spreading the new builds more fairly across the Bury area will ensure that pollution levels increase will not be as great and will not disproportionately affect the Walshaw area.	In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The	Catherine Price

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.67	<p>JPA9 is classified as having a higher harm rating than GM1.3 Whitefield and this residential led allocation would not deliver any more benefits</p> <p>than former allocation GM1.3 Whitefield which could naturally be part of the Northern Gateway which is considered by Mayor Burnham to be the single most important site in the Plan</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	The Strategic Land Group
JPA9_JPA9.68	<p>The policy is not sound on the ground that it is not consistent with NPPF para. 140 (Once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered where exceptional circumstances are fully evidenced and justified) as the case for exceptional circumstances advanced under Site Selection Criterion 7 mostly lack merit, and in some instances are counter-intuitive and even contradictory. Criterion 7 does not support the strategic objectives. The premise that a local</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of</p>	<p>Mohammed Khan</p> <p>Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	benefit is automatically an exceptional circumstance is not acceptable as no evidence or justification is presented to that effect. Criterion 7 from the site selection should be deleted.	the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	
JPA9_JPA9.69	Green Belt Policies should endure beyond the plan period as stated in the NPPF and the PfE does not sufficiently acknowledge or reference their permanence especially in respect of the Walshaw proposals.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a> The proposed removal of Green Belt from the Walshaw allocation has been informed by several studies undertaken by LUC and the summary of these can be found in the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	Harry James Melling
JPA9_JPA9.70	The country is protected by the Human Rights act and therefore the planned use of Green Belt in Walshaw is in contravention of this act.	No change necessary. The plan has been prepared in line with national planning legislation, policy and regulations.	Harry James Melling
JPA9_JPA9.71	Current rural views enjoyed will be significantly affected.	No change necessary. Policy JP-P1 seeks to create sustainable places including the use of measures to ensure that new development is fully integrated into existing communities and that it	Connor Price Rachael Price Edward Greaves



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		makes a positive contribution to its coherence and character.	
JPA9_JPA9.72	The site is contained entirely by the existing urban area and accordingly makes a very limited contribution towards the purposes of the Green Belt in this part of Bury	Noted.	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)
JPA9_JPA9.73	Support: Bury should be taking the opportunity to release additional Green Belt land for housing to mitigate against the risks that the urban area will not deliver dwellings as anticipated. It is essential that the identified land at Walshaw is retained as a proposed housing allocation to be released from the Green Belt in the PfE to ensure a 'sound' strategy for the future growth of Bury	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> . The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)
	Brownfield		
JPA9_JPA9.75	Several unused/derelict brownfield sites and those in town centres should be prioritised and regenerated.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of	See Appendix



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	
JPA9_JPA9.76	This is not a brownfield site. Brownfield sites should be used over loss of Green Belt and natural spaces. Brownfield site exploration has not been exhausted in line with a brownfield first approach and the council should be exercising their right to limit the release of green belt sites.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a> . Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.77	Make sure development is on Brownfield land as much as possible and where necessary develop smaller sites in sustainable locations which reduce the need for car travel, for example by maximising residential densities around transport hubs.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land.	Mary Walsh Graham Walsh Alexandra Cluer Gareth Costello Catherine Hodson Geoffrey Seward Stephanie Nixon
JPA9_JPA9.78	The council has also sold brownfield land but not included this in any of this plan. This needs to be added with greenbelt being replaced.	No change necessary. The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of	Mark Brodigan Christopher Russell

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land.	
JPA9_JPA9.79	Gin Hall is a brownfield site close to the motorway network which should be included in the plan.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p> <p>This included the identification of Areas of Search to inform whether a site could be considered to be a reasonable alternative. The Gin Hall site falls outside of the identified Areas of Search and, as such, is not considered to be reasonable alternatives for meeting the overall vision, strategy and objectives.</p>	<p>Margaret Fulham</p> <p>Margaret Fulham</p> <p>Frank Barton</p>
JPA9_JPA9.80	There are many brownfield sites such as empty industrial units at Pilsworth, mills, units in the town centre and empty houses which could be developed or renovated for new homes.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land.</p>	<p>Christopher Russell</p> <p>Michelle Smith</p> <p>E Sharpe</p> <p>Catherine Gibson</p> <p>Matthew Gibson</p> <p>Roz Kaufman</p>
	Transport (incl. public transport and active travel)		
JPA9_JPA9.81	Existing roads are at capacity and are in poor condition partly due to large amount of schools in area. There would be an impact on road safety, emergency services and businesses. Consider the impact on the network including routes going out of the Borough.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.</p>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.82	There is pressure on Bury Bridge. We need another road crossing over the Irwell.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be</p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	
JPA9_JPA9.83	Proposed highway solution does not alleviate the situation. Not clear how Elton Reservoir link road helps, only displaces traffic.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.84	Concerns at collective impact on existing road network and on motorways from both Walshaw and Elton Reservoir allocations.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw and Elton proposals.	Michelle Smith David Britton Maureen Seward David Brownlow JanineRichardson Margaret Fulham Olivia Hamnett Rod Storey
JPA9_JPA9.85	Public transport is poor and new bus routes will not work.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal, including the provision of bus services. This is reflected in Policy JPA9 which requires new development to make provision for major investment in public transport, including potential upgrade to existing bus services or new bus services.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.86	Current walking routes not safe, cycling plans will not work.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) includes a requirement for the development of this site to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, incorporating Leigh Lane and Dow Lane and in accordance with	David Britton Jane Lester Christopher Russell

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		national and GM standards of design and construction and local planning policy requirements	
JPA9_JPA9.174	More bus/Metrolink/park and ride interchanges needed, remove bus lanes, widen roads, more ring roads needed, better linkages to motorway. Improved access to North Manchester General Hospital required.	No change necessary. Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.87	Congestion will increase from all the new houses, and especially from the proposed new school and the lack of a secondary school causing travel out of the area will simply add to the traffic, noise and pollution which is contrary to a plan to become carbon neutral.	No change necessary. Bury’s Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.88	No consideration has been given to traffic or the traffic travelling in the direction of Bolton, Blackburn, Darwen etc with a major link road being a single width road with blind bends which has been designated in the plan as a cycle route despite numerous accidents.	No change necessary. Bury’s Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.89	The only way in which the funding levels required for infrastructure could be achieved would be through a 5% increase in the price of the properties on the site. There is no guarantee that higher house prices would be achieved. This also suggests that provision of some infrastructure will not be contemporaneous with the building of houses and will only be forthcoming once funds have been raised. Realistically, this makes the infrastructure for the site undeliverable and the allocation unviable.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. In addition, all site allocations have been tested through the Stage 2 Viability Study <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> .	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.90	Insufficient and vague infrastructure, particularly transport infrastructure, for Walshaw has been proposed, with no sources of funding specified. The existing infrastructure could not cope with an increase in people and cars. Clear delivery plans for infrastructure should be included. There is concern that infrastructure is undeliverable as developer contributions will not be ring fenced.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal. All site allocations have been tested through the Stage 2 Viability Study <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.91	No account has been taken of the additional traffic which will be produced at the Andrews housing development site just down the road from the Walshaw allocation or the increase towards Bolton north.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.92	There are limited transport routes through the allocation. Development proposals would need to facilitate a north-south link along with peripheral connections.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.93	The location is peripheral to the conurbation and very poorly served by public transport or local amenities. It will result in car based and isolated development.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation is surrounded by the existing urban area. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal, including the provision of bus services. This is reflected in Policy JPA9 which requires new development to make provision for major investment in public transport, including potential upgrade to existing bus services or new bus services. The policy also requires the delivery of a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations.	Gillian Boyle

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.94	No independent transport surveys can be accessed for the Walshaw plan. The planned new transport links from the 1250 new homes are fatuous given that all roads lead onto already busy, narrow, roads on the "wrong" side of Bury and Radcliffe.	No change necessary. The proposed site allocation is surrounded by the existing urban area. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	Geoffrey Seward
JPA9_JPA9.95	There is no evidence or viability testing to prove that this level of policy ask for infrastructure is deliverable on site in the timeframe and will not render the site unviable. Therefore the site is not deliverable.	No change necessary. All site allocations have been tested through the Stage 2 Viability Study <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.96	There is no evidence regarding the off site highways works required, the impact upon the local road network and the deliverability of the proposed improvements. The proposed scheme will have a major impact upon local road infrastructure which may not be able to cope and will result in severe residual cumulative impacts and potentially unacceptable impacts upon road safety which will be in conflict with paragraph 11 of the NPPF.	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal. All site allocations have been tested through the Stage 2 Viability Study <a href="#">[03.01.04]</a> .	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.97	There will be an increase in road traffic accidents due to the unsustainable level of people and traffic in the small streets of Walshaw	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	Maureen Buttle Annette Barber Lucy Hamblett
JPA9_JPA9.98	PfE proposes to locate development in locations reducing the need for car travel by maximising densities around transport hubs however Walshaw is not near a transport hub. Development should be focussed in more central locations in Bury where there are already public transport facilities such as the metrolink.	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit	Mohammed Khan



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.99	There needs to be a full review of the public transport infrastructure in the area, limitations on the roads, increase use of speed camera, pedestrian and cycle areas (off main roads due to increase in pollution).	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.</p>	Catherine Hodson Jennifer Simm
JPA9_JPA9.100	Questions the plans for the safety of residents around Scobell st and Tottington Rd	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.</p>	Joan Heffernan
JPA9_JPA9.101	Walshaw is not situated near to motorway junctions or to transport or employment hubs, requiring residents to travel across Bury to access them. As there is no direct link to the motorway (M66), residents will have to cross Bury Bridge and go through the town centre to access the motorway	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and</p>	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> . The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	
JPA9_JPA9.102	The proposed route will create a dangerous junction opposite Elton High School, where the 2015 OFSTED report has already stated that the road outside the school was a cause for concern. A junction near to the school will only further compromise the safety of the students	No change necessary. The masterplans for the proposed site allocations are only indicative at this stage and the specific location and design of junctions would be undertaken in conjunction with more detailed masterplanning and a planning application.	Christopher Russell David Foreman
	There is no clear opportunity to extend Metrolink due to previous and fairly recent planning decisions.	No change necessary. It is not proposed to extend Metrolink to within the vicinity of the Walshaw allocation.	
JPA9_JPA9.103	The plans show insufficient mitigation measures as far as infrastructure improvements are concerned and there is a lack of confidence that we can adequately mitigate the impact on traffic as there isn't room to widen roads in order to accommodate more traffic as all the major roads are lined by houses	No change necessary. Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.	Annette Barber Rachel Barnes Louise Mee
JPA9_JPA9.104	Improve the cycling infrastructure in Bury to reduce the number of vehicles on the roads. Look at improving public transport to support any new housing development, make it affordable	No change necessary. Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our “Right Mix” vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040. Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026.	Julie Woodruff Liam Dean James Daly



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>This is reflected in Policy JPA9 which requires new development to make provision for major investment in public transport, including potential upgrade to existing bus services or new bus services. The policy also requires the delivery of a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations.</p> <p>The policy also requires the development of the site to make provision for affordable housing.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.105	<p>The transport evidence underpinning this allocation is incomplete and does not identify in sufficient detail, the nature, scale and timing of the infrastructure requirements at the SRN; or what future assessments and studies that will be required to determine any such infrastructure requirements</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Bury's Transport Locality Assessments <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> and <a href="#">[09.01.21]</a> provide detailed information on the nature, scale and timing of infrastructure requirements at the SRN.</p> <p>With respect to future assessments, the report states that all sites associated with the allocations will be expected to prepare a Transport Assessment as part of a planning application to develop final, rather than indicative proposals, which mitigate the impact of the site. The full scope of the Transport Assessments will be determined by the Local Planning Authority (in consultation with the Local Highway Authority and National Highways) on a site-by-site basis, depending on the nature, scale and timing of the application, in accordance with the NPPF.</p> <p>In addition, the Local Authorities and TfGM have a clear policy direction and major programme of investment in sustainable transport which is expected to transform travel patterns in GM and help achieve our "Right Mix" vision of no net increase in motor-vehicle traffic by 2040.</p> <p>Our transport strategy is set out in <a href="#">[09.01.01]</a> GM Transport Strategy 2040 and <a href="#">[09.01.02]</a> GM Transport</p>	National Highways

