

## Appendix D

### Allocation Policies – Assessment Tables

## Allocation Policies – Assessment Tables

---

The following allocation policies have been assessed against the IA Framework in 2023:

- JPA1.1 and JPA1.2 - Northern Gateway
- JPA2 - Stakehill
- JPA3.1 - Medipark
- JPA3.2 - Timperley Wedge
- JPA4 - Bewshill Farm
- JPA5 - Chequerbent North
- JPA6 - West of Wingates
- JPA7 - Elton Reservoir Area
- JPA8 - Seedfield
- JPA9 - Walshaw
- JPA12 - Beal Valley
- JPA13 - Bottom Field Farm
- JPA14 - Broadbent Moss
- JPA14 - Chew Brook Vale (Robert Fletchers)
- JPA16 - Cowlshaw
- JPA17 – Land south of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road)
- JPA18 - Rosary Road
- JPA19 - Bamford and Norden
- JPA20 - Castleton Sidings
- JPA21 - Crimble Mill
- JPA22 – Land north of Simthy Bridge
- JPA23 - Newhey Quarry
- JPA24 - Roch Valley
- JPA25 - Trows Farm
- JPA26 - Hazelhurst Farm

- JPA27 - East of Boothstown
- JPA29 - Port Salford Extension
- JPA30 - Ashton Moss West
- JPA31 - Godley Green
- JPA32 - South of Hyde
- JPA33 – New Carrington
- JPA34 - M6 J25
- JPA35 - North of Mosley Common
- JPA36 - Pocket Nook
- PA37 - West of Gibfield

To allow comparison with the submission plan and the 2023 PfE Composite Plan, each 2023 IA assessment includes the relevant previous IA assessment with the updated 2023 IA assessment for each policy that has been reassessed for transparency in how the policy and the IA assessment has evolved. Some policies were assessed in 2020 and some reassessed in 2021 with the withdrawal of Stockport where relevant, therefore some policies have been assessed at different dates.

JPA1.1 and 1.2 Northern Gateway				2021	2023				
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 4,500 new homes split between the following sites:  Heywood/Pilsworth- around 200 units. Simister and Bowlee- around 1,550 units.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Allocation policy references custom and self build housing.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy includes the provision of employment land at the Heywood/ Pilsworth site.  In Simister and Bowlee there are new district centres proposed.  Across the areas the policy states: Make provision for new, upgraded and publicly accessible green infrastructure throughout the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: Deliver around 1,200,000 m2 of industrial and warehousing space in Heywood/ Pilsworth.  In addition, the new district centres in Simister and Bowlee would create new jobs through retail and service provision.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states across the whole allocation there will be: Community facilities; including one new primary school and contributions to an off-site secondary school.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	The site is adjacent to a number of existing bus stops. New public transport proposed: improved public transport connectivity including rapid transit, and potential for Metrolink extension to Middleton.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D and green infrastructure.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: an upgrade to the local highways network, offsite highways works where required, junction improvements where required, improved public transport connectivity including rapid transit, and routes for walking/cycling through the area and to adjoining towns and neighbourhoods.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: an upgrade to the local highways network, offsite highways works where required, junction improvements where required, improved public transport connectivity including rapid transit, and routes for walking/cycling which increase connectivity through the area and to adjoining towns and neighbourhoods.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant joint plan or local planning policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	Policy states: Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to local services and to the new areas of employment.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	Policy states: Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to local services and to the new areas of employment.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	+	Policy states: Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to local services and to the new areas of employment.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	Policy includes reference to integrating with local communities.	It is considered that accessibility standards is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Nothing proposed.	Each allocation is within specific locations and therefore is within one area type.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	The policy states that the following interventions will be taken throughout all three of the sites.  Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements: improved public transport connectivity including rapid transit, and routes for walking/cycling which increase connectivity through the area and to adjoining towns and neighbourhoods.  In reference to green infrastructure the policy makes significant reference to: A network of new, upgraded and publicly accessible green infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about the delivery of green infrastructure and references Policy JP-G2 and references to Policy JP-G8 (deleted policy) has been removed.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	The policy makes significant reference to improvements across the all two sites including: plans for areas of green infrastructure, biodiversity features and other areas of open space.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about the delivery of green infrastructure and references Policy JP-G2 and references to Policy JP-G8 (deleted policy) has been removed.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2, JP-P7 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	++	Policy states: Make provision for new local centres in accessible locations which include a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities and ensure they are integrated with existing communities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include references to a new local centre, hotel, leisure and conference facilities in accessible locations.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P3. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states across the whole allocation there will be community facilities including one new primary school contributions to an off site secondary school.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	The policy makes reference to the provision of recreational facilities across the two sites.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the retention of existing recreation facilities.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P3. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states across the whole allocation there will be community facilities including one new primary school contributions to an off site secondary school.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements across the whole allocation: improved public transport connectivity including rapid transit and Metrolink extension, and routes for walking/cycling which increase connectivity through the area and to adjoining towns and neighbourhoods.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements across the whole allocation: improved public transport connectivity including rapid transit and Metrolink extension, and routes for walking/cycling which increase connectivity through the area and to adjoining towns and neighbourhoods.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements across the whole allocation: improved public transport connectivity including rapid transit and Metrolink extension, and routes for walking/cycling which increase connectivity through the area and to adjoining towns and neighbourhoods.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/-	AQMA cuts through the centre of the site.  The policy make reference to improved active transport and to mitigating air quality along the M62 and M66 motorway corridors. The policy also makes reference to a project specific HRA to consider the impact of traffic increasing on the M62.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. The allocation boundary has been amended however the AQMA is still located in the site.	++/-	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	<p>Within the site there is a site of biological importance 'streams and flushes near Bradley Hall Farm' a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes references across the allocation to: A network of new, upgraded and publicly accessible green infrastructure. The includes the following across the sites:</p> <p>Seek to offset the loss of private open land through the provision of an accessible and high quality green and blue infrastructure network to provide health benefits to workers and residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement of existing features such as Whittle and Brightly Brooks. (Haywood/ Pilsworth).</p> <p>Minimise impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets within the site; (Heywood/ Pilsworth and Simister and Bowlee).</p> <p>In addition, across all of the allocations the policy make provision for new recreation facilities and to retail existing facilities.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text relating to the impact, delivery and long term management of biodiversity and reference to Policy JP-G2 and JP-G8 (deleted policy) have been removed from the JP allocation 1.1 but retained in JP allocation 1.2. The allocation boundary has been amended however the Site of Biological Importance, priority habitat and wildlife corridor remain within the site.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	<p>Within the site there is a site of biological importance 'streams and flushes near Bradley Hall Farm' a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes references across the allocation to: A network of new, upgraded and publicly accessible green infrastructure. The includes the following across the sites:</p> <p>Seek to offset the loss of private open land through the provision of an accessible and high quality green and blue infrastructure network to provide health benefits to workers and residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement of existing features such as Whittle and Brightly Brooks. (Haywood/ Pilsworth).</p> <p>Minimise impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets within the site; (Heywood/ Pilsworth and Simister and Bowlee).</p> <p>In addition, across all of the allocations the policy make provision for new recreation facilities and to retail existing facilities.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	<p>Policy text relating to the impact, delivery and long term management of biodiversity and reference to Policy JP-G2 and JP-G8 (deleted policy) have been removed from the JP allocation 1.1 but retained in JP allocation 1.2. The allocation boundary has been amended however the Site of Biological Importance, priority habitat and wildlife corridor remain within the site.</p> <p>However, policy wording has been added requiring developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.</p>	++	Policy wording has been added that explicitly protects irreplaceable peat habitat from inappropriate development. Therefore, the scoring has changed to very positive.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Within the site there is a site of biological importance 'streams and flushes near Bradley Hall Farm' a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes references across the allocation to: A network of new, upgraded and publicly accessible green infrastructure. The includes the following across the sites:</p> <p>Seek to offset the loss of private open land through the provision of an accessible and high quality green and blue infrastructure network to provide health benefits to workers and residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement of existing features such as Whittle and Brightly Brooks. (Haywood/ Pilsworth).</p> <p>Minimise impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets within the site; (Heywood/ Pilsworth and Simister and Bowlee).</p> <p>In addition, across all of the allocations the policy make provision for new recreation facilities and to retail existing facilities.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text relating to the impact, delivery and long term management of biodiversity and reference to Policy JP-G2 and JP-G8 (deleted policy) have been removed from the JP allocation 1.1 but retained in JP allocation 1.2. The allocation boundary has been amended however the Site of Biological Importance, priority habitat and wildlife corridor remain within the site.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquility?	++	<p>Within the site there is a site of biological importance 'streams and flushes near Bradley Hall Farm' a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes references across the allocation to: A network of new, upgraded and publicly accessible green infrastructure. The includes the following across the sites:</p> <p>Seek to offset the loss of private open land through the provision of an accessible and high quality green and blue infrastructure network to provide health benefits to workers and residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment. This should include the enhancement of existing features such as Whittle and Brightly Brooks. (Haywood/ Pilsworth).</p> <p>Minimise impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets within the site; (Heywood/ Pilsworth and Simister and Bowlee).</p> <p>In addition, across all of the allocations the policy make provision for new recreation facilities and to retail existing facilities.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the retention of existing recreation facilities and providing multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the site.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-P3. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	<p>Climate change vulnerability is varying across the site from low to high.</p> <p>The policy includes supporting to improve public transport infrastructure, active travel, green and blue infrastructure and EV charging points.</p>	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text removes references to managing surface water, implementing SuDS and the long-term management of SuDS. Policy text has been rephrased regarding the retention of existing recreation facilities and providing multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the site. Policy text is retained regarding ensuring mitigation from potential flood risk from the Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook in JP allocation 1.1 but removed in JP allocation 1.2. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	++	A small section of the site contains flood zone 2 and 3.  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from all sources including Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. The delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy which ensures co-ordination between phases of development.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text removes references to managing surface water, implementing SuDS and the long-term management of SuDS. Policy text is retained regarding ensuring mitigation from potential flood risk from the Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook in JP allocation 1.1 but removed in JP allocation 1.2. The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding	?	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from very positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	++	A small section of the site contains flood zone 2 and 3.  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from all sources including Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. The delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy which ensures co-ordination between phases of development.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text removes references to managing surface water, implementing SuDS and the long-term management of SuDS. Policy text is retained regarding ensuring mitigation from potential flood risk from the Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook in JP allocation 1.1 but removed in JP allocation 1.2. The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding.	?	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from very positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	A small section of the site contains flood zone 2 and 3.  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from all sources including Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. The delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy which ensures co-ordination between phases of development.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text removes references to managing surface water, implementing SuDS and the long-term management of SuDS. Policy text is retained regarding ensuring mitigation from potential flood risk from the Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook in JP allocation 1.1 but removed in JP allocation 1.2. The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding.	?	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	A small section of the site contains flood zone 2 and 3  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from all sources including Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere. The delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy which ensures co-ordination between phases of development	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text removes references to managing surface water, implementing SuDS and the long-term management of SuDS. Policy text is retained regarding ensuring mitigation from potential flood risk from the Whittle Brook, Castle Brook and Brightley Brook in JP allocation 1.1 but removed in JP allocation 1.2. The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding.	?	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	○	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	○	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	○	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking, in addition to significant public transport provision. No reference to low carbon or renewables.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	There are no landscape designations on the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	The sites contain listed structures Lower Whittle Farmhouse, Brick Farmhouse and Church of St George.  Policy States: Protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage assets and their setting within the allocation, including the Grade II Listed buildings Brick Farmhouse and Lower Whittle Farmhouse and the wider historic character of the surrounding setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about the consideration of heritage assets within the site and signposts to Policy JP-P2. The allocation boundary has been amended however the site still includes the identified listed buildings.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	Not referenced in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	Mainly greenfield land, grade 4 and 3 agricultural land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	-	Mainly greenfield land, grade 4 and 3 agricultural land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy wording has been added for developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.	++	Policy wording has been added that explicitly protects soil resources from inappropriate development. Therefore, the scoring has changed to very positive.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	Mainly greenfield land, grade 4 and 3 agricultural land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Mainly greenfield land, grade 4 and 3 agricultural land.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified

