


## Urgent Key Decision

Notification by the proper officer (Chief Executive/Monitoring Officer/Treasurer) to the scrutiny chair that an urgent key decision be made without being published in the Register of Key Decisions, and/or that key decision be exempt from call in for scrutiny.

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Decision maker   | GMCA  |  |
| What is the decision?  | To increase the capital programme by c.£7.3 m to enable the reinstatement of the turbine at the Raikes Lane Energy from Waste Facility, Bolton.   |  |
| List Non-confidential reports<br>Reports to be considered that can be made available to the public     |   |  |
| List Confidential reports<br>Reports to be considered which contain confidential or exempt information | Capital Investment for Reinstatement of Raikes Lane Energy From Waste Facility (Part B)   |  |
| Reason for confidentiality   | The report seeks an addition to the capital programme to enable the repairs to the Raikes Lane Energy from Waste plant to be progressed ahead of the claim being settled with the insurers. The report would need to be exempt from the forward plan and in Part B given the commercial considerations. |  |
| Intended date of decision  | 27 April 2018   |  |
| Officer contact details<br>For any further information   | Name:   | David Taylor                             |
|  | Tel:  | 07515191277                              |
|  | Email   | david.taylor@greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk |
| Has the decision been published in the Register of Key Decisions?                                      | <b>No</b>   |  |

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Reasons for <b>general exception</b>:<br/>Explain why it cannot be published in the Register of Key Decisions with 28 days notice.</p> <p>Reasons for <b>Special Urgency</b>:<br/>Explain why it cannot be published in the Register of Key Decision, with less than 5 clear days notice.</p> | <p>Officers only received the cost estimates on the 18 April for the full reinstatement including the turbine which, including contingency, are c.£7.3m. This has not been included on the forward plan as officers have not had any clarity from insurers on when the cost estimates would become available. In order to limit the business interruption and avoid delays to the reinstatement programme a quick decision is required for GMCA as asset owner to agree to progress the works.</p> |  |
| <p>Is exemption from call-in for scrutiny needed?</p>  | <p><b>Yes</b></p>  |  |
| <p>Explain how any delay will seriously prejudice the legal or financial position of the GMCA</p>  | <p>Delay to implementation of the works will extend the period over which Business Interruption costs are payable. The insurers may use any delay caused by the call in period as a reason for not underwriting costs in the delay period exposing GMCA to these costs. Estimated BI costs per week are £15k.</p>  |  |
| <p><b>Scrutiny Chair</b><br/>who has been notified by the proper officer that the decision is reasonable and urgent</p>  | <p>Name</p>  | <p>Cllr Nathan Evans</p>   |
|  | <p>Signed</p>  |  |
|  | <p>Date</p>  | <p>20 April 2018</p>   |

|                             |                           |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <p>Request prepared by:</p> | <p>David Taylor, GMCA</p> |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|