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Strategy Our Five Year Delivery Plan 2021-2026. We are also working alongside National Highways to prepare a further piece of work examining a “policy-off/worst-case” impact on the SRN to help address National Highways remaining concerns.	
	Support: the site is well-related to existing local facilities including Walshaw Local Centre	No change necessary. In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> . The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	
JPA9_JPA9.106	Parking in the town centre of Bury will become impossible which will impact the shopping and leisure experience.	No change necessary. Adequate parking will be in place to serve car-borne travel to Bury town centre.	Michelle Smith
	Physical Infrastructure		
JPA9_JPA9.107	There is an inadequate sewerage system in Scobell Street area, which overflows in heavy rain. No policy reference to improving its capacity. United Utilities has not addressed this issue.	No change necessary. Section 12 of the JPA9 (Walshaw) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> recognises that there have been historical instances of sewer flooding north of Scobell Street. United Utilities have advised that this is due to a number of factors	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		including blockages in the existing culverts to the brook running alongside Scobell Street and drainage connections from developments north of Scobell Street. Regular maintenance including gully cleaning is carried out and Untied Utilities are currently undertaking works to remove highway drainage from the combined sewers to increase the capacity within the sewer.	
JPA9_JPA9.108	Support for infrastructure provision commitments. Current infrastructure is inadequate and new provision must be in place first.	Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Frank Barton Catherine Gibson Christine Brownlow Redrow Homes (Lancashire)
JPA9_JPA9.109	Uncertainties over infrastructure require other sites to be considered that do not have constraints.	Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Catherine Gibson Christine Brownlow Vicky Harper Olivia Hamnett

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.110	The detailed proposals on infrastructure welcomed.	Noted.	Greater Manchester Housing Providers
JPA9_JPA9.111	The infrastructure in this area simply cannot cope with any extra housing.	Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.112	The majority of the allocation is not currently served directly by utilities and the infrastructure would need to be connected to adjoining facilities if/where capacity allows.	Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. A number of other policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA9_JPA9.113	There are already issues with waste management so questions how we can expect to keep all these new houses sanitized and serviced if we cannot not manage services for the existing homes.	No change necessary. The specific impact on waste management would be fully considered at the planning application stage.	Jamie Heywood
	Social Infrastructure		

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.114	Increased stress on schools, which are already inadequate, and at capacity.	Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'. A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter by requiring new development to be supported by the necessary infrastructure. The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.115	More clarity needed on new social infrastructure. Concern that Fairfield Hospital is reducing services.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.116	Local centre will not work and will become vacant. Shops/community facilities should be within walking distance and be co-located. Should invest in redevelopment of Radcliffe town centre instead.	No change necessary. The masterplans for the proposed site allocations are only indicative at this stage and the specific location, role and function of local centres would be determined in conjunction with more detailed masterplanning and a planning application.	Lindsay Dennis David Britton Roz Kaufman
JPA9_JPA9.117	Detailed proposals on infrastructure welcomed. Provision of new school welcomed.	Noted.	Stephen Woolley
JPA9_JPA9.118	In order to meet the CIL regulation 22 tests, the maximum that can be sought in terms of the provision of a primary school should be the safeguarding or gifting of land if required and the	No change necessary.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	funding of places over and above the existing capacity available currently therefore this position should be reflected in the wording of the policy.	It is considered that the requirement for a new primary school meets the tests set out in Regulation 122 of the CIL Regulations 2010.	
JPA9_JPA9.119	The current wording for healthcare facilities is not reflective of the fact that they may not be required or that the local CCG may wish to improve existing services rather than new facilities on site, and as such is not justified.	No change necessary. Criterion 8 of Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) specifies that any development of the site will be required to make provision for a new local centre in an accessible location which includes a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities and ensure that it is integrated within existing communities. The specific range of facilities within a local centre would be determined at the planning application stage.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.120	Reference to a new local centre should be removed as no evidence has been presented to demonstrate a need for such facilities.	No change necessary. It is considered that a development of this scale will require the provision of a new local centre in order to enable shorter and more sustainable journeys.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.121	Questions how the plan will seek to address health services in the areas as GPs and Dentists are already at capacity. There is no specific proposal for additional healthcare facilities	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.122	It is proposed that secondary places will merely be funded from “financial contributions towards off-site secondary school provision” to meet the needs generated by the development (PfE, pg 270). This is not acceptable and will only provide a short-term solution. A more permanent solution (ie an additional secondary school in the locality as well as the proposed secondary school in Radcliffe) needs to be found for	No change necessary. The educational requirements set out in Policy JPA9 are derived from a calculation of pupil generation from the proposed development. This is set out in more detail in section 24 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	them in the immediate area and for the additional primary age children in the area as they move through the education system.		
JPA9_JPA9.123	Policy wording should be amended to reflect that the Local Education Authority is ultimately responsible for determining demand for school places and the delivery of a new primary school. The Section 106 agreement associated with any development will determine the capacity triggers and level of contribution required by individual developments within the Allocation, as well as the parcel of land that is to be reserved for the school.	No change necessary. The educational requirements set out in Policy JPA9 are derived from a calculation of pupil generation from the proposed development. This is set out in more detail in section 24 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)
	Environment (incl. green infrastructure, biodiversity and ecology)		
JPA9_JPA9.124	Proposals would cause harm to the Special Landscape Area between the lodges.	No change necessary. Paragraph 18.3 of the JPA9 (Walshaw) Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> identifies a series of opportunities to inform the evolving masterplan process, and to ensure the development can be incorporated successfully into the local landscape.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.125	Negative impact on key ecological sites, considerable loss of wildlife home to a wide range of species, will reduce their movement. Deciduous woodland and priority ponds are on-site.	No change necessary. Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Walshaw site are summarised in section 19 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> . Policy JPA9 also requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.126	Designations of Sites of Biological Importance/corridors need to be reviewed and extended.	No change necessary.	Annette Barber

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Walshaw site are summarised in section 19 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> .	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA9_JPA9.127	The proposals would lead to a loss of trees, woodland and hedgerows. There would be no net biodiversity gain.	No change necessary. Ecological/biodiversity matters associated with the Walshaw site are summarised in section 19 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> . Policy JPA9 also requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Annette Barber Catherine Gibson Louise Mee Frank Barton Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA9_JPA9.128	Loss of well-used recreation space and public rights of way would negatively impact on health and wellbeing. Routes need to be made safe for horse riders and others.	No change necessary. Policy JP-P6 sets out the requirements for new development in seeking to help tackle health inequalities, including the need for all developments that require an Environmental Impact Assessment to also be supported by a Health Impact Assessment. Furthermore, Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents to create a visually attractive environment and provide linkages to the sites wider drainage strategy in accordance with Policy JP-G 2 'Green Infrastructure Network' and Policy JP-G 8 'Standards for Greener Places'	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.129	The wording of the policy is over-prescriptive in terms of BNG and no evidence has been produced at this time to warrant detailing where BNG should be concentrated at this time. As brook corridors are already likely to score high, improving	No change necessary. NPPF (para 174) requires LPAs 'to pursue opportunities for achieving measurable biodiversity net gain (BNG)'.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	<p>these areas to offset any onsite losses could prove difficult.</p> <p>The masterplanning should be able to freely identify the most suitable areas to focus BNG.</p>	<p>Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.</p> <p>The specific requirements will be determined at the more detailed masterplanning and/or planning application stage.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.130	<p>The Ecological Technical Notes identify that the Cyrus Ainsworth's Nurseries and Parker's Lodge SBI is located in the eastern section of the southern development area of the site. A minimum offset of 15m between the SBI boundary and any development would be required. This would need to be included within the policy section of the allocation, which would need to specify the protection and enhancement of the adjacent Local Wildlife Site.</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'. The specific requirements will be determined at the more detailed masterplanning and/or planning application stage.</p>	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA9_JPA9.131	<p>Local Wildlife Sites (SBI's) must be excluded from the allocation to comply with PfE policies JP-G 2 and JP-G 9 and NPPF (2021) Paragraphs 174a, 174d, 175, 179a, 179b and 180a. There is also a presumption against the loss of SBI's within current Bury Local Plan policies (EN6.1 to EN6.3 inclusive). This development is therefore contrary to such policies.</p>	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents to create a visually attractive environment and provide linkages to the sites wider drainage strategy in accordance with Policy JP-G 2 'Green Infrastructure Network' and Policy JP-G 8 'Standards for Greener Places'.</p> <p>In addition, the Policy also requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net</p>	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'. The specific requirements will be determined at the more detailed masterplanning and/or planning application stage.	
JPA9_JPA9.132	Support the policy proposals to include multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation and to integrate and enhance existing green infrastructure corridors along Walshaw and Elton Brooks.	Noted.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA9_JPA9.133	Support for inclusion of requirement for net gains for biodiversity.	Noted.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA9_JPA9.134	Concern that net gains for biodiversity should not just be focussed on the Walshaw and Elton Brook Corridors but should be led by the relevant habitat and species surveys that will need to be provided as part of the development process. The provision of blue and green infrastructure may also be required that is solely for biodiversity gain, rather than multifunctional and enough provision will need to be made for such instances. SuDS techniques prioritising the use of ponds, swales and other infrastructure will aid in proving corridors for wildlife, but as above some habitat may be required for purely wildlife purposes.	No change necessary. NPPF (para 174) requires LPAs 'to pursue opportunities for achieving measurable biodiversity net gain (BNG)'. Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'. The specific requirements will be determined at the more detailed masterplanning and/or planning application stage.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA9_JPA9.135	Concern that there is no mention within the text of protecting pond habitat and that wording changes are required to ensure the policy properly protects and enhances any existing ecological and wildlife features.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on biodiversity assets within the allocation.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA9_JPA9.136	Support for the commitment to make provision for long-term management and maintenance of the areas of green infrastructure, biodiversity features and other areas of open space and sustainable drainage features.	Noted.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA9_JPA9.137	The appraisal states that "If significant areas of grassland under a relaxed management regime are to be lost	No change necessary.	The Wildlife Trusts

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	to the proposals, breeding bird surveys may be required to inform mitigation requirements for ground nesting birds such as skylark.” however would strongly argue that such surveys are vital to ensure compliance with NPPF’s test for soundness.	Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.  The specific requirements will be determined at the more detailed masterplanning and/or planning application stage.	
JPA9_JPA9.138	Concern that whilst mitigation for many of these species is possible, the integration of ground-nesting birds such as Skylark and Lapwing within the development is more problematic. As such, offsite compensation may be required to support priority species such as Skylark and Lapwing that might be lost due to the development and that might not be able to be integrated into the proposed development. Mitigation and enhancement plans and suitable compensation areas in addition to BNG must be provided.	No change necessary.  Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.  The specific requirements will be determined at the more detailed masterplanning and/or planning application stage.	The Wildlife Trusts
JPA9_JPA9.139	COVID highlighted inequalities in health and wellbeing in the north west, removing green space will heighten this. The green space has been essential during the pandemic.	No change necessary.  Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations. Development will also be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents.	Louise French Dorothy Stoddard Roz Kaufman Louise Mee
JPA9_JPA9.140	The plan will mean that we will be unable to meet climate change targets, air pollution targets and clean air strategies. Green Belt, tree and flood plains are needed to tackle climate change.	No change necessary.  Climate change is a key theme running throughout PfE and it is only through a combination of actions that it can be properly addressed. In particular PfE sets out:	Michelle Smith Lucy Hamblett Roz Kaufan Annette Corrigan Margaret Fulham

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Methods to de-carbonise the city region through new and existing development, effective land management and through the provision of infrastructure and new technologies</li> <li>- The aim of delivering a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038, with a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, including measures to ensure that all new homes and commercial/industrial buildings achieve net zero carbon by 2028</li> <li>- The delivery of renewable and low carbon energy schemes through heat and energy network</li> <li>- Measures that will be taken in Greater Manchester to future proof the city region by mitigating and making it more resilient to environmental challenges, including climate change</li> <li>- Water based measures, such as reducing flood risk, to adapt and reduce the impacts of climate change</li> <li>- Measures to support improvements in air quality.</li> </ul>	
JPA9_JPA9.141	The policy is broadly supported but consider the policy needs to be strengthened to make it effective. The provision of recreation is cited but not sport. Sport has a very different function to recreation, as it is a formal activity requiring specific facilities with specific dimensions to allow teams to play, so should be cited in its own right. Amendments are proposed in order to bring the policy in line with Sport England's policies and ensure that sport and recreation facilities are delivered.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JP-P7 Sport and Recreation addresses sport and recreation facilities in the plan. The Plan should be read as a whole therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	Sport England

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.142	There has been a failure to conduct thorough and independent ecological assessments. Assessments carried out have been done on behalf of developers and are therefore not independent. Site wildlife, flood risk and other surveys have been carried out by consultancies on behalf of and paid for by developers rather than entirely independent wildlife organisations or the Department of the Environment so must be considered potentially biased.	No change necessary. It is considered that the various assessments undertaken in relation to the site are comprehensive and robust.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.143	Ground Conditions - Previous uses of the allocation include agricultural fields, farmland, sewage works, bleach and print works, outbuildings and reservoirs and located in an area of historic quarrying/mining activity and also within an identified coal mining area. There is potential for ground gas and groundwater which will require monitoring, and due to the presence of coal seams and 2 historical mine shafts on parts of the allocation additional assessment/monitoring needs to be undertaken.	No change necessary. Section 13 of the Site Allocation Topic Paper for Walshaw <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> summarises the ground conditions associated with the proposed site allocation.	Gary Taylor Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA9_JPA9.144	The allocation relies on wider off site enhancement to green infrastructure as mitigation for the loss and harm notwithstanding proposing a substantially larger area of Green Belt release than proposed for development. The release of a larger area of Green Belt than required for development would most likely result in additional pressure to develop the remainder of the allocation in future years.	No change necessary. The land that is proposed to be released from the Green Belt but which is not required for development will be protected under other policies, such a protected recreation.	The Friends of Bury Folk Jane Lester Stephen Cluer Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
JPA9_JPA9.145	Future population will not have the green space to spend leisure time on.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations. Development will also be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible,	Annette Barber David Britton Gareth Costello