18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA2 Stakehill			2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 1,680 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	+	Policy states: around 1,680 high quality homes, including larger, higher value properties.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	The policy is for both housing and employment land. The policy also makes reference to green space stating: Deliver high quality landscaping and green infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: Deliver around 150,000 sq m of high quality, adaptable, employment floorspace.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Contribute and make provision for additional school places either through an expansion of existing primary and secondary schools or through new provision within the site, including the expansion of Thornham St John's Primary School located within the allocation.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy states: Support the delivery of improved public transport to and within the area to promote more sustainable travel and improve linkages to the employment opportunities from surrounding residential areas.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Support the delivery of improved public transport to and within the area to promote more sustainable travel and improve linkages to the employment opportunities from surrounding residential areas.  Contribute to the proposed new railway station at Slattocks which is currently being investigated by TfGM and ensure that new development is designed in a way to maximise the benefits of the new rail station through the creation of high quality walking and cycling routes.  Provide good quality walking and cycling routes to connect to new and existing residential areas and local transport hubs in order to encourage sustainable short journeys to work and promote healthier lifestyles.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Support the delivery of improved public transport to and within the area to promote more sustainable travel and improve linkages to the employment opportunities from surrounding residential areas.  Contribute to the proposed new railway station at Slattocks which is currently being investigated by TfGM and ensure that new development is designed in a way to maximise the benefits of the new rail station through the creation of high quality walking and cycling routes.  Provide good quality walking and cycling routes to connect to new and existing residential areas and local transport hubs in order to encourage sustainable short journeys to work and promote healthier lifestyles.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	○	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	○	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	○	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	+	Policy states: Achieve excellent design and sustainability through masterplanning and the use of design codes for the whole site to ensure comprehensive development. The scale of the residential development on the northern part of the allocation provides an opportunity to adopt a 'garden village' approach to create a locally distinctive residential offer.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	○	Nothing proposed.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	○	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	○	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Provide good quality walking and cycling routes to connect to new and existing residential areas and local transport hubs in order to encourage sustainable short journeys to work and promote healthier lifestyles.  In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Deliver high quality landscaping and green infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area. This should include good quality boundary treatment, particularly on the boundary separating the development area and land to be retained as Green Belt to the south to provide an attractive defensible Green Belt boundary.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	○	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Deliver high quality landscaping and green infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The policy states: Given the scale of the new housing provision it will be necessary for the proposal to deliver social infrastructure to ensure that the needs of new and existing communities are properly met.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Contribute and make provision for additional school places either through an expansion of existing primary and secondary schools or through new provision within the site, including the expansion of Thornham St John's Primary School located within the allocation.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	+	The policy states: Given the scale of the new housing provision it will be necessary for the proposal to deliver social infrastructure to ensure that the needs of new and existing communities are properly met.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Contribute and make provision for additional school places either through an expansion of existing primary and secondary schools or through new provision within the site, including the expansion of Thornham St John's Primary School located within the allocation.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	○	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Provide good quality walking and cycling routes to connect to new and existing residential areas and local transport hubs in order to encourage sustainable short journeys to work and promote healthier lifestyles. Support the delivery of improved public transport to and within the area to promote more sustainable travel and improve linkages to the employment opportunities from surrounding residential areas.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	'Policy states: Provide good quality walking and cycling routes to connect to new and existing residential areas and local transport hubs in order to encourage sustainable short journeys to work and promote healthier lifestyles. Support the delivery of improved public transport to and within the area to promote more sustainable travel and improve linkages to the employment opportunities from surrounding residential areas.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	'Policy states: Provide good quality walking and cycling routes to connect to new and existing residential areas and local transport hubs in order to encourage sustainable short journeys to work and promote healthier lifestyles. Support the delivery of improved public transport to and within the area to promote more sustainable travel and improve linkages to the employment opportunities from surrounding residential areas.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/--	An AQMA is located directly adjacent to the site along the northern and eastern boundaries.  The policy makes provision for active transport, electric vehicle and cycle infrastructure. In addition the policy states: incorporate air quality mitigation.  Contribute to the proposed new railway station at Slattocks which is currently being investigated by TfGM and ensure that new development is designed in a way to maximise the benefits of the new rail station through the creation of high quality walking and cycling routes	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++/--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	Within the site there is a wildlife corridor. Rochdale Canal SSSI runs to the west of the site. The policy reflects this stating: Retain and where possible enhance areas of biodiversity within the area, notably the Rochdale Canal Site of Special Scientific Interest, along with the existing brooks and ponds within the site  The policy also makes reference to green infrastructure and recreation: Deliver high quality landscaping and green infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area. This should include good quality boundary treatment, particularly on the boundary separating the development area and land to be retained as Green Belt to the south to provide an attractive defensible Green Belt boundary.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.  New criteria has also been added to clarify the need to strengthen green belt boundaries.	++	The added wording strengthens the policy text by clarifying the that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to the Green Belt. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++	<p>Within the site there is a wildlife corridor. Rochdale Canal SSSI runs to the west of the site. The policy reflects this stating: Retain and where possible enhance areas of biodiversity within the area, notably the Rochdale Canal Site of Special Scientific Interest, along with the existing brooks and ponds within the site</p> <p>The policy also makes reference to green infrastructure and recreation: Deliver high quality landscaping and green infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area. This should include good quality boundary treatment, particularly on the boundary separating the development area and land to be retained as Green Belt to the south to provide an attractive defensible Green Belt boundary.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.</p> <p>New criteria has also been added to clarify the need to strengthen green belt boundaries.</p>	++	The added wording strengthens the policy text by clarifying the that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to the Green Belt. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Within the site there is a wildlife corridor. Rochdale Canal SSSI runs to the west of the site. The policy reflects this stating: Retain and where possible enhance areas of biodiversity within the area, notably the Rochdale Canal Site of Special Scientific Interest, along with the existing brooks and ponds within the site</p> <p>The policy also makes reference to green infrastructure and recreation: Deliver high quality landscaping and green infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area. This should include good quality boundary treatment, particularly on the boundary separating the development area and land to be retained as Green Belt to the south to provide an attractive defensible Green Belt boundary.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	<p>Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.</p> <p>New criteria has also been added to clarify the need to strengthen green belt boundaries.</p>	++	The added wording strengthens the policy text by clarifying the that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to the Green Belt. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>Within the site there is a wildlife corridor. Rochdale Canal SSSI runs to the west of the site. The policy reflects this stating: Retain and where possible enhance areas of biodiversity within the area, notably the Rochdale Canal Site of Special Scientific Interest, along with the existing brooks and ponds within the site</p> <p>The policy also makes reference to green infrastructure and recreation: Deliver high quality landscaping and green infrastructure within the site both to enhance the attractiveness of the scheme and provide opportunities for recreation to both residents and people working in the area. This should include good quality boundary treatment, particularly on the boundary separating the development area and land to be retained as Green Belt to the south to provide an attractive defensible Green Belt boundary.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	Climate change vulnerability: High.  No reference in the policy to flood mitigation.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been amended to make provision for new and improved sustainable transport and highways infrastructure having regard to the indicative transport interventions set out in Appendix D in accordance with Policy JP-C7.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3.  No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3.  No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3.  No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3.  No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	+	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking.  No reference to energy efficiency.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	Reference in policy to cycling and walking.  No reference to energy efficiency.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking.  No reference to energy efficiency.  The policy makes provision for active transport, electric vehicle and cycle infrastructure. In addition the policy states: incorporate air quality mitigation. Contribute to the proposed new railway station at Slattocks which is currently being investigated by TfGM and ensure that new development is designed in a way to maximise the benefits of the new rail station through the creation of high quality walking and cycling routes.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Have regard to views from Tandle Hill Country Park in terms of the design, landscaping and boundary treatment in order to minimise the visual impact as much as possible.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to clarify which landscape character type the allocation falls under.  Policy text has been added to ensure the policy reflects and respond to the special qualities and sensitivities of the key characteristics of this landscape character type in accordance with policy JP-G1	++	The added wording to include the landscape character type provides further clarity to the allocation.  The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-G1: Landscape Character strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific landscape character policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	+	There are two listed buildings on the site; Church of St. John and Thornham Parish War Memorial.  The policy states: Ensure that the design of the scheme preserves or enhances the setting of the listed St John's Church and war memorial in line with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to take appropriate account of the listed St John's Church and war memorial in accordance with Policy JP-P2.  Reference to a Historic Environmental Assessment has been removed.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-P2: Heritage strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific heritage policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	0	No designations exist locally which could be impacted upon in relation to local character and distinctiveness. Additionally the policy does not make reference to such assets.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	Nearly all Grade 4 apart from a small patch in the south which is Grade 3. The allocation boundary has been amended, resulting in a minor reduction of Green Belt removal.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	-	Nearly all Grade 4 apart from a small patch in the south which is Grade 4. The allocation boundary has been amended, resulting in a minor reduction of Green Belt removal.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	Nearly all Grade 4 apart from a small patch in the south which is Grade 5.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Nearly all Grade 4 apart from a small patch in the south which is Grade 6.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA3.1 Medipark				2020				2023				
Ref	Objective	Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	o	Employment land	No change			o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	o	Employment land	No change			o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	o	Employment land	No change			o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	o	Employment land	No change			o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: deliver 86,000sqm of B1 focused floorspace	No change		Use class has been updated to Class E (g) for clarity.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
		2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	Not mentioned in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy states: Development should be configured to take advantage of the significant opportunities of transport infrastructure in the area protecting the line of the proposed Metrolink extension to Manchester Airport	No change			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Facilitate improvements to the surrounding local highway network and configured to take advantage of proposed Metrolink extension to Manchester Airport	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	Policy states: takes into consideration proposed Metrolink extension to Manchester Airport	No change			+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	No mention, site assessment highlights local infrastructure in the area, but ability to support scale/distribution cannot be determined.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	No mention. Employment land potentially less likely to directly affect community relations than new housing.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics (noting that development specifics such as access/facilities for the disabled and elderly will be considered at detailed design)	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	No mention in policy	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy makes reference to enhancing green infrastructure and providing high quality natural landscaping with benefits including promoting biodiversity	No change			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: protect adjacent playing field and enhanced green infrastructure	No change		Policy text has been rephrased and new criteria signposting to Policy JP-P7 has been added.	+	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-P7: Sport and Recreation strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific recreational policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has remained the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-J7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision, but proposal for employment land unlikely to affect local capacity	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	As above	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	As above. Policy states that development should not impact on adjacent playing fields	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	O	No new provision, but proposal for employment land unlikely to affect local capacity	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	No mention to active travel but includes need to configure design around proposed Metrolink extension	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	No mention to active travel but includes need to configure design around proposed Metrolink extension	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	No mention to active travel but includes need to configure design around proposed Metrolink extension	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	-/+	No AQMA within 150m. Additional trips to site may affect AQ	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+/?	No designations or priority habitats on sites. This does not necessarily mean there is no ecological interest on the site. Policy states: High quality natural landscaping, including the provision of native species, should be delivered adjoining the brook to help mitigate flood risk and promote biodiversity. Enhanced green infrastructure included in policy Protection of adjacent playing field included	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	No designations or priority habitats on sites. This does not necessarily mean there is no ecological interest on the site. Policy states: High quality natural landscaping, including the provision of native species, should be delivered adjoining the brook to help mitigate flood risk and promote biodiversity. Enhanced green infrastructure included in policy Protection of adjacent playing field included	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	No designations or priority habitats on sites. This does not necessarily mean there is no ecological interest on the site. Policy states: High quality natural landscaping, including the provision of native species, should be delivered adjoining the brook to help mitigate flood risk and promote biodiversity. Enhanced green infrastructure included in policy Protection of adjacent playing field included	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	No designations or priority habitats on sites. This does not necessarily mean there is no ecological interest on the site. Policy states: High quality natural landscaping, including the provision of native species, should be delivered adjoining the brook to help mitigate flood risk and promote biodiversity. Enhanced green infrastructure included in policy Protection of adjacent playing field included	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+/?	Less than 10% of the site is in flood zone 3. Policy states: Development of the site should reflect the sequential approach to flood risk management, focusing more sensitive development furthest from Fairywell Brook and natural landscaping used to mitigate flood risk. Climate change vulnerability is mixed, flood vulnerability, surface water disadvantage are high, and surface water exposure for a 1 in 30 year event is extremely high.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from positive/uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	Policy states: Development of the site should reflect the sequential approach to flood risk management, focusing more sensitive development furthest from Fairywell Brook and natural landscaping used to mitigate flood risk.	No change			+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	++	As above. In addition the policy makes reference to maximising the use of sustainable drainage systems	No change			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	++	As above	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	Assume development will follow best practice and adhere to planning guidance	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water. Policy states: Development of the site should reflect the sequential approach to flood risk management, focusing more sensitive development furthest from Fairywell Brook	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	As above	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	++	Reference in policy to maximising the use of renewable energies and promoting design to utilise Metrolink proposed line	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	++	As above	No change			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	++	As above	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	o	No landscape designations highlighted	No change			o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	Policy states: Ensure development within the site should not impact the listed buildings of Newall Green Farm Incorporate suitable site mitigation to account for the historic landscape features within the site	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	The policy text has rephrased text regarding heritage assets and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	o	No landscape designations highlighted	No change			o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-/+	Mostly urban with some agriculture	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+/-	Mostly urban with some grade 3 (subset of Grade 3 not known, worst case scenario has been assumed)	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	Mostly urban with some agriculture	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Mostly urban with some agriculture	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA3.2 Timperley Wedge				2021		2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 2,500 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: Deliver a range of house types, sizes, layouts and tenures through a place-led approach.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text regarding the house types, sizes, layouts and tenures has been removed. However, this is still covered within the policy.	++	Therefore, scoring remains the same.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy includes the provision of employment land, a new local centre, additional school spaces, new health facilities and green space within the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	++	Policy states: Mitigate the impacts of climate change and utilise the most energy and water efficient technologies to achieve zero carbon by 2028. Explore and deliver the most appropriate solutions to providing decentralised low carbon heat and energy as part of new residential and employment development. This will include exploring the potential for the development of district heat, cooling and energy networks, energy centres, the implementation of renewable and low carbon heat and energy technologies in design and the co-location of potential heat and energy customers and suppliers.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding mitigating the effects of climate change and renewable energy have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S1 and JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: Deliver around 60,000 sqm B1 office employment land within a mixed employment residential area set out in the Allocation Policy Plan; of which 15,000 sqm will be in the Plan period.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a local labour and skills plan/agreement in accordance with Local Plan Policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding employment and training opportunities has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-J1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	The site is adjacent to a number of existing bus stops. New public transport proposed: Deliver the Manchester Airport Metrolink Line, Western Leg extension including Metrolink stop(s), incorporate a route for cycling and bus rapid transit to improve east west connections between Altrincham, HS2 and the Airport and contribute to the necessary improvements to the existing Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks ensuring incorporation of the necessary public transport.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Deliver the Manchester Airport Metrolink Line, Western Leg extension including Metrolink stop(s), deliver a new spine road through the site to provide access and improve east west connections between Altrincham and Manchester Airport, incorporate a route for cycling and bus rapid transit to improve east west connections between Altrincham, HS2 and the Airport. contribute to the necessary improvements to the existing Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks ensuring incorporation of the necessary public transport and deliver a network of new safe cycle and walking routes through the allocation, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyles.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Deliver the Manchester Airport Metrolink Line, Western Leg extension including Metrolink stop(s), deliver a new spine road through the site to provide access and improve east west connections between Altrincham and Manchester Airport, incorporate a route for cycling and bus rapid transit to improve east west connections between Altrincham, HS2 and the Airport. contribute to the necessary improvements to the existing Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks ensuring incorporation of the necessary public transport and deliver a network of new safe cycle and walking routes through the allocation, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyles.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant Places for Everyone or local planning policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	Policy states: Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a local labour and skills plan/agreement in accordance with Local Plan Policies.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy wording regarding employment and training opportunities has been removed.	○	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-J1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	Policy states: Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a local labour and skills plan/agreement in accordance with Local Plan Policies.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy wording regarding employment and training opportunities has been removed.	○	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-J1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	++	Policy states: Ensure new development is sensitively Integrated with existing residential areas.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	As above. Assumed to be covered through sensitive integration.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	○	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	○	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy makes significant reference to walking and cycling improvements including improving east west cycling connections linking Altrincham and Manchester Airport, and delivering a network of new safe cycle and walking routes through the allocation, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyles.  In reference to green infrastructure the policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces for recreational use, minimising impacts on SBIs and ancient woodland, increased level of sports and recreation facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Policy text has been rephrased about Green Infrastructure including the delivery of publicly accessible green space and supporting nearby wildlife corridors.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-C7, JP-P7 and JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	○	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	The policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces for recreational use, minimising impacts on SBIs and ancient woodland, increased level of sports and recreation facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about Green Infrastructure including the delivery of publicly accessible green space and supporting nearby wildlife corridors.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P7 and JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	++	Policy states: Provide and contribute to new health facilities to support the new community.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Provide additional primary school places, including a new primary school and contribute to the provision of secondary school places.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide a significant area of enhanced and accessible green infrastructure (including new public rights of way) within a rural park to remain in the Green Belt.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding leisure facilities with new criteria added regarding the Bowdon Rugby Club	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Provide additional primary school places, including a new primary school and contribute to the provision of secondary school places.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	+	Policy states: Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a local labour and skills plan/agreement in accordance with Local Plan Policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding employment and training opportunities has been removed.	0	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-J1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy makes significant reference to walking and cycling improvements including improving east west cycling connections linking Altrincham and Manchester Airport, and delivering a network of new safe cycle and walking routes through the allocation, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyles.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy makes significant reference to walking and cycling improvements including improving east west cycling connections linking Altrincham and Manchester Airport, and delivering a network of new safe cycle and walking routes through the allocation, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyles.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy makes significant reference to walking and cycling improvements including improving east west cycling connections linking Altrincham and Manchester Airport, and delivering a network of new safe cycle and walking routes through the allocation, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyles.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/--	An AQMA is located directly adjacent to the allocation to the south east.  The policy makes reference to improved active transport, new green infrastructure, walking and cycling networks. Trips to new development may affect air quality at nearby sensitive receptors.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however the AQMA is still located directly adjacent to the allocation	++/--	Therefore, the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Ponds at Davenport Green' and 'Davenport Green Wood', Ancient & Semi-Natural Woodland, a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor.  The policy reflects this stating: Provide a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt, provide new accessible green spaces for recreation use, within the development areas, which will be protected from future development. Consideration will be given to identifying some of this land as Local Green Space, protect, enhance and minimise adverse effects on environmental assets including SBIs and ancient woodland both within and adjacent to the allocation and enhance landscape features to create defensible Green Belt boundaries and deliver a clear and measurable net gain in biodiversity and make appropriate provision for long-term management of habitats and geological features.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	New policy text has been added to consider the Ponds at Davenport Green and Davenport Green Wood SBIs (Sites of Biological Importance) signposting Policy JP-G9. Site boundary has been amended but still includes the Site of Biological Importance, priority habitat, ancient and semi ancient woodland and a wildlife corridor.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Ponds at Davenport Green' and 'Davenport Green Wood', Ancient &amp; Semi-Natural Woodland, a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy reflects this stating: Provide a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt, provide new accessible green spaces for recreation use, within the development areas, which will be protected from future development. Consideration will be given to identifying some of this land as Local Green Space, protect, enhance and minimise adverse effects on environmental assets including SBIs and ancient woodland both within and adjacent to the allocation and enhance landscape features to create defensible Green Belt boundaries and deliver a clear and measurable net gain in biodiversity and make appropriate provision for long-term management of habitats and geological features.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	New policy text has been added to consider the Ponds at Davenport Green and Davenport Green Wood SBIs (Sites of Biological Importance)signposting Policy JP-G9. Site boundary has been amended but still includes the Site of Biological Importance, priority habitat, ancient and semi ancient woodland and a wildlife corridor.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Ponds at Davenport Green' and 'Davenport Green Wood', Ancient &amp; Semi-Natural Woodland, a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy reflects this stating: Provide a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt, provide new accessible green spaces for recreation use, within the development areas, which will be protected from future development. Consideration will be given to identifying some of this land as Local Green Space, protect, enhance and minimise adverse effects on environmental assets including SBIs and ancient woodland both within and adjacent to the allocation and enhance landscape features to create defensible Green Belt boundaries and deliver a clear and measurable net gain in biodiversity and make appropriate provision for long-term management of habitats and geological features.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	New policy text has been added to consider the Ponds at Davenport Green and Davenport Green Wood SBIs (Sites of Biological Importance)signposting Policy JP-G9. Site boundary has been amended but still includes the Site of Biological Importance, priority habitat, ancient and semi ancient woodland and a wildlife corridor.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Ponds at Davenport Green' and 'Davenport Green Wood', Ancient &amp; Semi-Natural Woodland, a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy reflects this stating: Provide a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt, provide new accessible green spaces for recreation use, within the development areas, which will be protected from future development. Consideration will be given to identifying some of this land as Local Green Space, protect, enhance and minimise adverse effects on environmental assets including SBIs and ancient woodland both within and adjacent to the allocation and enhance landscape features to create defensible Green Belt boundaries and deliver a clear and measurable net gain in biodiversity and make appropriate provision for long-term management of habitats and geological features.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	New policy text has been added to consider the Ponds at Davenport Green and Davenport Green Wood SBIs (Sites of Biological Importance)signposting Policy JP-G9. Site boundary has been amended but still includes the Site of Biological Importance, priority habitat, ancient and semi ancient woodland and a wildlife corridor. Policy text has been rephrased regarding leisure facilities with new criteria added regarding the Bowdon Rugby Club. Landscape policy text remains the same.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	++	<p>Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook.</p> <p>The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.</p>	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy wording regarding mitigating the effects of climate change and renewable energy have been removed.	0	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S1 and JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	++	<p>Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook.</p> <p>The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management including provision pf SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a flood risk and foul and surface water management strategy which demonstrates how each phase of development interacts with other phases.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however parts of the site are still located in flood zone 2 and 3. Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	++	<p>Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook.</p> <p>The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management including provision pf SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a flood risk and foul and surface water management strategy which demonstrates how each phase of development interacts with other phases.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however parts of the site are still located in flood zone 2 and 3. Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	++	Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook.  The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a flood risk and foul and surface water management strategy which demonstrates how each phase of development interacts with other phases.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however parts of the site are still located in flood zone 2 and 3. Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	++	Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook.  The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a flood risk and foul and surface water management strategy which demonstrates how each phase of development interacts with other phases.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however parts of the site are still located in flood zone 2 and 3. Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.  The policy states: Protect and enhance the habitats and corridors along Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook to improve the existing water quality and seek to achieve 'good' status as proposed under the EU Water plan Directive.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	The allocation boundary has been amended however parts of the site are still located in flood zone 2 and 3.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.  The policy states: Protect and enhance the habitats and corridors along Fairywell Brook and Timperley Brook to improve the existing water quality and seek to achieve 'good' status as proposed under the EU Water plan Directive.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	The allocation boundary has been amended however parts of the site are still located in flood zone 2 and 3.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	++	Policy states: Mitigate the impacts of climate change and utilise the most energy and water efficient technologies to achieve zero carbon. Explore and deliver the most appropriate solutions to providing decentralised low carbon heat and energy as part of new residential and employment development. This will include exploring the potential for the development of district heat, cooling and energy networks the implementation of renewable and low carbon heat and energy technologies and the co-location of potential heat and energy customers and suppliers Ensure the design of new buildings and development layouts maximise the amount of renewable energy that can be generated via solar PV and other green technologies, linked to the provision of and supply to electric vehicle charging infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding mitigating the effects of climate change and renewable energy have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S1 and JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	++	Policy states: Mitigate the impacts of climate change and utilise the most energy and water efficient technologies to achieve zero carbon. Explore and deliver the most appropriate solutions to providing decentralised low carbon heat and energy as part of new residential and employment development. This will include exploring the potential for the development of district heat, cooling and energy networks the implementation of renewable and low carbon heat and energy technologies and the co-location of potential heat and energy customers and suppliers Ensure the design of new buildings and development layouts maximise the amount of renewable energy that can be generated via solar PV and other green technologies, linked to the provision of and supply to electric vehicle charging infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding mitigating the effects of climate change and renewable energy have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S1 and JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	++	Policy states: Mitigate the impacts of climate change and utilise the most energy and water efficient technologies to achieve zero carbon. Explore and deliver the most appropriate solutions to providing decentralised low carbon heat and energy as part of new residential and employment development. This will include exploring the potential for the development of district heat, cooling and energy networks the implementation of renewable and low carbon heat and energy technologies and the co-location of potential heat and energy customers and suppliers Ensure new development maximizes on-site renewable energy measures in line with the energy hierarchy, for example, via solar PV and other low carbon technologies, linked to the provision of and supply to electric vehicle charging infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding mitigating the effects of climate change and renewable energy have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S1 and JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Provide new accessible green spaces for recreation use, within the development areas, which will be protected from future development. Consideration will be given to identifying some of this land as Local Green Space.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding leisure facilities with new criteria added regarding the Bowdon Rugby Club. Landscape policy text remains the same.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	The site contains listed structures Davenport Green Farmhouse, Hall and Barn. Policy states: Be in accordance with the Council's adopted Design Guide embracing strategic design principles, including creating connected communities, redefining streets, delivering inclusive characterful design and responding to heritage. Conserve and enhance the historic environment in line with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated HIA submitted as part of the planning application process.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however the site still includes the identified listed buildings. Policy text has been rephrased about the consideration of heritage assets within the site and signposts to Policy JP-P2 and with specific reference to the Deer Park.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: creates a distinctive neighbourhood with a clear sense of place.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text regarding design has been rephrased but still provides a focus on respecting local character, creating positive local design features and respecting the urban/rural fringe setting.	+	Therefore, scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield in agricultural use.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	The site is mainly Grade 3 Agricultural Land (assumed 3a worst case scenario), and the north west corner is urban land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has removed reference to Policy JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land which has been deleted from the plan. Instead, wording has been added stating "Permanent development of this land will only be permitted following an update to a plan that proposes its development"	-	Whilst reference to policy JP-G 11 has been removed, the added wording protecting development of this site until local policy proposes it. Therefore, the scoring has increased from very negative to negative.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA4 Bewshill Farm			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the P/E (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	O	No housing proposed	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No housing proposed	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	No housing proposed	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	No housing proposed	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Allocation policy wording states: Provide a location for around 21,000 sq m of industrial and warehousing floorspace	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Existing public transport near by - 4 bus stops to west and east of site.	No change			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	No mention in policy	No change			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	No mention in policy	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, the scoring changes from uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	There is no mention of this type of infrastructure.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	? / +	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas, but improvements in accessibility will assist.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		? / +	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	? / +	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas, but improvements in accessibility will assist.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		? / +	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	?	Policy does not mention integration with existing communities however this is an employment only site.	No change			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	?	Nothing proposed	No change			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect protected characteristics	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	Nothing proposed	No change			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	?	Nothing proposed	No change			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	?	Nothing proposed	No change			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	?	Nothing proposed	No change		The added criteria references accessibility of Green Belt and signposts to Policy JP-G2.	+	The added criteria references accessibility of Green Belt and signposts to Policy JP-G2: Green Infrastructure Network, which strengthens the allocation by linking to specific green space policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision is referred to.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	No new provision is referred to.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	No new provision is referred to.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	O	No new provision is referred to	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Reference in policy to local link service. There are also bus links around the existing site.	Scoring has been increased from negative to positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Reference in policy to local link service. There are also bus links around the existing site.	Scoring has been increased from negative to positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	Reference in policy to local link service. There are also bus links around the existing site.	Scoring has been increased from negative to positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	--/+	Site is within 150m of an AQMA. Allocation policy makes reference to public transport improvements - local link service	Scoring has been increased from very negative to very negative/positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	--/+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	-	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and the site is located 42m from a site of biological importance. The policy states the requirement for high quality landscaping along the A6 frontage.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and the site is located 42m from a site of biological importance. The policy states the requirement for high quality landscaping along the A6 frontage.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	?	The policy does not make a reference to green infrastructure	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	The added criteria references to the environmental quality and accessibility of Green Belt and signposts to Policy JP-G2.	+	Therefore, the scoring changes from uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquility?	?	The policy does not make a reference to green infrastructure	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	The added criteria references to the environmental quality and accessibility of Green Belt and signposts to Policy JP-G2.	+	Therefore, the scoring changes from uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	O	Average Flood Vulnerability	No change	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	Not adjacent to areas of flood zone 2 or 3	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	Not adjacent to areas of flood zone 2 or 3	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	Not adjacent to areas of flood zone 2 or 3	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	Not adjacent to areas of flood zone 2 or 3	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, the scoring changes from negative/uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	There are no designations nearby the site	No change	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no designations nearby the site	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	?	Not mentioned in the policy	No change			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield	No change			--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	Grade 3 agricultural land	No change	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield	No change	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield	No change			--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA5 Chequerbent North			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Employment site. Proposed 25,000 sqm of B2 and B8 uses.				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Existing public transport near by, and propose financial contributions to good enhance access by public transport, walking and cycling			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Existing public transport near by, and propose financial contributions to good enhance access by public transport, walking and cycling			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Existing public transport near by, and propose financial contributions to good enhance access by public transport, walking and cycling			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	There is no mention of this type of infrastructure.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas however it will provide employment in close proximity to areas of deprivation.	No change has been made to scoring.			?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?			It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	?	Policy does not mention integration with existing communities however this is an employment only site.				?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	?	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	Nothing proposed				?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Provide good quality access to the site by motor vehicle, public transport, walking and cycling, including improvements to the Chequerbent roundabout. Require high quality landscaping particularly to the west along Snyderdale Way and to the north along the M61; trees and hedgerows along the eastern boundary should be retained for screening			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	?	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	O	Not referenced in policy			Policy text referencing access to Green Belt has been added.	+	The added criteria references access to Green Belt. Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision is referred to. Proposal for employment land is unlikely to affect local capacity.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	As above				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	As above				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	O	No new provision is referred to				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Provide good quality access to the site by motor vehicle, public transport, walking and cycling, including improvements to the Chequerbent roundabout	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	As above, however the site is proposed for industrial and warehousing uses and therefore it is likely that there will be vehicles access the site from the surrounding motorway network.	Scoring has increased from positive to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	As above, however the site is proposed for industrial and warehousing uses and therefore it is likely that there will be vehicles access the site from the surrounding motorway network.		No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	-/+	Site is within 150m of an AQMA but policy does refer to financial contributions for good quality access by public transport, walking and cycling.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	-/+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	O	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland. No mitigation is proposed.  The policy states the requirement for high quality landscaping particularly to the west along Snyderdale Way and to the north along the M61; trees and hedgerows along the eastern boundary should be retained for screening	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland. No mitigation is proposed.  The policy states the requirement for high quality landscaping particularly to the west along Snyderdale Way and to the north along the M61; trees and hedgerows along the eastern boundary should be retained for screening	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	?	The policy does not make reference to green infrastructure	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The policy does not make reference to green infrastructure	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	Relatively low flood risk	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	No adjacent flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	No adjacent flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	No adjacent flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	No adjacent flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	++	Reference in policy to public transport, cycling and walking	Scoring has increased from positive to very positive.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	There are no designations nearby the site				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no designations nearby the site			Policy text has been added regarding relevant heritage assets and their settings including reference to Chequerbent Embankment Ancient Monument, signposting JP-P2.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	?	Not mentioned in the policy				?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+	Mainly PDL with some greenfield land				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	++	Urban				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	Mainly PDL with some greenfield land				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	Mainly PDL with some greenfield land				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	No mention in policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate policy within the Sustainability and Resilience Chapter.	'Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA6 West of Wingates			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the PIE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Employment site: 440,000 sqm. for a mix of large scale warehousing and advanced manufacturing				++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Existing public transport near by- bus stops adjacent to the site				++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Makes reference to providing financial contributions for enhancement of the highway network, public transport facilities, including bus services. Policy states: Ensure that good quality road access is provided, allowing a link from the A6 to Westhoughton. Take advantage of the site's location near Junction 6 of the M61, whilst making sure that it has no significantly adverse effect on the motorway or other surrounding roads. Provide financial contributions to the enhancement of the highway network, public transport and demand responsive services, cycling and walking or other improvements identified through a transport assessment.			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Makes reference to providing financial contributions for enhancement of the highway network, public transport facilities, including bus services.			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	There is no mention of this type of infrastructure.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	? / +	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas. However the site is in close proximity to deprived areas so could have a benefit, although no mention in policy. Contributions to facilitate access by non-car modes will also assist.				? / +	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	? / +	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas. However the site is in close proximity to deprived areas so could have a benefit, although no mention in policy. Contributions to facilitate access by non-car modes will also assist.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		? / +	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Policy does not mention integration with existing communities however this is an employment only site.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Nothing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Allocation policy states: Incorporate very high levels of landscaping, including the retention of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where practicable, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape and mitigate against its environmental impacts  Provide financial contributions to the enhancement of the highway network, public transport and demand responsive services, cycling and walking or other improvements identified through a transport assessment,	Scoring has increased from positive effect to very positive effect.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the provision of biodiversity within the allocation and signposts to Policy JP-G9.  The policy text regarding sustainable travel has been rephrased instead signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The allocation now signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-G9: A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity, strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific environmental policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D, and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	O	Not referenced in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision is referred to. Proposal for employment land is unlikely to affect local capacity.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	No new provision is referred to. Proposal for employment land is unlikely to affect local capacity.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	No new provision is referred to. Proposal for employment land is unlikely to affect local capacity.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	O	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Provide financial contributions to the enhancement of the highway network, public transport and demand responsive services, cycling and walking or other improvements identified through a transport assessment	Scoring has increased from positive effect to very positive effect.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Provide financial contributions to the enhancement of the highway network, public transport and demand responsive services, cycling and walking or other improvements identified through a transport assessment	Scoring has increased from positive effect to very positive effect.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Provide financial contributions to the enhancement of the highway network, public transport and demand responsive services, cycling and walking or other improvements identified through a transport assessment	Scoring has increased from positive effect to very positive effect.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	-/+	Site is within 500m of AQMA. The policy makes reference to provision of improved public transport	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	-/+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	Development presents risk and opportunity to existing ecological receptors. Special area of conservation: Site is 9.299 km from Manchester Mosses Priority habitats: 0.09% of site covers deciduous woodland; lowland fens, no main habitat but additional habitats Sites of special scientific interest: Site is 1.6km from Red Moss SSSI Local nature reserves: Site is 116 m from Borsdane Wood Sites of biological importance: 0.4% of site is covered by SBI [Pond at Four Gates; Great Crested Newt] Site is within 150 m of 1 SBIs [Borsdane Wood East] Policy states: . Protect the Site of Biological Importance within the site from development and incorporate very high levels of landscaping, including the retention of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where practicable, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape and mitigate its environmental impacts.	Scoring has increased from very positive/uncertain to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	New criteria has also been added to clarify the need to strengthen green belt boundaries and to make provision for green and blue infrastructure.	++	The added wording strengthens the policy text by clarifying the that development will be required to make provision for green and blue infrastructure. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++/?	Development presents risk and opportunity to existing ecological receptors. Special area of conservation: Site is 9.299 km from Manchester Mosses Priority habitats: 0.09% of site covers deciduous woodland; lowland fens, no main habitat but additional habitats Sites of special scientific interest: Site is 1.6km from Red Moss SSSI Local nature reserves: Site is 116 m from Borsdane Wood Sites of biological importance: 0.4% of site is covered by SBI [Pond at Four Gates; Great Crested Newt] Site is within 150 m of 1 SBIs [Borsdane Wood East] Policy states: . Protect the Site of Biological Importance within the site from development and incorporate very high levels of landscaping, including the retention of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where practicable, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape and mitigate its environmental impacts.	Scoring has increased from very positive/uncertain to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	New criteria has also been added to clarify the need to strengthen green belt boundaries and to make provision for green and blue infrastructure.	++	The added wording strengthens the policy text by clarifying the that development will be required to make provision for green and blue infrastructure. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	?/+	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding biodiversity and the Four Gates SBI has been rephrased, signposting JP-G9.	++	The rephrased wording strengthens the policy text in supporting existing and contributing towards new green infrastructure. Therefore, the scoring increases to very positive.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	O	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	Flood vulnerability: Average / Relatively low. Policy no longer states: Fully mitigate against any flood risk	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	Site is not in a flood zone	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	Site is not in a flood zone Policy no longer states: Fully mitigate against any flood risk	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	Site is not adjacent to any flood risk areas Policy no longer states: Fully mitigate against any flood risk	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	Site is not adjacent to any flood risk areas Policy no longer states: Fully mitigate against any flood risk	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	Consideration for detailed design and thematic policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Policy states: Provide financial contributions to the enhancement of the highway network, public transport and demand responsive services, cycling and walking or other improvements identified through a transport assessment  No mention of energy use or energy efficiency.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention of low carbon and renewable energy facilities in policy wording	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention of low carbon and renewable energy facilities in policy wording	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Incorporate very high levels of landscaping and minimise visual impact on the wider landscape	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-/+	Site is near to Grade II Church of St John The Evangelist (600m) Site is 1.3km from listed building [Georgian House Hotel; Grade: II	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	?	Not mentioned in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	Greenfield with a small amount of PDL	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	Grade 3 agricultural land and urban	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	Greenfield with a small amount of PDL	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Greenfield with a small amount of PDL	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	Policy has been decreased from very positive to neutral / no effect	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA7 Elton Reservoir Area			2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: that there is the potential to provide around 3,500 new homes including plots for custom and self-build.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: Make provision for affordable housing in accordance with local planning policy requirements, equivalent to at least 25% of the dwellings on the site and across a range of housing types and sizes (within an indicative affordable housing tenure split of 60% social or affordable rented and 40% affordable home ownership).	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy states: make provision for parkland asset, new local centres and public transport and highways connectivity.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: make provision for new primary and secondary education form entries and a new secondary school.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	<p>Policy states: make provision for key enabling infrastructure including:</p> <p>A north-south strategic spine road connecting Bury and Bolton Road (A58) to Bury Road, Radcliffe that is designed to be suitable for buses, would not adversely impact on the operation of Metrolink services, incorporates provision for active travel and is in line with local design standards.</p> <p>A strategic connection from the spine road to Spring Lane, Radcliffe via the former Coney Green High School site that is designed to be suitable for buses, incorporates provision for active travel and is in line with local design standards.</p> <p>Other off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement, including in and around Radcliffe town centre and appropriate structural upgrades to Elton Reservoir, where required.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: major improvements to public transport infrastructure to enable more sustainable transport choices, including providing new Metrolink stop and Park & Ride facilities in Warth area.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant joint plan or local planning policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	+	The allocation no longer makes reference to necessary infrastructure. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	Cannot be certain investment/development will affect deprived areas. This area is classed as middle to most deprived in the Index of Multiple Deprivation. Policy wording has been amended to include reference to the allocation integrating with existing communities.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	As above.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	++	Policy states: effective integration with surrounding communities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	As above.	It is considered that accessibility standards is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics (noting that development specifics such as access/facilities for the disabled and elderly will be considered at detailed design).	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: enable more trips to be made by walking and cycling, publicly accessible green infrastructure, ecological mitigation and compensation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing health benefits to residents has been removed.	+	The policy/allocation no longer makes reference to health benefits to residents. Yet it is important to consider the health benefits of active mobility and green infrastructure with regard to this objective. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G1, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: create a significant parkland asset, publicly accessible green infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	++	Policy states: Make provision for new local centres in accessible locations which include a range of appropriate retail, health and community facilities and ensure they are integrated with existing communities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	New primary and secondary school.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Upgrade recreation offer and create a significant parkland asset.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	New primary and secondary school.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, designed and constructed in accordance with national and GM standards and local planning policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, designed and constructed in accordance with national and GM standards and local planning policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, designed and constructed in accordance with national and GM standards and local planning policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/-	There is an AQMA within 150m of the site to the south, but the allocation includes sustainable transport major road network improvements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	Within the site there are priority habitats wildlife corridors and a number of SBI.  Policy states: Provide a significant green corridor which remains within the Green belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment in accordance with Policy JP-G2 Green Infrastructure Network and Policy JP-G9 Standards to a Greener Greater Manchester. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to support nearby SBIs, signposting Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	The policy text has rephrased text regarding green infrastructure and biodiversity. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++	Within the site there are priority habitats wildlife corridors and a number of SBI.  Policy states: Provide a significant green corridor which remains within the Green belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment in accordance with Policy JP-G2 Green Infrastructure Network and Policy JP-G9 Standards to a Greener Greater Manchester. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to support nearby SBIs, signposting Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	The policy text has rephrased text regarding green infrastructure and biodiversity. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	Within the site there are priority habitats wildlife corridors and a number of SBI.  Policy states: Provide a significant green corridor which remains within the Green belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment in accordance with Policy JP-G2 Green Infrastructure Network and Policy JP-G9 Standards to a Greener Greater Manchester. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added to support nearby SBIs, signposting Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	The policy text has rephrased text regarding green infrastructure and biodiversity. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	Within the site there are priority habitats wildlife corridors and a number of SBI.  Policy states: Provide a significant green corridor which remains within the Green belt and provides a strategic amount of new, high quality and publicly accessible open space/parkland coupled with a network of multi-functional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment in accordance with Policy JP-G2 Green Infrastructure Network and Policy JP-G9 Standards to a Greener Greater Manchester. This should include the enhancement and the integration of the existing assets at Elton and Withins Reservoirs and the Manchester, Bolton and Bury Canal to create an extensive recreation, tourism and leisure asset.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about replacing existing recreational space at Warth Fold.	++	The policy text has rephrased text regarding recreational space. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	0	Climate change vulnerability: Average to high. Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	0	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage, flood risk and sustainable travel. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

