

Places for Everyone Tameside Omission Sites Issues Summary

February 2022



Omission Sites – Tameside

A summary of the issues raised in relation to Omitted Sites in Tameside and the relevant respondents to PfE 2021 is set out below:

Row	Site name	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
1	Cross Lane,	Site should be re-introduced as part of Ashton Moss West	The site was submitted as a 'call for site' and was therefore assessed	Taylor Wimpey
	Littlemoss	JPA30 or as a standalone allocation for residential development	against the site selection criteria as set out in the Site Selection	
		as it would complement Ashton Moss West and deliver a	Background Paper [03.04.01] (Para 6.15). The site was identified in	
		comprehensive sustainable mixed use development, including	an Area of Search (TA-AS-7) as shown in Appendix 2a Site Selection	
		required infrastructure and improve the viability prospects of	Maps with Areas of Search [03.04.03]. This shows the area of search	
		JPA30.	as meeting Criterion 1 and 4 relating to public transport connectivity	
			and proximity to Ashton-under-Lyne town centre.	
		The site does not fulfil any of the purposes of including land in		
		the Green Belt. It is well contained on all sides and relates well	The site at Cross Lanes was previously identified in the 2016 GMSF	
		to existing residential areas and is of a scale where it can be	as part of the broader Eastern Gateway site EG1 Littlemoss/Ashton	
		supported by the necessary infrastructure and facilities. There	Moss. However, the northern area (Site ref: 1455285856833),	
		are no insurmountable constraints to its development, is	including the land identified at Cross Lane, was discounted and	
		deliverable within the next five years, and is promoted by a	removed from the emerging plan for reasons set out on page 55 of	
		national house builder with a proven track record and was	Appendix 7 Summary of Planning Assessments [03.04.09]. Therefore,	
		previously within the plan.	no change to the plan is considered as necessary.	
	Land at Marl Villa,	Development of the site would comprise a logical urban	The site was submitted as a 'call for site' and was therefore assessed	Wainhomes (NW) Lt
	Mottram Road,	extension to Hattersley, is in single ownership and promoted by	against the site selection criteria as set out in the Site Selection	
	Hyde	Wainhomes (NW) Ltd, a national house builder with a proven	Background Paper [03.04.01] (Para 6.15). The site was identified in	
		track record and is able to make a meaningful contribution to	an Area of Search (TA-AS-5) as shown in Appendix 2a Site Selection	
		the housing land supply early in the plan period.	Maps with Areas of Search [03.04.03]. This shows the area of search	
			as meeting Criterion 5 due to its proximity to an area of deprivation on	
		The site would contribute towards the ongoing regeneration of	which it could have a regenerative impact.	
		Hattersley, is located on the existing urban fringe and contained		
		by the M67 to the north. It does not perform against the	The site at Marl Villa has not been included previously as a proposed	
		purposes of Green Belt. There are few visual receptors affected	allocation. Although the site is within an Area of Search TA-AS-5	
		given the sites enclosed nature and impacts are acceptable in	shown in Appendix 2a Site Selection Maps with Areas of Search	
		landscape terms. There are no major ecological, arboricultural	[03.04.03] the subject site (Site ref: 1453289074014) was excluded	
			for reasons set out on page 51 of Appendix 7 Summary of Planning	