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents.	
JPA9_JPA9.146	It is disappointing that Minerals Safeguarding Areas and Minerals Infrastructure Safeguarding are not shown on the plan.	The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted. Therefore, it is not necessary to identify them on the PfE policies map and no change is necessary.	Mineral Products Association
JPA9_JPA9.147	There is insufficient evidence that the scheme is achievable – the ground report notes potential contamination from previous use of the site. An intrusive investigation has not been carried out. Without a Phase 2 ground investigation it is very difficult to ascertain what the foundation solution may be, what mitigation is required for contamination and potential made ground. These abnormal costs may render the site unviable.	No change necessary. Section 13 of the Site Allocation Topic Paper for Walshaw <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> summarises the ground conditions associated with the proposed site allocation.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.148	Building on JPA9 would significantly impact on the quality of life not just for the current residents, but also any who purchased the newly built houses, with very little green space available around them, little infrastructure (such as doctors, hospitals, police etc) to support the proposed additional number of residents, and gridlocked traffic travelling out of the housing developments across to the other side of the town where the proposed new jobs will be.	A number of policies in the Plan provide a sufficient policy framework to address this matter, such as Policies, JP-G6, JP-P1 and JP-D2 which states that new development must be supported by the necessary infrastructure, including where appropriate green spaces, schools and medical facilities. Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations. Development will also be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents. The Policy also states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive	Annette Barber Lucy Hamblett Roz Kaufman Paul Fecitt Mary RichardWard Stephanie Nixon Rosaleen O Donnell

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<p>masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'.</p> <p>In addition, Bury's Locality Assessment <a href="#">[09.01.09]</a> concludes the implementation of a number of mitigation schemes will be sufficient to mitigate against the increased traffic generated by the Walshaw proposal.</p> <p>The Plan needs to be read as a whole, therefore no change is considered necessary.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.149	Will result in destruction of green spaces and increased net carbon with the destruction of fields, trees, ponds and biodiversity.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) requires development on the site to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents.</p> <p>Climate change is a key theme running throughout PfE and it sets out:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Methods to de-carbonise the city region through new and existing development, effective land management and through the provision of infrastructure and new technologies;</li> <li>▪ The aim of delivering a carbon neutral Greater Manchester no later than 2038, with a dramatic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, including measures to ensure that all new homes and commercial/industrial buildings achieve net zero carbon by 2028; and</li> </ul>	<p>Michael Brooks</p> <p>Michelle Smith</p> <p>Annette Barber</p>



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The delivery of renewable and low carbon energy schemes through heat and energy network</li> </ul> <p>In addition, Policy JPA9 requires development of the site to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.150	Since the original housing need policy was investigated a climate emergency has developed. Surely the need for greenbelt land has increased to counter the affects of the climate emergency we are now facing.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a>, the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p>	<p>Simon Holder</p> <p>Chris Shiels</p> <p>Harry James Melling</p> <p>E Sharpe</p> <p>Kath Farnworth</p> <p>Margaret Fulham</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.151	Recreation space will need to be provided.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations. Development will also be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents.</p> <p>In addition, Policy JP-P7 Sport and Recreation addresses sport and recreation facilities in the plan.</p>	Joan Heffernan
JPA9_JPA9.152	The site supports a lot of wildlife; the fields along Walshaw Road support a huge variety of animal and bird life. As well as the farm animals in the fields, there are badgers, deer, mice, foxes, geese, hedgehogs, heron, pheasants, cormorants, buzzards, kestrels and owls, bats, toads, and a wealth of small birds in the area. Building on this land would destroy the habitat of these protected animals forever and depriving future generations of the pleasure of connecting with nature.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.</p>	<p>Christopher Russell</p> <p>Ann Yates</p> <p>Harry James Melling</p> <p>Derek Barton</p> <p>Janice Wright</p> <p>Julie Walmsley</p> <p>Annette Corrigan</p>
JPA9_JPA9.153	Existing recreational routes are not good enough.	<p>Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations. Development will also be required to make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents.</p> <p>In addition, Policy JP-P7 Sport and Recreation addresses sport and recreation facilities in the plan and this includes criterion 6 relating to the protection and enhancement of rights of way..</p>	Cathy Armstrong-Bell

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		The Plan should be read as a whole therefore no change is considered necessary.	
JPA9_JPA9.154	Building on green spaces / greenbelt conflicts with the climate emergency declared.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The Integrated Appraisal (IA) Scoping Report <a href="#">[02.01.01]</a> notes that the declaration of climate emergencies by GMCA and the 10 local authorities was the most significant shift since the previous update to the Scoping Report. The IA objectives and criteria particularly related to climate emergency were carefully considered to establish whether there has been a material change requiring an amendment. As a result of the update, it is concluded that no additions or changes are required to the IA at this stage.</p> <p>The PfE Plan sets out a very clear preference of using previously developed (brownfield) land and vacant buildings to meet development needs in line with NPPF. However, given the scale of development required to meet the objectives of the Plan, a limited amount of development is identified on land outside of the urban area on greenfield and/or Green Belt land. The details of the employment land needs and supply can be found in the Employment Topic Paper <a href="#">[05.01.04]</a>, the details of the housing land needs and supply can be found in the Housing Topic Paper <a href="#">[06.01.03]</a>. Further details in relation to the strategic case for releasing Green Belt can be found in the Green Belt Topic Paper <a href="#">[07.01.25]</a>.</p>	<p>Margaret Fulham</p> <p>David Foreman</p>
JPA9_JPA9.155	Concern that there will be no trees or fields left to soak up water and as such, the flood risk will inevitably increase.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Section 12 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out flood risk issues connected to the site.</p>	<p>Annette Barber</p> <p>Lindsay Dennis</p> <p>Julie Walmsley</p> <p>LouiseMee</p>

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.156	Wildlife organisations should be consulted before such works are planned for and carried out, which has not happened or has not been published publicly.	Wildlife and environmental surveys have been fully considered by independent bodies such as the Environment Agency and the Greater Manchester Ecology Unit. Nevertheless, appropriate bodies will continue to be engaged in association with the further development of proposals for the site.	Harry James Melling
JPA9_JPA9.157	More detail required to evidence how the wildlife will be preserved	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'. The specific requirements will be determined at the more detailed masterplanning and/or planning application stage.	Derek Barton
JPA9_JPA9.158	Concern that a requirement for a net gain in biodiversity does not accord with national planning policy and the Environment Bill is not anticipated to be passed until 2023) and so a reference to national planning policy should be inserted here.	No change necessary. NPPF (para 174) requires LPAs 'to pursue opportunities for achieving measurable biodiversity net gain (BNG)'. Policy JPA9 requires that development of the site will be required to minimise impacts on and provide net gains for biodiversity assets within the allocation in accordance with Policy JP-G 9 'A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity'.	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)
	Air Quality		
JPA9_JPA9.159	Huge impact on carbon footprint/pollution likely to increase which would have a negative impact on quality of life.	No change necessary. Sections 22 and 23 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper [ <a href="#">10.03.45</a> ] include information regarding air and noise pollution and there are a number of policies within the plan that seek to reduce exposure to pollution, including Policy JP-S4 (Resilience), JP-S6 (Clean Air), JP-G6 (Urban Green Space), JP-P1 (Sustainable Places)	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		and those seeking to reduce the health impacts of air pollution through accessibility of sustainable travel such as public transport, cycling and walking.	
JPA9_JPA9.160	In respect of Climate change policy and carbon neutral policy, Places for Everyone proposes employment sites on the other side of the borough from Walshaw on the M66 Northern Gateway Corridor, necessitating travel by car as no direct public transport route exists or is proposed, thus increasing carbon emissions. The proposed new link road would not ameliorate this problem. This undermines carbon change and carbon neutral policy, Hundreds of additional motor vehicles will have to cross from one side of the borough to the other daily, affecting not only this site but the carbon emission's for the whole borough.	No change necessary. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.161	Bury already regularly exceeds the legal limits for pollution from emissions. Thought needs to be given about how to reduce traffic and pollution in the town centre.	No change necessary. Section 22 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> includes information regarding air pollution and there are a number of policies within the plan that seek to reduce exposure to pollution, including Policy JP-S4 (Resilience), JP-S6 (Clean Air), JP-G6 (Urban Green Space), JP-P1 (Sustainable Places) and those seeking to reduce the health impacts of air pollution through accessibility of sustainable travel such as public transport, cycling and walking.	Christopher Russell
JPA9_JPA9.162	Air quality calculations are flawed.	No change necessary. An Air Quality Assessment for the Walshaw site <a href="#">[10.03.42]</a> forms part of the evidence base for the proposed site allocation.	Harry James Melling
	Flood risk		
JPA9_JPA9.163	Concern over increase in flooding, surface water run-off.	No change necessary.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		Section 12 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out flood risk issues connected to the site.	
JPA9_JPA9.164	Concern that site-specific policies lack consistency of approach between authorities and do not set a clear expectation of the need to deliver high quality sustainable drainage and water efficient development and therefore require amendments which address inconsistencies in approach and set clearer expectations to ensure the policies more appropriately align with the ambition of Greater Manchester to be a city that is resilient to flooding and climate change.	Water efficiency measures in new developments will be a matter for district local plans to determine. This approach is considered consistent with the NPPF, particularly paragraph 28 which confirms that it is for local planning authorities 'to set out more detailed policies for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development'. Therefore, no change to the plan is considered as necessary.	United Utilities Group PLC
JPA9_JPA9.165	The site is a flood plain with a long history of local flooding, so much so that when rain is expected the council send a team out, in advance to clear the drains and streams in an attempt to minimise the flood damage. Roads are routinely closed in Tottington by the council, police or fire brigade. The surface water run off is plumbed into the sewer and residents have been notified by the fire brigade that the water is often contaminated with sewage backflowing. Building on the fields in JPA9 will affect the water table and drainage causing further flooding.	No change necessary. The proposed allocation is located within Flood Zone 1. No change necessary. Section 12 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out flood risk issues connected to the site.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.166	Concern that any drainage measures put in to gardens will eventually silt up and need replacing and the run off from concrete, tarmac and brick will increase.	No change necessary. Section 12 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out flood risk issues connected to the site.	Catherine Gibson Jamie Heywood
JPA9_JPA9.167	On the 30th September 2021 the pressure produced by the current level of surface water run of is such that manhole covers on Scobell Street and surrounding streets are blown off by escaping water and once the flood water is a foot or two high, higher in places	No change necessary. Section 12 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out flood risk issues connected to the site.	Michelle Smith Jamie Heywood
	Historic environment and heritage		

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
JPA9_JPA9.168	Development would have an impact on Walshaw Cross and historic cottages and farms at Bradshaw Road/Four Lane Ends.	No change necessary. Section 21 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out the situation with regards to the historic environment. In addition, Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any development on the site will be required to protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage assets and their setting, including the Christ Church Grade II* listed building, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.169	Should preserve open spaces around Christ Church to retain setting.	No change necessary. Section 21 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out the situation with regards to the historic environment. In addition, Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any development on the site will be required to protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage assets and their setting, including the Christ Church Grade II* listed building, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	Joan Heffernan Mark Dewhurst
JPA9_JPA9.189	The view of Walshaw Parish Church is an iconic view that would disappear if this development went ahead	No change necessary. Section 21 of the Walshaw Allocation Topic Paper <a href="#">[10.03.45]</a> sets out the situation with regards to the historic environment.	Michael Brooks Joan Heffernan

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		In addition, Policy JPA9 (Walshaw) states that any development on the site will be required to protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage assets and their setting, including the Christ Church Grade II* listed building, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	
	Consultation		
JPA9_JPA9.171	Online consultation form was difficult to complete. Not everyone has internet access. The document contains too much jargon. We need plain English.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.175	There has been a lack of public consultation with locals, businesses and horse owners. and it has been inaccessible. The consultation has also been too short	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.177	The Council have been disingenuous in presenting the plans to residents and sent out misleading letters	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.178	There has been an over reliance on residents having to find things out for themselves on social media and websites and thus a failure to engage with various groups due to over reliance on the use of social media and technology. There has been no access to public internet, eg in libraries, during Covid. This has adversely and disproportionately affected older people and those from deprived backgrounds	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.181	There has been no opportunity for residents to put their views forward until now due, in part, to the COVID pandemic.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Hilary Rhoden Phillip Cronin
JPA9_JPA9.182	More consultation is needed.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.183	This cannot accurately be called a consultation. It does not follow the Gunning Principles whereby a public consultation	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	Stephanie Nixon



Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	must be fair and lawful, presented prior to decision making, otherwise the outcome is flawed. This is an approved plan for comments only, and is therefore unsound on that basis alone.		
	Other		
JPA9_JPA9.170	There would be a reduced quality of life from the construction process, which will be disruptive and detrimental to amenity and likely increase crime.	This is not an issue for consideration through Places for Everyone.	Maureen Seward Fran Greer Margaret Fulham Geoffrey Seward
JPA9_JPA9.172	This has been a developer-led process.	Places for Everyone has not been a developer-led process. It has been prepared by the Greater Manchester Combined Authority on behalf of the nine participating Greater Manchester Districts.	Tracy Raftery Frank Barton Jennifer Simm
JPA9_JPA9.173	Unfair that most Green Belt loss proposed in north. Unclear why previous draft allocations in north of the borough have been rejected.	No change necessary.  In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .  The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a> .	Olivia Hamnett
JPA9_JPA9.176	Bury Council have failed to comply with their Statement of Community Bury Involvement at all stages of the creation of	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	the plan. There was no notification to residents of the initial call for sites and this is still opaque in terms of options, decision making and officers/members present. The amount spent on making residents aware of the plan is disproportionately small		
JPA9_JPA9.184	Bury Council needs to liaise with Rochdale Council to agree which areas of land around Heap Bridge can be released for building, rather than land in Walshaw, as Heap Bridge is on the same side of the town as the proposed new jobs and has easy motorway access.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	Stephanie Nixon
JPA9_JPA9.185	Questions whether PfE can be treated effectively the same as the GMSF as there have been significant and substantial changes.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.186	In addition to PfE each authority needs to come up with its own local plan. No details have been given about when these plans will be available.	The programmes for each district's development plan (including Local Plans) are included within individual Local Development Schemes.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.187	There are no details of how Duty to Cooperate will be achieved.	Comment not specifically relevant to the content of Policy JPA9. Matter addressed elsewhere.	See Appendix
JPA9_JPA9.188	A 35% uplift for the Manchester City Council area represents significant change between the previous spatial framework the	No change necessary.	See Appendix

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
	Greater Manchester Spatial Framework and the current joint development plan Places for Everyone.	<p>A higher annualised plan figure for Manchester City than in the GMSF 2020 (2,951 vs 3527) has been introduced within Pfui 2021 as a result of the revised LHN.</p> <p>Through this process Manchester City Council has identified sufficient land in the urban area to meet its increased need and consequently remove a very small Green Belt housing site. This remains consistent with the GMSF 2020 spatial strategy which concentrated growth in the centre of the conurbation.</p> <p>Manchester City's increased LHN, and therefore its PfE 2021 housing target, helps to maintain a consistent spatial strategy, between the two plans, despite Stockport's withdrawal. and results in a Plan with substantially the same effect as the GMSF 2020 on the nine districts.</p>	
JPA9_JPA9.190	Questions how this is beneficial to the existing residents in the area.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>The proposal is to deliver a broad mix of around 1,250 homes to diversify the type of accommodation in the Walshaw area and provide a significant amount of affordable housing.</p> <p>The proposal would also include the provision of new and improved transport, social and green infrastructure for the Walshaw area.</p>	<p>Simon Holder</p> <p>Tracy Raftery</p> <p>Rosaleen O Donnell</p>
JPA9_JPA9.191	The design codes should be based on effective community engagement and reflect local aspirations for their area.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>Policy JP-H3 deals with requirements for the type, size and design on new houses. In terms of design, this Policy highlights strategic design requirements and this policy has been subject to consultation as part of the plan process. More specific design requirements will be set out</p>	Joan Heffernan

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		in Local Plan policies which will be subject to community engagement..	
JPA9_JPA9.192	Allocation and building will devalue existing residents homes.	This is not an issue to consider through the development plan process.	Frank Barton
JPA9_JPA9.193	Questions why there are no plans to build in north of borough with links to motorway.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a>.</p> <p>The proposed strategic allocations have been chosen following a robust site selection exercise – the methodology for which is set out in the Site Selection Background Paper <a href="#">[03.04.01]</a>.</p>	Frank Barton
JPA9_JPA9.194	The graphics associated with the plan are poor. The proposed allocation plans should clearly indicate whether or not the allocations overlie Minerals Safeguarding Areas.	<p>The Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (GMJMDP) is not being amended as part of PfE. Mineral Safeguarding Areas, and the policies which cover them, are identified within the GMJMDP and will remain unchanged and applicable once PfE is adopted.</p> <p>Therefore it is not necessary to identify them on the PfE policies map and no change is necessary.</p>	Mineral Products Association
JPA9_JPA9.195	Questions why Bury is set to lose 12% of its greenbelt, whilst the average loss across Greater Manchester is nearer 4%.	<p>No change necessary.</p> <p>In line with NPPF, the Plan seeks to promote the development of brownfield land within the urban area and</p>	Christopher Russell

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		to use land efficiently. By working together the nine districts have been able to maximise the supply of the brownfield land at the core of the conurbation and limit the extent of Green Belt release. Chapter 4 (4.1 - 4.23) summarises the PfE Spatial Strategy which seeks to deliver significant development in the core growth area, boost the competitiveness of the Northern Areas and sustain the competitiveness of the Southern Areas. The approach to growth and spatial distribution is set out in the Growth and Spatial Options Paper <a href="#">[02.01.10]</a> .	
JPA9_JPA9.196	Length of the plan: The NPPF states that a 15 year plan is a minimum term, but there is not an obligation to plan beyond this. It has become clear during this process that the GMCA's 19 year plan under the PFE has been put forward as this longer term, with its higher housing need predictions, allows applications to be made to Central Government for additional funding for infrastructure. This has the effect that Bury's greenbelt is being sacrificed to provide for higher than necessary house building and to allow for infrastructure improvements in other areas of Greater Manchester. A more realistic period of 15 years should be used to allow for future changes in population growth and demographics to be catered for, and in particular the effect of Brexit.	No change necessary. The NPPF states that a plan's strategic policies should look ahead over a minimum 15-year period from adoption. The plan period is 2022 – 2037.	Christopher Russell
JPA9_JPA9.197	Questions the relationship between the Developer and the Council	No change necessary. The Council has worked with developers/site promoters to bring forward the proposed site allocations set out in the plan.	Christopher Russell Kay and Brian Nolan
JPA9_JPA9.199	The policy should make specific explicit reference within the policy wording to the Parameters Masterplan, requiring future development phases to be brought forward in accordance with it.	No change necessary. Policy JPA9 states that any proposals for this allocation must be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan that has been previously approved by the LPA. It shall	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)

Row	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		include a clear phasing strategy as part of an integrated approach to the delivery of infrastructure to support the scale of the whole development in line with Policy JP-D 1 'Infrastructure Implementation'.	
JPA9_JPA9.200	Policy wording amendments are required to ensure that there is clarity in respect of the appropriate contribution that is to be apportioned across the Allocation in line with an evidence based requirement, taking all viability considerations into account.	No change necessary. It is considered that the requirement for developer contributions across the allocation is clearly set out within Policy JPA9. The potential apportionment of these contributions would be determined at the planning application stage.	Redrow Homes (Lancashire)
JPA9_JPA9.201	The policy wording should be amended to take into account viability and local requirements at the time of any application for both affordable housing provision and public transport improvements.	No change necessary. A strategic viability assessment, <a href="#">[03.01.01]</a> has been published alongside the PfE Plan. In line with NPPF it will be assumed that planning applications which comply with the adopted PfE will be viable, however NPPF 58 also allows for applicants to demonstrate whether particular circumstances justify the need for a viability assessment at the application stage.	HIMOR, Redrow Homes Limited and VHW Partnership
JPA9_JPA9.202	Policy unsound / not legally compliant (no further details given).	No change is considered necessary. JPA9 is considered to be consistent with the NPPF and provides an appropriate strategy for the density of new housing which is a key objective of the plan and NPPF.	See Appendix

# Appendix:

## Responses to PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 7 Elton Reservoir

**Table 1. Row JPA7\_JPA7.4**

Given Name	Family Name
Kenneth	Simpson
Carol	Birchwood Cllr
Bradley	Mason
Alison	Jackson
Paul	Cross
Michael	Donohoe
Elaine	Sharkey
Mary	Walsh
Jason	Robinson
Katherine	Robinson
Susan	Hopkinson
Kevin	Hopkinson
Graham	Walsh
Alexandra	Cluer
Mary	Fleming
Helen	Bailey
Grace	Birchmore
Andrea	Keeble
Lisa	Mather
Peter	Mather
Deborah	Morgan
Susan	Higgins
Oscar	Majid
Juliet	Eastham
Susan	Fleming
Yvonne	Robinson
Stuart	Johnstone
Andrew	Fleming
Catherine	Schofield
Michelle	Mcloughlin
Tom	Wood
Viv	Barlow
Joan	Glynn
S	Stratton
Jacqueline	Majid
Hazel	Keane
Colin	Heaton
Susan	Horridge
John	Robinson
Shirley	Buckley
Barry	Spence
George	Wood

Joanne	Dawson
Joanne	Culliney
Annmarie	Bennett
Christopher	Culliney
Alexandra	Saffer
Rebecca	Robinson
Carole	Martin
Daniel	Robinson
Derek M	Glynn
Geoff	Woods
Carolyn	Saffer
Saul	Bennett
Colleen	Donovan-Togo
Paul	Taylor
Samantha	Doggett
Lucy	Taylor
Aimee	Shaw
Jennifer	Cronin
Barbara	Cooke
Angela	Shaw
Lorraine	Tucker
Brian	Cooke
Sheila	Jackson
Brian	Wright
Kelly	Fox
Paul	Yarwood
Lisa	Wright
Sara	Slater
Abby	Derere
Victoria	Hothersall
Craig	Tucker
Jacqueline	Yarwood
Adam	Burgess
Alan	Bayfield
Anna Katherine	Burgess
Rebecca	Hindle
Marjorie	Higham
Gwynneth	McManus
Nicola	Kerr
Gwyneth	Derere
Julia	Gallagher
Joanne	Dallimore
Andy	Skelly
Peter	Cooke
Alison	Lees
David J	Arnfield
Emma	Nye
Donald	Berry
Kath	Dobson



Patricia	Hay
David	Boulger
Carl	Mason
Jackie	Harris Cllr
Jane	Bennett
Leanne	Labrow
Suzanne	Nye
Alex	Abbey
Doug	Kirkpatrick
Dawn	Johnstone
Robert	Birchmore
Elisabeth	Berry
Gareth	Costello

**Table 2. Row JPA7\_JPA7.9**

Given Name	Family Name
June	Clough
Brian	Hulme
Carol	Birchwood Cllr
Jason	Robinson
Katherine	Robinson
Susan	Hopkinson
Kevin	Hopkinson
Alexandra	Cluer
Mr And Mrs C	Lord
Mary	Fleming
Mat	Burbery
Grace	Birchmore
Andrea	Keeble
Lisa	Mather
Peter	Mather
Deborah	Morgan
Susan	Higgins
Oscar	Majid
Juliet	Eastham
Susan	Fleming
Yvonne	Robinson
Stuart	Johnstone
Andrew	Fleming
Catherine	Schofield
Michelle	Mcloughlin
Tom	Wood
Viv	Barlow
Joan	Glynn
S	Stratton
Jacqueline	Majid
Hazel	Keane
Colin	Heaton

Susan	Horridge
John	Robinson
Shirley	Buckley
Barry	Spence
George	Wood
Joanne	Dawson
Joanne	Culliney
Annmarie	Bennett
Christopher	Culliney
Alexandra	Saffer
Rebecca	Robinson
Carole	Martin
Daniel	Robinson
Derek M	Glynn
Geoff	Woods
Carolyn	Saffer
Saul	Bennett
Colleen	Donovan-Togo
Paul	Taylor
Samantha	Doggett
Lucy	Taylor
Aimee	Shaw
Jennifer	Cronin
Barbara	Cooke
Angela	Shaw
Lorraine	Tucker
Brian	Cooke
Sheila	Jackson
Brian	Wright
Kelly	Fox
Paul	Yarwood
Lisa	Wright
Sara	Slater
Abby	Derere
Victoria	Hothersall
Craig	Tucker
Jacqueline	Yarwood
Adam	Burgess
Alan	Bayfield
Anna Katherine	Burgess
Rebecca	Hindle
Marjorie	Higham
Gwynneth	McManus
Nicola	Kerr
Gwyneth	Derere
Julia	Gallagher
Joanne	Dallimore
Andy	Skelly
Peter	Cooke