13	Objective 13-Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	A section of the site to the east and south is within flood zone 2 and 3 . Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources.	O	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage and flood risk. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13-Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	A section of the site to the east and south is within flood zone 2 and 3 . Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources.	O	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage and flood risk. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13-Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-/?	A section of the site to the east and south is within flood zone 2 and 3 . Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.  Ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for, potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere  Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water run off in line with the hierarchy of drainage options ensuring, wherever possible, they are designed as multi-functional green infrastructure connecting to the wider green infrastructure network in accordance with Policy JP-S5 - Flood Risk and the Water Environment.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources.	-/?	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage and flood risk. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13-Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	A section of the site to the east and south is within flood zone 2 and 3 . Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.  Ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for, potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere  Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water run off in line with the hierarchy of drainage options ensuring, wherever possible, they are designed as multi-functional green infrastructure connecting to the wider green infrastructure network in accordance with Policy JP-S5 - Flood Risk and the Water Environment.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources.	-	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage and flood risk. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14-Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	O	A section of the site to the east and south is within flood zone 2 and 3 . Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.  Ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for, potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere  Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water run off in line with the hierarchy of drainage options ensuring, wherever possible, they are designed as multi-functional green infrastructure connecting to the wider green infrastructure network in accordance with Policy JP-S5 - Flood Risk and the Water Environment.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources.	O	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage and flood risk. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14-Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	A section of the site to the east and south is within flood zone 2 and 3 . Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.  Ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for, potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere  Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water run off in line with the hierarchy of drainage options ensuring, wherever possible, they are designed as multi-functional green infrastructure connecting to the wider green infrastructure network in accordance with Policy JP-S5 - Flood Risk and the Water Environment.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources.	O	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage and flood risk. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14-Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	A section of the site to the east and south is within flood zone 2 and 3 . Policy states: Incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.  Ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for, potential flood risk from all sources including the River Irwell, Elton and Withins Reservoir and surface water and does not increase the flood risk elsewhere  Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water run off in line with the hierarchy of drainage options ensuring, wherever possible, they are designed as multi-functional green infrastructure connecting to the wider green infrastructure network in accordance with Policy JP-S5 - Flood Risk and the Water Environment.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and wording regarding sustainable drainage removed. However, text remains regarding mitigating potential flood risk from all sources.	O	The policy text has rephrased text regarding drainage and flood risk. Therefore, the score has remained the same	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Includes extensive public / active transport. No mention of energy use or energy efficiency.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-	No mention of low carbon and renewable energy facilities in policy wording.	Policy could reference low carbon / renewable energy directly.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	No landscape designations.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	Listed building identified in vicinity - risk and opportunity Gate Piers To North West Of Old Hall Farmhouse [Grade: II]; Old Hall Farmhouse [Grade: II]. Policy states: Protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage and archaeological assets and their setting, including the Old Hall Farmhouse Grade II listed building and wider historic character of the surrounding area, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about the historic environment and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield with 1.3% landfill.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Urban/ grade 4 agricultural land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA8 Seedfield			2020			2023				
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the PIE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 140 new homes, with at 25% being affordable housing	Scoring has been amended from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: provide a broad mix of housing	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The policy makes reference to making provision for improved access to Bury town centre	No change			+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant GMSF or local planning policies	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding utility infrastructure has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1, JP-C1 and JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	There are existing bus stops which serve the development and the policy states: Make necessary improvements to local highway infrastructure to facilitate appropriate access to the site and incorporate enhancements to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in the area	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Make necessary improvements to local highway infrastructure to facilitate appropriate access to the site and incorporate enhancements to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in the area; Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities through the incorporation of linkages and connections that allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, including improved access to Burrs Country Park	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Make necessary improvements to local highway infrastructure to facilitate appropriate access to the site and incorporate enhancements to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in the area; Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities through the incorporation of linkages and connections that allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, including improved access to Burrs Country Park	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant GMSF or local planning policies	Score has improved due to addition of specific policy wording.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding utility infrastructure has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-C1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas. Policy wording has been amended to include reference to the allocation integrating with existing communities.	Scoring has changed from neutral to positive for consistency between allocation policies It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas. Policy wording has been amended to include reference to the allocation integrating with existing communities.	Scoring has changed from neutral to positive for consistency between allocation policies It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	++	Policy wording has been amended to include reference to the allocation integrating with existing communities.	Scoring has been increased from neutral / no effect to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	Nothing proposed	Scoring has been increased from neutral / no effect to positive for consistency between allocation policies	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Make necessary improvements to local highway infrastructure to facilitate appropriate access to the site and incorporate enhancements to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in the area; including new walking and cycling routes, including improved access to Burrs Country Park;  In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Retain, enhance the wildlife corridor and green infrastructure elements to the west and south of the site and introduce appropriate mitigation measures allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment	No change		Policy text regarding recreational facilities has been rephrased. Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-C7 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Retain, enhance the wildlife corridor and green infrastructure elements to the west and south of the site and introduce appropriate mitigation measures	No change		Policy text regarding recreational facilities has been rephrased.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	-/?	The policy does not make reference to the provision of social infrastructure. If the increase in demand increases due to the use of the existing infrastructure this could result in a negative impact.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding utility infrastructure has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has changed from negative/uncertain to neutral	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-C1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	-/?	Policy states: Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant GMSF or local planning policies.	Scoring has been decreased from very positive to positive/neutral for consistency between allocation policies	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding utility infrastructure has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has changed from negative/uncertain to neutral	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1, JP-C1 and JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Retain and enhance and/or replace existing recreation facilities and make provision for new recreation facilities to meet the needs of the prospective residents in accordance with local planning policy requirements	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding utility infrastructure has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-C1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Make provision for other necessary infrastructure such as utilities, broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant GMSF or local planning policies.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding utility infrastructure has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1, JP-C1 and JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	The policy states: Make necessary improvements to local highway infrastructure to facilitate appropriate access to the site and incorporate enhancements to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in the area; Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities through the incorporation of linkages and connections that allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, including improved access to Burrs Country Park	No change		Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++		No change		Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++		No change		Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+	The policy is located within 500m of and AQMA  The policy makes provision for improved public transport and modes of active transport.	Score has improved from positive/negative to positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	Site is located within a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor  The policy states: Retain, enhance the wildlife corridor and green infrastructure elements to the west and south of the site and introduce appropriate mitigation measures. Make appropriate provision for the long term management and maintenance of areas of green infrastructure, biodiversity features and sustainable drainage features.  In relation to recreation the policy states: Secure and bring into use suitable replacement sports facilities	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++		Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++		Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++		Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	The site has a low to high climate change vulnerability  The policy states: Ensure the allocation is safe from and mitigates for, potential flood risk from all sources including surface water, sewer flooding and groundwater.  Incorporate on-site measures to deal with surface water and control the rate of surface water run off in line with the hierarchy of drainage options ensuring, wherever possible, they are designed as multi-functional green infrastructure connecting to the wider green infrastructure network in accordance with Policy GM-S5 - Flood Risk and the Water Environment  No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.	Score has improved due to addition of specific policy wording.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been removed regarding managing flood risk, drainage strategy requirements and the incorporation of SuDS. Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2. Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+/O	Therefore the score has changed from positive to positive and neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5, JP-S2, JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	++	The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off  No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding managing flood risk, drainage strategy requirements and the incorporation of SuDS.	O	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	++	The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off  No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding managing flood risk, drainage strategy requirements and the incorporation of SuDS.	O	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	++	The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off  No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding managing flood risk, drainage strategy requirements and the incorporation of SuDS.	O	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	++	The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off  No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding managing flood risk, drainage strategy requirements and the incorporation of SuDS.	O	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy, therefore the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding managing flood risk, drainage strategy requirements and the incorporation of SuDS.	O	It is important to consider flood risk as part of the allocation policy. The score remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking; incorporation of linkages and connections that allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, including improved access to Burrs Country Park. Make necessary improvements to local highway infrastructure to facilitate appropriate access to the site and incorporate enhancements to public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes in the area  The policy makes no reference to energy use	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	No protected landscape designation	No change		Policy text regarding recreational facilities has been rephrased.	+	Therefore, scoring improves from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	No designations exist locally which could be impacted upon in relation to local character and distinctiveness. Additionally the policy does not make reference to such assets.	No change			O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+	Urban land, half PDL	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	++	Urban land	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	Urban land, half PDL. Policy does not state that buildings or materials will be used	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	Urban land, half is PDL	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No changes made to the policy wording.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA9 Walshaw				2021		2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 1,250 new homes including provision of plots for custom and self build housing with 25% affordable dwellings.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: provide a mix of housing including plots for custom and self build housing.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	The policy makes reference to making provision for a new local centre and making accessible green infrastructure including recreational uses.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: make provision for a new one form entry primary school within the site to accommodate additional demand on school places.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	There are existing bus stops which serve the development and the policy states: Make provision for major investment in public transport in order to enable more sustainable transport choices.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	<p>Policy states: Make provision for significant improvements to highways infrastructure, including: The provision of a new through road to enable an alternative to Church Street, Bank Street and High Street that is designed to be suitable for buses and incorporates active travel and is in line with local design standards; and off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement from the allocation, including appropriate linkages to Elton Reservoir Link Road.</p> <p>In regard to public transport the policy states: make provision for major investment in public transport in order to enable more sustainable transport choices including potential upgrade of existing bus services or a new bus service linking Tottington — Walshaw — Elton — proposed new Metrolink stop at Warth — Bury/Radcliffe.</p> <p>In regard to walking and cycling the policy states: Deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, incorporating Leigh Lane and Dow Lane and in accordance with national and GM standards of design and construction and local planning policy requirement.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	<p>Policy states: Make provision for significant improvements to highways infrastructure, including: The provision of a new through road to enable an alternative to Church Street, Bank Street and High Street that is designed to be suitable for buses and incorporates active travel and is in line with local design standards; and off-site highway works where these are necessary to ensure acceptable traffic movement from the allocation, including appropriate linkages to Elton Reservoir Link Road.</p> <p>In regard to public transport the policy states: make provision for major investment in public transport in order to enable more sustainable transport choices including potential upgrade of existing bus services or a new bus service linking Tottington — Walshaw — Elton — proposed new Metrolink stop at Warth — Bury/Radcliffe.</p> <p>In regard to walking and cycling the policy states: Deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation linking neighbourhoods with key destinations, incorporating Leigh Lane and Dow Lane and in accordance with national and GM standards of design and construction and local planning policy requirement.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Not referenced in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas. Policy has been amended to support the integration of the allocation and existing community.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas. Policy has been amended to support the integration of the allocation and existing community.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	+	Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	Policy has been amended to support the integration of the allocation and existing community.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: including the incorporation of linkages and connections that allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, particularly to allow through access from north to south  In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Make provision for green infrastructure corridors focusing on the areas around Walshaw and Elton Brooks and the reservoirs and wildlife corridors including improved accessibility and connectivity within the site and to surrounding areas, including to existing areas of recreation at Dow Lane and Elton Vale	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  Policy text referencing the link between green infrastructure and health benefits, and signposting to Policy JP-G8 has been removed.	+	The allocation no longer makes to the link between green infrastructure and health benefits. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7, Appendix D, Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G8. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Make provision for new, high quality, publicly accessible, multifunctional green and blue infrastructure within the allocation to provide health benefits to residents as well as creating a visually attractive environment in accordance with Policy JP-G2 Green Infrastructure Network and Policy JP-G9 Standards to a Greener Greater Manchester This should include the integration and enhancement of the existing green infrastructure corridors and assets at Walshaw and Elton Brooks.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.  New criteria has also been added to clarify the need to strengthen green belt boundaries.	++	The added wording strengthens the policy text by clarifying the that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to the Green Belt. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	++	The policy makes specific reference to health facilities and the provision for a new local centre including a range of appropriate retail, community facilities and other services.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	The policy states that there will be a contribution to off-site secondary school provision.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Criteria 7 has been removed and combined with criteria 6.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	The policy does make provision for a new local centre including a range of appropriate retail, community facilities and other services include recreation facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Make provision for a new one form entry primary school within the site to accommodate additional demand on school places in addition to off site contributions for secondary school provision.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Criteria 7 has been removed and combined with criteria 6.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	In regard to public transport the policy states: make provision for major investment in public transport in order to enable more sustainable transport choices.  In regard to walking and cycling the policy states: allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, particularly to allow through access from north to south.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	In regard to public transport the policy states: make provision for major investment in public transport in order to enable more sustainable transport choices.  In regard to walking and cycling the policy states: allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, particularly to allow through access from north to south.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	In regard to public transport the policy states: make provision for major investment in public transport in order to enable more sustainable transport choices.  In regard to walking and cycling the policy states: allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, particularly to allow through access from north to south.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++	<p>The policy is not located within 500m of and AQMA.</p> <p>The policy makes provision for improved public transport and modes of active transport, as well as safe walking and cycling routes around the allocation.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	<p>Site is located within a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor</p> <p>The policy states: Make provision for green infrastructure corridors focusing on the areas around Walshaw and Elton Brooks and the reservoirs and wildlife corridors including improved accessibility and connectivity within the site and to surrounding areas, including to existing areas of recreation at Dow Lane and Elton Vale.</p> <p>In addition the policy states: Minimise impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets within the site.</p> <p>In reference to recreation, the policy states: Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to the recreation areas at Dow Lane, Elton Vale, Whitehead Lodges as well as Walshaw Village and Bury Town Centre.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2 with removal of text regarding minimising impacts on biodiversity assets and the signpost to Policy JP-G8 (deleted policy) and JP-G9.	?	The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to minimising the impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	<p>Site is located within a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor</p> <p>The policy states: Make provision for green infrastructure corridors focusing on the areas around Walshaw and Elton Brooks and the reservoirs and wildlife corridors including improved accessibility and connectivity within the site and to surrounding areas, including to existing areas of recreation at Dow Lane and Elton Vale.</p> <p>In addition the policy states: Minimise impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets within the site.</p> <p>In reference to recreation, the policy states: Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to the recreation areas at Dow Lane, Elton Vale, Whitehead Lodges as well as Walshaw Village and Bury Town Centre.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2 with removal of text regarding minimising impacts on biodiversity assets and the signpost to Policy JP-G8 (deleted policy) and JP-G9.	?	The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to minimising the impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets. Therefore, the scoring has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Site is located within a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor</p> <p>The policy states: Make provision for green infrastructure corridors focusing on the areas around Walshaw and Elton Brooks and the reservoirs and wildlife corridors including improved accessibility and connectivity within the site and to surrounding areas, including to existing areas of recreation at Dow Lane and Elton Vale.</p> <p>In addition the policy states: Minimise impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets within the site.</p> <p>In reference to recreation, the policy states: Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to the recreation areas at Dow Lane, Elton Vale, Whitehead Lodges as well as Walshaw Village and Bury Town Centre.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about green infrastructure and biodiversity, signposting Policy JP-G2 with removal of text regarding minimising impacts on biodiversity assets and the signpost to Policy JP-G8 (deleted policy) and JP-G9.	?	The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to minimising the impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity assets. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	<p>The policy states that provision for multifunctional greenspace is required to provide health benefits to residents, in line with policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.</p> <p>New criteria has also been added to clarify the need to strengthen green belt boundaries.</p>	++	The added wording strengthens the policy text by clarifying the that development will be required to make compensatory improvements to the Green Belt. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	++	<p>The site has a low to average climate change vulnerability.</p> <p>The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.</p>	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been removed regarding flood risk and sustainable drainage. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	++	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding flood risk and sustainable drainage.	O	The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to flood risk. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	++	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding flood risk and sustainable drainage.	O	The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to flood risk. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	++	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding flood risk and sustainable drainage.	O	The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to flood risk. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	++	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  The policy states: Ensure that any development is safe from potential flood risk from any source and incorporate measures to mimic natural drainage through the use of green sustainable urban drainage to control the rate of surface water run-off.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding flood risk and sustainable drainage.	O	The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to flood risk. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking: incorporation of linkages and connections that allow for sustainable modes of travel such as new walking and cycling routes, particularly to allow through access from north to south.  The policy makes no reference to energy use.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	No protected landscape designation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	There are no heritage assets on the site but Christ Church Grade II listed building is adjacent to the western boundary of the site.  The policy states: Protect and, where appropriate, enhance heritage assets and their setting, including the Christ Church Grade II* listed building, in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the assessment of heritage assets that forms part of the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to take appropriate account of the listed Christ Church Grade II Listed Building in accordance with Policy JP-P2.  Reference to a Historic Environmental Assessment has been removed.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-P2: Heritage strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific heritage policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	No designations exist locally which could be impacted upon in relation to local character and distinctiveness. Additionally the policy does not make reference to such assets.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield. The allocation boundary has been amended, resulting in a minor reduction of Green Belt removal.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	The sites urban and Grade 4 agricultural land classification. The allocation boundary has been amended, resulting in a minor reduction of Green Belt removal.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
----	---	---	---	-----------------------	--	--	---	--	------------------

JPA12 Beal Valley			2021		2023				
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: the site will deliver around 480 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. Therefore the scoring has increased from positive to very positive.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: deliver a range of dwelling types and sizes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy refers to enhancing linkages to the countryside and local town centres, creating cycling and pedestrian friendly routes to link with existing PRoWs and improvements to metrolink and park & ride facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referred to in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	+	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, building on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, building on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Contribute to the delivery of the new Metrolink stop and new park and ride facility as part of the neighbouring Broadbent Moss allocation, which in part will help to serve and improve the accessibility and connectivity of both allocations. Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements, that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	No mention, site assessment highlights local infrastructure in the area, but ability to support scale/distribution cannot be determined.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	cannot be certain investment/development will affect deprived areas	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	++	Policy states: deliver inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	Policy states: deliver inclusive neighbourhoods.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics (noting that development specifics such as access/facilities for the disabled and elderly will be considered at detailed design).	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, building on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network. This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text referencing landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation has been removed.	+	Reference to leisure and recreational opportunities has been removed from the policy yet it is important to consider these with regard to supporting healthier lifestyles. Therefore, scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, building on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network. This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation has been removed.	+	Reference to linkages with neighbouring communities, and leisure and recreational opportunities has been removed from the policy yet it is important to consider these with regard to access to green space. Therefore, scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	++	Policy states: Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P6 and JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	o	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, building on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network. This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, building on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network. This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility, building on the existing recreation routes and public rights of way network. This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA)?	+/-	AQMA within 150 m of the site. Safe and pedestrian / cycle friendly routes proposed. New trips to site may affect air quality at sensitive receptors.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	<p>Within the site there is a priority habitat and a SBI 'Shaw Side'</p> <p>Policy states: This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way), with high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas, to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.</p> <p>Ensure the protection of a large green wedge, between the main development area and the Metrolink line to the east, from development and its enhancement as part of the multi-function green infrastructure network and contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.</p> <p>Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Shawside SBI, including areas of priority habitats and the Twingates local nature reserve, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text referencing landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing Green Belt has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed.</p>	O	<p>The allocation no longer makes reference to landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation. Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed. Yet it is important to consider these with regard to the priority habitat and SBI within the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.</p>	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+/-	<p>Policy states: This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way), with high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas, to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.</p> <p>Ensure the protection of a large green wedge, between the main development area and the Metrolink line to the east, from development and its enhancement as part of the multi-function green infrastructure network and contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.</p> <p>Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Shawside SBI, including areas of priority habitats and the Twingates local nature reserve, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text referencing landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing Green Belt has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed.</p>	O	<p>The allocation no longer makes reference to landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation. Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed. Yet it is important to consider these with regard to the priority habitat and SBI within the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive/negative to neutral.</p>	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Policy states: This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way), with high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas, to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.</p> <p>Ensure the protection of a large green wedge, between the main development area and the Metrolink line to the east, from development and its enhancement as of part of the multi-function green infrastructure network and contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.</p> <p>Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Shawside SBI, including areas of priority habitats and the Twingates local nature reserve, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text referencing landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing Green Belt has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed.</p>	+	<p>The allocation no longer makes reference to landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation. Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed. Yet such interventions are integral for enhancing green infrastructure and ensuring its multifunctionality. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to positive.</p>	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7. However, the allocation could be strengthened by including reference to mitigation measures with regard the damage and destruction of the priority habitat and SBI within the site.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>Policy states: This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way), with high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas, to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.</p> <p>Ensure the protection of a large green wedge, between the main development area and the Metrolink line to the east, from development and its enhancement as of part of the multi-function green infrastructure network and contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.</p> <p>Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Shawside SBI, including areas of priority habitats and the Twingates local nature reserve, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text referencing landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing Green Belt has been removed.</p> <p>Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed.</p>	+	<p>The allocation no longer makes reference to landscaping, linkages with neighbouring communities, and opportunities for leisure and recreation. Policy text referencing mitigation, biodiversity net gain, and wading bird populations has been removed. Yet such interventions are integral for enhancing green infrastructure and ensuring its multifunctionality. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to positive.</p>	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7. However, the allocation could be strengthened by including reference to mitigation measures with regard the damage and destruction of the priority habitat and SBI within the site.

12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	O	Climate change vulnerability / resilience: low to average.  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's schemes should be explored.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been removed.	?	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site and its flood zone allocations. Therefore, the score has changed from neutral to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+/-	19.7% in flood zone 2, 4.5% in flood zone 3.  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's schemes should be explored.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	?	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site and its flood zone allocations. Therefore, the score has changed from positive/negative to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+/-	19.7% in flood zone 2, 4.5% in flood zone 3.  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's schemes should be explored.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	?	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site and its flood zone allocations. Therefore, the score has changed from positive/negative to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+/-	19.7% in flood zone 2, 4.5% in flood zone 3.  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's schemes should be explored.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	?	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site and its flood zone allocations. Therefore, the score has changed from positive/negative to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	All development to follow best practice.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	o/+	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water. River Beal passes within the site. Policy states: Have regard to the Groundwater Source Protection Zone in the design of the development to ensure there are no adverse impacts to groundwater resources or groundwater quality and to ensure compliance with the Environment Agency approach to groundwater protection and any relevant position statements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		o/+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water. River Beal passes within the site. Policy states: Have regard to the Groundwater Source Protection Zone in the design of the development to ensure there are no adverse impacts to groundwater resources or groundwater quality and to ensure compliance with the Environment Agency approach to groundwater protection and any relevant position statements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Policy refers to enhancing linkages to the countryside, creating cycling and pedestrian friendly routes to the existing Metrolink.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	No designated landscape features on the site.  Policy states: Have regard to the recommendations of the Greater Manchester Landscape Character and Sensitivity Assessment for the Pennines Foothills South / West Pennines.  This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way), with high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference high quality landscaping. Yet it is important to consider landscaping when creating a high quality public realm. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	No heritage designations on the site. There are a number of nearby listed buildings.  Policy states: Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text regarding the historic environment has been rephrased and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+	Mix of historic landfill and agricultural.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Mix of urban and agricultural grade 4 land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	Mix of historic landfill, agricultural.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Mix of historic landfill, agricultural.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.



JPA13 Bottom Field Farm (Woodhouses)			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PIE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 30 new homes			Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: range of dwelling types and sizes so as to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Policy makes reference to provision of open space, sport and recreation facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to provision of open space, sport and recreation facilities has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure housing is connected to these assets. Therefore, scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G1, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	+	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	There are existing bus stops which serve the development  The policy states: Provide for appropriate access to and from the sites in liaison with the local highways authority and take account of and deliver any other improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide for appropriate access to and from the sites in liaison with the local highways authority and take account of and deliver any other improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.  Retain and enhance existing Public Rights of Way running through the site, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network so as to encourage active travel and improve connections and access to adjoining communities and countryside			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide for appropriate access points to and from the sites in liaison with the local highways authority and take account of and deliver any other improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.  Retain and enhance existing Public Rights of Way running through the site, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network so as to encourage active travel and improve connections and access to adjoining communities and countryside			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs	Scoring has been increased from neutral / no effect to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++		No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Retain and enhance existing Public Rights of Way running through the site, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network so as to encourage active travel and improve connections and access to adjoining communities and countryside  In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Regard should also be has to the conclusions of the Incised Urban Fringe Valley – River Medlock Landscape Character Area			Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to the provision of open multifunctional green infrastructure has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure housing is connected to these assets to support healthier lifestyles. Therefore the scoring has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G1, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities, commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements			Polict text has been removed.	O	Reference to provision of open space, sport and recreation facilities has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure housing is connected to these assets. Therefore, scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Polict text has been removed.	O	Reference to provision of health and community facilities has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure housing is connected to these assets. Therefore, scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities, commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Polict text has been removed.	O	Reference to provision of open space, sport and recreation facilities has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure housing is connected to these assets. Therefore, scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority			Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	The policy states: Provide for appropriate access to and from the sites in liaison with the local highways authority and take account of and deliver any other improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site. Retain and enhance existing Public Rights of Way running through the site, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network so as to encourage active travel and improve connections and access to adjoining communities and countryside				++	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The policy states: Provide for appropriate access to and from the sites in liaison with the local highways authority and take account of and deliver any other improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site. Retain and enhance existing Public Rights of Way running through the site, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network so as to encourage active travel and improve connections and access to adjoining communities and countryside				++	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	The policy states: Provide for appropriate access to and from the sites in liaison with the local highways authority and take account of and deliver any other improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site. Retain and enhance existing Public Rights of Way running through the site, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network so as to encourage active travel and improve connections and access to adjoining communities and countryside				++	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA)s?	+/-	The site is directly adjacent to an AQMA The policy makes reference to active transport provision	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	The site is approximately 90m north of a site of biological importance 'Brookdale Golf Course'. The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity integrating them as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Regard should also be has to the conclusions of the Incised Urban Fringe Valley – River Medlock Landscape Character Area. In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity when enhancing new and existing wildlife sites, notably the site of biological importance that is within close proximity. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	The site is approximately 90m north of a site of biological importance 'Brookdale Golf Course'. The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity integrating them as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Regard should also be has to the conclusions of the Incised Urban Fringe Valley – River Medlock Landscape Character Area. In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity when enhancing new and existing wildlife sites, notably the site of biological importance that is within close proximity. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>The site is approximately 90m north of a site of biological importance 'Brookdale Golf Course'.</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity integrating them as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Regard should also be has to the conclusions of the Incised Urban Fringe Valley – River Medlock Landscape Character Area.</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity when enhancing new and existing wildlife sites, notably the site of biological importance that is within close proximity. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquility?	++	<p>The site is approximately 90m north of a site of biological importance 'Brookdale Golf Course'.</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity integrating them as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Regard should also be has to the conclusions of the Incised Urban Fringe Valley – River Medlock Landscape Character Area.</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity when enhancing new and existing wildlife sites, notably the site of biological importance that is within close proximity. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	-/O	<p>Relatively average to high Flood Vulnerability.</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for each site and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Development should be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>			Policy text has been removed about flood risk. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	o	Therefore, the score has changed from negative/neutral to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the south of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for each site and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Development should be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the south of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for each site and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Development should be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the south of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for each site and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Development should be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the south of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for each site and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network. Development should be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	As above				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to walking provision  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency				+		
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	+	Reference in policy to walking provision  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency				+		
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	Reference in policy to walking provision  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	++	No landscape designations  Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Regard should also be had to the conclusions of the Incised Urban Fringe Valley – River Medlock Landscape Character Area.			Policy text has been rephrased regarding landscape and signposts Policy JP-G1.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G1, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	No heritage assets on the site. Bank Woodhouses Conservation Area runs through the centre of the site  The policy states: Identify any designated and non-designated heritage assets and assess the potential impact on the asset and its setting, when bringing forward the proposals, through further Heritage Impact Assessments. Development should be in keeping with the local character of Woodhouses in terms of materials, design and landscaping	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to the historic environment has been removed from the policy yet it is important. Therefore the scoring has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	++	As above			Policy text has been removed regarding the historic environment.	+	Reference to the historic landscape has been removed from the policy yet it is important for conserving local character. Therefore the scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The 2020 allocation is now for previously developed land only.	Scoring has been increased from very negative to very positive.			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	++	The 2020 allocation is now for previously developed land only.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive.			++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The 2020 allocation is now for previously developed land only.	Scoring has been increased from very negative to very positive.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	o	Reuse of previously developed land.	Scoring has been increased from very negative to neutral.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	Policy could include reference to the sustainable extraction of mineral resources.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA14 Broadbent Moss			2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy aims to deliver 1,450 homes including affordable housing.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy includes a range of dwelling types and sizes so as to deliver inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including a mix of high quality family housing.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy references employment floorspace, delivery of a local centre to provide retail, and green infrastructure as part of integrated approach to infrastructure delivery.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Policy text refers to a comprehensive masterplan and Design Code which may address energy efficiency and resilience.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy seeks to deliver 21,000sqm of employment floorspace.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text amended from 'employment floorspace' to 'industrial and warehouse floorspace' for clarification.	++	The scoring is already very positive and so scoring has not changed.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy aims to contribute towards the provision of additional school places within the allocation, either through new schools or expansions.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy seeks to deliver a new Metrolink stop, potentially including park and ride facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy aims to contribute towards the delivery of a new Metrolink stop and park and ride facility.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy aims to deliver highway improvements and deliver a new Metrolink stop.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the new Metrolink stop, the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, bus network and surrounding area, to encourage sustainable modes of travel and maximise the sites accessibility. This should be delivered as part of a multi-functional green infrastructure network (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high-quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing a multi-functional green infrastructure network, high-quality landscaping and opportunities for leisure and recreation have been removed.	+	Reference to a multi-functional green infrastructure network, high-quality landscaping and opportunities for leisure and recreation has been removed from the policy yet it is important to consider these with regard to supporting healthier lifestyles. Therefore, scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements; Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed. The added criteria references accessibility of Green Belt and signposts to Policy JP-G2.	++	Reference to open space has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure that residential developments have access to these amenities. However, the added criteria references accessibility of Green Belt and signposts to Policy JP-G2: Green Infrastructure Network, which strengthens the allocation by linking to specific green space policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring is already very positive and therefore the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7 and Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	++	Policy states: Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision.	It is considered that the policy or appropriate thematic policy could be further strengthened through reference to accessibility standards.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy seeks contributions towards additional school places in regard to need generated by the development.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Objective 9 - Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Deliver a new Metrolink stop, provide safe pedestrian/cycle friendly routes to and from the wider strategic allocation, deliver highway improvements and enhancement of recreational routes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9 - Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Deliver a new Metrolink stop, provide safe pedestrian/cycle friendly routes to and from the wider strategic allocation, deliver highway improvements and enhancement of recreational routes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9 - Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Deliver a new Metrolink stop, provide safe pedestrian/cycle friendly routes to and from the wider strategic allocation, deliver highway improvements and enhancement of recreational routes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	- / +	AQMA runs along the site's western border along B6194. Policy references the incorporation of air quality mitigation to protect the amenity of any new and existing occupiers (both residential and employment) where new residential development adjoins Higinshaw Business Employment Area and the proposed extension and highways improvements and walking and cycling infrastructure.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	The allocation boundary has been amended however the AQMA is still located directly adjacent to the allocation	- / +	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	Site boundary includes priority habitats and sites of biological importance. Additionally, it borders a wildlife corridor. Policy seeks to deliver multi-functional greenspace, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside, and retain and enhance biodiversity areas within the site. It additionally aims to retain and enhance existing recreational routes and Public Rights of Way as well as provide or improve open space/sport/recreation facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed. Site boundary has been amended and no longer includes the Site of Biological Importance.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity areas and reference to Public Rights of Way has been removed from the policy. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity when enhancing new and existing wildlife sites, notably the sites priority habitats. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	Site boundary includes priority habitats and sites of biological importance. Additionally, it borders a wildlife corridor. Policy seeks to deliver multi-functional greenspace, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside, and retain and enhance biodiversity areas within the site. It additionally aims to retain and enhance existing recreational routes and Public Rights of Way as well as provide or improve open space/sport/recreation facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed. Site boundary has been amended and no longer includes the Site of Biological Importance.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity areas and reference to Public Rights of Way has been removed from the policy. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity when enhancing new and existing wildlife sites, notably the sites priority habitats. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	Site boundary includes priority habitats and sites of biological importance. Additionally, it borders a wildlife corridor. Policy seeks to deliver multi-functional greenspace, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside, and retain and enhance biodiversity areas within the site. It additionally aims to retain and enhance existing recreational routes and Public Rights of Way as well as provide or improve open space/sport/recreation facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed. Site boundary has been amended and no longer includes the Site of Biological Importance.	+	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity and Public Rights of Way as part of a multifunctional green infrastructure network. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	Site boundary includes priority habitats and sites of biological importance. Additionally, it borders a wildlife corridor. Policy seeks to deliver multi-functional greenspace, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside, and retain and enhance biodiversity areas within the site. It additionally aims to retain and enhance existing recreational routes and Public Rights of Way as well as provide or improve open space/sport/recreation facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed. Site boundary has been amended and no longer includes the Site of Biological Importance.	+	The allocation no longer makes reference to biodiversity. Yet it is important to consider biodiversity and Public Rights of Way as part of a multifunctional green infrastructure network. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2, Policy JP-G9, and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	Flood vulnerability ranges from relatively low to relatively high.  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy, which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development must avoid Flood Zone 3b, and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including those within the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Level 2 Site Assessment Summary, ensuring development is safe and does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Natural sustainable drainage systems should be, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and delivered in line with the GM Level 1 SFRA advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's features should be explored.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	-	This site is within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy, which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development must avoid Flood Zone 3b, and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including those within the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Level 2 Site Assessment Summary, ensuring development is safe and does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Natural sustainable drainage systems should be, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and delivered in line with the GM Level 1 SFRA advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's features should be explored.  Policy also refers to the provision for a wetland catchment area, in liaison with the Environment Agency, Local Lead Flood Authority and Greater Manchester Ecology Unity, in the northern central part of the site to the south of Cop Road within the Flood Zone 3 area, to provide net gains in flood storage for the wider catchment and / or actively reduce flood risk impacts downstream through additional storage, integrating it with the wider multi-functional	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Site boundary has been amended but still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.	-	Whilst the site boundary has been amended, the allocation still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3. Therefore, the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	<p>This site is within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy, which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development must avoid Flood Zone 3b, and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including those within the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Level 2 Site Assessment Summary, ensuring development is safe and does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Natural sustainable drainage systems should be, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and delivered in line with the GM Level 1 SFRA advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's features should be explored.</p> <p>Policy also refers to the provision for a wetland catchment area, in liaison with the Environment Agency, Local Lead Flood Authority and Greater Manchester Ecology Unity, in the northern central part of the site to the south of Cop Road within the Flood Zone 3 area, to provide net gains in flood storage for the wider catchment and / or actively reduce flood risk impacts downstream through additional storage, integrating it with the wider multi-functional</p> <p>This site is within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Site boundary has been amended but still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.	+	Whilst the site boundary has been amended, the allocation still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3. Therefore, the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	<p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy, which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development must avoid Flood Zone 3b, and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including those within the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Level 2 Site Assessment Summary, ensuring development is safe and does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Natural sustainable drainage systems should be, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and delivered in line with the GM Level 1 SFRA advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's features should be explored.</p> <p>Policy also refers to the provision for a wetland catchment area, in liaison with the Environment Agency, Local Lead Flood Authority and Greater Manchester Ecology Unity, in the northern central part</p> <p>This site is within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Site boundary has been amended but still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.	+	Whilst the site boundary has been amended, the allocation still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3. Therefore, the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	<p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and a comprehensive drainage strategy, which includes a full investigation of the surface water hierarchy. The strategy should include details of full surface water management throughout the site as part of the proposed green and blue infrastructure. Development must avoid Flood Zone 3b, and deliver any appropriate recommendations, including those within the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Level 2 Site Assessment Summary, ensuring development is safe and does not increase flood risk elsewhere. Natural sustainable drainage systems should be, integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and delivered in line with the GM Level 1 SFRA advice. Opportunities to use natural flood management and highway SUD's features should be explored.</p> <p>Policy also refers to the provision for a wetland catchment area, in liaison with the Environment Agency, Local Lead Flood Authority and Greater Manchester Ecology Unity, in the northern central part of the site to the south of Cop Road within the Flood Zone 3 area, to provide net gains in flood storage for the wider catchment and / or actively reduce flood risk impacts downstream through additional storage, integrating it with the wider multi-functional</p> <p>This site is within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Site boundary has been amended but still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3.	+	Whilst the site boundary has been amended, the allocation still remains within both Flood Zone 2 and 3. Therefore, the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	0	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	0	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	0	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Policy includes provision for a new Metrolink stop and pedestrian/cycle friendly routes.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.