Row	Site name	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
		or transport constraints and key services and public transport	Assessments [03.04.09]. Therefore, no change to the plan is	
		are accessible.	considered as necessary.	
3	Land at Holme	The site is represented by a landowner consortium and is	Part of the site was submitted as a 'call for site' in 2019 (Site Ref:	Landowners of Holm
	Valley, Woolley	suitable for lower density family housing as is needed. It is	1026559166) and has been assessed against the site selection	Valley
	Bridge,	aided by provision of the Mottram moor bypass link road and	criteria as set out in the Site Selection Background Paper [03.04.01]	
	Hollingworth	there is potential for socio economic benefits of delivering	(Para 6.15). The remainder of the site has not been submitted as 'call	
		housing alongside this. It is sustainably located, close to Dinting	for site'. The site does not fall within an Area of Search and it does	
		station and has bus stops adjacent	not meet any of the site selection criteria as shown in Appendix 2a	
			Site Selection Maps with Areas of Search [03.04.03].	
		It is a poorly performing Green Belt location and its allocation		
		would not merge towns together part sitting within High Peak	Therefore, the site at Holme Valley has not been included previously	
		Borough Council. It has no statutory ecological constraints, is	as a proposed allocation as set out in Appendix 9 Schedule of All	
		primarily in flood zone one, part in zone 3, has a few listed	Sites [03.04.11] (Page 86) and no change to the plan is considered as	
		buildings, but does not present issues which cannot be	necessary.	
		mitigated through design and could present a walkable and		
		sustainable development.		
	Land at Lees Road,	Site is within single ownership, has no issues which would	Three sites were submitted as a 'call for site' (Site Refs:	Richborough Estates
	Ashton-under-Lyne	preclude residential development, is near to services in Ashton	1452183742190; 1452185335912; and 1452186288595) and were	
		town centre, was previously included within the 2016 draft	therefore assessed against the site selection criteria as set out in the	
		GMSF and could be supported by contributions for mitigating	Site Selection Background Paper [03.04.01] (Para 6.15). The sites	
		infrastructure as needed. The site is capable of delivering new	were identified in Area of Search TA-2016-2, as shown in Appendix	
		homes early in the plan period and able to contribute toward	2a Site Selection Maps with Areas of Search [03.04.03].	
		what should be a more family orientated land supply.		
			The site at Lees Road was previously identified in the 2016 GMSF as	
			part of the broader OA27 North of Ashton-under-Lyne Area. However,	
			the site does not meet any of the site selection criteria and was	
			removed from the plan as set out in Appendix 9 Schedule of All Sites	
			[03.04.11] (Page 77). Therefore, no change to the plan is considered	
			as necessary.	
	Land at Matley	This previously proposed site makes a weak contribution to the	The site was submitted as a 'call for site' and was therefore assessed	Metacre Ltd
	Lane, Tameside	existing Green Belt and is a logical extension to the existing	against the site selection criteria. Site selection followed the	
		settlement of Hyde.	methodology as set out in the Site Selection Background Paper	

Summary of Issues Raised – Omission Sites – Tameside 2

Row	Site name	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
			[03.04.01] (Para 6.15), the purpose of which is to identify suitable	
			locations for residential and employment development that are	
			capable of achieving the plan's Vision, Objectives and Spatial	
			Strategy and help meet the housing and employment land supply.	
			The site at Matley Lane has not been included previously as a	
			proposed allocation as set out in Appendix 9 Schedule of All Sites	
			[03.04.11] (Page 80). The site is not within a defined Area of Search	
			as shown in Appendix 2a Site Selection Maps [03.04.03] and does	
			not meet any of the site selection criteria. Therefore, it was not	
			previously included as an allocation and no change to the plan is	
			considered as necessary.	
6	Land North and	The site previously formed part of the Littlemoss allocation in	The site was submitted as a 'call for site' and was therefore assessed	Miller Homes
	South of Lumb	2016 as a sustainable urban extension to Ashton, is promoted	against the site selection criteria as set out in the Site Selection	
	Lane, Ashton-	by single land owner and national house builder, Miller Homes,	Background Paper [03.04.01] (Para 6.15). The site was identified in	
	under-Lyne	and can be delivered early within the plan period. It is in a highly	an Area of Search (TA-AS-7) as shown in Appendix 2a Site Selection	
		sustainable and suitable location and should be released from	Maps with Areas of Search [03.04.03]. This shows the area of search	
		the Green Belt and re-allocated for residential development.	as meeting Criterion 1 and 4 relating to public transport connectivity	
			and proximity to Ashton-under-Lyne town centre.	
		The site is well contained on all sides by existing development		
		and physical barriers, is excellently located for social	The land north and south of Lumb Lane was previously identified in	
		infrastructure, topographically flat, in flood zone 1 and has	the 2016 GMSF as part of the broader Eastern Gateway site EG1	
		limited tree cover with none subject to preservation order. There	Littlemoss/Ashton Moss. However, the area (Site ref:	
		is no ecology present sufficient to prevent allocation, and it has	1452180662402) was discounted and removed from the emerging	
		excellent transport connections available.	plan for reasons set out on page 49/50 of Appendix 7 Summary of	
			Planning Assessments [03.04.09]. Therefore, no change to the plan is	
		There are urbanising features present and it scores better than	considered as necessary.	
		the retained allocations against both the site selection criteria		
		and Green Belt Assessment. It is able to support delivery of		
		family homes to broaden the housing offer and is considered		
		available, suitable and achievable as a site, and should be		
		included as part of JPA30 or as a standalone allocation.		

