

### **KEY DECISION NOTICE**

## (RECORDING OF DECISIONS MADE BY INDIVIDUALS)

## 1. Decision Summary:

Decision Maker	Date of Decision	
Richard Paver	13 November 2018	
Date Decision Published	Date Decision will come into force	
13 November 2018	20 November 2018	
Subject:		
Award of the Creater Manchester Working Wall Farly Help Programme and Evolution		
Award of the Greater Manchester Working Well Early Help Programme and Evaluation Partner Contracts		

Type of Decision:			
Mayoral Decision	N/A	Non Mayoral Decision Made by Officers	Yes

#### 2. Details of the Decision:

The decisi	on is that:			
The GMCA will award 2 Contracts in the relation to the Greater Manchester Working Well Early Help Programme. The service programme to be awarded to <b>Maximus People Services</b> (Healthworks) and the Evaluation Partner for this Programme to <b>Sheffield Hallam University</b> . The rationale is based on this tenderer scoring the highest on a Quality/Cost basis and the most economically advantageous submission.				
The reason	s for the decision a	are:		
	unity was advertised Official Journal for Eu			Vebsite, Contracts Finder
Help Progra Sheffield Ha	mme and subseque	nt evaluation par rationale is base	tner contract to Ma ed on this Tendere	chester Working Well Early aximus People Services and r scoring the highest on a ission.
The Tender	ers have submitted b	oids which compl	ied with the require	ements of the Finance
			0700/005-	
BOLTON BURY	OLDHAM	ROCHDALE SALFORD	STOCKPORT TAMESIDE	TRAFFORD WIGAN

Submission question in the Invitation to Submit Final Tender Document.

Maximus People Services have provided a price for delivery and evidenced the rational for this price with a detailed financial model which provided a satisfactory level of confidence in the delivery model. The rationale provides an awareness of evidence gaps, due to the test and learn nature of the programme, and provided explained mitigation.

The Tenderer submitted a competitive price with desirable outcome levels and, as a result, was awarded full marks in the financial section of the evaluation.

Sheffield Hallam University also submitted a competitive price with a comprehensive methodology for undertaking this evaluation. No Risks were raised regarding their financial submission

Public Contract Regulations 2015 have been complied with and Competitive Dialogue procedure was selected as being the most appropriate procedure.

#### Alternative options considered and rejected when making the decision:

An open and restricted tender procedure was considered however due to the test and learn nature of the programme a competitive dialogue approach was deemed most appropriate for innovative solution to be submitted.

During the procurement process the responses of the other bidders were also assessed and throughout each stage of the process the successful bidders were the highest scoring at each stage. A quality weighted threshold was applied and both organisations achieved substantially higher than this threshold.

#### 3. Conflict of Interest:

Record of any conflict of interest declared by any Member who is consulted by the member or officer which related to the decision:

No conflict of interest noted by evaluation panel members whom signed a confidentially and conflict of interest form.

Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service in respect of any conflict of interest declared:

N/A – No conflicts of interest noted

#### 4. Register of Key Decisions:

Published on the Register of Key Decisions	27 September 2018
Or if not published in the Register of Key Decisions - Reasons for general or special urgency such that it was not published in the Register of Key Decisions	

## 5. Scrutiny Call In: Please note the WWEH programme was on the agenda of March's Economy Scrutiny Panel.

Call-in deadline	4pm on 20 November 2017
Or if Exempt from Call-in explain how any delay would seriously prejudice the legal or financial position of the GMCA or the Constituent Councils, or the interests of the residents of Greater Manchester	
Scrutiny Chair who agreed the urgency	

#### 6. Signature of Decision Maker/s:

Signed on behalf of GMCA

Rowth

Date: 13 November 2018 Contact Officer: Richard Paver

# 7. For delegated decisions which are to be made in consultation with others please include:

Name/s of politician/s or Officer/s who must be consulted (if any)	Consultee signature: by signing this decision notice you confirm that you have been consulted on this decision
N/A	N/A

#### NOTE:

Decisions made by the Mayor will also be reported to the GMCA at its next meeting (except where the decision concerned was taken openly by the Mayor while sitting within a formal meeting of the GMCA).

Non Mayoral Decisions made by Officers will be available for public inspection at the GMCA's offices and on the GMCA's website.