JPA15 Chew Brook Vale (Robert Fletcher)				2021		2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: 90 homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to deliver 138 homes.	+	Scoring remains the same as housing is still planned to be delivered and already scores positively.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: mix of low density family and executive homes and affordable homes of 2 and 3 bedrooms.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	The policy makes provision for access to green space.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7. Policy text has been rephrased in regards to providing open space and signposts to Policies JP-P5 and PJ-P6.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1, JP-P5, JP-P6 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	++	Policy states: maximising energy efficiency through good building design and fuel-efficient technology.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding ensuring design is environmentally and sustainably driven and utilising fuel efficient technology.	0	Therefore, scoring has reduced from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy States: Provide a range of commercial, leisure and retail facilities of up to 6,000sqm, as part of a mix of uses.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to deliver 3,000sqm of commercial, leisure and retail facilities.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about education provision for both primary and secondary school provision.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	There are existing bus stops and a train station which serve the development.  The policy states: Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	<p>It is noted that the policy wording is strong in this regard, however, due to the physical disconnect from the village, it is considered that this would have a reduced effect against this criteria.</p> <p>Policy states: Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site. Provide an improved access off the A669/A635 and improve the existing road up to the mill complex, including the river crossing over Chew Brook, up to adoptable standards.</p>	Ensure transport connectivity is considered and integrated for all sustainable modes of transport.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	O	<p>It is noted that the policy wording is strong in this regard, however, due to the physical disconnect from the village, it is considered that this would have a reduced effect against this criteria.</p> <p>Policy states: Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site.</p>	Ensure transport connectivity is considered and integrated for all sustainable modes of transport.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in the policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Not referenced in the policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Policy states: delivery of green and blue infrastructure to improve access to the surrounding area.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	<p>Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	<p>In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site.</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Incorporate multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside. This should include footpath networks and recreation routes that incorporate existing trees and habitat areas, providing a range of formal and informal recreational open space and access to existing public footpath networks and woodland areas.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7. Policy text has been rephrased in regards to providing open space and signposts to Policies JP-P5 and PJ-P6.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1, JP-P5, JP-P6 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated in line with local planning policy requirements, and local surpluses and deficiencies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased in regards to providing open space and signposts to Policies JP-P5 and PJ-P6.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P5 and JP-P6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision in liaison with the local authority and Public Health.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P6 and JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	<p>It is noted that the policy wording is strong in this regard, however, due to the physical disconnect from the village, it is considered that this would have a reduced effect against this criteria.</p> <p>Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.</p>	Ensure accessibility is considered and prioritised when bringing this site forward for development.	Policy text has been rephrased about education provision for both primary and secondary school provision.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	<p>It is noted that the policy wording is strong in this regard, however, due to the physical disconnect from the village, it is considered that this would have a reduced effect against this criteria.</p> <p>Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated in line with local planning policy requirements, and local surpluses and deficiencies.</p>	Ensure accessibility is considered and prioritised when bringing this site forward for development.	Policy text relating to health and community facilities has been removed from the policy.	O	Therefore, the scoring has decreased from uncertain to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-C3 and JP-P6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about education provision for both primary and secondary school provision.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1 and JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	O	<p>It is noted that the policy wording is strong in this regard, however, due to the physical disconnect from the village, it is considered that this would have a reduced effect against this criteria.</p> <p>The policy states: Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site.</p>	Ensure transport connectivity is considered and integrated for all sustainable modes of transport.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	O	<p>It is noted that the policy wording is strong in this regard, however, due to the physical disconnect from the village, it is considered that this would have a reduced effect against this criteria.</p> <p>The policy states: Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site.</p>	Ensure transport connectivity is considered and integrated for all sustainable modes of transport.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	O	<p>It is noted that the policy wording is strong in this regard, however, due to the physical disconnect from the village, it is considered that this would have a reduced effect against this criteria.</p> <p>The policy states: Take account of and deliver other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve access to the surrounding area, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling and public transport facilities, including opportunities for bus service provision into the site.</p>	Ensure transport connectivity is considered and integrated for all sustainable modes of transport.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+	<p>The site is not within 500m of an AQMA.</p> <p>The policy makes reference to active transport provision.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++/-	<p>Within the site are priority habitats. To the east of the site there is a SBI, SSSI, SAC, SPA and Peak District National Park. As such there is a risk and an opportunity to biodiversity and nature.</p> <p>The policy states: Incorporate multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside. This should include footpath networks and recreation routes that incorporate existing trees and habitat areas, providing a range of formal and informal recreational open space and access to existing public footpath networks and woodland areas surrounding the site.</p> <p>Retain and enhance biodiversity within and adjoining the site, notably the areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment.</p> <p>Provide further surveys on extended phase 1 habitats, bats and birds to inform any planning application.</p> <p>Ensure that development does not have an adverse impact on the integrity of the nearby Special Protection Area (SPA) and Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The recommendations from the Habitat Regulations Assessment must be considered.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased surrounding the delivery of green and blue infrastructure, responding to the surrounding landscapes, mitigate the disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs and ensuring provision to enhance biodiversity in the adjoining priority habitat areas signposting Policies JP-G1, JP-G5 and JP-G9.	++	The added wording relating to biodiversity and existing wildlife sites strengthens the policy by making the requirements clear and by signposting to policies JP-G1 and JP-G9. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++/-	<p>Within the site are priority habitats. To the east of the site there is a SBI, SSSI, SAC, SPA and Peak District National Park. As such there is a risk and an opportunity to biodiversity and nature.</p> <p>The policy states: Incorporate multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside. This should include footpath networks and recreation routes that incorporate existing trees and habitat areas, providing a range of formal and informal recreational open space and access to existing public footpath networks and woodland areas surrounding the site.</p> <p>Retain and enhance biodiversity within and adjoining the site, notably the areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment.</p> <p>Provide further surveys on extended phase 1 habitats, bats and birds to inform any planning application.</p> <p>Ensure that development does not have an adverse impact on the integrity of the nearby Special Protection Area (SPA) and Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The recommendations from the Habitat Regulations Assessment must be considered.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased surrounding the delivery of green and blue infrastructure, responding to the surrounding landscapes, mitigate the disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs and ensuring provision to enhance biodiversity in the adjoining priority habitat areas signposting Policies JP-G1, JP-G5 and JP-G9.	++	The added wording relating to biodiversity and existing wildlife sites strengthens the policy by making the requirements clear and by signposting to policies JP-G1 and JP-G9. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None Identified

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Within the site are priority habitats. To the east of the site there is a SBI, SSSI, SAC, SPA and Peak District National Park. As such there is a risk and an opportunity to biodiversity and nature.</p> <p>The policy states: Incorporate multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside. This should include footpath networks and recreation routes that incorporate existing trees and habitat areas, providing a range of formal and informal recreational open space and access to existing public footpath networks and woodland areas surrounding the site.</p> <p>Retain and enhance biodiversity within and adjoining the site, notably the areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment.</p> <p>Provide further surveys on extended phase 1 habitats, bats and birds to inform any planning application.</p> <p>Ensure that development does not have an adverse impact on the integrity of the nearby Special Protection Area (SPA) and Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The recommendations from the Habitat Regulations Assessment must be considered.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased surrounding the delivery of green and blue infrastructure, responding to the surrounding landscapes, mitigate the disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs and ensuring provision to enhance biodiversity in the adjoining priority habitat areas signposting Policies JP-G1, JP-G5 and JP-G9.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>Within the site are priority habitats. To the east of the site there is a SBI, SSSI, SAC, SPA and Peak District National Park. As such there is a risk and an opportunity to biodiversity and nature.</p> <p>The policy states: Incorporate multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside. This should include footpath networks and recreation routes that incorporate existing trees and habitat areas, providing a range of formal and informal recreational open space and access to existing public footpath networks and woodland areas surrounding the site. Retain and enhance biodiversity within and adjoining the site, notably the areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment. Provide further surveys on extended phase 1 habitats, bats and birds to inform any planning application. Ensure that development does not have an adverse impact on the integrity of the nearby Special Protection Area (SPA) and Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The recommendations from the Habitat Regulations Assessment must be considered.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased in regards to providing open space and signposts to Policies JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+/O	<p>Relatively average Flood Vulnerability.</p> <p>The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment and comprehensive drainage strategy and deliver any appropriate recommendations and measures (including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems) so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance.</p>	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and new text added surrounding the opportunity to improve the existing water quality to Chew Brook. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from positive/neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	++	<p>There is a section of the site in flood zone 3, which runs through the site and to the east. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, which takes account of any recommendations from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Exceptions Test, and feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. A detailed topographical study may be required. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and new text added surrounding the opportunity to improve the existing water quality to Chew Brook.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	++	<p>There is a section of the site in flood zone 3, which runs through the site and to the east. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, which takes account of any recommendations from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Exceptions Test, and feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. A detailed topographical study may be required. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and new text added surrounding the opportunity to improve the existing water quality to Chew Brook.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	++	<p>There is a section of the site in flood zone 3, which runs through the site and to the east. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, which takes account of any recommendations from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Exceptions Test, and feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. A detailed topographical study may be required. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and new text added surrounding the opportunity to improve the existing water quality to Chew Brook.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	++	<p>There is a section of the site in flood zone 3, which runs through the site and to the east. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, which takes account of any recommendations from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Exceptions Test, and feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems so as to control the rate of surface water run-off. A detailed topographical study may be required. Proposals should be integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding flood risk has been rephrased and new text added surrounding the opportunity to improve the existing water quality to Chew Brook.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	O	<p>All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.</p> <p>In addition the policy states: Be informed by, and deliver the recommendations of, an appropriate visitor management plan to ensure that there is no adverse impact on Dovestones Reservoir, the Peak District National Park and designated conservation areas. Development must have regard to the duty to care for the Peak District National Park under Section 62(2) of the Environment Act 1995.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	<p>All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.</p> <p>In addition the policy states: Be informed by, and deliver the recommendations of, an appropriate visitor management plan to ensure that there is no adverse impact on Dovestones Reservoir, the Peak District National Park and designated conservation areas. Development must have regard to the duty to care for the Peak District National Park under Section 62(2) of the Environment Act</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	<p>Reference in policy to the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Policy states: maximising energy efficiency through good building design and fuel-efficient technology.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding ensuring design is environmentally and sustainably driven and utilising fuel efficient technology.	O	Therefore, scoring has reduced from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	+	<p>Reference in policy to the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Policy states: maximising energy efficiency through good building design and fuel-efficient technology.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding ensuring design is environmentally and sustainably driven and utilising fuel efficient technology.	O	Therefore, scoring has reduced from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	<p>Reference in policy to the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Policy states: maximising energy efficiency through good building design and fuel-efficient technology.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding ensuring design is environmentally and sustainably driven and utilising fuel efficient technology.	O	Therefore, scoring has reduced from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	++	No landscape designations.  Policy states: Incorporate multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and high levels of landscaping so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside. This should include footpath networks and recreation routes that incorporate existing trees and habitat areas, providing a range of formal and informal recreational open space and access to existing public footpath networks and woodland areas surrounding the site;	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the consideration of the historic environment signposting the Hey Top Conservation Area, Greenfield House and New Barn Grade II listed buildings.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	The site contains no listed buildings but it is adjacent to Hey Top Conservation Area to the south west.  The policy states: Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) and addendum (2021) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the consideration of the historic environment signposting the Hey Top Conservation Area, Greenfield House and New Barn Grade II listed buildings.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	++	The site contains no listed buildings but it is adjacent to Hey Top Conservation Area to the south west.  The policy states: Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) and addendum (2021) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the consideration of the historic environment signposting the Hey Top Conservation Area, Greenfield House and New Barn Grade II listed buildings.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	Greenfield land and PDL. The allocation boundary has been amended, resulting in a significant reduction of Green Belt removal.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Grade 4 agricultural land. The allocation boundary has been amended, resulting in a significant reduction of Green Belt removal.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	Greenfield land and PDL.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	Greenfield land and PDL.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified



JPA16 Cowlshaw			2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 460 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: providing a range of dwelling types and sizes so as to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including the delivery of a mix of high quality family housing.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Policy text refers to a comprehensive masterplan and Design Code which may address energy efficiency and resilience.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	There are existing bus stops and metrolink stops which serve the development and the policy proposed transport improvements which link it to employment opportunities. The policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	As above.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in the policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.  In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas. So as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated, and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements. This includes the retention or relocation, if required, and improvement of the existing play area off Kings Road, within the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to provision of health and community facilities has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure housing is connected to these assets. Therefore, scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P6 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated, and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements. This includes the retention or relocation, if required, and improvement of the existing play area off Kings Road, within the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	The policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	The policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding area, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+/-	The site is within 500m of an AQMA. The policy makes reference to active transport provision.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	Within the site are a number of priority habitat sites and 'Ponds at Cowlshaw Farm' site of biological importance.  The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Cowlshaw Ponds SBI and the area of priority habitat to the south of Crompton Primary School, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment.  In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas. So as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.  In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text referencing biodiversity has been removed, and combined with criterion 6.  Policy text referencing green infrastructure has been amended for clarification.  Policy text has been added regarding the SouthJP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring changes from positive to very positive.	None identified.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	<p>Within the site are a number of priority habitat sites and 'Ponds at Cowlishaw Farm' site of biological importance.</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Cowlishaw Ponds SBI and the area of priority habitat to the south of Crompton Primary School, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment.</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas. So as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text referencing biodiversity has been removed, and combined with criterion 6.</p> <p>Policy text referencing green infrastructure has been amended for clarification.</p> <p>Policy text has been added regarding the SouthJP-G5.</p>	++	Therefore, scoring changes from positive to very positive.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Within the site are a number of priority habitat sites and 'Ponds at Cowlishaw Farm' site of biological importance.</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Cowlishaw Ponds SBI and the area of priority habitat to the south of Crompton Primary School, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment.</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas. So as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text referencing biodiversity has been removed, and combined with criterion 6.</p> <p>Policy text referencing green infrastructure has been amended for clarification.</p>	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	<p>Within the site are a number of priority habitat sites and 'Ponds at Cowlishaw Farm' site of biological importance.</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably the existing Cowlishaw Ponds SBI and the area of priority habitat to the south of Crompton Primary School, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of multi-functional green infrastructure network with the wider environment.</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas. So as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	<p>Policy text referencing biodiversity has been removed, and combined with criterion 6.</p> <p>Policy text referencing green infrastructure has been amended for clarification.</p>	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	-/O	<p>Relatively average Flood Vulnerability.</p> <p>The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	<p>Policy text has been removed regarding flood risk. Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.</p>	O	Therefore, scoring has changed from negative/neutral to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to flood risk has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure communities and developments are resilient to climate change. Therefore the scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. As such the policy reflects this stating:  The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to flood risk has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure communities and developments are resilient to climate change. Therefore the scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. As such the policy reflects this stating:  The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to flood risk has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure communities and developments are resilient to climate change. Therefore the scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. As such the policy reflects this stating:  The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems integrated as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to flood risk has been removed from the policy yet it is important to ensure communities and developments are resilient to climate change. Therefore the scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking provision.  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking provision.  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking provision.  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	++	No landscape designations.  Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site and around the main development areas. So as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing green infrastructure has been rephrased.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.

16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	No heritage assets on the site.  The policy states: Be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process. An up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	O	Whilst reference to the historic environment has been removed from the policy, it is considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	++	As above.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+	Majority of the site is Grade 4 but some of the north is urban.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Majority of the site is Grade 4 but some of the north is urban.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	Majority of the site is Grade 4 but some of the north is urban.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	Majority of the site is Grade 4 but some of the north is urban.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA17 Land south of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road)				2021		2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PfE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 175 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs, including the delivery of high-quality family housing. Provide for affordable homes, in line with local planning policy requirements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	Not referenced in the policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Policy makes reference to a masterplan and Design Code which may address energy efficiency and resilience.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	+	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	There are existing bus stops which serve the development.  The policy states: Provide for appropriate access points to and from the site in liaison with the local highways authority. As part of this, provision should be made to safeguard a route from the north western edge of the site through to Coal Pit Lane, linked to the internal road infrastructure of the site. Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site;	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements regarding access and to specify particular access routes, including Ashton Road. It also ensures that the allocation safeguards land to facilitate the provision of a future link road from Ashton Road to Coal Pit Lane.  The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to sustainable travel interventions, and instead signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The amended wording clarifies requirements and access routes for the allocation, and safeguards the site for the future development of a link road. This strengthens the policy text, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the allocation no longer makes references to sustainable travel interventions, and instead signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide for appropriate access points to and from the site in liaison with the local highways authority. As part of this, provision should be made to safeguard a route from the north western edge of the site through to Coal Pit Lane, linked to the internal road infrastructure of the site. Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements regarding access and to specify particular access routes, including Ashton Road. It also ensures that the allocation safeguards land to facilitate the provision of a future link road from Ashton Road to Coal Pit Lane.  The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to sustainable travel interventions, and instead signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The amended wording clarifies requirements and access routes for the allocation, and safeguards the site for the future development of a link road. This strengthens the policy text, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the allocation no longer makes references to sustainable travel interventions, and instead signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide for appropriate access points to and from the site in liaison with the local highways authority. As part of this, provision should be made to safeguard a route from the north western edge of the site through to Coal Pit Lane, linked to the internal road infrastructure of the site. Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements regarding access and to specify particular access routes, including Ashton Road. It also ensures that the allocation safeguards land to facilitate the provision of a future link road from Ashton Road to Coal Pit Lane.  The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to sustainable travel interventions, and instead signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The amended wording clarifies requirements and access routes for the allocation, and safeguards the site for the future development of a link road. This strengthens the policy text, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the allocation no longer makes references to sustainable travel interventions, and instead signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, the scoring has changed from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in the policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off-site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.  In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment; Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation has rephrased wording regarding sustainable travel signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  The allocation no longer makes detailed reference to Green Belt, and instead signposts to Policy JP-G2.  Policy text referencing green infrastructure has been amended.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7, Appendix D, and Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	0	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	0	The allocation no longer makes reference to the provision of new and/or the improvement of existing open space. Yet it is important to promote access to green space for its health and environmental benefits. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	0	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	The policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way); take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way); take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	The policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way); take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed so as to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the surrounding areas and roads, including off site highways improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities such as waiting facilities at bus stops near the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	0	The site is within 500m of an AQMA but it is a relatively small AQMA. The policy makes reference to a comprehensive masterplan and design code and active transport provision	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network.  In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribution towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.  In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding biodiversity has been rephrased signposting JP-G2.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network.  In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribution towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.  In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding biodiversity has been rephrased signposting JP-G2.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network.</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribution towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding biodiversity has been rephrased signposting JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably areas of priority habitats, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating them as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network.</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribution towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment.</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding biodiversity has been rephrased signposting JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2 and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	-/O	<p>Relatively average to high Flood Vulnerability.</p> <p>The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment feeding into a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDSs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored; and Incorporate necessary remediation measures in areas affected by contamination and previously worked for landfill purposes.</p>	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been removed about flood risk. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from negative/neutral to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east and south of the site.</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment feeding into a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDSs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east and south of the site.</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment feeding into a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDSs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east and south of the site.</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment feeding into a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDSs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east and south of the site.  Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment feeding into a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDSs guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially due to the location of the site. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure.  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	+	Reference in policy to the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure.  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	Reference in policy to the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure.  The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	++	No landscape designations.  Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	No heritage assets on the site. However there are listed buildings within 150m.  The policy states: Conserve and enhance heritage assets and their setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). An up-to-date Heritage Impact Assessment will be required for any planning applications and take into consideration the findings of the Greater Manchester Historic Environment Assessment Screening Exercise, and provide an up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to heritage assets. Yet it is important to consider the nearby listed buildings in relation to the conservation of the historic environment. However, the scoring is already neutral so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	++	No heritage assets on the site. However there are listed buildings within 150m.  The policy states: Conserve and enhance heritage assets and their setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). An up-to-date Heritage Impact Assessment will be required for any planning applications and take into consideration the findings of the Greater Manchester Historic Environment Assessment Screening Exercise, and provide an up-to-date archaeological desk-based assessment to determine if any future evaluation and mitigation will be needed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to heritage assets. Yet it is important to consider the nearby listed buildings in relation to the conservation of the historic environment. Therefore, the score has changed from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	Part of site is urban.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	The site is urban/ Grade 4 agricultural land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	Part of site is urban.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	Part of site is urban.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended regarding contamination and coal mining.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	Policy makes reference to a masterplan and Design Code which may consider waste and recycling facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o Policy makes reference to a masterplan and Design Code which may consider waste and recycling facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
----	---	---	---	--	--	--	------------------