Summary of Issues Raised – Omission Sites – Tameside 3

Row	Site name	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
7	The Former ABC	Site is not listed on the brownfield land register, if brought	The site, generally referred to as ABC Wax, is identified in both the	Gee Cross Residents
	Waxworks,	forward it would negate the need for the South of Hyde JPA32	council's most recent Strategic Housing and Economic Land	Michael Hullock
	Commercial Street,	to be developed.	Availability Assessment (SHELAA) and the Brownfield Land Register	David Morten
	Hyde		(BLR). The site reference in both documents is H-HYDNEW-003.	Save Apethorn &
		Formerly a factory the site has long since closed, being largely		Bowlacre Green Belt
		demolished in 2014.	Based on information available at the time of the SHELAA's	Group
			preparation it is identified as having the potential to deliver a total of	
		The Brownfield Land Register is not up to date.	155 dwellings: 93 dwellings in the 11-16 year period with the	
			remaining 62 dwellings delivered after 2037.	
			The SHELAA and BLR are updated on an annual basis and published	
			on the council's website and as open data.	
8	Hyde Library, Hyde	Site is not listed on the brownfield land register, if brought	The former Hyde Library site was discounted in the council's most	Gee Cross Residents
		forward it would negate the need for the South of Hyde JPA32	recent Strategic Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	David Morten
		to be developed.	(SHELAA) and not included in the <u>Brownfield Land Register</u> (BLR).	Save Apethorn &
			The site reference in both documents is H-HYDGOD-088.	Bowlacre Green Belt
		The former library has deemed surplus to requirements and is		Group
		available for development.	At the time that the SHELAA was being revised in 2021 the site was	
			not considered to be available for potential residential redevelopment	
		The Brownfield Land Register is not up to date.	because alternative non-residential uses were being considered.	
9	Hattersley	Site is not listed on the brownfield land register, if brought	The former Hattersley District Centres site is identified in both the	Gee Cross Residents
	Community Centre,	forward it would negate the need for the South of Hyde JPA32	council's most recent Strategic Housing and Economic Land	David Morten
	Hattersley	to be developed.	Availability Assessment (SHELAA) and the Brownfield Land Register	Save Apethorn &
			(BLR). The site reference in both documents is H-LONGDE-235.	Bowlacre Green Belt
		The site has recently been sold for social housing.		Group
			Based on information available at the time of the SHELAA's	
			preparation it is identified as having the potential to deliver a total of	
			91 dwelling in the 0-5 year period.	
			The SHELAA and BLR are updated on an annual basis and published	
			on the council's website and as open data.	

Row	Site name	Summary of issues raised to PfE2021	Summary response to issues raised to PfE2021	Respondent name(s)
10	Two Trees High	This brownfield site should have already been brought to the	The former Two Trees School site is identified in both the Council's	Gee Cross Residents
	School, Denton	market and developed. Its inclusion on the brownfield land	most recent Strategic Housing and Economic Land Availability	Michael Young
		register could reduce the need for Green Belt to be developed.	Assessment (SHELAA) and the Brownfield Land Register (BLR). The	Simon Haughton
			site reference in both documents is H-DENSTH-022.	Janine Ainley
				David Morten
			Based on information available at the time of the SHELAA's	Save Apethorn &
			preparation it is identified as having the potential to deliver a total of	Bowlacre Green Belt
			247 dwelling in the 06-16 year period.	Group
				SGMGB - Save
			The SHELAA and BLR are updated on an annual basis and published	Apethorn & Bowlacre
			on the council's website and as open data.	