Alison	Lees
David J	Arnfield
Emma	Nye
Donald	Berry
Kath	Dobson
Patricia	Hay
David	Boulger
Jackie	Harris Cllr
Leanne	Labrow
Alex	Abbey
Dawn	Johnstone
Robert	Birchmore
Elisabeth	Berry
D W And J	Tandy
Gareth	Costello
Lindy	Jarvis
steve	buck
Mildred	D'Amore
Jennifer	Hardman
Pam	Martin
Alan	Sheppard
Judith	Sheppard
ROY	DENNETT
Louise	James
Brian	Hulme
SUSAN	DENNETT
Holly	DENNETT
Daniel	Lawson
Stephen	Holt
Joanne	Maffia
Matthew	Oxley
Gary	West
Neil	Higginson
Tim	Boaden
Christopher	Nott
Alison	Tovell
Laurence	Kitchinson
Richard	Lucas
Sheila	Tod
Brian	Saffer
Kate	Tod
Craig	Smith
Christopher	Harper
Mike	Smith
Olivia	Allen
Joanna	Harland
Carol	Mole
Julie	Darbyshire
Jane	Lester

Natasha	cross
Patricia	HAY
Donna	Nuttall
Diane	Cass
Susan	Tunstall
David	Wright
Jane	Lester
Catherine	hodson
Stephen	Cluer
Susan	Southward
Clare	Bowdler
Trevor	Byrne
Paul	Crowther
Christopher	Russell
Susan	Ruddock
Yvonne	Creswell
Barbara	Wilkinson
Lucy	Marsden
Daniel	Marsden
Andrea	Booth
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell
Philip	Smith-Lawrence
Janet	Jones
Juliet	Eastham

**Table 3. Row JPA7\_JPA7.14**

Given Name	Family Name
Pam	Martin
Judith	Sheppard
Ian	Culman
SUSAN	DENNETT
Holly	DENNETT
Daniel	Lawson
Stephen	Holt
John	Roberts
Laurence	Kitchinson
Craig	Smith
Julie	Darbyshire
Jane	Lester
Natasha	cross
Donna	Nuttall
Diane	Cass
Diane	Wright
Susan	Tunstall
David	Wright
Jane	Lester
Stephen	Cluer
Trevor	Byrne

Christopher	Russell
Barbara	Wilkinson
Lucy	Marsden
Daniel	Marsden
Andrea	Booth
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell
Juliet	Eastham
Julia	Kennedy
Suzanne	Nye
Robert	Birchmore

**Table 4. Row JPA7\_JPA7.19**

Given Name	Family Name
Mike	Robinson
Patricia	Cooke
Pam	Martin
Alan	Sheppard
Judith	Sheppard
ROY	DENNETT
Louise	James
SUSAN	DENNETT
Holly	DENNETT
Daniel	Lawson
Matthew	Oxley
Gary	West
Neil	Higginson
Brian	Saffer
Olivia	Allen
Carol	Mole
Julie	Darbyshire
Jane	Lester
Patricia	HAY
Donna	Nuttall
Diane	Cass
Susan	Tunstall
David	Wright
Jane	Lester
Stephen	Cluer
Clare	Bowdler
Trevor	Byrne
Christopher	Russell
Christopher	Topping
Susan	Ruddock
Yvonne	Creswell
Barbara	Wilkinson
Lucy	Marsden
Daniel	Marsden
Andrea	Booth

Cathy	Armstrong-Bell
Philip	Smith-Lawrence
Janet	Jones
Juliet	Eastham
June	Clough
Kenneth	Simpson
Carol	Birchwood Cllr
Bradley	Mason
Alison	Jackson
Paul	Cross
Michael	Donohoe
Elaine	Sharkey
Mary	Walsh
Jason	Robinson
Katherine	Robinson
Susan	Hopkinson
Kevin	Hopkinson
Graham	Walsh
Alexandra	Cluer
Helen	Bailey
Mat	Burbery
Grace	Birchmore
Andrea	Keeble
Lisa	Mather
Peter	Mather
Deborah	Morgan
Susan	Higgins
Oscar	Majid
Juliet	Eastham
Susan	Fleming
Yvonne	Robinson
Stuart	Johnstone
Andrew	Fleming
Catherine	Schofield
Michelle	Mcloughlin
Tom	Wood
Viv	Barlow
Joan	Glynn
S	Stratton
Jacqueline	Majid
Hazel	Keane
Colin	Heaton
Susan	Horridge
John	Robinson
Shirley	Buckley
Barry	Spence
George	Wood
Joanne	Dawson
Joanne	Culliney

Annmarie	Bennett
Christopher	Culliney
Alexandra	Saffer
Rebecca	Robinson
Carole	Martin
Daniel	Robinson
Derek M	Glynn
Geoff	Woods
Carolyn	Saffer
Saul	Bennett
Colleen	Donovan-Togo
Paul	Taylor
Samantha	Doggett
Lucy	Taylor
Aimee	Shaw
Jennifer	Cronin
Barbara	Cooke
Angela	Shaw
Lorraine	Tucker
Brian	Cooke
Sheila	Jackson
Brian	Wright
Kelly	Fox
Paul	Yarwood
Lisa	Wright
Sara	Slater
Abby	Derere
Victoria	Hothersall
Craig	Tucker
Jacqueline	Yarwood
Adam	Burgess
Alan	Bayfield
Anna Katherine	Burgess
Rebecca	Hindle
Marjorie	Higham
Gwynneth	McManus
Nicola	Kerr
Gwyneth	Derere
Julia	Gallagher
Joanne	Dallimore
Andy	Skelly
Peter	Cooke
Alison	Lees
David J	Arnfield
Emma	Nye
Donald	Berry
Kath	Dobson
Patricia	Hay
David	Boulger

Carl	Mason
Jackie	Harris Cllr
Jane	Bennett
Leanne	Labrow
Suzanne	Nye
Alex	Abbey
Doug	Kirkpatrick
Dawn	Johnstone
Robert	Birchmore
Elisabeth	Berry
Gareth	Costello

**Table 5. Row JPA7\_JPA7.22**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Peter And Linda	Billington	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Lewis	Tod	
Bernadette	Clough	
Louise	Mee	
Helen	Roberts	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
		Greater Manchester Housing Providers
Amy	Gaffney	
Michelle	Cardno	
John	Roberts	
Olivia	Allen	
Vicky	Harper	

**Table 6.Row JPA7\_JPA7.24**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Mary	Walsh	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	



Helen	Bailey	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	

Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	
Mildred	D'Amore	
Pam	Martin	
Alan	Sheppard	
Judith	Sheppard	
ROY	DENNETT	
Louise	James	
SUSAN	DENNETT	

Holly	DENNETT	
Daniel	Lawson	
Stephen	Holt	
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Matthew	Oxley	
Gary	West	
Neil	Higginson	
Laurence	Kitchinson	
Richard	Lucas	
Sheila	Tod	
Brian	Saffer	
Kate	Tod	
Craig	Smith	
Jill	Neal	
Olivia	Allen	
Carol	Mole	
Julie	Darbyshire	
Jane	Lester	
Natasha	cross	
Patricia	HAY	
Donna	Nuttall	
Diane	Cass	
Susan	Tunstall	
David	Wright	
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	
Clare	Bowdler	
Trevor	Byrne	
Paul	Crowther	
Christopher	Russell	
Christopher	Topping	
Susan	Ruddock	
Yvonne	Creswell	
Barbara	Wilkinson	
Lucy	Marsden	
Daniel	Marsden	
Andrea	Booth	
christian	jones	
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	
Janet	Jones	
Juliet	Eastham	

**Table 7. Row JPA7\_JPA7.25**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
June	Clough	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mary	Fleming	
Mat	Burberry	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	

Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Elisabeth	Berry	

Gareth	Costello	
Pam	Martin	
Alan	Sheppard	
Judith	Sheppard	
ROY	DENNETT	
Louise	James	
SUSAN	DENNETT	
Holly	DENNETT	
Daniel	Lawson	
Stephen	Holt	
Marc	Hourigan	The Strategic Land Group
Matthew	Oxley	
Gary	West	
Laurence	Kitchinson	
Richard	Lucas	
Sheila	Tod	
Brian	Saffer	
Kate	Tod	
Craig	Smith	
C	Smith	
Olivia	Allen	
Carol	Mole	
Julie	Darbyshire	
Jane	Lester	
Natasha	cross	
Patricia	HAY	
Donna	Nuttall	
Diane	Cass	
Susan	Tunstall	
David	Wright	
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	
Clare	Bowdler	
Trevor	Byrne	
Paul	Crowther	
Christopher	Russell	
Susan	Ruddock	
Yvonne	Creswell	
Barbara	Wilkinson	
Lucy	Marsden	
Daniel	Marsden	
Andrea	Booth	
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	
Janet	Jones	
Juliet	Eastham	

**Table 8.Row JPA7\_JPA7.26**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
June	Clough	
Graham	Wood	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	

Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	



Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	
Pam	Martin	
Judith	Sheppard	
ROY	DENNETT	
Louise	James	
SUSAN	DENNETT	
Holly	DENNETT	
Daniel	Lawson	
Stephen	Holt	
Matthew	Oxley	
Neil	Higginson	
Richard	Lucas	
Sheila	Tod	
Brian	Saffer	
Kate	Tod	
Craig	Smith	
Carol	Mole	
Natasha	cross	
Patricia	HAY	
Donna	Nuttall	
Diane	Cass	
Susan	Tunstall	
David	Wright	
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	
Clare	Bowdler	
Trevor	Byrne	
Christopher	Russell	
Christopher	Topping	
Susan	Ruddock	
Yvonne	Creswell	
Barbara	Wilkinson	
Lucy	Marsden	
Daniel	Marsden	
Andrea	Booth	
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	
Janet	Jones	
Juliet	Eastham	

**Table 9.Row JPA7\_JPA7.29**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Graham	Wood	
Corinne	Thompson	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burberry	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	

Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	

Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Helen	Roberts	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	
steve	buck	
Pam	Martin	
Judith	Sheppard	
Ian	Culman	
ROY	DENNETT	
Louise	James	
SUSAN	DENNETT	
Holly	DENNETT	
Daniel	Lawson	
Stephen	Holt	
John	Roberts	
Gary	West	
Laurence	Kitchinson	
Richard	Lucas	
Sheila	Tod	
Brian	Saffer	
Kate	Tod	
Craig	Smith	
C	Smith	
Julie	Darbyshire	
Donna	Nuttall	
Diane	Cass	
Susan	Tunstall	
David	Wright	
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Trevor	Byrne	
Paul	Crowther	
Christopher	Russell	
Susan	Ruddock	
Barbara	Wilkinson	
Lucy	Marsden	
Daniel	Marsden	
Andrea	Booth	

Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	
Janet	Jones	
Juliet	Eastham	

**Table 10.Row JPA7\_JPA7.41**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
daniel	obrien	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Frank	Barton	NA
Patricia	Cooke	NA
Jennifer	Hardman	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Gillian	Boyle	N/A
Neil	Higginson	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Jill	Neal	NA
Alan	Heald	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Carl	Southward	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Simon	Holder	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Steven	Higginbottom	NA

Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
June	Clough	
Ann	Collins	
Graham	Wood	
Kevin	Doyle	
Jacqueline	Fletcher	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mr And Mrs C	Lord	
Mat	Burbery	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	

Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Alex	Abbey	
Louise	Mee	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	
Kate	Tod	

**Table 11.Row JPA7\_JPA7.44**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
June	Clough	
Jon	Longworth	
Brian	Hulme	
Kenneth	Simpson	
Graham	Wood	
J S	Mole	
Karen	Pitt	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Jacqueline	Fletcher	
Bradley	Mason	
MarilynAndRaymond	Critchley	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Ann	Knowles	
Michelle	Seddon	
Debra	O'Boyle	
Julie	Woodruff	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mat	Burbery	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	



Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	

Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Bernadette	Clough	
Alex	Abbey	
Louise	Mee	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Christopher	Hallows	
Patricia	Deacon	
Helen	Roberts	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	
Jason	Richards	
Kate	Tod	
Amy	Gaffney	
Linda	Field	
Stephen	Guy	
Jon	Hayward	
paul	roebuck	
Suzette	Howard	
Lindy	Jarvis	
Pam	Martin	
Alan	Sheppard	
Judith	Sheppard	
Ian	Culman	
ROY	DENNETT	
Louise	James	
SUSAN	DENNETT	
Holly	DENNETT	
Daniel	Lawson	
Gary	Taylor	
Joanne	Maffia	
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green

		Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
John	Roberts	
Matthew	Oxley	
Gary	West	
Neil	Higginson	
Alison	Nott	
Christopher	Nott	
Alison	Tovell	
Carol	Lee	
Brian	Saffer	
Craig	Smith	
C	Smith	
Mark	Haynes	
Olivia	Allen	
Karen	Cornwall	
Carol	Mole	
Julie	Darbyshire	
Jane	Lester	
Janet	Brooks	
Patricia	HAY	
Donna	Nuttall	
Diane	Cass	
Susan	Tunstall	
David	Wright	
Julie	Mills	
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Catherine	hodson	
Michael	Brooks	
Christopher	Russell	
Susan	Ruddock	
Barbara	Wilkinson	
Lucy	Marsden	
Daniel	Marsden	
Andrea	Booth	
christian	jones	
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	
Janet	Jones	
Tracy	Rafferty	
Joan	Heffernan	
Juliet	Eastham	