JPA18 Rosary Road			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 60 new homes				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: range of dwelling types and sizes so as to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy states: Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on the local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding areas, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities	Scoring has been increased from neutral / no effect to very positive	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	+	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	There are existing bus stops which serve the development, Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding areas, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	There are existing bus stops which serve the development, Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding areas, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	There are existing bus stops which serve the development, Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding areas, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding access for United Utilities	O	Whilst reference to necessary infrastructure has been removed from the policy, it is considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, as the infrastructure is covered by Policy JP-D1. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	++	The policy states: a range of dwelling types and sizes so as to deliver more inclusive neighbourhoods and meet local needs.	Scoring has been increased from neutral / no effect to very positive effect.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment;			Policy text has been rephrased regarding green infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-G1 with policy text about the provision of open space removed. Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1, JP-P7 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements			Policy text has been rephrased regarding green infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-G1 with policy text about the provision of open space removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: Contribute to appropriate health and community facilities to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing provision	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding health and community facilities.	O	It is important to consider community infrastructure. Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P3 and JP-C1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide for new and/or improvement of existing open space, sport and recreation facilities commensurate with the demand generated and local surpluses and deficiencies, in line with local planning policy requirements	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding green infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-G1 with policy text about the provision of open space removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Contribute to additional school places to meet the increased demand that will be placed on existing primary and secondary school provision within the area, either through an expansion of existing facilities or through the provision of new school facilities in liaison with the local education authority	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment;			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment;			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	<p>Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment;</p>			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+	<p>The site is not within 500m of an AQMA</p> <p>The policy makes reference to active transport provision</p> <p>Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation. Contribute towards green infrastructure enhancement opportunities in the surrounding Green Belt as identified in the Identification of Opportunities to Enhance the Beneficial Use of the Green Belt assessment. Take account of and deliver any other highway improvements that may be needed to minimise the impact of associated traffic on local highway network and improve accessibility to the surrounding areas, including off-site highway improvements, high-quality walking and cycling infrastructure and public transport facilities</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	The allocation boundary has been amended however no AQMAs are still located around the allocation	+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	<p>Within the site is a priority habitat and a site of biological importance 'Bankfield Clough'</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably Bankfield Clough SBI and area of priority habitat to the south of the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating the delivery of functional ecological networks into multi-functional green infrastructure to enable free movement of species of principal importance. Planning proposals should incorporate a suitable buffer between development plots and the SBI to protect its important features</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended relating to biodiversity and green infrastructure.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	<p>Within the site is a priority habitat and a site of biological importance 'Bankfield Clough'</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably Bankfield Clough SBI and area of priority habitat to the south of the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating the delivery of functional ecological networks into multi-functional green infrastructure to enable free movement of species of principal importance. Planning proposals should incorporate a suitable buffer between development plots and the SBI to protect its important features</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended relating to biodiversity and green infrastructure.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Within the site is a priority habitat and a site of biological importance 'Bankfield Clough'</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably Bankfield Clough SBI and area of priority habitat to the south of the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating the delivery of functional ecological networks into multi-functional green infrastructure to enable free movement of species of principal importance. Planning proposals should incorporate a suitable buffer between development plots and the SBI to protect its important features</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended relating to biodiversity and green infrastructure.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	<p>Within the site is a priority habitat and a site of biological importance 'Bankfield Clough'</p> <p>The policy states: Retain and enhance the hierarchy of biodiversity within the site, notably Bankfield Clough SBI and area of priority habitat to the south of the site, following the mitigation hierarchy and deliver a meaningful and measurable net gain in biodiversity, integrating the delivery of functional ecological networks into multi-functional green infrastructure to enable free movement of species of principal importance. Planning proposals should incorporate a suitable buffer between development plots and the SBI to protect its important features</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation</p> <p>In addition, the policy makes provision for recreation uses.</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding green infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-G1 with policy text about the provision of open space removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	-/O	<p>Relatively average to high Flood Vulnerability.</p> <p>The policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDS guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text relating to flood risk assessment and drainage strategy matters have been removed. Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from negative/neutral to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDS guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text relating to flood risk assessment and drainage strategy matters have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDS guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text relating to flood risk assessment and drainage strategy matters have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDS guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text relating to flood risk assessment and drainage strategy matters have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	<p>None of the site is within flood zone 2 or 3. However, River Medlock runs to the east of the site. As such the policy reflects this stating:</p> <p>Policy states: Be informed by an appropriate flood risk assessment, feeding in a comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site. Development should deliver any appropriate recommendations, including mitigation measures and the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems as part of the multi-functional green infrastructure network) and be in line with the GM Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDS guidance. Opportunities to use natural flood management should be explored</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text relating to flood risk assessment and drainage strategy matters have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to walking provision The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	+	Reference in policy to walking provision The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	Reference in policy to walking provision The policy does not make reference to energy efficiency			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	++	No landscape designations Policy states: Deliver multi-functional green infrastructure (incorporating the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way) and high quality landscaping within the site so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape, mitigate its environmental impacts, and enhance linkages with the neighbouring communities and countryside and countryside and provide opportunities for leisure and recreation			Policy text has been rephrased regarding green infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-G1 with policy text about the provision of open space removed.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	No heritage assets on the site. Bank Top Farmhouse is a nearby listed building to the south of the site The policy states: Conserve and enhance heritage assets and their setting in accordance with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). An up-to-date Heritage Impact Assessment will be required for any planning applications.			Policy text has been rephrased about the consideration of heritage assets within the site and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	++	No heritage assets on the site. Bank Top Farmhouse is a nearby listed building to the south of the site The policy states: Identify any designated and non-designated heritage assets and assess the potential impact on the assets and their setting, when bringing forward the proposals through a further Heritage Impact Assessments. Harm to the significance of Bank Top Farmhouse (Grade II listed building) should be mitigated through additional tree planting and native hedgerows to enhance the interface between the farmhouse and any new development			Policy text has been rephrased regarding green infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-G1 and text has been rephrased about the consideration of heritage assets within the site and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+	Mostly urban land				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	The majority of the site is in urban and a small section to the west of the site is Grade 4 agricultural land				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	Mostly urban land	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	Mostly urban land	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA19 Bamford and Norden			2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: deliver around 450 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added. Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	o	These will focus on larger, higher value properties.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Policy states: Ensure high quality links/routes to the wider countryside. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended regarding facilities, sports hub and transport infrastructure.	+	Therefore, the score remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D, and Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	o	Not referred to in policy. May be more appropriate to add energy efficiency and resilience considerations to thematic policy on carbon emissions.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	o	Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	+	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Bus route within 1km.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment; Contribute to the potential extension of the proposed bus rapid transit services between Heywood and Manchester.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment; Contribute to the potential extension of the proposed bus rapid transit services between Heywood and Manchester.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Nothing proposed. No mention, site assessment highlights local infrastructure in the area, but ability to support scale/distribution cannot be determined.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain investment/development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain investment/development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention protected characteristics. It is assumed that the policy is too high level to affect protected characteristics (noting that development specifics such as access/facilities for the disabled and elderly will be considered at detailed design).	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Retain and significantly enhance the existing recreational facilities as part of an integrated green and blue infrastructure network on the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: Ensure there are high quality links/routes to the wider countryside.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed. Additional text has been added with reference to a high quality green infrastructure network. New criterion has been added with reference to the accessibility of remaining green belt.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-G2: Green Infrastructure Network strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific Green Infrastructure Network policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision is referred to.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	No new provision is referred to.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	No new provision is referred to.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Provide financial contributions to mitigate impacts on the highway network identified through a transport assessment; Ensure there are high quality links/routes to the wider countryside. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/-	There is an AQMA within 150m of the site to the south Some mitigation proposed in policy. Policy states: Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++/-	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+/?	There are no environmental or ecological receptors within the site. There are a number of nearby receptors:  SAC within 15km: Rochdale Canal (3.022 km) ; South Pennine Moors (8.599 km), SPA within 15km: South Pennine Moors Phase 2 (8.599 km), SBI within 150m: Naden Brook (114 metres) [Ancient Woodland (Wd1)].  Policy states: Development will take account of visual impact from Ashworth Valley to the west and ensure high quality links to the wider countryside. Policy makes reference to an integrated green and blue infrastructure network on the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	There are no environmental or ecological receptors within the site. There are a number of nearby receptors:  SAC within 15km: Rochdale Canal (3.022 km) ; South Pennine Moors (8.599 km), SPA within 15km: South Pennine Moors Phase 2 (8.599 km), SBI within 150m: Naden Brook (114 metres) [Ancient Woodland (Wd1)].  Policy states: Development will take account of visual impact from Ashworth Valley to the west and ensure high quality links to the wider countryside. Policy makes reference to an integrated green and blue infrastructure network on the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	+	There are no environmental or ecological receptors within the site. There are a number of nearby receptors:  SAC within 15km: Rochdale Canal (3.022 km) ; South Pennine Moors (8.599 km), SPA within 15km: South Pennine Moors Phase 2 (8.599 km), SBI within 150m: Naden Brook (114 metres) [Ancient Woodland (Wd1)].  Policy states: Development will take account of visual impact from Ashworth Valley to the west and ensure high quality links to the wider countryside. Policy makes reference to an integrated green and blue infrastructure network on the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Polict text referencing active travel and green infrastructure has been added.	++	The added text references a high quality green infrastructure network. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	There are no environmental or ecological receptors within the site. There are a number of nearby receptors:  SAC within 15km: Rochdale Canal (3.022 km) ; South Pennine Moors (8.599 km), SPA within 15km: South Pennine Moors Phase 2 (8.599 km), SBI within 150m: Naden Brook (114 metres) [Ancient Woodland (Wd1)].  Policy states: Development will take account of visual impact from Ashworth Valley to the west and ensure high quality links to the wider countryside. Policy makes reference to an integrated green and blue infrastructure network on the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Polict text referencing active travel and green infrastructure has been added.	++	The added text references a high quality green infrastructure network. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	o	No mention/no major risks - slight risk of surface water flooding 1/30 years.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	o	No fluvial flood risk. Naden Brook is located to the west of the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	o	Nothing proposed regarding surface water flood risk.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	o	Nothing proposed regarding surface water flood risk.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	o	Nothing proposed regarding surface water flood risk.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water. Naden Brook approx. 600m away.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	++	Policy states: have regard to the existing character of the local area and visual impact from Ashworth Valley.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text linking to Policy JP-G1 has been added.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-G1: Landscape Character strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific Landscape Character policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets within the site. There are a number of listed buildings to the south of the site. Policy states: Ensure that the design of the scheme preserves or enhances the setting of the listed Bamford United Reform Church immediately to the south of the site. Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended regarding the historic environment and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	Therefore, the scoring increases from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	++	Have regard to the existing character and setting of the area and surrounding countryside.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Agricultural land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	Grade 3 agricultural land - not clear whether this is 3a (good quality) which would be BMV or 3b (moderate quality) which wouldn't.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Grade 3 agricultural land - not clear whether this is 3a (good quality) which would be BMV or 3b (moderate quality) which wouldn't.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Grade 3 agricultural land - not clear whether this is 3a (good quality) which would be BMV or 3b (moderate quality) which wouldn't.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA20 Castleton Sidings			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 125 high quality homes including higher value, family housing			Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added. Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy states: the western part of the site will be redeveloped as an area of open space or nature conservation area and retained within the Green Belt.  In reference to employment land the policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that that are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.  Policy also states: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton, the Bee Network scheme and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.			Policy text has been rephrased to combine all requirements relating to active travel into one criterion for transport for clarity.  Policy text has been rephrased to clarify what will be required for the area of retained Green Belt, and to set out all requirements relating to landscaping of the site including Green Belt boundaries.  Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that that are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	Within 1km of existing bus stops. Policy text includes reference to providing pedestrian and cycling routes through the site and to the centre of Castleton				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	Policy states: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	Policy states: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	+	<p>Policy states: Built development will be limited to the eastern half of the site to minimise encroachment of built development into the Green Belt with the western part of the site will be redeveloped as an area of open space or nature conservation area and retained within the Green Belt.</p> <p>7. Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.</p> <p>8. Incorporate high quality landscaping, multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and acoustic attenuation to mitigate the potential visual and noise impact on the railway side of the land</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	<p>Policy states: Built development will be limited to the eastern half of the site to minimise encroachment of built development into the Green Belt with the western part of the site will be redeveloped as an area of open space or nature conservation area and retained within the Green Belt.</p> <p>7. Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.</p> <p>8. Incorporate high quality landscaping, multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and acoustic attenuation to mitigate the potential visual and noise impact on the railway side of the land</p>	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	<p>In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.</p> <p>In relation to green infrastructure: the western part of the site will be redeveloped as an area of open space or nature conservation area and retained within the Green Belt. Incorporate high quality landscaping, multi-functional green and blue infrastructure.</p>				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	O	not referenced in policy			Policy text referencing accessible open space in the Green Belt has been added.	+	The added criteria references accessible open space in the Green Belt. Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No mention of new facilities. This is not referred to directly but this is a relatively small development	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.			Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+				Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+				Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	None identified.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+/-	An AQMA is located within 500m  The policy states: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+/?	Site is located adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor. No mitigation is incorporated in the policy  The policy states: the western part of the site will be redeveloped as an area of open space or nature conservation area and retained within the Green Belt. Incorporate high quality landscaping, multi-functional green and blue infrastructure.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-/?		No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++		Scoring has been increased from neutral / no effect to very positive.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+		Scoring has been increased from neutral / no effect to positive.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  Policy states: Address the risk of surface water flooding that affects part of the site through an appropriate sustainable drainage strategy (SuDS).	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been removed about flood risk. Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	+/0	Therefore, the score has changed from positive to positive/neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  Policy states: Address the risk of surface water flooding that affects part of the site through an appropriate sustainable drainage strategy (SuDS).	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	0	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially as part of the site is affected by surface water flooding. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  Policy states: Address the risk of surface water flooding that affects part of the site through an appropriate sustainable drainage strategy (SuDS).	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	0	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially as part of the site is affected by surface water flooding. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  Policy states: Address the risk of surface water flooding that affects part of the site through an appropriate sustainable drainage strategy (SuDS).	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	0	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially as part of the site is affected by surface water flooding. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3.  Policy states: Address the risk of surface water flooding that affects part of the site through an appropriate sustainable drainage strategy (SuDS).	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed.	0	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures, especially as part of the site is affected by surface water flooding. Therefore, the score has changed from positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	0	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	0	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	0	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.  No reference to low carbon or renewables	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	0	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	0	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: western part of the site will be redeveloped as an area of open space or nature conservation area and retained within the Green Belt. Incorporate high quality landscaping, multi-functional green and blue infrastructure and acoustic attenuation to mitigate the potential visual and noise impact on the railway side of the land				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site. However, adjacent to the site to the east is Castleton (South) Conservation Area and a number of heritage assets.  There is no reference to this in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site. However, adjacent to the site to the east is Castleton (South) Conservation Area and a number of heritage assets.  There is no reference to this in the policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The development area is brownfield land.				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	The development area is brownfield land.				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	The development area is brownfield land.				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	The development area is brownfield land.				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.  It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.		It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved	+	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	o		Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	o		Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA21 Crimble Mill				2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts	
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 250 new homes including higher value family homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added. Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.	
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Policy states: new and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	+	Policy states: Any proposal should provide some land adjacent to the existing school to the south of the site, to allow for the expansion of the school to accommodate the additional demand for places. Financial contributions will also be required to ensure provision of primary and secondary schools places to serve the development.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.	
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	Within 1km of existing bus stops. No new provision for public transport.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.	
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide appropriate access on to the A58 to the south of the site along with secondary access onto Mutual Street. Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.	
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide appropriate access on to the A58 to the south of the site along with secondary access onto Mutual Street. Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.	
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.	

		6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.  No specific reference to green infrastructure other than PRoW improvements.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: new and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	-/?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Any proposal should provide some land adjacent to the existing school to the south of the site, to allow for the expansion of the school to accommodate the additional demand for places. Financial contributions will also be required to ensure provision of primary and secondary schools places to serve the development.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
		7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-/?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Any proposal should provide some land adjacent to the existing school to the south of the site, to allow for the expansion of the school to accommodate the additional demand for places. Financial contributions will also be required to ensure provision of primary and secondary schools places to serve the development.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
		8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/-	An AQMA is located within 500m.  The policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	There are priority habitats within the site and a site of biological importance 'Plumpton Wood' is located adjacent to the site to the north.  Policy includes the incorporation of high quality green and blue infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	There are priority habitats within the site and a site of biological importance 'Plumpton Wood' is located adjacent to the site to the north.  Policy includes the incorporation of high quality green and blue infrastructure.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	+	There are priority habitats within the site and a site of biological importance 'Plumpton Wood' is located adjacent to the site to the north.  Policy includes the incorporation of high quality green and blue infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	There are priority habitats within the site and a site of biological importance 'Plumpton Wood' is located adjacent to the site to the north.  Policy includes the incorporation of high quality green and blue infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing access to Green Belt has been added.	++	The added criteria references access to Green Belt. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
		12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+/-	A significant section of the site to the north is within flood zone 2 and 3. The policy makes reference to this and states: Any proposal needs to take into account the risk of flooding, particularly in respect of those parts of the site that are identified as being within Flood Zone 3. This includes ensuring that the mill building can be accessed from the north.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic Policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

		13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+/-	A significant section of the site to the north is within flood zone 2 and 3. The policy makes reference to this and states: Any proposal needs to take into account the risk of flooding, particularly in respect of those parts of the site that are identified as being within Flood Zone 3. This includes ensuring that the mill building can be accessed from the north.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	A significant section of the site to the north is within flood zone 2 and 3. The policy makes reference to this and states: Any proposal needs to take into account the risk of flooding, particularly in respect of those parts of the site that are identified as being within Flood Zone 3. This includes ensuring that the mill building can be accessed from the north.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	A significant section of the site to the north is within flood zone 2 and 3. The policy makes reference to this and states: Any proposal needs to take into account the risk of flooding, particularly in respect of those parts of the site that are identified as being within Flood Zone 3. This includes ensuring that the mill building can be accessed from the north.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	A significant section of the site to the north is within flood zone 2 and 3. The policy makes reference to this and states: Any proposal needs to take into account the risk of flooding, particularly in respect of those parts of the site that are identified as being within Flood Zone 3. This includes ensuring that the mill building can be accessed from the north.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking; Retain and enhance existing rights of way and general access through and around the site. This should include: New and improved access to the adjacent Queens Park; Enhancing walking and cycling routes to encourage sustainable access to Heywood town centre; and Creation of a route adjacent to the River Roch to support the wider Roch Valley Way.  No reference to low carbon or renewables.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
		15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+/O	Policy states: Protect and enhance the character and significance of the mill complex in order to secure the long term future of these nationally significant Listed Buildings. This will include detailed masterplanning to be informed by the Crimble Mill Historic Environment Assessment 2020 Define the archaeological potential of the development site to the south of the mill complex and river through the completion of archaeological evaluation in the form of geophysics, field walking and trial trenching for areas specified in the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). The masterplan must detail where significant archaeology will be preserved in situ.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text regarding landscape has been rephrased.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
		16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	The site includes Crimble Mill Grade II* listed building.  The policy states: Protect and enhance the character and significance of the mill complex in order to secure the long term future of these nationally significant Listed Buildings. This will include detailed masterplanning to be informed by the Crimble Mill Historic Environment Assessment 2020 Define the archaeological potential of the development site to the south of the mill complex and river through the completion of archaeological evaluation in the form of geophysics, field walking and trial trenching for areas specified in the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). The masterplan must detail where significant archaeology will be preserved in situ.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding townscape has been rephrased.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
		16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	++	The site includes Crimble Mill Grade II* listed building.  The policy states: Protect and enhance the character and significance of the mill complex in order to secure the long term future of these nationally significant Listed Buildings. This will include detailed masterplanning to be informed by the Crimble Mill Historic Environment Assessment 2020 Define the archaeological potential of the development site to the south of the mill complex and river through the completion of archaeological evaluation in the form of geophysics, field walking and trial trenching for areas specified in the Historic Environment Assessment (2020). The masterplan must detail where significant archaeology will be preserved in situ.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text regarding townscape has been rephrased.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
		17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+	Partially grade 4 agricultural land classification and partially urban land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
		17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Partially grade 4 agricultural land classification and partially urban land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

	17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	Partially grade 4 agricultural land classification and partially urban land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
	17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	Partially grade 4 agricultural land classification and partially urban land.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
	18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
	18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
	18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

Ref		JPA22 Land North of Smithy Bridge		2020			2023		2023	
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 300 new homes including higher value family housing			Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added. Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-H1 and JP-H2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	Not referenced in policy			Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-H1 and JP-H2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Policy references links to the centres: Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough			Policy text has been rephrased about accessing green space and transportation infrastructure on the site.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-C7 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Facilitate the delivery of a new primary school and associated outdoor playing space at the southern end of the site and contribute to additional secondary places to meet demand arising from the new development as well as existing demand within the local area	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about education provision and signposts to JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Within 1km of existing bus stops. Policy states: Support the delivery of improvements to the local highway network and public transport serving the area;	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes s and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Support the delivery of improvements to the local highway network and public transport serving the area; Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough.			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes s and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Support the delivery of improvements to the local highway network and public transport serving the area; Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough.			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes s and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

6	6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough;  In relation to green infrastructure: Deliver a landscape-led development which maximises its Pennine fringe setting and takes account of views from and into the site including the incorporation of high quality green and blue infrastructure.				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: Deliver a landscape-led development which maximises its Pennine fringe setting and takes account of views from and into the site.  In addition, the policy makes reference to outdoor playing space linked to a new primary school		Policy text has been rephrased about accessing green space on the site.		+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
	7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Facilitate the delivery of a new primary school and associated outdoor playing space at the southern end of the site and contribute to additional secondary places to meet demand arising from the new development as well as existing demand within the local area		Policy text has been rephrased about education provision and signposts to JP-P5.		++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	+	Policy states: Facilitate the delivery of a new primary school and associated outdoor playing space at the southern end of the site and contribute to additional secondary places to meet demand arising from the new development as well as existing demand within the local area				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
8	8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Facilitate the delivery of a new primary school and associated outdoor playing space at the southern end of the site and contribute to additional secondary places to meet demand arising from the new development as well as existing demand within the local area	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about education provision and signposts to JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough;  Support the delivery of improvements to the local highway network and public transport serving the area	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  Wording has also been added referencing high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+		No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  Wording has also been added referencing high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+		No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  Wording has also been added referencing high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+	An AQMA is not located within 500m.  The policy states: Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough;	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+/?	Within the site is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland, a site of biological importance 'Hollingworth Lake', Hollingworth country park and a wildlife corridor  Limited mitigation in the policy. The policy makes reference to: Deliver a landscape-led development which maximises its Pennine fringe setting and takes account of views from and into the site.	Scoring has been increased from negative /no effect to positive/ no effect	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added to provide further clarity on the requirements to mitigate any disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from positive/no effect to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+/?		Scoring has been increased from negative /no effect to positive/ no effect	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added to provide further clarity on the requirements to mitigate any disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from positive/no effect to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++		Scoring has been increased from positive/no effect to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about delivering green infrastructure and delivering a landscape led approach.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
	11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+		Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about accessing green space on the site.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	O	The allocation is not within flood zone 2 or 3.  Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.  It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	The allocation is not within flood zone 2 or 3.  Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	The allocation is not within flood zone 2 or 3. Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation is not within flood zone 2 or 3. Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation is not within flood zone 2 or 3. Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking: Create high quality, safe and convenient walking and cycling routes through the site providing improved linkages to key local destinations including Littleborough Town Centre, Hollingworth Lake, Rochdale Canal and the two railway stations – Smithy Bridge and Littleborough No reference to low carbon or renewables	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	No mention in policy	Score has improved from neutral to positive	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	++	Policy states: Deliver a landscape-led development which maximises its Pennine fringe setting and takes account of views from and into the site			Policy text has been rephrased about delivering green infrastructure, accessing open space and delivering a landscape led approach.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	No heritage assets within the allocation Not referenced in the policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: taking advantage of its attractive setting next to Hollingworth Lake and the Rochdale Canal			Policy text has been rephrased about delivering green infrastructure and delivering a landscape led approach.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	Mainly greenfield site	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Mostly Grade 4 apart from small patch to the west which is urban				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	Mainly greenfield site				-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Mainly greenfield site				-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA23 Newhey Quarry			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 250 homes including higher value family housing			Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added. Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to affordable housing.	++	Therefore, the scoring has increased from positive to very positive.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: Deliver a mix of housing density, with the potential for higher density development in the south west part of the site closest to the village centre, the Metrolink stop and the existing cycle / walking network. The northern and eastern parts of the site could include larger, higher value housing to diversify housing choice in the local area. Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road			Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to affordable housing.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy references links to the local centre: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to sustainable transport modes and open spaces.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	Within 1km of existing bus stops. In regard to public transport the policy states makes greater accessibility and parking for Newhey Metrolink stop and the existing cycle / walking network. Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop, and the existing cycle / walking network; Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop, and the existing cycle / walking network; Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	+	Policy references the creation of open space and landscaping.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	Policy references the creation of open space and landscaping.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop and the existing cycle / walking network Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road  In relation to green infrastructure: incorporating water features, the creation of attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping.			Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to sustainable transport modes and open spaces.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: incorporating water features, the creation of attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping.			Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to and open spaces and accessibility of the Green Belt.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No mention of new facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	No mention of new facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop and the existing cycle / walking network. Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop and the existing cycle / walking network. Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	Policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop and the existing cycle / walking network. Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road An AQMA is located within 500m.			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/-	The policy states: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop and existing cycle/walking network. Provide safe and appropriate access onto Huddersfield Road	Scoring has been increased from positive / negative to very positive / negative.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++/-	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	Within the site is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland  The policy states: Create a unique, high quality development including attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping by carrying out any necessary re-profiling of the quarry face, incorporating water features and safeguarding and enhancing biodiversity Ensure that the re-profiled quarry face, which is to be retained in the Green Belt, takes the opportunity to incorporate biodiversity and attractive visual features to enhance the quality of the development.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets. Policy text has been added to include the mitigation of recreational disturbance on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs signposting JP-G5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++	Within the site is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland  The policy states: Create a unique, high quality development including attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping by carrying out any necessary re-profiling of the quarry face, incorporating water features and safeguarding and enhancing biodiversity Ensure that the re-profiled quarry face, which is to be retained in the Green Belt, takes the opportunity to incorporate biodiversity and attractive visual features to enhance the quality of the development.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets. Policy text has been added to include the mitigation of recreational disturbance on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs signposting JP-G5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	Within the site is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland  The policy states: Create a unique, high quality development including attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping by carrying out any necessary re-profiling of the quarry face, incorporating water features and safeguarding and enhancing biodiversity Ensure that the re-profiled quarry face, which is to be retained in the Green Belt, takes the opportunity to incorporate biodiversity and attractive visual features to enhance the quality of the development.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets. Policy text has been added to include the mitigation of recreational disturbance on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs signposting JP-G5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	Within the site is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland  The policy states: Create a unique, high quality development including attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping by carrying out any necessary re-profiling of the quarry face, incorporating water features and safeguarding and enhancing biodiversity Ensure that the re-profiled quarry face, which is to be retained in the Green Belt, takes the opportunity to incorporate biodiversity and attractive visual features to enhance the quality of the development.	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to and open spaces and accessibility of the Green Belt.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	O/-	A very small section of the site to the east is in flood zone 3 Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O/-	A very small section of the site to the east is in flood zone 3 Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O/-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O/-	A very small section of the site to the east is in flood zone 3 Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O/-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O/-	A very small section of the site to the east is in flood zone 3 Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O/-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O/-	A very small section of the site to the east is in flood zone 3 Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O/-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking: Retain and enhance existing rights of way and create a network of safe and attractive pedestrian and cycling routes linking the development to the centre of Newhey and the nearby Metrolink stop and the existing cycle/walking network  No reference to low carbon or renewables	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Create a unique, high quality development including attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping by carrying out any necessary re-profiling of the quarry face, incorporating water features and safeguarding and enhancing biodiversity Ensure that the re-profiled quarry face, which is to be retained in the Green Belt, takes the opportunity to incorporate biodiversity and attractive visual features to enhance the quality of the development			Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to open spaces and landscaping.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-/+	Church of St. Thomas New Hey Grade II listed building to the west of the site. Not referenced in the policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the historic environment signposting JP-P2.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: Create a unique, high quality development including attractive and interesting open spaces and landscaping by carrying out any necessary re-profiling of the quarry face, incorporating water features and safeguarding and enhancing biodiversity Ensure that the re-profiled quarry face, which is to be retained in the Green Belt, takes the opportunity to incorporate biodiversity and attractive visual features to enhance the quality of the development			Policy text has been rephrased to include reference to open spaces and landscaping.	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	Brownfield land				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	++	Brownfield land, grade 4 agricultural land classification				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	Brownfield land				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	Brownfield land				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA24 Roch Valley				2021		2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 200 new homes including higher value family homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added. Policy text has been added to include reference for the provision of affordable housing.	++	Therefore, the scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-H1 and JP-H2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	Not referenced in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to include reference for the provision of affordable housing.	++	Therefore, the scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-H1 and JP-H2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north. The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Policy wording has been rephrased green infrastructure wording with the policy now citing the Pennine Foothills, signposting Policy JP-G1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1, and JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	Within 1km of existing bus stops and train station. No reference to public transport improvements.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north. The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	<p>Policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north.</p> <p>The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	<p>Policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north.</p> <p>The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage.</p> <p>Policy includes the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure.</p>	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-JP-C7 and Appendix D. Policy wording has been rephrased green infrastructure wording with the policy now citing the Pennine Foothills, signposting Policy JP-G1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	o	Not referenced in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No mention of new facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	No mention of new facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north. The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage. Policy includes the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north. The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage. Policy includes the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	Policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north. The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Provide appropriate access to electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle storage. Policy includes the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++	An AQMA is not located within 500m. The policy states: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north. The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes. Policy includes the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	There is a wildlife corridor within the site.  The policy makes reference to: Have regard to the river valley setting in terms of the design and layout, particularly in relation to the materials uses, the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure and the landscaping along the boundary of the site.	No further recommendations are made.	New policy wording has been added regarding requirement to mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs, signposting Policy JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	There is a wildlife corridor within the site.  The policy makes reference to: Have regard to the river valley setting in terms of the design and layout, particularly in relation to the materials uses, the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure and the landscaping along the boundary of the site.	No further recommendations are made.	New policy wording has been added regarding requirement to mitigate the recreation disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs, signposting Policy JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	There is a wildlife corridor within the site.  The policy makes reference to: Have regard to the river valley setting in terms of the design and layout, particularly in relation to the materials uses, the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure and the landscaping along the boundary of the site.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy wording has been rephrased green infrastructure wording with the policy now citing the Pennine Foothills, signposting Policy JP-G1.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	There is a wildlife corridor within the site.  The policy makes reference to: Have regard to the river valley setting in terms of the design and layout, particularly in relation to the materials uses, the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure and the landscaping along the boundary of the site.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	A small section of the site to the south is in flood zone 3  The policy states: Safeguard the land between the developed part of the site and the River Roch to contribute to measures that deliver flood alleviation benefits for the River Roch catchment between Littleborough and Rochdale town centre. This should be accompanied by appropriate water management in the site itself, including sustainable drainage infrastructure (SuDS)	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been removed regarding the implementation of water management including SuDS. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	?	Therefore, scoring has reduced from a positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	A small section of the site to the south is in flood zone 3.  The policy states: Safeguard the land between the developed part of the site and the River Roch to contribute to measures that deliver flood alleviation benefits for the River Roch catchment between Littleborough and Rochdale town centre. This should be accompanied by appropriate water management in the site itself, including sustainable drainage infrastructure (SuDS).	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding the implementation of water management including SuDS.	?	Therefore, scoring has reduced from a positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	A small section of the site to the south is in flood zone 3.  The policy states: Safeguard the land between the developed part of the site and the River Roch to contribute to measures that deliver flood alleviation benefits for the River Roch catchment between Littleborough and Rochdale town centre. This should be accompanied by appropriate water management in the site itself, including sustainable drainage infrastructure (SuDS).	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding the implementation of water management including SuDS.	?	Therefore, scoring has reduced from a positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	A small section of the site to the south is in flood zone 3.  The policy states: Safeguard the land between the developed part of the site and the River Roch to contribute to measures that deliver flood alleviation benefits for the River Roch catchment between Littleborough and Rochdale town centre. This should be accompanied by appropriate water management in the site itself, including sustainable drainage infrastructure (SuDS).	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding the implementation of water management including SuDS.	?	Therefore, scoring has reduced from a positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	A small section of the site to the south is in flood zone 3.  The policy states: Safeguard the land between the developed part of the site and the River Roch to contribute to measures that deliver flood alleviation benefits for the River Roch catchment between Littleborough and Rochdale town centre. This should be accompanied by appropriate water management in the site itself, including sustainable drainage infrastructure (SuDS).	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been removed regarding the implementation of water management including SuDS.	?	Therefore, scoring has reduced from a positive to uncertain.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking: Maintain and enhance pedestrian and cycle routes through the valley both to promote active lifestyles and provide sustainable routes to local centres, services and public transport, notably Smithy Bridge railway station to the south and the bus corridor on the A58 to the north. The layout of the scheme should be designed in a way to deliver the eastern section of a proposed residential relief road between Smithy Bridge Road and Albert Royds Street. This proposed new road will need to incorporate attractive, high quality pedestrian and cycle routes.  No reference to low carbon or renewables.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Protect and enhance archaeological features and where appropriate carry out archaeological evaluation for areas specified in the Roch Valley Historic Environment Assessment 2020 to understand where especially significant archaeology must be preserved in situ. Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment submitted as part of the planning application.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the site's surrounding landscape and the sensitivities of the characteristics of the Pennine Foothills, signposting Policy JP-G1.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	No heritage assets within the allocation.  Not referenced in the policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: Have regard to the river valley setting in terms of the design and layout, particularly in relation to the materials uses, the incorporation of green and blue infrastructure and the landscaping along the boundary of the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding the site's surrounding landscape and the sensitivities of the characteristics of the Pennine Foothills, signposting Policy JP-G1.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	++	Urban Land.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA25 Trows Farm			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 550 homes including higher value family housing			Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added.  Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: incorporating a good mix of house types including higher value housing;			Policy text referencing higher value family housing has been removed.  Policy text referencing the provision of larger (4, 5 and 6 bedroom) houses has been added.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Policy states: Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	Within 1km of existing bus stops. In regard to public transport the policy states: Contribute to public transport accessibility improvements	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	Policy states: Contribute to public transport accessibility improvements; Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  Wording has also been added referencing safe and attractive walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	Policy states: Contribute to public transport accessibility improvements; Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  Wording has also been added referencing safe and attractive walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Not referenced in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text referencing the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with Policy JP-D1 has been added.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from negative to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station; In relation to green infrastructure: deliver a well-designed scheme which incorporates good quality green and blue infrastructure having regard to existing biodiversity and greenspace corridors;			Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for green and blue infrastructure to respond to the sensitivities of the Urban Fringe Farmland Landscape Character and signposts to Policy JP-G1 Landscape Character.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-G1 Landscape Character strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to respond to local landscape character, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-G1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	O	not referenced in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text referencing the need for an infrastructure phasing and delivery strategy in accordance with Policy JP-D1 has been added.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from negative to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-P5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Provide contributions to ensure that there are sufficient school places to accommodate the new housing either through an expansion of existing schools or the provision of new school facilities	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-P5 Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes s and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Wording has also been added referencing safe and attractive walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Policy states: Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes s and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Wording has also been added referencing safe and attractive walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	Policy states: Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes s and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Wording has also been added referencing safe and attractive walking and cycling routes through the site to provide improved linkages	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++/-	An AQMA is located within 150m. The policy makes specific mention to air quality: Incorporate appropriate noise and air quality mitigation along the M62 and A627(M) motorway corridors The policy states: Provide good quality pedestrian and cycling routes through the site to facilitate safe and convenient access to the centre of Castleton and the nearby railway station, and westwards to employment locations around Heywood.	Score has improved from positive/very negative to very positive/very negative	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++/-	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++/?	Within the site there is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor No mitigation is included in the policy The policy states: deliver a well-designed scheme which incorporates good quality green and blue infrastructure having regard to existing biodiversity and greenspace corridors;	Scoring has been increased from positive/no effect to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Polict text has been added to mitigate the recreational disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs signposting Policy JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has changed from very positive/uncertain to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++/?	Within the site there is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor No mitigation is included in the policy The policy states: deliver a well-designed scheme which incorporates good quality green and blue infrastructure having regard to existing biodiversity and greenspace corridors;	Scoring has been increased from positive/no effect to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Polict text has been added to mitigate the recreational disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs signposting Policy JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has changed from very positive/uncertain to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	Within the site there is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor No mitigation is included in the policy The policy states: deliver a well-designed scheme which incorporates good quality green and blue infrastructure having regard to existing biodiversity and greenspace corridors;	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.	Polict text has been added to mitigate the recreational disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs signposting Policy JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has changed from very positive/uncertain to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	Within the site there is a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and a wildlife corridor No mitigation is included in the policy The policy states: deliver a well-designed scheme which incorporates good quality green and blue infrastructure having regard to existing biodiversity and greenspace corridors;	Score has improved from neutral to positive	No further recommendations are made.	Polict text has been added to mitigate the recreational disturbance impacts on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs signposting Policy JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has changed from very positive/uncertain to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3. Policy makes no reference.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3. Policy makes no reference.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3. Policy makes no reference.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3. Policy makes no reference.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	No part of the site is in flood zone 2 or 3. Policy makes no reference.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	+	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking: Provide safe and attractive walking and cycling routes to the local centre of Castleton and the railway station  No reference to low carbon or renewables	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	No mention in policy	Scoring has been increased from negative / no effect to positive.	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	No reference in policy			Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for green and blue infrastructure to respond to the sensitivities of the Urban Fringe Farmland Landscape Character and signposts to Policy JP-G1 Landscape Character.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-G1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site.			Policy text has been added to take account of heritage assets including St Thomas Church and Bradley's Farmhouse, signposting Policy PJ-P2.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site.			Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for green and blue infrastructure to respond to the sensitivities of the Urban Fringe Farmland Landscape Character and signposts to Policy JP-G1 Landscape Character.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, particularly Policy JP-G1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield land	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Greenfield land, grade 4 agricultural land classification	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA26 Hazelhurst Farm			2020			2023				
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria...will the P/E (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Land at Hazelhurst to the east of the M60 and south of the A580 East Lancashire Road will be developed for around 400 dwellings	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	+	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No reference to this in the policy	No change has been made to scoring. Will be determined through masterplan	No further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy States: Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods site of biological interest to the west of the site, retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies. The site benefits from close proximity to the route of services that utilise the Leigh Guided Busway, provided access to employment and leisure opportunities.				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referred to in policy. May be more appropriate to add energy efficiency and resilience considerations to thematic policy on carbon emissions.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Set aside land to provide additional school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Be designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services, in particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops.  In addition, the policy makes reference to incorporating attractive public rights of way through the site				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	Policy states: Be designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services, in particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops.  In addition, the policy makes reference to incorporating attractive public rights of way through the site			New criteria signposting to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D has been added to existing text referencing public transport services and active travel.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7: Transport Requirements of New Development strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Policy states: Provide a buffer for the overhead power lines that run across the site, in accordance with National Grid requirements. However this only maintains the current position and does not improve it.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	o	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	o	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	o	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	o	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	o	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	o	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: high quality pedestrian routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops. In addition, the policy makes reference to incorporating attractive public rights of way through the site.  Policy states: Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods site of biological interest to the west of the site, retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies. In addition, the policy makes reference to providing new allotment plots.			Policy text referencing allotment plots has been removed.  New criteria referencing the achievement of recreation standards has been added.	++	Whilst reference to allotment plots has been removed from the policy, wording has been added ensuring developments contribute to recreation standards in accordance with local planning policies. It is therefore considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-P7. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods site of biological interest to the west of the site, retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies. In addition, the policy makes reference to providing new allotment plots.			Policy text referencing allotment plots has been removed.  New criteria referencing the achievement of recreation standards has been added.	++	Whilst reference to allotment plots has been removed from the policy, wording has been added ensuring developments contribute to recreation standards in accordance with local planning policies. It is therefore considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-P7. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	-/?	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Set aside land to provide additional school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	+	Policy makes provision for new allotment plots	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text referencing allotment plots has been removed.  New criteria referencing the achievement of recreation standards has been added.	++	Whilst reference to allotment plots has been removed from the policy, wording has been added ensuring developments contribute to recreation standards in accordance with local planning policies. It is therefore considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-P7. Therefore, the scoring has improved to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D, Policy JP-G2, and Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Set aside land to provide additional school provision, unless it can be demonstrated that sufficient additional school places will be provided off-site within the local area to meet the likely demand generated by the new housing				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Be designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services. In particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops. In addition, the policy makes reference to incorporating attractive public rights of way through the site.			New criteria signposting to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D has been added to existing text referencing public transport services and active travel.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7: Transport Requirements of New Development strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Policy states: Be designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services, in particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops. In addition, the policy makes reference to incorporating attractive public rights of way through the site.			New criteria signposting to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D has been added to existing text referencing public transport services and active travel.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7: Transport Requirements of New Development strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	Policy states: Be designed to encourage the use of nearby public transport services, in particular the Leigh-Salford-Manchester bus rapid transit service, with high quality pedestrian routes and off-site pedestrian crossings that connect all parts of the site to nearby bus stops. In addition, the policy makes reference to incorporating attractive public rights of way through the site.			New criteria signposting to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D has been added to existing text referencing public transport services and active travel.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7: Transport Requirements of New Development strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+/-	<p>The site is within 150m of an AQMA.</p> <p>The policy supports the use of active modes of transport and the use of public transport, in particularly bus rapid transit service</p>	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text signposting to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D has been added. AQMA is still located within 150m to the allocation	+	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7: Transport Requirements of New Development strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+/-	<p>The site is within a wildlife corridor and is adjacent to a priority habitat for Lowland fens. In addition the site is adjacent Worsley Woods which is a site of biological importance</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods site of biological interest to the west of the site, retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies. Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods Site of Biological Importance to the west of the site. In addition, the policy makes reference to providing new allotment plots.</p> <p>Respond to the site's location, characteristics and surroundings to take opportunities to incorporate green infrastructure that can most effectively benefit the site and the wider area;</p>			<p>Site is still within a wildlife corridor and adjacent to Site of Biological Importance.</p> <p>Policy text referencing allotment plots has been removed.</p> <p>New criteria referencing compensatory improvements to environmental quality and accessibility, in accordance with Policy JP-G2 has been added.</p> <p>New criterion referencing provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G9 has been added.</p>	+	The added criteria references compensatory improvements to the greenbelt and provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+/-	<p>The site is within a wildlife corridor and is adjacent to a priority habitat for Lowland fens. In addition the site is adjacent Worsley Woods which is a site of biological importance</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods site of biological interest to the west of the site, retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies. Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods Site of Biological Importance to the west of the site. In addition, the policy makes reference to providing new allotment plots.</p> <p>Respond to the site's location, characteristics and surroundings to take opportunities to incorporate green infrastructure that can most effectively benefit the site and the wider area;</p>			<p>Site is still within a wildlife corridor and adjacent to Site of Biological Importance.</p> <p>Policy text referencing allotment plots has been removed.</p> <p>New criteria referencing compensatory improvements to environmental quality and accessibility, in accordance with Policy JP-G2 has been added.</p> <p>New criterion referencing provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G9 has been added.</p>	+	The added criteria references compensatory improvements to the greenbelt and provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>The site is within a wildlife corridor and is adjacent to a priority habitat for Lowland fens. In addition the site is adjacent Worsley Woods which is a site of biological importance</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods site of biological interest to the west of the site, retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies. Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods Site of Biological Importance to the west of the site. In addition, the policy makes reference to providing new allotment plots.</p> <p>Respond to the site's location, characteristics and surroundings to take opportunities to incorporate green infrastructure that can most effectively benefit the site and the wider area;</p>			<p>Site is still within a wildlife corridor and adjacent to Site of Biological Importance.</p> <p>Policy text referencing allotment plots has been removed.</p> <p>New criteria referencing compensatory improvements to environmental quality and accessibility, in accordance with Policy JP-G2 has been added.</p> <p>New criterion referencing provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G9 has been added.</p>	++	The added criteria references compensatory improvements to the greenbelt and provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. However, as the scoring is already very positive, the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>The site is within a wildlife corridor and is adjacent to a priority habitat for Lowland fens. In addition the site is adjacent Worsley Woods which is a site of biological importance</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods site of biological interest to the west of the site, retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, swamp and water bodies. Protect and enhance the Worsley Woods Site of Biological Importance to the west of the site. In addition, the policy makes reference to providing new allotment plots.</p> <p>Respond to the site's location, characteristics and surroundings to take opportunities to incorporate green infrastructure that can most effectively benefit the site and the wider area;</p>			<p>Site is still within a wildlife corridor and adjacent to Site of Biological Importance.</p> <p>Policy text referencing allotment plots has been removed.</p> <p>New criteria referencing compensatory improvements to environmental quality and accessibility, in accordance with Policy JP-G2 has been added.</p> <p>New criterion referencing provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G9 has been added.</p>	++	The added criteria references compensatory improvements to the greenbelt and provision for biodiversity in accordance with Policy JP-G2 and JP-G9. However, as the scoring is already very positive, the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	<p>Site is has relatively low flood vulnerability</p> <p>Policy states: Incorporate sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site, while ensuring that there is no adverse impact on the potential for flooding off-site;</p>	Scoring has been increased from no effect to positive.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	<p>Policy text has been removed about flood risk. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.</p>	+	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	<p>Allocation is not in a flood zone</p> <p>Policy states: Incorporate sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site.</p>			<p>Policy text has been removed. Allocation boundaries have changed but remains outside of a flood zone.</p>	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures. The score remains neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	<p>Allocation is not in a flood zone</p> <p>Policy states: Incorporate sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site.</p>			<p>Policy text has been removed. Allocation boundaries have changed but remains outside of a flood zone.</p>	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures. The score remains neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	<p>Allocation is not in a flood zone</p> <p>Policy states: Incorporate sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site.</p>			<p>Policy text has been removed. Allocation boundaries have changed but remains outside of a flood zone.</p>	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures. The score remains neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	Allocation is not in a flood zone Policy states: Incorporate sustainable drainage systems to mitigate the surface water flooding on the site.			Policy text has been removed. Allocation boundaries have changed but remains outside of a flood zone.	O	The allocation no longer makes reference to flood risk. Yet it is important to consider appropriate mitigation measures. The score remains neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	++	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses. Policy States: protect the quality of watercourses through and around the site	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive.	No further recommendations are made.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses. Policy States: protect the quality of watercourses through and around the site	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	Reference in policy to cycling and walking			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from negative/uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	Reference in policy to cycling and walking			Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from negative/uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Retain other mature woodland, hedgerows, and swamp and water bodies as important landscape features, supporting an overall increase in the nature conservation value of the site. The landscape features within and around the site are important to the character of the wider area, and their rendition will help to differentiate its development and ensure a high quality residential environment				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: Ensure that vehicular access to the site does not have an unacceptable impact on the quality of existing residential areas	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Land is on an agricultural greenfield site	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Grade 3 agricultural land (subset of Grade 3 not known, worst case scenario has been assumed)	Scoring has been increased from very negative to positive.	Development could accord with the results of the Agricultural Land Assessment.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield				--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA27 Land East of Boothstown			2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states around 300 dwellings will be built.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	+	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Policy States: Utilise Shaw Brook as a central landscape feature running through the site, with the retention of significant open land around it. Policy also references: Retain the existing playing fields and footpaths.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing playing fields and allotment plots has been removed.  Multiple criteria have been added referencing access to Green Belt, sustainable transport, and the achievement of recreation standards in accordance with local planning policies.	++	The added criteria references access to Green Belt, sustainable transport, and the achievement of recreation standards in accordance with local planning policies. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Not referred to in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	Housing only.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	Policy states: Secure further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	+	Policy states: Secure further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	There is no mention of this type of infrastructure.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	Policy states: ensure good quality walking and cycling routes, retain or replace the existing playing fields, include new allotment plots, support Greater Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area and utilise Shaw Brook as a central landscape feature running through the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing playing fields and allotment plots has been removed. Policy text referencing the achievement of recreation standards has been added.	++	The added criteria references access to Green Belt, sustainable transport, and the achievement of recreation standards in accordance with local planning policies. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: retain or replace the existing playing fields, include new allotment plots, support Greater Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area and utilise Shaw Brook as a central landscape feature running through the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing playing fields and allotment plots has been removed. Policy text referencing the achievement of recreation standards has been added.	++	The added criteria references access to Green Belt, sustainable transport, and the achievement of recreation standards in accordance with local planning policies. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision is referred to.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	No new provision is referred to.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy makes provision for new neighbourhood equipped area of play, and retain or replace existing playing fields.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text referencing playing fields and allotment plots has been removed. Policy text referencing the achievement of recreation standards has been added.	++	Whilst reference to playing fields has been removed from the policy, it is considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	-/?	No new provision is referred to.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+ / -	Policy states: Ensure good quality access by walking and cycling for all residents to services in Boothstown, bus services on the surrounding road network, the Bridgewater Canal and Chat Moss to the south. In addition, secure further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route to RHS Garden Bridgewater, Worsley Village and Boothsbank Park.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+ / -	Policy states: Ensure good quality access by walking and cycling for all residents to services in Boothstown, bus services on the surrounding road network, the Bridgewater Canal and Chat Moss to the south. In addition, secure further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route to RHS Garden Bridgewater, Worsley Village and Boothsbank Park.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+ / -	Policy states: Ensure good quality access by walking and cycling for all residents to services in Boothstown, bus services on the surrounding road network, the Bridgewater Canal and Chat Moss to the south. In addition, secure further improvements to the path on the north side of the Bridgewater Canal to provide a high quality walking and cycling route to RHS Garden Bridgewater, Worsley Village and Boothsbank Park.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific transport policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive	None identified.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+/-	The northern boundary of the site is adjacent to an AQMA, but also includes reference to retaining existing footpath.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+ / -	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	SACs within 15km of the sites boundary: Manchester Mosses (3.69km) [UK0030200]; Rixton Clay Pits (10.378km) [UK0030265]. Policy states: retain or replace the existing playing fields, include new allotment plots, support Greater Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area and utilise Shaw Brook as a central landscape feature running through the site. Policy justification: The priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancements required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity from the development of the site is likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing playing fields and allotment plots has been removed.	+	Whilst reference to playing fields and allotment plots has been removed from the policy, it is considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+/-	SACs within 15km of the sites boundary: Manchester Mosses (3.69km) [UK0030200]; Rixton Clay Pits (10.378km) [UK0030265]. Policy states: retain or replace the existing playing fields, include new allotment plots, support Greater Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area and utilise Shaw Brook as a central landscape feature running through the site. Policy justification: The priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancements required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity from the development of the site is likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording has been added requiring developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.	++	Policy wording has been added that explicitly protects irreplaceable peat habitat from inappropriate development. Therefore, the scoring has changed to very positive.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	SACs within 15km of the sites boundary: Manchester Mosses (3.69km) [UK0030200]; Rixton Clay Pits (10.378km) [UK0030265]. Policy states: retain or replace the existing playing fields, include new allotment plots, support Greater Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area and utilise Shaw Brook as a central landscape feature running through the site. Policy justification: The priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancements required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity from the development of the site is likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing playing fields and allotment plots has been removed.	++	Whilst reference to playing fields has been removed from the policy, it is considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	SACs within 15km of the sites boundary: Manchester Mosses (3.69km) [UK0030200]; Rixton Clay Pits (10.378km) [UK0030265].  Policy states: retain or replace the existing playing fields, include new allotment plots, support Greater Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area and utilise Shaw Brook as a central landscape feature running through the site.  Policy justification: The priority for any off-site nature conservation enhancements required to deliver a minimum 10% net gain in biodiversity from the development of the site is likely to be the restoration of lowland raised bog and complementary habitats in Chat Moss to the south.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text referencing playing fields and allotment plots has been removed.	+	Whilst reference to playing fields has been removed from the policy, it is considered that this policy does not change against the assessment criteria when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, the scoring has not changed.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G6. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	+	Policy states: mitigate flood risk and incorporate sustainable drainage systems.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	0	1.2% in flood zones. Some within flood zones 2 and 3.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+	Policy states: mitigate flood risk and incorporate sustainable drainage systems.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	Policy states: mitigate flood risk and incorporate sustainable drainage systems.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	Policy states: mitigate flood risk and incorporate sustainable drainage systems.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	0	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	0	As above.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	0	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		0	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to buses, cycling and walking.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	The policy states: retain Alder Wood and the other areas of mature deciduous woodland and protected trees.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	+	There are no listed buildings on the site.  Policy states: Conserve and enhance the setting of nearby heritage assets and, informed by the findings and recommendations of the Heritage Assessment (2019) in the Plan's evidence base and any Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text regarding the historic environment rephrased and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	Therefore, the scoring has changed from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	?/+	None proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		?/+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Land is on an agricultural greenfield site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	-	Grade 3 agricultural land (subset of Grade 3 not known, worst case scenario has been assumed).	Development could accord with the results of the Agricultural Land Assessment.	Policy wording has been added for developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.	++	Policy wording has been added that explicitly protects soil resources from inappropriate development. Therefore, the scoring has changed to very positive.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy .	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA29 Port Salford Extension				2021		2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PfE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	O	No housing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No housing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	No housing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	No housing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Employment site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Existing public transport near by - bus stops.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy makes reference to provision of railway link, highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling routes, access from bus stops and potential Metrolink extension.  Policy also states 'incorporate suitable HGV parking provision to cater for the area's anticipated use, including as appropriate stop over provision, and amenity facilities to serve the needs of HGV drivers'.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy makes reference to provision of railway link, highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling routes, access from bus stops and potential Metrolink extension.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	There is no mention of this type of infrastructure.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policies JP-D1.	++	Scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	+	Policy mentions: Involve high levels of community engagement, including through regular liaison meetings convened by the landowner and / or developers.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has removed references to community engagement.	O	Scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	Policy mentions: Involve high levels of community engagement, including through regular liaison meetings convened by the landowner and / or developers.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has removed references to community engagement.	O	Scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy references providing high quality walking and cycling routes incorporating high levels of landscaping, retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where possible, maximising biodiversity and mitigate environmental impacts.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to ensure provision of biodiversity with priority for any off site enhancements going to Foxhill Glen Site of Biological Importance in addition to text being rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G9 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: incorporating high levels of landscaping, retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where possible.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text rephrased regarding the loss of recreational land.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision is referred to. Proposal for employment land is unlikely to affect local capacity.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	No new provision is referred to. Proposal for employment land is unlikely to affect local capacity.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	No new provision is referred to. Proposal for employment land is unlikely to affect local capacity.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	O	No new provision is referred to.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No new provision is referred to.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy makes reference to provision of railway link, highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling routes, access from bus stops and potential Metrolink extension.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	'Policy makes reference to provision of railway link, highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling routes, access from bus stops and potential Metrolink extension.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	'Policy makes reference to provision of railway link, highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling routes, access from bus stops and potential Metrolink extension.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	--/+	Site is within 150m of an AQMA but the policy does make reference to improvements to public transport, walking and cycling.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--/+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and is located 400 from a site of biological importance.  The policy references the need to incorporating high levels of landscaping, retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where possible, maximising biodiversity with a minimum 10% net gain and mitigate environmental impacts.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to ensure provision of biodiversity with priority for any off site enhancements will be the Foxhill Glen Site of Biological Importance and ecological enhancements to the remaining areas of green belt.  Policy text has also been added to ensure that opportunities to restore habitats and having regard to peat on site is central to the site masterplan.  The requirement for 10% net gain biodiversity has been removed.	++	Therefore, scoring improves from positive to very positive.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and is located 400 from a site of biological importance.  The policy references the need to incorporating high levels of landscaping, retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where possible, maximising biodiversity with a minimum 10% net gain and mitigate environmental impacts.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to ensure provision of biodiversity with priority for any off site enhancements going to Foxhill Glen Site of Biological Importance. The requirement for 10% net gain biodiversity has been removed.  Policy text has been added to ensure that opportunities to restore habitats and having regard to peat on site is central to the site masterplan.  Policy wording has also been added for developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.	++	Therefore, scoring improves from positive to very positive.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	+	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and is located 400 from a site of biological importance.  The policy references the need to incorporating high levels of landscaping, retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where possible, maximising biodiversity with a minimum 10% net gain and mitigate environmental impacts.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to ensure provision of biodiversity with priority for any off site enhancements will be the Foxhill Glen Site of Biological Importance and ecological enhancements to the remaining areas of green belt.  Policy text has also been added to ensure that opportunities to restore habitats and having regard to peat on site is central to the site masterplan.  The requirement for 10% net gain biodiversity has been removed.	++	Therefore, scoring improves from positive to very positive.	None Identified

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	The proposed site is adjacent to a priority habitat for deciduous woodland and is located 400 from a site of biological importance.  The policy references the need to incorporating high levels of landscaping, retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where possible, maximising biodiversity with a minimum 10% net gain and mitigate environmental impacts.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text rephrased regarding the loss of recreational land.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	-	Flood vulnerability average/relatively high. The site is within a flood zone with 2% within flood zone 2.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	0	Therefore, scoring has changed to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	-	The site is not within a flood zone.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	+ / ?	Policy makes reference to the provision of landscaping and retainment of existing woodland and hedgerows where possible.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+ / ?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	+	Policy refers to mitigating the risk of surface water and groundwater flood risk and not adversely affecting the hydrology surrounding the areas of peat/mossland.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	+	Policy refers to mitigating the risk of surface water and groundwater flood risk and not adversely affecting the hydrology surrounding the areas of peat/mossland.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	+	Policy refers to protecting the quality of watercourses through and around the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+	Policy refers to protecting the quality of watercourses through and around the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	+	Policy refers to protecting the quality of watercourses through and around the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Policy makes reference to provision of railway link, highway improvements, high quality walking and cycling routes, access from bus stops and potential Metrolink extension.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention of low carbon and renewable energy facilities in policy wording.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention of low carbon and renewable energy facilities in policy wording.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Incorporate high levels of landscaping, including the retention or replacement of existing woodland, hedgerows and ponds where practicable, so as to minimise the visual impact on the wider landscape.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	+	There are local and national listed buildings nearby to the site.  The policy states: Conserve and where appropriate enhance, the significance of surrounding designated and non-designated heritage assets, including by: Being informed by the findings and recommendations of the Heritage Assessment (2019) in the Plan's evidence base and any Heritage Impact Assessment submitted as part of the planning application process.  Policy also states: Employ methods throughout the construction process that will ensure the potential for archaeology is investigated and any finds safeguarded and properly recorded.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added to include consideration of relevant heritage assets and their settings, sign posting Policy JP-P2.	++	Therefore, scoring improves from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	Not mentioned in the policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	Grade 1, 2 and 3 agricultural land. Mostly grade 1.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy wording has been added for developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.	++	Policy wording has been added that explicitly protects soil resources from inappropriate development. Therefore, the scoring has changed to very positive.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified

18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o		
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o		