**Table 12.Row JPA7\_JPA7.45**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Bethany	Hodgkiss	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
Gary	West	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
June	Clough	

Philip	Hesketh	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mr And Mrs C	Lord	
Mary	Fleming	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	

Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Alex	Abbey	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	

Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Helen	Roberts	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	

**Table 13.Row JPA7\_JPA7.46**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Jill	Neal	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA

Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
June	Clough	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Jacqueline	Fletcher	
Bradley	Mason	
Mary	Walsh	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burbury	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	



Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	

Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
D W And J	Tandy	
Gareth	Costello	

**Table 14.Row JPA7\_JPA7.55**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Janet	Brooks	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Bradley	Mason	
Mary	Fleming	
Grace	Birchmore	
Ben	Parker	

Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Helen	Roberts	

**Table 15.Row JPA7\_JPA7.56**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Karen	Pitt	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Mary	Fleming	
Grace	Birchmore	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Helen	Roberts	
Robert	Birchmore	
paul	roebuck	not applicable
steve	buck	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA

Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA

**Table 16.Row JPA7\_JPA7.62**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Amit	Parmar	NA
Trevor	Thomas	n/a
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Carl	Simms	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
MarilynAndRaymond	Critchley	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Bernadette	Clough	
Christopher	Hallows	

**Table 17.Row JPA7\_JPA7.63**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Natasha	cross	NA

Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Susan	Southward	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Mark	Chicot	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
June	Clough	
Karen	Pitt	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mr And Mrs C	Lord	
Mary	Fleming	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	

Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	

Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Alex	Abbey	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	

**Table 18.Row JPA7\_JPA7.66**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Ann	Collins	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Lewis	Tod	
Ann	Knowles	
Julie	Woodruff	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mr And Mrs C	Lord	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	

Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	



Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Alex	Abbey	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
D W And J	Tandy	
Gareth	Costello	
Alan	Sheppard	
P	Stanhope	NA

**Table 19.Row JPA7\_JPA7.87**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Ann	Collins	
Brian	Hulme	
Graham	Wood	
J S	Mole	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Jacqueline	Fletcher	
MarilynAndRaymond	Critchley	
Ann	Knowles	
Susan	Hamer	
Julie	Woodruff	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Joanne	Dallimore	

Louise	Mee	
Gareth	Costello	
Stephen	Woolley	
Stephen	Guy	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Michelle	Cardno	NA
Mildred	D'Amore	NA
Brian	Hulme	NA
Susan	Southward	NA
Michael	Brooks	NA

**Table 20.Row JPA7\_JPA7.88**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
steve	buck	NA
Patricia	Cooke	NA
Jennifer	Hardman	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Tim	Boaden	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Jill	Neal	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA

Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Christopher	Topping	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Peter And Linda	Billington	
Kenneth	Simpson	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Mary	Walsh	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	

Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	

Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Climate Action Bury		
Dawn	Johnstone	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	

**Table 21.Row JPA7\_JPA7.92**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
steve	buck	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A

David	Wright	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Bradley	Mason	
MarilynAndRaymond	Critchley	
Mary	Fleming	
Grace	Birchmore	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Robert	Birchmore	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
		Hollins Strategic Land

**Table 22.Row JPA7\_JPA7.93**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA

Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Mary	Fleming	

**Table 23.Row JPA7\_JPA7.94**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Karen	Pitt	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burbury	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	

Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	



Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA

Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA

**Table 24. JPA7.104**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Peter And Linda	Billington	
Eric	Thompson	
Jacqueline	Fletcher	
Susan	Hamer	
Michelle	Seddon	
Julie	Woodruff	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Louise	Mee	
Robert	Birchmore	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
D W And J	Tandy	
Stephen	Woolley	
Annette	Corrigan	
Lynn	Clegg	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Tim	Boaden	NA
Alison	Nott	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Joanna	Harland	NA
Carol	Mole	NA

**Table 25. JPA7.105**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Lewis	Tod	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	

Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	

Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	
Mildred	D'Amore	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA

Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Christopher	Topping	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA

**Table 26. JPA7.110**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Lucy	Hamblett	
Bradley	Mason	
MarilynAndRaymond	Critchley	

Andy	Matthews	
Ann	Knowles	
Susan	Hamer	
Debra	O'Boyle	
Mr And Mrs	Briggs	
Bernadette	Clough	
Louise	Mee	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
		Hollins Strategic Land
P	Stanhope	NA
Amy	Gaffney	NA
Peter	Stratton	NA

**Table 27. JPA7.111**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Lucy	Hamblett	
Jacqueline	Fletcher	
Lewis	Tod	
Bradley	Mason	
MarilynAndRaymond	Critchley	
Andy	Matthews	
Ann	Knowles	
Susan	Hamer	
Michelle	Seddon	
Debra	O'Boyle	
Julie	Woodruff	
Mr And Mrs	Briggs	
Robert	Cahill	
Louise	Mee	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Holli	Dobson	NA
Julie	Riley	NA
Michelle	Cardno	NA
Tim	Boaden	NA
Paul	Cross	NA
Karen	Cornwall	N/A
Vicky	Harper	NA
Michael	Brooks	NA
Marie	Holder	NA

**Table 28. JPA7.115**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Amy	Gaffney	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Bethany	Hodgkiss	NA
Ann	Yates	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Maureen	Buttle	NA
Joanna	Harland	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Catherine	hodson	NA
June	Clough	
Iain	MacFarlane	
Jon	Longworth	
Peter And Linda	Billington	
Graham	Wood	
J S	Mole	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Alison	Jackson	
Ann	Knowles	
Susan	Hamer	
Michelle	Seddon	
Debra	O'Boyle	
Julie	Woodruff	
Mr And Mrs	Briggs	
Robert	Cahill	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Carl	Mason	
Jane	Bennett	
Bernadette	Clough	
Louise	Mee	
Helen	Roberts	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	
Annette	Corrigan	

**Table 29. JPA7.119**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Karen	Pitt	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Bradley	Mason	

Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mr And Mrs C	Lord	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	



Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	

Gareth	Costello	
Patricia	Cooke	NA
Mildred	D'Amore	NA
Jennifer	Hardman	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Susan	Southward	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Christopher	Topping	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA

Marie	Holder	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA

## PfE 2021 Policy JP Allocation 7 Elton Reservoir

**Table 30. JPA7.171**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
June	Clough	
Brian	Hulme	
Peter And Linda	Billington	
Kenneth	Simpson	
J S	Mole	
Eric	Thompson	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Lewis	Tod	
MarilynAndRaymond	Critchley	
Ann	Knowles	
Susan	Hamer	
Michelle	Seddon	
Julie	Woodruff	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Louise	Mee	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
D W And J	Tandy	
Gareth	Costello	
Alan	Sheppard	
Lindy	Jarvis	n/a
steve	buck	NA
Mildred	D'Amore	NA
Jennifer	Hardman	NA
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Brian	Hulme	NA
Joanne	Maffia	N/A
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Tim	Boaden	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Alison	Tovell	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Harper	NA
Mike	Smith	NA

Olivia	Allen	NA
Joanna	Harland	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Catherine	hodson	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Susan	Southward	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Vicky	Harper	NA
Michael	Brooks	NA
Carl	Southward	NA
Steven	Higginbottom	NA

**Table 31. JPA7.173**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Mr And Mrs C	Lord	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jane	Bennett	
Suzanne	Nye	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Robert	Birchmore	

**Table 32. JPA7.175**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Alison	Tovell	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Carol	Mole	NA

Jane	Lester	n/a
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Michael	Brooks	NA
Carl	Southward	NA
Marie	Holder	NA
Graham	Wood	
Kevin	Doyle	
Karen	Pitt	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Annette	Corrigan	

**Table 33. JPA7.191**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Rod	Storey	NA
steve	buck	NA
Bethany	Hodgkiss	NA
Jennifer	Hardman	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
Rod	Storey	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Alison	Tovell	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Janet	Brooks	NA
Susan	Southward	NA
Mark	Chicot	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Julie	Woodruff	

**Table 34. JPA7.192**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Jon	Hayward	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
steve	buck	NA
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Jennifer	Hardman	NA

Pam	Martin	NA
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Nott	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Alison	Tovell	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Susan	Evans	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Christopher	Topping	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
christian	jones	n/a
Marie	Holder	NA

Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
June	Clough	
Ann	Collins	
Peter And Linda	Billington	
Graham	Wood	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Ann	Knowles	
Mary	Walsh	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burberry	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	
Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	

Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	



Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Helen	Roberts	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	

**Table 35. JPA7.194**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
John A	Holden	N/A
Amit	Parmar	NA
Peter	Stratton	NA
Carl	Simms	NA
Laura	Ettrick	N/A
Mark	Chicot	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Raymond	Chamberlain	No
Tracy	Raftery	NA
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Carl	Mason	
Jane	Bennett	

**Table 36. JPA7.195**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
John A	Holden	N/A
steve	buck	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA

Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Carl	Southward	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Graham	Wood	
Karen	Pitt	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Julie	Woodruff	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Mary	Fleming	
Grace	Birchmore	
David	Boulger	
Louise	Mee	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Robert	Birchmore	

**Table 37. JPA7.199**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Brian	Hulme	
Kenneth	Simpson	

Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Mary	Fleming	
Grace	Birchmore	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Helen	Roberts	
steve	buck	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Ian	Hayes	N/A
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Laura	Ettrick	N/A
Susan	Evans	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Christopher	Topping	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA

Juliet	Eastham	NA
--------	---------	----

**Table 38. JPA7.201**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Mike	Robinson	NA
Patricia	Cooke	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
Brian	Hulme	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Stephen	Holt	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
Gary	West	NA
Neil	Higginson	NA
Laurence	Kitchinson	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Sheila	Tod	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Kate	Tod	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Mike	Smith	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Donna	Nuttall	NA
Diane	Cass	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Christopher	Topping	NA

Susan	Ruddock	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
christian	jones	n/a
Marie	Holder	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
June	Clough	
Kenneth	Simpson	
Graham	Wood	
Philip	Hesketh	
Carol	Birchwood Cllr	
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Mary	Walsh	
Jason	Robinson	
Katherine	Robinson	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Mr And Mrs C	Lord	
Mary	Fleming	
Helen	Bailey	
Mat	Burbery	
Grace	Birchmore	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Juliet	Eastham	
Susan	Fleming	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Viv	Barlow	

Joan	Glynn	
S	Stratton	
Jacqueline	Majid	
Hazel	Keane	
Colin	Heaton	
Susan	Horridge	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Carole	Martin	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Angela	Shaw	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Brian	Cooke	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Craig	Tucker	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Nicola	Kerr	

Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Peter	Cooke	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Donald	Berry	
Kath	Dobson	
Patricia	Hay	
David	Boulger	
Carl	Mason	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Jane	Bennett	
Leanne	Labrow	
Suzanne	Nye	
Alex	Abbey	
Doug	Kirkpatrick	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Robert	Birchmore	
Elisabeth	Berry	
Gareth	Costello	

**Table 39. JPA7\_JPA7.205**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
steve	buck	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A

David	Wright	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Mary	Fleming	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	

**Table 40. JPA7\_JPA7.206**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
steve	buck	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
C	Smith	NA
Laura	Ettrick	N/A
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA



Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Mary	Fleming	
Grace	Birchmore	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	
Robert	Birchmore	

**Table 41. JPA7\_JPA7.207**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Pam	Martin	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Ian	Culman	NA
ROY	DENNETT	NA
Louise	James	NA
SUSAN	DENNETT	NA
Holly	DENNETT	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
John	Roberts	NA
Gary	West	NA
Brian	Saffer	NA
C	Smith	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA

Andrea	Booth	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Bradley	Mason	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Susan	Hopkinson	
Kevin	Hopkinson	
Mary	Fleming	
Ben	Parker	
Tony	Parker	
Leesa	Parker	
Patricia	Deacon	

**Table 42. JPA7\_JPA7.221**

Given Name	Family Name	Company / Organisation
Pamela	Neilan	NA
Lindsay	Connolly	NA
Rosaleen	O Donnell	NA
Kristian	Slater-Lett	NA
Peter	Huxley	NA
Mark	Walling	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
James	Clark	NA
David	Almond	NA
Graham	Winstanley	NA
Juliet	Green	NA
Rob	Shield	NA
OLIVIA	Hamnett	NA
Janet	Franks	NA
Olivia	Hamnett	NA
Joanne	McLeod	NA
Susan	Rowlinson	NA
Phillip	Cronin	NA
Amanda	Wilkinson	NA
Hazel	Sarras	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Heather	Williams	NA