JPA30 Ashton Moss West			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	O	Employment only policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	Employment only policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Reference in policy to connecting to existing residential sites: Create a safe, walkable and green development which promotes active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, cycle routes and public transport connections.	No change to scoring	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.  Policy text has been included to make provision for biodiversity, priority species and ecological features, signposting to Policy JP-G9, however text regarding the provision of public realm has been removed.	++	The added wording linking the allocation to Policy JP-C7: Transport Requirements of New Developments and Policy JP-G9: A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity, strengthens the allocation by linking to the specific connectivity and biodiversity policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. The scoring has therefore increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D, and Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Employment only policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: deliver approximately 160,000 sqm of employment space, primarily within the B1b, B1c and B2 use classes				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Ensure employment, education and training opportunities are available for local residents within the local area people both through the construction phases and upon completion	Scoring has been increased from no effect to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding education, training and employment opportunities has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	The policy is serviced by an existing metrolink and bus stops  Policy states: Create a safe, walkable and green development which promotes active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, cycle routes and public transport connections.			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Ensure highways are designed to accommodate access to the rail line to the northern boundary and land is set aside in that location to potentially accommodate provision for a future rail station (new) and ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways with existing public rights of way and the sustainable travel route along the sites western edge	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Ensure highways are designed to accommodate access to the rail line to the northern boundary and land is set aside in that location to potentially accommodate provision for a future rail station (new) and ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways with existing public rights of way and the sustainable travel route along the sites western edge	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: an integrated approach to infrastructure that supports the scale of the whole development, for example: surface water drainage, grey infrastructure including utilities provision, superfast broadband and electric vehicle charging points	No change to the scoring	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	The policy makes reference to create employment opportunities for local people, which would likely reduce deprivation in these areas	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text regarding education, training and employment opportunities has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	The policy makes reference to create employment opportunities for local people, which would likely reduce deprivation in these areas	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text regarding education, training and employment opportunities has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed	No change to the scoring	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy .		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Create a safe, walkable and green development which promotes active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, cycle routes and public transport connections.  Policy also makes reference to providing green infrastructure and landscape, specifying: Make provision for high quality public realm and the potential for accessible public open space, for example: natural space and amenity space in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review and deliver appropriate levels of tree planting including street trees.			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Policy text has been included to make provision for biodiversity, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9, however text regarding the provision of public realm has been removed.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	+	The policy makes reference to walking and cycling.			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy makes reference to providing green infrastructure and landscape, specifying: Make provision for high quality public realm and the potential for accessible public open space, for example: natural space and amenity space in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review and deliver appropriate levels of tree planting including street trees.			Policy text has been included to make provision for biodiversity, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9, however text regarding the provision of public realm has been removed.	++	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2, JP-P7 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	Policy states: Ensure the character of, and interface between, new and existing development including surrounding residential dwellings and gardens, are sensitively designed and acknowledged by development proposals and be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locale alongside key assets such as schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services and Daisy Nook Country Park	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	Not referenced in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	Not referenced in policy			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	O	Not referenced in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	++	Policy states: Ensure employment, education and training opportunities are available for local residents within the local area people both through the construction phases and upon completion	Scoring has been increased from neutral to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text regarding education, training and employment opportunities has been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Ensure highways are designed to accommodate access to the rail line to the northern boundary and land is set aside in that location to potentially accommodate provision for a future rail station (new) and ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways with existing public rights of way and the sustainable travel route along the sites western edge	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Ensure highways are designed to accommodate access to the rail line to the northern boundary and land is set aside in that location to potentially accommodate provision for a future rail station (new) and ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways with existing public rights of way and the sustainable travel route along the sites western edge	Scoring has been increased from positive to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Ensure highways are designed to accommodate access to the rail line to the northern boundary and land is set aside in that location to potentially accommodate provision for a future rail station (new) and ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways with existing public rights of way and the sustainable travel route along the sites western edge			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+/-	There is an AQMA that runs to the east of the site The policy states: Create a safe, walkable and green development which promotes active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, cycle routes and public transport connections.	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text referencing the need to use sustainable construction techniques to ensure any impact on carbon storage function of deep peat is minimised. Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive/ negative to positive.	None Identified
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	O	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: to the north of the site there is a priority habitat and Hollinwood Branch Canal which is an SSSI, SBI and a Local Nature Reserve Policy states: Make provision for high quality public realm and the potential for accessible public open space, for example: natural space and amenity space in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reference to biodiversity net gain could be reintroduced into the policy.	Policy text has been included to make provision for biodiversity, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: to the north of the site there is a priority habitat and Hollinwood Branch Canal which is an SSSI, SBI and a Local Nature Reserve Policy states: Make provision for high quality public realm and the potential for accessible public open space, for example: natural space and amenity space in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reference to biodiversity net gain could be reintroduced into the policy.	Policy text has been included to make provision for biodiversity, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9. Policy text referencing the need to use sustainable construction techniques to ensure any impact on carbon storage function of deep peat is minimised.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	+	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: to the north of the site there is a priority habitat and Hollinwood Branch Canal which is an SSSI, SBI and a Local Nature Reserve Policy states: Make provision for high quality public realm and the potential for accessible public open space, for example: natural space and amenity space in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review			Policy text has been included to make provision for biodiversity, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9, however text regarding the provision of public realm has been removed.	+	Therefore, scoring has remained the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2, JP-P7 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: to the north of the site there is a priority habitat and Hollinwood Branch Canal which is an SSSI, SBI and a Local Nature Reserve Policy states: Make provision for high quality public realm and the potential for accessible public open space, for example: natural space and amenity space in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review			Policy text has been included to make provision for biodiversity, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9. Policy text regarding the provision of public realm has been removed.	+	Therefore, scoring has remained the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	?	Climate change vulnerability: Average There is no reference to flood risk, energy efficiency or being resilient to the effects of climate change within the allocation policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	?	Allocation is not in a flood zone. There is no reference to flood risk, energy efficiency or being resilient to the effects of climate change within the allocation policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider the impact of increasing flood risk off-site.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	?	Allocation is not in a flood zone. There is no reference to flood risk, energy efficiency or being resilient to the effects of climate change within the allocation policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider the impact of increasing flood risk off-site.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	?	Allocation is not in a flood zone. There is no reference to flood risk, energy efficiency or being resilient to the effects of climate change within the allocation policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider the impact of increasing flood risk off-site.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	?	Allocation is not in a flood zone. There is no reference to flood risk, energy efficiency or being resilient to the effects of climate change within the allocation policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider the impact of increasing flood risk off-site.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider the impact of increasing flood risk off-site.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider the impact of increasing flood risk off-site.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider the impact of increasing flood risk off-site.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider energy efficiency practices.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider energy efficiency practices.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider energy efficiency practices.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Criteria referencing the use of sustainable construction techniques to ensure that the impact on carbon storage of deep peat is minimised.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Make provision for high quality public realm and the potential for accessible public open space, for example: natural space and amenity space in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review and deliver appropriate levels of tree planting including street trees			Policy text regarding the provision of public realm has been removed.	0	Therefore, scoring has decreased from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	0	There are no heritage assets on the site The policy does not make reference to heritage assets.				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	0	Not referenced in policy				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield in agricultural use	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	87.8% of Grade 3 (subset of Grade 3 not known, worst case scenario has been assumed)	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield				--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states "Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans)."	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA31 Godley Green										
Ref	Objective	2020			2023			Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
		Assessment criteria....will the P/E (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021			
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 2,350 new homes				++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: new homes across a range of types and tenures in accordance with the Council's most up to date Housing Needs Assessment				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy makes reference to connections with a local hub offering a range of community and retail facilities. In addition, the policy refers to access to flexible workspace around Hattersley Train Station and provision for a wide range of open space				++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1, JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Nothing proposed	Scoring has decreased from positive to neutral due to a policy wording change	It is considered that for development of this scale, energy efficiency could be referenced directly.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: Establish two villages each served by a local hub offering a range of community and retail facilities and exploit the cultural and leisure opportunities presented				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards education				++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy states: Provide access into the site from the A560 Mottram Old Road for all forms of transport, a pedestrian/cycle bridge connecting to Hattersley train station.				++	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide access into the site from the A560 Mottram Old Road for all forms of transport, a pedestrian/cycle bridge connecting to Hattersley train station and appropriate contributions to any offsite transport improvements. In addition, promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Trans-Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park				++	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide access into the site from the A560 Mottram Old Road for all forms of transport, a pedestrian/cycle bridge connecting to Hattersley train station and appropriate contributions to any offsite transport improvements. In addition, promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Trans-Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park				++	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Policy states: an integrated and coordinated approach to infrastructure to support the whole development will be taken	Scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral due to policy wording change	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider infrastructure such as EV charging.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	Scoring has improved from neutral/no effect to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	Scoring has improved from neutral/no effect to very positive for consistency between allocation policies	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	+	Policy states: 4. Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan, phasing strategy and design code approved by the Local Planning Authority for the whole site, developed through engagement with the local community, Council and other appropriate stakeholders	Scoring has improved from neutral/no effect to positive for consistency between allocation policies	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	Policy states: 2. Deliver homes across a range of types and tenures in accordance with the Council's most up to date housing needs assessment. Policy also states that the proposed bridge over the rail line is now accessible to all users	Scoring has improved from neutral/no effect to positive for consistency between allocation policies	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Trans-Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.  Policy also makes reference to providing green infrastructure and landscape, specifying: Protect, manage and enhance the SBI's of Weren't Brook and Brookfold Wood and key landscape features such as mature trees, hedgerows, ponds and watercourses. In addition, make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces, for example: parks and gardens, natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments			The policy wording about landscape and green infrastructure has been rephrased and includes a signpost to Policy JP-G9.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy makes reference to providing green infrastructure and landscape, specifying: Protect, manage and enhance the SBI's of Weren't Brook and Brookfold Wood and key landscape features such as mature trees, hedgerows, ponds and watercourses. In addition, make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces, for example: parks and gardens, natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments			The policy wording about biodiversity and green infrastructure has been rephrased and includes a signpost to Policy JP-G9.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards health	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	+	Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards Education			Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Establish two villages each served by a local hub offering a range of community and retail facilities and exploit the cultural and leisure opportunities presented. In addition, the policy makes reference to making provision for a range of accessible public open spaces.			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	Policy states: Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards Education			Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	+	Policy states: Ensure employment, education and training opportunities are available for residents within the local area, both through construction phases and upon completions	Scoring has improved from neutral to positive for consistency between allocation policies		Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Trans-Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Trans-Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Trans-Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+/?	No AQMAs around, but extensive number of homes is likely to generate some trips which may affect AQ at local sensitive receptors  The policy states: promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Trans-Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from positive/uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+/-	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: SACs within 15km: South Pennine Moors (5.662 km); Rochdale Canal (9.259 km); Habitat: Deciduous woodland; Lowland meadows; Additional habitats.  Policy states: Make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces, for example: parks and gardens, natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review and deliver appropriate levels of tree planting including street trees.  Policy states: Protect, manage and enhance the SBI's of Weren't Brook and Brookfold Wood and key landscape features such as mature trees, hedgerows, ponds and watercourses			The policy wording about biodiversity and green infrastructure has been rephrased and includes a signpost to Policy JP-G9. Policy text has been added to include mitigation of the recreation disturbance on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs, signposting JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has increased from positive/negative to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+/-	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: SACs within 15km: South Pennine Moors (5.662 km); Rochdale Canal (9.259 km); Habitat: Deciduous woodland; Lowland meadows; Additional habitats.  Policy states: Make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces, for example: parks and gardens, natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review and deliver appropriate levels of tree planting including street trees.  Policy states: Protect, manage and enhance the SBI's of Weren't Brook and Brookfold Wood and key landscape features such as mature trees, hedgerows, ponds and watercourses			The policy wording about biodiversity and green infrastructure has been rephrased and includes a signpost to Policy JP-G9. Policy text has been added to include mitigation of the recreation disturbance on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs, signposting JP-G5.	++	Therefore, scoring has increased from positive/negative to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: SACs within 15km: South Pennine Moors (5.662 km); Rochdale Canal (9.259 km); Habitat: Deciduous woodland; Lowland meadows; Additional habitats.  Policy states: Make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces, for example: parks and gardens, natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review and deliver appropriate levels of tree planting including street trees.  Policy states: Protect, manage and enhance the SBI's of Weren't Brook and Brookfold Wood and key landscape features such as mature trees, hedgerows, ponds and watercourses			The policy wording about biodiversity and green infrastructure has been rephrased and includes a signpost to Policy JP-G9. Policy text has been added to include mitigation of the recreation disturbance on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs, signposting JP-G5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: SACs within 15km: South Pennine Moors (5.662 km); Rochdale Canal (9.259 km); Habitat: Deciduous woodland; Lowland meadows; Additional habitats.  Policy states: Make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces, for example: parks and gardens, natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the council's most up to date open space review and deliver appropriate levels of tree planting including street trees.  Policy states: Protect, manage and enhance the SBI's of Weren't Brook and Brookfold Wood and key landscape features such as mature trees, hedgerows, ponds and watercourses			The policy wording about biodiversity and green infrastructure has been rephrased and includes a signpost to Policy JP-G9. Policy text has been added to include mitigation of the recreation disturbance on the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPAs, signposting JP-G5.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	?	Site is has relatively low flood vulnerability Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	Allocation is not in a flood zone Nothing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	Allocation is not in a flood zone Nothing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	Allocation is not in a flood zone Nothing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	Allocation is not in a flood zone Nothing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider flood risk.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider flood risk.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider flood risk.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider energy efficiency practices.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	?	Nothing proposed	Scoring has decreased from positive to neutral/no effect due to policy wording change	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider energy efficiency practices.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	?	Nothing proposed	Scoring has decreased from positive to neutral/no effect due to policy wording change	It is considered that the final masterplan for the site could consider energy efficiency practices.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has increased from uncertain to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces. In addition, it states: create high quality public realm			Policy text has been removed.	O	Reference to landscaping has been removed from the policy yet it is important to consider public realm when retaining local character. Therefore, scoring has changed from positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: Implement architecture that is innovative and creative, respecting and integrating into the surrounding landscape, creating aspirational and desirable communities in which to live			Policy text has been rephrased regarding character and identity of new and existing development.	+	Therefore, scoring has remained the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	Mostly Greenfield with 4.7% approved landfill site	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Grade 4 agricultural land				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield				--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.			o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

Ref	Objective	JPA32 South of Hyde		2021		2023		Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
		Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score			
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 440 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified	
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: new homes across a range of types and tenures in accordance with the Council's most up to date Housing Needs Assessment.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified	
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	Policy states to be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locale, alongside key assets such as schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services, the Peak Forest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7. Policy wording has been amended regarding landscape and specifically references the Pennine Foothills (Dark Peak) character in addition to wording being added regarding providing links to nearby recreational spaces including the Peak Forest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park. However, wording has been removed regarding tree planting and providing public open space.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-D1, JP-P7 and JP-C7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.	
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified	
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing Policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified	
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards education. Policy also states that employment, education and training opportunities will be available for residents within the local area through the construction phases.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.	
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	Housing Policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified	

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	<p>Policy states: Provide access to each site from the A560 Stockport Road.</p> <p>Ensure neighbourhoods are green, walkable and safe places.</p> <p>Ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways.</p> <p>Incorporate and enhance as attractive and desirable routes, existing public rights of way, including the Cown Edge Way.</p> <p>Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality, alongside key assets such as Hyde town centre, schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services, the Peak Forrest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	<p>Policy states: Provide access to each site from the A560 Stockport Road.</p> <p>Ensure neighbourhoods are green, walkable and safe places.</p> <p>Ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways.</p> <p>Incorporate and enhance as attractive and desirable routes, existing public rights of way, including the Cown Edge Way.</p> <p>Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality, alongside key assets such as Hyde town centre, schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services, the Peak Forrest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	Policy states: Take an integrated and coordinated approach to infrastructure to support the whole development.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	+	Policy states: Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan, phasing strategy and design code approved by the Local Planning Authority for the whole site, developed through engagement with the local community, Council and other appropriate stakeholders.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	+	Policy states: Deliver homes across a range of types and tenures in accordance with the Council's up to date Housing Needs Assessment.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	<p>Policy states: Provide access to each site from the A560 Stockport Road. Ensure neighbourhoods are green, walkable and safe places.</p> <p>Ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways.</p> <p>Incorporate and enhance as attractive and desirable routes, existing public rights of way, including the Cown Edge Way.</p> <p>Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality, alongside key assets such as Hyde town centre, schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services, the Peak Forrest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.</p> <p>Policy also makes reference to providing green infrastructure and landscape, specifying: Protect and enhance the designated Pole Bank SBI.</p> <p>Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, cloughs, watercourses and ponds.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	<p>Policy wording has been amended regarding landscape and specifically references the Pennine Foothills (Dark Peak) character in addition to wording being added regarding providing links to nearby recreational spaces including the Peak Forest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park. However, wording has been removed regarding tree planting and providing public open space. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policies JP-D1 and JP-C7.</p>	++	Therefore, scoring has remained the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-C7 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	<p>Policy makes reference to providing green infrastructure and landscape, specifying: Protect and enhance the designated Pole Bank SBI.</p> <p>Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, cloughs, watercourses and ponds.</p> <p>Set aside land for a range of public open spaces such as; natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	<p>Policy wording has been amended regarding landscape and specifically references the Pennine Foothills (Dark Peak) character in addition to wording being added regarding providing links to nearby recreational spaces including the Peak Forest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park. However, wording has been removed regarding tree planting and providing public open space.</p>	++	Therefore, scoring has remained the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-C1 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards health.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	+	Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards Education.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Make provision for a range of accessible public open spaces, for example: natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	Policy states: provide appropriate developer contributions towards Education.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	Therefore, scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	Therefore, scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	<p>Policy states: Create safe, walkable, green neighbourhoods which promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Peak Forrest Canal, the Trans-Pennine Trail (National Cycle Route 62) and Werneth Low Country Park.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	<p>Policy states: Create safe, walkable, green neighbourhoods which promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Peak Forrest Canal, the Trans-Pennine Trail (National Cycle Route 62) and Werneth Low Country Park.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	<p>Policy states: Create safe, walkable, green neighbourhoods which promote active travel through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways, utilising and improving connectivity to existing public rights of way and the wider area, including adjacent residential areas, schools, cycle routes, public transport connections, the Peak Forrest Canal, the Trans-Pennine Trail (National Cycle Route 62) and Werneth Low Country Park.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+	<p>No AQMAs around allocation.</p> <p>The policy states: Ensure neighbourhoods are green, walkable and safe places.</p> <p>Ensure active travel is promoted to be the most attractive form of local transport through a clear network and hierarchy of legible and attractive streets, footpaths and cycle ways.</p> <p>Incorporate and enhance as attractive and desirable routes, existing public rights of way, including the Cown Edge Way.</p> <p>Be designed to enhance connectivity to existing communities in the locality, alongside key assets such as Hyde town centre, schools, cycle and walking routes, public transport services, the Peak Forrest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park.</p>	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	The allocation boundary has been amended however no AQMAs are still located around the allocation. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+/-	<p>Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: within the site priority habitat for deciduous woodland, site of biological importance Pole Bank north, adjacent to ancient &amp; semi-natural woodland.</p> <p>Policy states: Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting.</p> <p>Set aside land for a range of public open spaces such as; natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review.</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the designated Pole Bank SBI.</p> <p>Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, cloughs, watercourses and ponds.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Site of Biological Importance has been removed from the site allocation boundary. New policy text has been added to consider the Pole Bank Site of Biological Importance, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive/negative to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	+/-	<p>Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: within the site priority habitat for deciduous woodland, site of biological importance Pole Bank north, adjacent to ancient &amp; semi-natural woodland</p> <p>Policy states: Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting; and</p> <p>Set aside land for a range of public open spaces such as; natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the designated Pole Bank SBI;</p> <p>Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, cloughs, watercourses and ponds</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Site of Biological Importance has been removed from the site allocation boundary. New policy text has been added to consider the Pole Bank Site of Biological Importance, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive/negative to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: within the site priority habitat for deciduous woodland, site of biological importance Pole Bank north, adjacent to ancient &amp; semi-natural woodland.</p> <p>Policy states: Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting.</p> <p>Set aside land for a range of public open spaces such as; natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review.</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the designated Pole Bank SBI.</p> <p>Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, cloughs, watercourses and ponds.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Site of Biological Importance has been removed from the site allocation boundary. New policy text has been added to consider the Pole Bank Site of Biological Importance, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>Risk and opportunity with nearby ecology receptors: within the site priority habitat for deciduous woodland, site of biological importance Pole Bank north, adjacent to ancient &amp; semi-natural woodland.</p> <p>Policy states: Deliver a high quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting.</p> <p>Set aside land for a range of public open spaces such as; natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review.</p> <p>Policy states: Protect and enhance the designated Pole Bank SBI.</p> <p>Protect and enhance key landscape and ecological features including protected trees and woodlands, areas of Ancient Woodland, other mature trees, hedgerows, cloughs, watercourses and ponds.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Site of Biological Importance has been removed from the site allocation boundary. New policy text has been added to consider the Pole Bank Site of Biological Importance, priority species and ecological features, signposting Policy JP-G9. Wording has also been added regarding providing links to nearby recreational spaces including the Peak Forest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park. However, wording has been removed regarding tree planting and providing public open space.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	?	Site is has relatively low flood vulnerability. Nothing proposed.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy wording has been added in relation to managing flood risk and drainage. Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	○	Allocation is not in a flood zone. Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding. Policy wording has been added in relation to managing flood risk and drainage.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	○	Allocation is not in a flood zone. Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding. Policy wording has been added in relation to managing flood risk and drainage.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	○	Allocation is not in a flood zone. Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding. Policy wording has been added in relation to managing flood risk and drainage.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	○	Allocation is not in a flood zone. Nothing proposed.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however small areas of the site are located in areas at risk of flooding. Policy wording has been added in relation to managing flood risk and drainage.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	○	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	○	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	○	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		○	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	?	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	?	Nothing proposed.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Deliver a high-quality public realm that incorporates street tree planting; and set aside land for a range of public open spaces such as; natural space, amenity space, play provision and allotments in accordance with the Council's most up to date Open Space Review.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording has been amended regarding landscape and specifically references the Pennine Foothills (Dark Peak) character in addition to wording being added regarding providing links to nearby recreational spaces including the Peak Forest Canal, Trans Pennine Trail and Werneth Low Country Park. However, wording has been removed regarding tree planting and providing public open space.	+	Therefore, scoring has remained the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P7. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	Grade II* listed Apethorn Farm within the site boundary and there are two listed structures in close proximity to the site.  Policy States: Sustain and enhance both designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings including the Grade II* listed Apethorn Farmhouse and Grade II Pole Bank Hall.  Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Heritage Impact Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated HIA assessment(s) submitted as part of the planning application process.  There will be a requirement to deliver the sensitive restoration and long term future use of the Grade II* listed Apethorn Farmhouse and complex within an early phase of development together with its removal from the Heritage at Risk Register.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however the site still includes the Grade II* listed building and structures. Policy text has rephrased how heritage assets are considered, signposting to Policy JP-P2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: Ensure that architecture is innovative and creative, while respecting and integrating into the surrounding landscape, creating aspirational and desirable communities in which to live.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation boundary has been amended however the site still includes the Grade II* listed building and structures. Policy text has rephrased how heritage assets are considered, signposting to Policy JP-P2. Policy wording has also been amended regarding landscape and specifically references the Pennine Foothills (Dark Peak) character.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G1 and JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield in agricultural use.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	+	Small patch to the south in Grade 4, mostly grade 3 and urban.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA33 New Carrington			2021			2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 5,000 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for a minimum of 15% affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	Policy states: Deliver a range of house types, sizes, layouts and tenures through a place-led approach based on each of the Character Areas in the New Carrington Masterplan / SPD and the Trafford Local Plan.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy includes the provision of employment land, a new local centre and green space within the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	++	Not referenced in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding mitigating the effects of climate change and renewable energy have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S1 and JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: Deliver a minimum of 350,000 sqm (gross) of employment opportunities for industry and warehousing.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	++	Policy states: Provide and contribute to the provision of additional primary and secondary school places. Extensions will be required to primary and secondary schools in Partington and Sale West.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The site is adjacent to a number of existing bus stops. New public transport proposed: Contribute towards schemes to mitigate the impact of traffic generated by the development on the Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks, including public transport and highway infrastructure schemes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	<p>Policy states: Make the necessary improvements to the Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks including the following key new / upgraded junctions to enable the proposed level of development and mitigate the impact of increased vehicle numbers.</p> <p>Utilise the route of the disused railway through the site as a strategic sustainable transport corridor providing links from New Carrington to the wider area and contributing to improved east/west linkages and deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation, utilising the Carrington rides, improving the Trans Pennine Trail and creating new/enhancing existing Public Rights of Way and bridleways.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	<p>Policy states: Make the necessary improvements to the Strategic, Primary and Local Road Networks including the following key new / upgraded junctions to enable the proposed level of development and mitigate the impact of increased vehicle numbers.</p> <p>Utilise the route of the disused railway through the site as a strategic sustainable transport corridor providing links from New Carrington to the wider area and contributing to improved east/west linkages and deliver a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation, utilising the Carrington rides, improving the Trans Pennine Trail and creating new/enhancing existing Public Rights of Way and bridleways.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Make provision for necessary infrastructure such as utilities, full fibre broadband and electric vehicle charging points in accordance with relevant Places for Everyone or local planning policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	Policy states: Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a Local Labour Agreement in accordance with Local Plan Policies.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about education and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas. Policy states: Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a Local Labour Agreement in accordance with Local Plan Policies.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about education and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	++	Policy states: Deliver a range of house types, sizes, layouts and tenures through a place-led approach and ensure new development is sensitively integrated with existing residential areas.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	++	Policy states: Deliver a range of house types, sizes, layouts and tenures through a place-led approach and ensure new development is sensitively integrated with existing residential areas.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	+	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	<p>Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements including delivering a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation, including enhancements and protection of the Trans Pennine Trail, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyle.</p> <p>In reference to green infrastructure the policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces, enhancing existing green infrastructure including woodland, minimising the loss of existing landscape feature, achieving enhancements to the delivery of eco-system services and protecting existing designations such as SSSI, SBIs, both within and adjacent to the site, and delivering net gain in biodiversity.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	++	The policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces, enhancing existing green infrastructure including woodland, minimising the loss of existing landscape feature, achieving enhancements to the delivery of eco-system services and protecting existing designations such as SSSI, SBIs, both within and adjacent to the site, and delivering net gain in biodiversity.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	++	Policy states: Provide community infrastructure including education and health facilities to support the new community.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	++	Policy states: Provide community infrastructure including education and health facilities to support the new community.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.  Policy text referencing health facilities has been removed.	++	The amended wording and link to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	++	Policy states: Provide significant areas of open and accessible green space throughout the allocation as part of the wider strategic green infrastructure network. These will provide important multi-functional recreational spaces and active travel routes, linking different areas within and beyond the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	++	Policy states: Provide and contribute to the provision of additional primary and secondary school places. Extensions will be required to primary and secondary schools in Partington and Sale West; and Provide and contribute to new health facilities to support the new community.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been amended to clarify the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	+	Policy states: Create employment and training opportunities for local people in particular through the construction phase, to be set out in a Local Labour Agreement in accordance with Local Plan Policies.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about education and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements including delivering a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation, including enhancements and protection of the Trans Pennine Trail, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyle.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements including delivering a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation, including enhancements and protection of the Trans Pennine Trail, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyle.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy makes reference to walking and cycling improvements including delivering a network of safe cycling and walking routes through the allocation, including enhancements and protection of the Trans Pennine Trail, encouraging sustainable short journeys and promoting healthier lifestyle.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	The allocation has been rephrased and has added wording that signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.

10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	++	<p>The site is not located within 500m of an AQMA.</p> <p>The policy make reference to improved active transport.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Wetland at Carrington Moss', 'Partington Nature Reserve', 'Carrington Power Station', 'Broadoak Wood', 'Sinderland Green Wood' and 'Altrincham Sewage Works', a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces, enhancing existing green infrastructure including woodland, minimising the loss of existing landscape feature, achieving enhancements to the delivery of eco-system services and protecting existing designations such as SSSI, SBIs, both within and adjacent to the site, and delivering net gain in biodiversity.</p> <p>The Green Belt Assessment at this location is being re-run due to boundary changes and therefore the conclusions of this assessment may alter.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to ensure that opportunities to restore habitats and having regard to peat on site is central to the site masterplan.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Wetland at Carrington Moss', 'Partington Nature Reserve', 'Carrington Power Station', 'Broadoak Wood', 'Sinderland Green Wood' and 'Altrincham Sewage Works', a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces, enhancing existing green infrastructure including woodland, minimising the loss of existing landscape feature, achieving enhancements to the delivery of eco-system services and protecting existing designations such as SSSI, SBIs, both within and adjacent to the site, and delivering net gain in biodiversity.</p> <p>The Green Belt Assessment at this location is being re-run due to boundary changes and therefore the conclusions of this assessment may alter.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	<p>Policy wording has been added for developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.</p> <p>Policy text has also been added to ensure that opportunities to restore habitats and having regard to peat on site is central to the site masterplan.</p>	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Wetland at Carrington Moss', 'Partington Nature Reserve', 'Carrington Power Station', 'Broadoak Wood', 'Sinderland Green Wood' and 'Altrincham Sewage Works', a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces, enhancing existing green infrastructure including woodland, minimising the loss of existing landscape feature, achieving enhancements to the delivery of eco-system services and protecting existing designations such as SSSI, SBIs, both within and adjacent to the site, and delivering net gain in biodiversity.</p> <p>The Green Belt Assessment at this location is being re-run due to boundary changes and therefore the conclusions of this assessment may alter.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to ensure that opportunities to restore habitats and having regard to peat on site is central to the site masterplan.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest 'Wetland at Carrington Moss', 'Partington Nature Reserve', 'Carrington Power Station', 'Broadoak Wood', 'Sinderland Green Wood' and 'Altrincham Sewage Works', a priority habitat and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy makes significant reference to improvements including providing a significant area of enhanced and accessible Green Infrastructure within the area of the allocation to remain in the Green Belt. In addition, it proposed, new green spaces, enhancing existing green infrastructure including woodland, minimising the loss of existing landscape feature, achieving enhancements to the delivery of eco-system services and protecting existing designations such as SSSI, SBIs, both within and adjacent to the site, and delivering net gain in biodiversity.</p> <p>The Green Belt Assessment at this location is being re-run due to boundary changes and therefore the conclusions of this assessment may alter.</p>	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	++	Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Sinderland Brook.  The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management issues, both within and beyond the site, including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a with a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy. Policy text has been added referencing sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	++	Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Sinderland Brook.  The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management issues, both within and beyond the site, including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a with a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	++	Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Sinderland Brook.  The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management issues, both within and beyond the site, including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a with a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	++	Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Sinderland Brook.  The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management issues, both within and beyond the site, including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a with a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	++	Small sections of the site contain flood zone 2 and 3, including Sinderland Brook.  The policy states: Mitigate flood risk and surface water management issues, both within and beyond the site, including provision of SUDS through the design and layout of development and in accordance with a with a flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been removed regarding onsite measures to deal with surface water, water supply infrastructure and rephrased regarding the flood risk, foul and surface water management strategy.	+	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.  The policy states: Protect and enhance the habitats and corridors along Sinderland Brook to improve the existing water quality and seek to achieve 'good' status as proposed under the North West River Basin Management Plan (2019).	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.  The policy states: Protect and enhance the habitats and corridors along Sinderland Brook to improve the existing water quality and seek to achieve 'good' status as proposed under the North West River Basin Management Plan (2019).	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	++	Reference in policy to cycling and walking, in addition to significant public transport provision. No reference to low carbon or renewables.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Criteria referencing the minimisation of the the loss of the carbon storage function of peat and any adverse impacts on the hydrology of undeveloped areas of the allocation, which could facilitate the restoration of peat has been added.	++	The added criteria references minimising the loss of the carbon storage function of peat. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	++	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording regarding mitigating the effects of climate change and renewable energy have been removed.	O	Therefore, scoring has decreased from very positive to neutral.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-S1 and JP-S2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	++	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Criteria referencing the minimisation of the the loss of the carbon storage function of peat and any adverse impacts on the hydrology of undeveloped areas of the allocation, which could facilitate the restoration of peat has been added.	++	The added criteria references minimising the loss of the carbon storage function of peat. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	Policy states: Provide significant areas of open and accessible green space throughout the allocation as part of the wider strategic green infrastructure network.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	++	The site contains listed structures Church of St George, Ackers Farmhouse, Westwood Lodge, Barn to south east of birch farmhouse. Policy states: Conserve and enhance the historic environment in line with the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated HIA submitted as part of the planning application process.	Include specific reference to the Grade II* Church of St George, Ackers Farmhouse, Westwood Lodge, and Barn to south east of birch farmhouse.	The policy text has rephrased wording regarding the historic environment and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	+	Policy states: Deliver high quality residential units which are accessible, integrated with the existing community and well designed to create a genuine sense of place.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	The policy text has rephrased wording regarding the historic environment and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	++	Therefore, the scoring has changed from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	Mainly urban/ brownfield land, with some greenfield.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	Mainly grade 2 and urban, with a small patch of grade 3.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy wording has been added for developers to undertake a hydrological and ground investigations to determine the extent and quality of peat identified on the site, to ensure the loss of irreplaceable habitat is avoided.	++	Policy wording has been added that explicitly protects soil resources from inappropriate development. Therefore, the scoring has changed to very positive.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	Mainly urban/ brownfield land, with some greenfield. The reuse of derelict land is incorporated within the development.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	Mainly urban/ brownfield land, with some greenfield. The reuse of derelict land is incorporated within the development.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods are integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA34 M6 J25			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	o	No housing proposed				o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	o	No housing proposed				o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	o	No housing proposed				o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	No housing proposed				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Employment site: Provide a high quality location for around 140,000 sqm of B2 and B8 employment floorspace				++	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy states: Provide good quality road access from the M6 motorway and the A49, whilst making sure that it has no significantly adverse effect on the motorway or other surrounding roads; Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkley and Bryn, and from bus services in those areas, including on the A49 through the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide good quality road access from the M6 motorway and the A49, whilst making sure that it has no significantly adverse effect on the motorway or other surrounding roads; Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkley and Bryn, and from bus services in those areas, including on the A49 through the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide good quality road access from the M6 motorway and the A49, whilst making sure that it has no significantly adverse effect on the motorway or other surrounding roads; Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkley and Bryn, and from bus services in those areas, including on the A49 through the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	Policy states: Provide easements for the significant utilities infrastructure running through the site			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkey and Bryn, including the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing of the A49  In relation to green infrastructure the policy states: Incorporate a robust green infrastructure corridor between the proposed employment development and residential development at Winstanley.				++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	?	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: Incorporate a robust green infrastructure corridor between the proposed employment development and residential development at Winstanley. In addition it makes reference to integrated landscape design and planting				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	O	No new provision is referred to				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	O	No new provision is referred to				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	No new provision is referred to				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	O	No new provision is referred to				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No new provision is referred to	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	Policy states: Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkey and Bryn, and from bus services in those areas, including on the A49 through the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing				+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Policy states: Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkey and Bryn, and from bus services in those areas, including on the A49 through the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing				+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	Policy states: Ensure that the employment development is accessible by walking and cycling from Winstanley, Hawkey and Bryn, and from bus services in those areas, including on the A49 through the provision of a safe pedestrian crossing				+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	-/+	Site is within 150m of an AQMA but policy does refer to accessibility by walking and cycling.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	-/?	Polict states: Incorporate a landscaped green infrastructure corridor, with walking and cycling links, connecting the A49 to the remaining area of Green Belt to the north, and ensure suitable diversions to public rights of way as necessary, with good links to the footbridge over the M6 motorway	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-/?	Polict states: Incorporate a landscaped green infrastructure corridor, with walking and cycling links, connecting the A49 to the remaining area of Green Belt to the north, and ensure suitable diversions to public rights of way as necessary, with good links to the footbridge over the M6 motorway	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	+	Polict states: Incorporate a landscaped green infrastructure corridor, with walking and cycling links, connecting the A49 to the remaining area of Green Belt to the north, and ensure suitable diversions to public rights of way as necessary, with good links to the footbridge over the M6 motorway	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	Polict states: Incorporate a landscaped green infrastructure corridor, with walking and cycling links, connecting the A49 to the remaining area of Green Belt to the north, and ensure suitable diversions to public rights of way as necessary, with good links to the footbridge over the M6 motorway	Scoring has been increased from uncertain to positive	No further recommendations are made.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	O	No reference in the allocation	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	O	not in flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	O	not in flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	No adjacent flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	No adjacent flood zone 2 or 3				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	Assumed compliance with best practice with regards to surface and ground water.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy				-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy				-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+	The policy makes reference to providing integrated landscape design and planting. In addition, there will be a robust green infrastructure corridor on the site.				+	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets in or nearby the site				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	?	Not mentioned in the policy				?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	Greenfield land, with agricultural use	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	Grade 3 agricultural land (not specified which classification, assumed worse case scenario 3a)	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	Greenfield				-	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

JPA35 North of Mosley Common			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PIE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	++	Policy states: around 1,100 new homes			Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site.	++	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-H1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No mention in policy			Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirement for affordable housing on the site.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-H1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	Policy states: Provide an additional stop on the guided busway and/or new / improved pedestrian and cycle links to existing busway stops, and contribute proportionally and meaningfully to increasing passenger capacity on the busway at peak times, subject to full detailed busway service analysis with Transport for Greater Manchester			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Housing only				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	Policy states: 8. Provide new primary education facilities on-site, as a new school and/or as an expansion to St John's Mosley Common Primary School, unless it is determined by the council that it is not needed; and provide a financial contribution to meet the demand generated by secondary school pupils	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	+	The added wording on education provision strengthens the policy text by clarifying requirements. Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy states: Provide an additional stop on the guided busway and/or new / improved pedestrian and cycle links to existing busway stops, and contribute proportionally and meaningfully to increasing passenger capacity on the busway at peak times, subject to full detailed busway service analysis with Transport for Greater Manchester; Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate;			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide an additional stop on the guided busway and/or new / improved pedestrian and cycle links to existing busway stops, and contribute proportionally and meaningfully to increasing passenger capacity on the busway at peak times, subject to full detailed busway service analysis with Transport for Greater Manchester; Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate;			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide an additional stop on the guided busway and/or new / improved pedestrian and cycle links to existing busway stops, and contribute proportionally and meaningfully to increasing passenger capacity on the busway at peak times, subject to full detailed busway service analysis with Transport for Greater Manchester; Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate;			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	Policy states: Provide necessary easements for the gas pipeline that crosses the western part of the site.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-D1.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	In relation to walking and cycling policy states: Provide an additional stop on the guided busway and/or new / improved pedestrian and cycle links to existing busway stops, and contribute proportionally and meaningfully to increasing passenger capacity on the busway at peak times, subject to full detailed busway service analysis with Transport for Greater Manchester; Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate;  In relation to green infrastructure policy states: Ensure robust landscape screening to the employment sites at Parr Brow, to safeguard residential amenity. Provide a robust landscaped boundary with open countryside in the Green Belt to the north.			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D and green infrastructure.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	+	Policy states: Ensure robust landscape screening to the employment sites at Parr Brow, to safeguard residential amenity. Provide a robust landscaped boundary with open countryside in the Green Belt to the north.			Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements for biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets on the site, signposting Policy JP-G9.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	Policy states: Provide new community and health facilities on-site, potentially as part of a new local centre, or an equivalent financial contribution as appropriate, to meet additional demand generated by the development	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased regarding infrastructure, signposting Policy JP-D1.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	+	Policy states: Provide new education facilities on-site, or an equivalent financial contribution if appropriate, to meet additional demand generated by the development.			Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.			Policy text has included reference to community facilities.	+	Therefore, the scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P3. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	Policy states: Provide new education facilities on-site, or an equivalent financial contribution if appropriate, to meet additional demand generated by the development.	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D and green infrastructure.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D and green infrastructure.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate			Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D and green infrastructure.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	+/-	An AQMA is located to the south of the site along the A580 (East Lancashire Road).  The policy states: Ensure good quality access for pedestrians and cyclists to services in Tyldesley and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D and green infrastructure.	+/-	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	-/+	Site is located within a priority habitat for good quality semi-improved grassland, Pond North of Cleworth Hall site of biological importance and a wildlife corridor  The policy states: Ensure robust landscape screening to the employment sites at Parr Brow, to safeguard residential amenity. Provide a robust landscaped boundary with open countryside in the Green Belt to the north	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements for biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets on the site, signposting Policy JP-G9.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased from negative/positive to positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-/+	Site is located within a priority habitat for good quality semi-improved grassland, Pond North of Cleworth Hall site of biological importance and a wildlife corridor  The policy states: Ensure robust landscape screening to the employment sites at Parr Brow, to safeguard residential amenity. Provide a robust landscaped boundary with open countryside in the Green Belt to the north	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements for biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets on the site, signposting Policy JP-G9.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased from negative/positive to positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	-/+	Site is located within a priority habitat for good quality semi-improved grassland, Pond North of Cleworth Hall site of biological importance and a wildlife corridor  The policy states: Ensure robust landscape screening to the employment sites at Parr Brow, to safeguard residential amenity. Provide a robust landscaped boundary with open countryside in the Green Belt to the north	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements for biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets on the site, signposting Policy JP-G9.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased from negative/positive to positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	Site is located within a priority habitat for good quality semi-improved grassland, Pond North of Cleworth Hall site of biological importance and a wildlife corridor  The policy states: Ensure robust landscape screening to the employment sites at Parr Brow, to safeguard residential amenity. Provide a robust landscaped boundary with open countryside in the Green Belt to the north	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to clarify requirements for biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets on the site, signposting Policy JP-G9.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased from negative/positive to positive	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	0	The site has a negligible proportion within a flood zone (less than 1%). As such the policy makes no reference to flood risk.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	0	The site has a negligible proportion within a flood zone (less than 1%).				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	0	The site has a negligible proportion within a flood zone (less than 1%).				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	0	The site has a negligible proportion within a flood zone (less than 1%).				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	0	The site has a negligible proportion within a flood zone (less than 1%).				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	0	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	0	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	0	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.				0	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Reference in policy to cycling and walking: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists to services and amenities in Tyldesley, Mosley Common and Ellenbrook, and to bus services on the surrounding road network and guided busway, through the retention and enhancement of existing public rights of way and the creation of new footpaths, including links across the guided busway corridor, where appropriate	No change has been made to scoring.	When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy				-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy				-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	+/O	Policy states: Ensure robust landscape screening to the employment sites at Parr Brow, to safeguard residential amenity. Provide a robust landscaped boundary with open countryside in the Green Belt to the north.			Policy text has rephrased wording surrounding the landscaped boundary of the site, signposting to Policy JP-G9.	+	The added wording on landscape quality and open spaces strengthens the policy text by clarifying requirements. Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site.	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	No designations exist locally which could be impacted upon in relation to local character and distinctiveness. Additionally the policy does not make reference to such assets.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield in agricultural use	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	The site is Grade 3 Agricultural Land (assumed 3a worst case scenario)	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	--	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring. It is not considered that the recommendation has been sufficiently addressed.		It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	+	Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.		When the framework is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	o	Scoring has not changed from 2020 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA36 Pocket Nook				2021		2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the 2021 PFE (site)	Score	Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	Mitigation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 600 new homes.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been included to reference the delivery of affordable housing.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-H1 and JP-H2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been included to reference the delivery of affordable housing.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to a positive score against the assessment criteria.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-H1 and JP-H2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Objective 1- Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth.	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	o	Not referenced in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	++	Policy states: Provide for around 15,000 sq. m of employment floorspace.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
2	Objective 2- Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation.	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Located within 500m of an existing bus stop. Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Deliver or contribute effectively to the delivery of a new road through the site from A579 Atherleigh Way to A572 Newton Road (via Enterprise Way), including a new bridge over the HS2 rail line as necessary, that is of a design quality to accommodate bus services, safeguarding the route for future construction where applicable; Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Deliver or contribute effectively to the delivery of, a new road through the site from A579 Atherleigh Way to A572 Newton Road (via Enterprise Way), including a new bridge over the HS2 rail line as necessary, that is of a design quality to accommodate bus services, safeguarding the route for future construction where applicable; Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Objective 3- Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development.	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	O	No reference in the policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added about infrastructure delivery and signposts policy JP-D1.	+	Scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
4	Objective 4- Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity.	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	O	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Foster good relations between different people?	O	Nothing proposed	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	Nothing proposed.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
5	Objective 5- Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination.	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		++	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	O	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
6	Objective 6- Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.	Promote access to green space?	O	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site; Protect and enhance the environs of Carr Brook through the creation of a green infrastructure corridor.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	-/?	No mention of new facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	-/?	No mention of new facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

7	Objective 7- Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure.	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-/?	No mention of new facilities.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	-/?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased to include both primary and secondary school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
8	Objective 8- Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all.	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	Policy makes reference to bus services.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Objective 9- Promote sustainable modes of transport.	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Objective 10- Improve air quality.	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs)?	--	An AQMA is located to the south of the site along the A577 (Wigan Road) at the junction with the B5235. A further AQMA is located further south some 400m away along Atherleigh Way at the junction with Lovers Lane.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	+	No wildlife designations on the site. Policy states: Protect and enhance the environs of Carr Brook through the creation of a green infrastructure corridor.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to relation to ensuring provision for biodiversity, signposting Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	No wildlife designations on the site. Policy states: Protect and enhance the environs of Carr Brook through the creation of a green infrastructure corridor.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added to relation to ensuring provision for biodiversity, signposting Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.	+	Therefore, scoring has improved from neutral to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	+	No wildlife designations on the site. Policy states: Protect and enhance the environs of Carr Brook through the creation of a green infrastructure corridor.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.	Policy text has been added to relation to ensuring provision for biodiversity, signposting Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	Therefore, scoring has improved from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
11	Objective 11- Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets.	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+	No wildlife designations on the site. Policy states: Protect and enhance the environs of Carr Brook through the creation of a green infrastructure corridor.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
12	Objective 12- Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change.	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	-	A section of the site towards the centre is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3. No reference to mitigation in policy.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy to ensure this objective is achieved.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	-	A section of the site towards the centre is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3. No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	-	A section of the site towards the centre is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3. No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	A section of the site towards the centre is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3.  No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
13	Objective 13- Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property.	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	A section of the site towards the centre is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3.  No reference to mitigation in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Encourage compliance with the Water plan Directive?	+/O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.  Policy states: Ensure that groundwater resources are not jeopardised through the construction process or uses thereafter.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+/O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	+/O	As above.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		+/O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
14	Objective 14- Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources.	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.	No further recommendations are made to the policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about sustainable transport modes and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	O	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
15	Objective 15- Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	O	Policy states: Ensure safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists within the site and to services in Lowton, including Lowton High School, employment sites, neighbouring housing areas and green spaces within and adjacent to the site.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	+	Grade II listed building Fair House Farmhouse in the north of the site.  Policy States: Ensure that the heritage setting of the Grade II Listed Fair House Farmhouse on Pocket Nook Lane is retained or enhanced. Proposals should be informed by the findings and recommendations of the Historic Environment Assessment (2020) in the Plan's evidence base and any updated assessment(s) submitted as part of the planning application process.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased the consideration of heritage assets within the site and signposts to Policy JP-P2.	+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Objective 16- Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM.	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	No designations exist locally which could be impacted upon in relation to local character and distinctiveness. Additionally the policy does not make reference to such assets.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield in agricultural use.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	The site is Grade 3 Agricultural Land (assumed 3a worst case scenario).	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
17	Objective 17- Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination.	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified
18	Objective 18- Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy.	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy.	When the plan is read as a whole, no further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None Identified

JPA37 West of Gibfield			2020				2023			
Ref	Objective	Assessment criteria....will the PFE (site)	Score	2020 Explanation from GM mapping + policy wording	2020 Summary of new scoring	Residual recommendation	2023 commentary on changes made since 2021	Score	Summary of 2023 scoring	2023 Residual Impacts
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet the objectively assessed need for market and affordable housing?	+	Policy states: around 500 new homes			Policy text has been added to include reference to making provision for affordable housing in accordance with relevant local plan requirements.	++	The allocation text focuses on providing high quality homes. The added wording on affordable housing strengthens the policy text by clarifying affordable housing requirements. Therefore, the scoring changes from positive to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-H1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	Policy states: Provide a substantive accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park on land remaining in the Green Belt within the allocation, and ensure ongoing arrangements for its maintenance, agreed with the Council; Provide highway improvement measures at the junction of the A577 and Gibfield Park Way, and make effective contributions towards highway improvement measures including at Platt Lane and/or Chequerbent roundabout / Junction 5 of the M61 in Bolton, necessary to mitigate the development; Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land across GM?	O	Policy states: Provide a location for around 45,500 sqm of B1, B2 and/or B8 employment floorspace in the south east of the allocation, as a logical extension to the existing Gibfield Park Industrial Area, served primarily from Gibfield Park Way			Policy text has been amended to clarify the Use Classes and location for employment floorspace within the allocation.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-J1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	++	Policy states: Provide highway improvement measures at the junction of the A577 and Gibfield Park Way, and make effective contributions towards highway improvement measures including at Platt Lane and/or Chequerbent roundabout / Junction 5 of the M61 in Bolton, necessary to mitigate the development; Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide highway improvement measures at the junction of the A577 and Gibfield Park Way, and make effective contributions towards highway improvement measures including at Platt Lane and/or Chequerbent roundabout / Junction 5 of the M61 in Bolton, necessary to mitigate the development; Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	Policy states: Provide highway improvement measures at the junction of the A577 and Gibfield Park Way, and make effective contributions towards highway improvement measures including at Platt Lane and/or Chequerbent roundabout / Junction 5 of the M61 in Bolton, necessary to mitigate the development; Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	Policy states: Provide easements for the significant utilities infrastructure running through the site.			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	o	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	o	Cannot be certain that development will affect deprived areas.	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that reducing levels of deprivation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities / infrastructure for all?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that accessibility is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	Policy does not mention this, it is assumed that it is too high level to affect unprotected characteristics				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	O	Each allocation is within a specific location and therefore is within one area type.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier lifestyles and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	Policy states: Provide a substantive accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park on land remaining in the Green Belt within the allocation, and ensure ongoing arrangements for its maintenance, agreed with the Council; Provide highway improvement measures at the junction of the A577 and Gibfield Park Way, and make effective contributions towards highway improvement measures including at Platt Lane and/or Chequerbent roundabout / Junction 5 of the M61 in Bolton, necessary to mitigate the development, Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within GM and with the rest of England?	o	Strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by site proposals.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green space?	++	Policy states: Make appropriate provision within that green infrastructure for Great Crested Newts sufficient to mitigate the development. Provide a substantive accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park from Wigan Road (Small Brook) in the south across Schofield Lane to the Atherton railway line in the north, east of Daisy Hill, on land remaining in the Green Belt, and ensure ongoing arrangement for maintenance.			Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities, regardless of socio-economic status?	-/?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and signposts to Policy JP-D1.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-D1. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children?	-/?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	The added criteria references the requirement for financial contributions for offsite additional school provision and signposts to Policy JP-P5.	++	The added criteria links to Policy JP-P5 Education, Skills and Knowledge strengthens the policy text by clarifying that the development will be required to contribute to offsite school provision and linking to the specific education policy, ensuring consistency in approach throughout the plan. Therefore, the scoring has increased to very positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-P5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-/?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	-/?	No mention of new facilities. Assuming new population requires access to existing facilities, there is a risk to capacity	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve educational and skill levels of the population of working age?	O	No mention in policy				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	Policy states: Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	Policy states: Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	Policy states: Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable			Policy text has been rephrased about infrastructure delivery and transportation infrastructure and signposts to Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Greater Manchester, particularly in the 10 Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA's)?	+/-	An AQMA is located to the south of the site along the A577 (Wigan Road) at the junction with the B5235. A further AQMA is located further south some 400m away along Atherleigh Way at the junction with Lovers Lane.  The policy states: Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		+/-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Provide opportunities to enhance new and existing wildlife and geological sites?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy reflects this stating: Provide a high quality, landscaped corridor along Gibfield Park Way, through the planting of street trees and other strategic landscaping; Provide a substantive accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park on land remaining in the Green Belt within the allocation, and ensure ongoing arrangements for its maintenance, agreed with the Council;</p> <p>Make appropriate provision within that green infrastructure for great crested newts sufficient to mitigate the development.</p> <p>Provide a new high quality, landscaped gateway into Wigan Borough from the north.</p>			A new criteria has been added referencing provision for biodiversity and links to Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	The added criteria references provision for biodiversity and links to Policy JP-G9. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Avoid damage to or destruction of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy reflects this stating: Provide a high quality, landscaped corridor along Gibfield Park Way, through the planting of street trees and other strategic landscaping; Provide a substantive accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park on land remaining in the Green Belt within the allocation, and ensure ongoing arrangements for its maintenance, agreed with the Council;</p> <p>Make appropriate provision within that green infrastructure for great crested newts sufficient to mitigate the development.</p> <p>Provide a new high quality, landscaped gateway into Wigan Borough from the north.</p>			A new criteria has been added referencing provision for biodiversity and links to Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	The added criteria references provision for biodiversity and links to Policy JP-G9. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green infrastructure?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy reflects this stating: Provide a high quality, landscaped corridor along Gibfield Park Way, through the planting of street trees and other strategic landscaping; Provide a substantive accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park on land remaining in the Green Belt within the allocation, and ensure ongoing arrangements for its maintenance, agreed with the Council;</p> <p>Make appropriate provision within that green infrastructure for great crested newts sufficient to mitigate the development.</p> <p>Provide a new high quality, landscaped gateway into Wigan Borough from the north.</p>			A new criteria has been added referencing provision for biodiversity and links to Policies JP-G2 and JP-G9.	++	The added criteria references provision for biodiversity and links to Policy JP-G9. However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	++	<p>Site is located within a site of biological interest and a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The policy reflects this stating: Provide a high quality, landscaped corridor along Gibfield Park Way, through the planting of street trees and other strategic landscaping; Provide a substantive accessible green infrastructure corridor and country park on land remaining in the Green Belt within the allocation, and ensure ongoing arrangements for its maintenance, agreed with the Council;</p> <p>Make appropriate provision within that green infrastructure for great crested newts sufficient to mitigate the development.</p> <p>Provide a new high quality, landscaped gateway into Wigan Borough from the north.</p>			Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.	++	However, the scoring is already very positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change across GM?	O	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that climate change adaptation is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.		+	Therefore, scoring has changed to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policies JP-P1, JP-S2 and JP-S5. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict the development of property in areas of flood risk?	-	A small portion of the site to the west is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3 to the immediate east of Lower Leigh Road. No reference to mitigation in policy				-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing flood risk?	-	A small portion of the site to the west is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3 to the immediate east of Lower Leigh Road. No reference to mitigation in policy				-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	A small portion of the site to the west is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3 to the immediate east of Lower Leigh Road. No reference to mitigation in policy				-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	A small portion of the site to the west is within Flood Risk Zone 2 and 3 to the immediate east of Lower Leigh Road. No reference to mitigation in policy				-	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best practice with regards water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.				O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	?	This is a consideration for detailed design and thematic policy.				?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage reduction in energy use and increased energy efficiency?	+	Policy states: Ensure convenient and safe access for pedestrians and cyclists to local bus services and to Daisy Hill and Hag Fold rail stations, and contribute appropriately to improved passenger facilities at those rail stations, as applicable	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		+	However, the scoring is already positive and so the scoring remains the same.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-C7 and Appendix D. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage the development of low carbon and renewable energy facilities, including as part of conventional developments?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low-carbon generation and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted across GM?	-/?	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		-/?	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O/-	Policy states: Policy states that it shall safeguard the amenity of existing and future homes from the employment development, through appropriate screening and landscaping.	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.	Policy text has been added to make provision for compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt, in accordance with Policy JP-G2.	+	Therefore, the scoring has increased to positive.	It is considered that the assessment criteria are met when the plan is read as a whole, in particular in Policy JP-G2. Therefore, no residual impacts have been recommended.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	There are no heritage assets on the site.	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of GM	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness?	O	No designations exist locally which could be impacted upon in relation to local character and distinctiveness. Additionally the policy does not make reference to such assets.	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		O	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	Greenfield in agricultural use	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	The site is Grade 3 Agricultural Land (assumed 3a worst case scenario)	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	Greenfield	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		--	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	It is considered that sustainable construction methods is integrated into an appropriate Thematic policy.	Policy criteria has been added that states 'Consider the extraction of any viable mineral resources within Minerals Safeguarding Areas, in accordance with Policy 8 of the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan (or any relevant policies in subsequent minerals plans).'	+	The added criteria references the Greater Manchester Joint Minerals Development Plan that guides the sustainable use of minerals. Therefore the scoring has increased to positive.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	o	No mention in policy	No change has been made to scoring.	No further recommendations are made.		o	Scoring has not changed from 2021 based on no amendments being made against the assessment criteria.	None identified.