# Appendix:

## Responses to PfE 2021 Policy JPA-8 Seedfield

**Table 43. Row JPA8\_JPA8.11**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Alison	Nott	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA
Lindsay	Dennis	NA
Julie	Woodruff	NA
Ann	Collins	NA

**Table 44. Row JPA8\_JPA8.12**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA

**Table 45.Row JPA8\_JPA8.20**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Holly	Dennett	
Daniel	Lawson	
Judith	Sheppard	
Gary	West	
Alison	Jackson	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 46.Row JPA8\_JPA8.22**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Laura	Ettrick	NA
Jason	Richards	NA
Jason	Richards	NA
Alan	Bayfield	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
daniel	obrien	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 47.Row JPA8\_JPA8.38**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA

Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
		Greater Manchester Housing Providers
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA
D W And J	Tandy	NA

**Table 48.Row JPA8\_JPA8.43**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 49.Row JPA8\_JPA8.49**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Robert	Masters-Hall	NA
Vicky	Harper	NA
daniel	obrien	NA
Tim	Boaden	NA
Michael	Fawcett	NA
Julie	Woodruff	NA

**Table 50.Row JPA8\_JPA8.67**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA

Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA

**Table 51.Row JPA8\_JPA8.68**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	
Daniel	Lawson	
Judith	Sheppard	
Gary	West	
Alison	Jackson	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	

**Table 52.Row JPA8\_JPA8.69**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA

**Table 53.Row JPA8\_JPA8.70**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA

**Table 54.Row JPA8\_JPA8.71**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA

**Table 55.Row JPA8\_JPA8.72**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA

Lucy	Marsden	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	NA
Daniel	Lawson	NA
Judith	Sheppard	NA
Gary	West	NA
Alison	Jackson	NA

**Table 56.Row JPA8\_JPA8.74**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Rob	Shield	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Lindsay	Connolly	NA
Karen	Cornwall	N/A
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Kristian	Slater-Lett	NA
Mark	Walling	NA
Amy	Gaffney	NA
James	Clark	NA
Trevor	Thomas	n/a
Amit	Parmar	NA
Graham	Winstanley	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Carol	Lee	NA
Janet	Franks	NA
Joanne	McLeod	NA
Mike	Robinson	NA



# Appendix:

## PfE 2021 Policy JPA9 Walshaw

Table 57. Row JPA9\_JPA9.4

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
David	Bentley	
Julie	Woodruff	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Julie	Walmsley	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Catherine	Poulton	
David	Brownlow	
Christine	Etchells	
J S	Mole	
Mary Richard	Ward	
Amy	Gaffney	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Catherine	Price	NA
Jamie	Heywood	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Jennifer	Simm	NA

Table 58.Row JPA9\_JPA9.6

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Carole	Easey	NA
Mark	Haynes	NA
Jane	White	NA
Gillian	Boyle	N/A
Susan	Hamer	
Julie	Woodruff	
Roz	Kaufman	
Fran	Greer	

Table 59.Row JPA9\_JPA9.11

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephen	Cluer	NA

Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA

**Table 60.Row JPA9\_JPA9.12**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Matthew	Broadbent	Save Royton's Greenbelt Community Group
Carol	Burke	None
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	

Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Christine	Brownlow	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
Lindsay	Dennis	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	

Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	

Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	

**Table 61.Row JPA9\_JPA9.14**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	

Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Rachel	Barnes	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Diane	Cass	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA

**Table 62.Row JPA9\_JPA9.15**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Cass	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	

Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 63.Row JPA9\_JPA9.18**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Amy	Gaffney	NA
		Greater Manchester Housing Providers
Annette	Barber	
Susan	Hamer	
David	Britton	
Julie	Walmsley	
Roz	Kaufman	
Paul	Fecitt	
Louise	Mee	

**Table 64.Row JPA9\_JPA9.21**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Iain	Gartside	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	



Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	

Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Tracy	Raftery	NA
P	Stanhope	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA

**Table 65.Row JPA9\_JPA9.25**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Paul	Crowther	NA
Amy	Gaffney	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Christopher	Russell	NA
Susan	Hamer	
Lindsay	Dennis	
David	Britton	
Christopher	Hallows	
Roz	Kaufman	
Louise	Mee	

**Table 66.Row JPA9\_JPA9.27**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Susan	Hamer	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Roz	Kaufman	
Catherine	Poulton	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	

Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Graham	Wood	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	

Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Ann	Collins	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Geoffrey	Seward	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA

C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Alison	Nott	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Hazel	Sarras	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 67.Row JPA9\_JPA9.28**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	

Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	

Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA



**Table 68.Row JPA9\_JPA9.29**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Stephen	Barnes	

Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	

Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Catherine	Poulton	
Gareth	Costello	
		Hollins Strategic Land
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	

Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 69.Row JPA9\_JPA9.45**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Patricia	Cooke	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Maureen	Seward	
David	Brownlow	
D W And J	Tandy	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	

Graham	Wood	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	

Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	

Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	



Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
John A	Holden	N/A
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
OLIVIA	Hamnett	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Carol	Burke	None
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 70.Row JPA9\_JPA9.46**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Catherine	Poulton	
Christine	Etchells	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 71.Row JPA9\_JPA9.51**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Iain	Gartside	
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Rachel	Barnes	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	

April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Christine	Brownlow	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
Lindsay	Dennis	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Maureen	Seward	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Roz	Kaufman	
Christopher	Taylor	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	

Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	

Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Christine	Etchells	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	

Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
David	Foreman	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Bill	Gibson	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Simon	Holder	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Pat	Dainter	NA
Greig	Turner	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA

Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Carol	Burke	None
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Alan	Heald	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Gillian	Boyle	N/A
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Lindsay	Connolly	

**Table 72.Row JPA9\_JPA9.52**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lindsay	Connolly	
Amy	Gaffney	NA
Louise	French	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Catherine	Price	NA
Jamie	Heywood	NA
Gillian	Boyle	N/A
Christopher	Russell	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Annette	Barber	
Dorothy	Stoddard	



Susan	Hamer	
Janice	Wright	
Kath	Farnworth	
Julie	Woodruff	
Maureen	Seward	
Julie	Walmsley	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Annette	Corrigan	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	

Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Christine	Etchells	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	

Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Louise	Mee	
Pamela	Maxon	
Dawn	Johnstone	
J S	Mole	
Mary Richard	Ward	

**Table 73.Row JPA9\_JPA9.56**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Karen	Cornwall	N/A
Linda	Field	NA
Louise	French	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Iain	Gartside	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	

**Table 74.Row JPA9\_JPA9.57**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual

Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Tracy	Raftery	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Greig	Turner	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA

Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Iain	Gartside	
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	

Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Rachel	Barnes	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
Janice	Wright	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Christine	Brownlow	
Kath	Farnworth	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	

HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Maureen	Seward	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Christopher	Taylor	
Catherine	Poulton	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	

Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	



Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 75.Row JPA9\_JPA9.58**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA

Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA

Christopher	Russell	NA
Iain	Gartside	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Harry James	Melling	
Rob	Cross	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Edward	Greaves	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	

S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	

Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	

**Table 76.Row JPA9\_JPA9.59**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA

Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA

Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Stephen	Barnes	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	

April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Christine	Brownlow	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
Lindsay	Dennis	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
		Hollins Strategic Land
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	



Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	

Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	

Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 77.Row JPA9\_JPA9.60**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	

Michelle	McCloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	

Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA

Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable

Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 78. Row JPA9\_JPA9.61**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Ella	Barnes	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Catherine	Poulton	
David	Brownlow	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	

Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	



Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA

Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 79.Row JPA9\_JPA9.62**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Joanne	Maffia	N/A
Gary	Taylor	n/a
Mark	Haynes	NA
Ann	Yates	
Laura	Ettrick	
Jason	Richards	
Stephen	Barnes	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Ella	Barnes	
E	Sharpe	
Kath	Farnworth	
Rachael	Treadgold	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Christopher	Hallows	
Gareth	Costello	
Rebecca	Hindle	
J S	Mole	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 80.Row JPA9\_JPA9.64**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	

Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Rachel	Barnes	
Jude	Barnes	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	

Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Emma	Pike	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None

**Table 81.Row JPA9\_JPA9.75**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Cass	NA
Catherine	hodson	NA
David	Wright	NA
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Louise	French	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Jamie	Heywood	NA
Phillip	Cronin	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Alan	Heald	NA
Annette	Barber	
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	

Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Matthew	Gibson	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Christopher	Hallows	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	
Graham	Wood	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Margaret	Fulham	
David	Foreman	
J S	Mole	
Mary Richard	Ward	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 82.Row JPA9\_JPA9.76**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Annette	Barber	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	

Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Ella	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
Janice	Wright	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Christine	Brownlow	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	

Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
Lindsay	Dennis	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Roz	Kaufman	
Christopher	Taylor	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Graham	Wood	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	



Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	

Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Louise	Mee	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
J S	Mole	
Mary Richard	Ward	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Catherine	hodson	NA
David	Wright	NA

Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Amy	Gaffney	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Mark	Haynes	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Greig	Turner	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 83.Row JPA9\_JPA9.81**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lindsay	Connolly	
Michael	Brooks	NA
Chris	Shiels	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA

Jane	Lester	n/a
Louise	French	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Emma	Pike	NA
OLIVIA	Hamnett	NA
Olivia	Hamnett	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Maureen	Buttle	NA
Rod	Storey	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Stephen	Woolley	
Annette	Barber	
Dorothy	Stoddard	
Susan	Hamer	
E	Sharpe	
Janice	Wright	
Kath	Farnworth	
Rachael	Treadgold	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	
David	Britton	
Maureen	Seward	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	
Annette	Corrigan	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	

Stuart	Johnstone	
Graham	Wood	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	

Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Christine	Etchells	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Paul	Fecitt	

Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Fran	Greer	
Pamela	Maxon	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Margaret	Fulham	
David	Foreman	
J S	Mole	
Mary Richard	Ward	
Ann	Collins	

**Table 84.Row JPA9\_JPA9.82**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Louise	French	NA
Olivia	Hamnett	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Dorothy	Stoddard	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
David	Britton	
Maureen	Seward	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Christine	Etchells	
David	Foreman	

**Table 85.Row JPA9\_JPA9.83**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lindsay	Connolly	
Iain	Gartside	
Patricia	Cooke	
E	Sharpe	
Janice	Wright	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Maureen	Seward	
David	Brownlow	
D W And J	Tandy	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	



Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	

Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Christine	Etchells	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Paul	Fecitt	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Ann	Collins	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA

Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Louise	French	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Pat	Dainter	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Olivia	Hamnett	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Carol	Burke	None
Gary	West	NA

Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 86.Row JPA9\_JPA9.85**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lindsay	Connolly	
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
Emma	Pike	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephen	Cluer	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Harry James	Melling	
Janice	Wright	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	

Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
David	Brownlow	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	

Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	

Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Pamela	Maxon	
Dawn	Johnstone	

**Table 87.Row JPA9\_JPA9.87**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Maureen	Seward	
Tina	Hall	
Roz	Kaufman	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Henry	Cumbers	Historic England
Fran	Greer	

**Table 88.Row JPA9\_JPA9.88**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephen	Cluer	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Rachael	Treadgold	

**Table 89.Row JPA9\_JPA9.89**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	



Michelle	McLoughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	

Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	

Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Carol	Burke	None
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA

John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 90.Row JPA9\_JPA9.90**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
		Greater Manchester Housing Providers
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Dorothy	Stoddard	
Ella	Barnes	
Rachel	Barnes	
Susan	Hamer	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Catherine	Poulton	

David	Brownlow	
D W And J	Tandy	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	

Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	

Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Fran	Greer	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA

Jane	Lester	n/a
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Hazel	Sarras	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 91.Row JPA9\_JPA9.91**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	



Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	

Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	

Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA

mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Carol	Burke	None
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 92.Row JPA9\_JPA9.92**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA

Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 93.Row JPA9\_JPA9.95**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Cass	NA
Chris	Shiels	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	

Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	

Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
		Hollins Strategic Land
Rebecca	Hindle	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 94.Row JPA9\_JPA9.96**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Cass	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	

Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	



Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 95.Row JPA9\_JPA9.101**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	

Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	

Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA

Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 96.Row JPA9\_JPA9.107**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	
David	Britton	
J S	Mole	
Michael	Brooks	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 97.Row JPA9\_JPA9.111**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Mark	Dewhurst	
Julie	Woodruff	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Graham	Wood	
Christine	Etchells	
Fran	Greer	
Louise	Mee	
Margaret	Fulham	
Ann	Collins	
John A	Holden	N/A

**Table 98.Row JPA9\_JPA9.114**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Annette	Barber	
Dorothy	Stoddard	
Susan	Hamer	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
David	Britton	
Julie	Walmsley	
David	Brownlow	
D W And J	Tandy	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	

Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	

Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	

Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Fran	Greer	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
J S	Mole	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Michelle	Cardno	NA



Geoffrey	Seward	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 99.Row JPA9\_JPA9.115**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Michelle	Cardno	NA
Geoffrey	Seward	NA
Pat	Dainter	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Alison	Nott	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA

Christopher	Russell	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Stephen	Woolley	
Susan	Hamer	
David	Britton	
Julie	Walmsley	
David	Brownlow	
D W And J	Tandy	
Graham	Wood	
Paul	Fecitt	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	

**Table 100.Row JPA9\_JPA9.121**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Stephen	Woolley	
Annette	Barber	
Dorothy	Stoddard	
Susan	Hamer	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Maureen	Seward	
Julie	Walmsley	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Annette	Corrigan	

Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	

Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	

Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Paul	Fecitt	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Louise	Mee	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Michelle	Cardno	NA

Louise	French	NA
paul	roe buck	not applicable
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Pat	Dainter	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Christopher	Nott	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 101.Row JPA9\_JPA9.122**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA

Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Mary	Walsh	

Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Maureen	Seward	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	



Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	

Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	

**Table 102.Row JPA9\_JPA9.124**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Cass	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Annette	Barber	
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	

Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	

Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 103.Row JPA9\_JPA9.125**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vicky	Harper	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Karen	Cornwall	N/A
Tracy	Raftery	NA
Michelle	Cardno	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Alison	Nott	NA
Annette	Barber	
Derek	Barton	
E	Sharpe	
Janice	Wright	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Julie	Woodruff	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE

Tina	Hall	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Graham	Wood	
Christine	Etchells	
Louise	Mee	
Ann	Collins	

**Table 104.Row JPA9\_JPA9.128**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Annette	Barber	
Julie	Woodruff	
Julie	Walmsley	
Annette	Corrigan	
Graham	Wood	
Christine	Etchells	
Louise	Mee	
J S	Mole	
Mary Richard	Ward	
Ann	Collins	
Amy	Gaffney	NA
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Alison	Nott	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA

**Table 105.Row JPA9\_JPA9.142**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	

David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	

Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	

Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA



Juliet	Eastham	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Pat	Dainter	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 106.Row JPA9\_JPA9.147**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	

Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	

Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Bill	Gibson	
Diane	Cass	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA

**Table 107.Row JPA9\_JPA9.159**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michelle	Smith	
Annette	Barber	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Susan	Hamer	
Janice	Wright	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Kath	Farnworth	
Lindsay	Dennis	

Julie	Woodruff	
Maureen	Seward	
Tina	Hall	
Roz	Kaufman	
Graham	Wood	
Christine	Etchells	
Paul	Fecitt	
Louise	Mee	
Margaret	Fulham	
David	Foreman	
J S	Mole	
Mary Richard	Ward	
Ann	Collins	
Vicky	Harper	NA
Margaret	Fulham	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
OLIVIA	Hamnett	NA
Olivia	Hamnett	NA
Alison	Nott	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA
Hazel	Sarras	NA
Maureen	Buttle	NA
Alan	Heald	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 108.Row JPA9\_JPA9.160**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Iain	Gartside	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Alexandra	Cluer	

D W And J	Tandy	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	

Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	

Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
David	Foreman	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA

Jane	Lester	n/a
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Pat	Dainter	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Olivia	Hamnett	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 109.Row JPA9\_JPA9.163**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Vicky	Harper	NA
Michael	Brooks	NA
Chris	Shiels	NA
Karen	Cornwall	N/A
Amy	Gaffney	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green



		Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Maureen	Buttle	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Annette	Barber	
Dorothy	Stoddard	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	
David	Britton	
Julie	Walmsley	
Tina	Hall	
Graham	Wood	
Louise	Mee	

**Table 110.Row JPA9\_JPA9.165**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Michael	Brooks	NA
Catherine	hodson	NA
Frank	Barton	NA
Jamie	Heywood	NA
Paul	Cross	NA
Maureen	Buttle	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Dorothy	Stoddard	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
David	Britton	
Annette	Corrigan	
Louise	Mee	
J S	Mole	

**Table 111.Row JPA9\_JPA9.168**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Cass	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	

Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	

**Table 112.Row JPA9\_JPA9.171**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Catherine	Gibson	

Christine	Brownlow	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Roz	Kaufman	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	

Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	

Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Mary Richard	Ward	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk

Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
John A	Holden	N/A
Emma	Pike	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Phillip	Cronin	NA
Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 113.Row JPA9\_JPA9.174**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Catherine	Price	NA
Michelle	Smith	
Iain	Gartside	
Stephen	Woolley	
Mary	Walsh	

Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Liam	Dean	
James	Daly	
Gareth	Costello	
Louise	Mee	

**Table 114.Row JPA9\_JPA9.175**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Lucy	Hamblett	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Julie	Woodruff	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Roz	Kaufman	
Catherine	Poulton	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	



Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Graham	Wood	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	

Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	

David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Louise	Mee	
Pamela	Maxon	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Raymond	Chamberlain	No
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Joan	Heffernan	NA
Rod	Storey	NA
Michelle	Cardno	NA
Phillip	Cronin	NA
Christopher	Nott	NA

**Table 115.Row JPA9\_JPA9.176**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Lucy	Hamblett	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	

Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	

Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	

Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA

Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 116.Row JPA9\_JPA9.177**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA

Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Brownlow	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	



Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	
Michelle	McLoughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	

Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	
Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	

Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	

**Table 117.Row JPA9\_JPA9.178**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Susan	Hamer	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Lindsay	Dennis	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
Gareth	Costello	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	

Michelle	McCloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	

Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	
Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	

Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Raymond	Chamberlain	No
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Phillip	Cronin	NA
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA

John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 118.Row JPA9\_JPA9.179**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Olivia	Allen	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a

Geoffrey	Seward	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Matthew	Oxley	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Catherine	Price	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Phillip	Cronin	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Gary	West	NA
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
John	Edgington	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Janet	Taylor	NA
Julie	Halliwell	NA
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	



Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	
Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Catherine	Gibson	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Christine	Brownlow	
Sadia	Hayat	

Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
Lindsay	Dennis	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Mary	Walsh	
Graham	Walsh	
Alexandra	Cluer	
David	Britton	
Maureen	Seward	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Catherine	Poulton	
David	Brownlow	
Andrea	Keeble	
Lisa	Mather	
Peter	Mather	
Deborah	Morgan	
Susan	Higgins	
Oscar	Majid	
Susan	Fleming	
Stuart	Johnstone	
Juliet	Eastham	
Yvonne	Robinson	
Andrew	Fleming	
Catherine	Schofield	

Michelle	Mcloughlin	
Tom	Wood	
Joan	Glynn	
Viv	Barlow	
Jacqueline	Majid	
S	Stratton	
Colin	Heaton	
Hazel	Keane	
John	Robinson	
Shirley	Buckley	
Susan	Horridge	
Barry	Spence	
George	Wood	
Joanne	Dawson	
Joanne	Culliney	
Annmarie	Bennett	
Christopher	Culliney	
Alexandra	Saffer	
Rebecca	Robinson	
Daniel	Robinson	
Derek M	Glynn	
Carole	Martin	
Geoff	Woods	
Carolyn	Saffer	
Samantha	Doggett	
Lucy	Taylor	
Saul	Bennett	
Colleen	Donovan-Togo	
Paul	Taylor	
Angela	Shaw	
Aimee	Shaw	
Jennifer	Cronin	
Barbara	Cooke	
Lorraine	Tucker	

Sheila	Jackson	
Brian	Cooke	
Brian	Wright	
Kelly	Fox	
Paul	Yarwood	
Lisa	Wright	
Sara	Slater	
Abby	Derere	
Craig	Tucker	
Victoria	Hothersall	
Lindsay	Connolly	
Christine	Etchells	
Jacqueline	Yarwood	
Adam	Burgess	
Alan	Bayfield	
Anna Katherine	Burgess	
Debbie	Pownceby	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Marjorie	Higham	
Gwynneth	McManus	
Gwyneth	Derere	
Julia	Gallagher	
Nicola	Kerr	
Joanne	Dallimore	
Andy	Skelly	
Alison	Lees	
David J	Arnfield	
Emma	Nye	
Kath	Dobson	
Jackie	Harris Cllr	
Yvonne	Wright Cllr	
Leanne	Labrow	
Catherine	Poulton	
Hilary	Rhoden	

Pamela	Maxon	
Mohammed	Khan	
Dawn	Johnstone	
Kay and Brian	Nolan	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 119.Row JPA9\_JPA9.180**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Diane	Cass	NA
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
mark	brodigan	n/a
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Judith	Howard	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Neil	Jones	
Frederica	Hyde	
Julie	Blight	
Gemma	Winston	
Joanne	Griffiths	
Kath	Cameron	
Patricia	Glover	
Rob	Cross	
Mark	Griffiths	
Bill	Revitt	
Janine	Shipley	
Helena	McSharry	
Darren	Ramsay	
Lucy Anne	Grey	
Derek	Barton	
Martin And Sandra	Ingham And Pickering	
Holly	Dawson	
Andrew	Roland	
Dene	Vernon	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Billy	Barnes	
Janice	Taylor	

Edward	Greaves	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	
Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Annette	Corrigan	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Bill	Gibson	

**Table 120.Row JPA9\_JPA9.182**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Phillip	Cronin	NA
Jennifer	Simm	NA
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Rob	Cross	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Roz	Kaufman	
Catherine	Poulton	

**Table 121.Row JPA9\_JPA9.185**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Rob	Cross	
Catherine	Gibson	
Christine	Brownlow	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Catherine	Poulton	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 122.Row JPA9\_JPA9.186**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA

Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Lorraine	Schofield	

**Table 123.Row JPA9\_JPA9.187**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Catherine	Poulton	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A



David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA

**Table 124.Row JPA9\_JPA9.188**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Susan	Ruddock	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Paul	Crowther	NA
Cathy	Armstrong-Bell	NA
Daniel	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA
Juliet	Eastham	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
C	Smith	NA
Craig	Smith	NA

Gary	West	NA
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Alan	Bayfield	Not Applicable
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Alison	Jackson	
Paul	Cross	
Elaine	Sharkey	
Michael	Donohoe	
Elizabeth	Forrest	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Catherine	Poulton	

**Table 125.Row JPA9\_JPA9.198**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Holly	Dennett	
Judith	Sheppard	
Stephen	Barnes	
Alison	Jackson	
Rob	Cross	
Derek	Barton	
Connor	Price	
Rachael	Price	
Edward	Greaves	
Rachel	Barnes	
Jude	Barnes	
Mark	Dewhurst	
Clare	Dewhurst	
April	Fenton	
Olive	Melon	
Mark	Withington	
Deborah	Withington	
Pat	Wierzbicki	

Matthew	Gibson	
Mary	Gebski	
Sadia	Hayat	
Lorraine	Schofield	
Gillian	Tattersall	
Maika	Fleischer	
Harry	Cooper	
Paula	Cooper	
Jack	Cooper	
Jonathan	Cooper	
Janice	McFarland-Hayhurst	
Stephen	Barnes	
Gordon	Bentley	
HelenAndDarrenAndNoahAndThomas	LeakAndMortonAndMortonAndMorton	
Jackie	Copley	CPRE
Gary	Booth	
Justine	Bentley	
Christopher	Taylor	
Rebecca	Hindle	
Bill	Gibson	
Julie	Darbyshire	NA
Caroline	Woodhams	NA
Diane	Wright	NA
Susan	Tunstall	N/A
Diane	Cass	NA
Yvonne	Creswell	NA
David	Wright	NA
Lucy	Marsden	NA
Janet	Jones	NA
Jane	Lester	The Friends of Bury Folk
Clare	Bowdler	NA
Carol	Mole	NA
Andrea	Booth	NA
Janine	Richardson	n/a
Natasha	cross	NA
Philip	Smith-Lawrence	NA

Juliet	Eastham	NA
Jane	Lester	n/a
paul	roebuck	not applicable
Emma	Pike	NA
Richard	Lucas	NA
Barbara	Wilkinson	NA
Craig	Smith	NA
Stephanie	Nixon	NA
Stephen	Cluer	NA
Paul	Kallee-Grover	Save Greater Manchesters Green Belt (SGMGB) - Bury Groups
Sheila	Gaskell	None
Trevor	Byrne	NA
Kathryn	Russell	NA
Janet	Taylor	NA
Gillian	Boyle	N/A
Pam	Martin	NA
Christopher	Russell	NA
Lynn	Clegg	NA

**Table 126.Row JPA9\_JPA9.202**

Given Name	Family Name	On behalf of company/organisation or individual
Pamela	Neilan	NA
Rob	Shield	NA
John	Connolly	NA
Lindsay	Connolly	NA
Rosaleen	O Donnell	NA
Kristian	Slater-Lett	NA
Mark	Walling	NA
James	Clark	NA
Trevor	Thomas	n/a
Amit	Parmar	NA
David	Almond	NA
Graham	Winstanley	NA
Juliet	Green	NA
Janet	Brooks	NA
Carol	Lee	NA
Janet	Franks	NA
Joanne	McLeod	NA
Alison	Tovell	NA

Mike	Robinson	NA
Patricia	HAY	N/A
Alan	Sheppard	NA
Heather	Williams	NA