

**Main Modifications Schedule  
and  
Places for Everyone Composite Plan  
Errata Sheet  
Document MDC15**

The following table sets out errors identified in the Main Modifications Schedule (MDC1) and the Places for Everyone Composite Plan (MDC5) since they were published in September 2023. Please note that the accessible versions of these documents (MDC1.1 and MDC5.1) incorporate these changes.

Document	Main Mod Ref	PfE Ref	Page No of document	Change/error	Reason	Correct in composite plan Sept 2023?	Correct in MM schedule Sept 2023?
MM Schedule	MM7.2	Table 7.1	60-62	Embedded versions of Table 7.1 are not fully visible, see Annex 1 for correctly formatted tables	Formatting error	Yes	No
MM Schedule	MM8.1 and MM8.2	Title for JP-G1	69	The policy title in the second column should read: JP-G1 Valuing Important Landscapes	Editorial error	Yes	No
MM Schedule	MM8.20	Figure 8.6	86	Amendments to legend should read: <del>Local Authority boundaries</del> <del>Places for Everyone Boundary</del> <del>Places for Everyone Boundary</del> <del>Local Authority Boundaries</del> <del>Greater Manchester Boundary</del> <del>Places for Everyone Green Belt</del> <del>PfE Proposed Green Belt 2024</del> <del>Greater Manchester Boundary</del>	Editorial error	Yes	No
MM Schedule	MMR12	JPA24 Roch Valley- Criterion 1	262	Should read: '...on the northern <u>part</u> half of the site ...'	Editorial error	Yes	No
MM Schedule-Annex 1	MM12.1	Table 12.1	371/373	Ref MM12.1 should read MM12.5	Editorial error	Yes	No

Document	Main Mod Ref	PfE Ref	Page No of document	Change/error	Reason	Correct in composite plan Sept 2023?	Correct in MM schedule Sept 2023?
MM Schedule-Annex 1	MM12.1	Table 12.1	371/373	Content of Table 12.1 is correct, however, the header rows of columns 1, 3 and 4 should always read as follows: column 1 <u>PfE KPI/Target Baseline at 2020 unless otherwise stated</u> <u>Policy Outcome</u> ; column 3 <u>“Relevant PfE Policy”</u> and column 4 <u>“Policy Outcome/Indicators”</u> .	Formatting error	Yes	No
Composite Plan	MM8.2	JP-G1 Landscape Character Policy	184	Word ‘Development’ at beginning of sentence is in Green text when should be black as it not part of the modification.	Editorial error	No	yes
Composite Plan	MMCB10	para 11.59	326	Composite Plan – word “provide” should be green and underlined	Formatting error	No	Yes
Composite Plan	MMApxA.4	Manchester Table – Manchester Core Strategy EN8	630	JP-S2 is incorrectly shown as green	Editorial error	No	Yes
Composite Plan	MMApxA.5	Oldham Table – JP-S5	631	‘JP-S5’ is incorrectly shown as green.	Editorial error	No	Yes
Composite Plan	MMApxA.5	Oldham Table – saved UDP Policy B1.1.24	632	“JPA14” is incorrectly shown in Green	Editorial error	No	Yes

<b>Document</b>	<b>Main Mod Ref</b>	<b>PfE Ref</b>	<b>Page No of document</b>	<b>Change/error</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>Correct in composite plan Sept 2023?</b>	<b>Correct in MM schedule Sept 2023?</b>
Composite Plan	MMApxA.5	Oldham Table – saved UDP Policy H1.2.17	632	UDP Policy H1.2.17 and JPA17 incorrectly shown in Green	Editorial error	No	Yes
Composite Plan	MMApxA.5	Oldham Table – saved UDP Policy OE1.8	633	UDP Policy OE1.8 and JPA15 incorrectly shown as Green	Editorial error	No	Yes
MM Schedule and Composite Plan	-	JP-G10	-	N.B mod reference MM8.18 is not in use	Editorial error	N/A	N/A
MM Schedule, Annex 2 / Composite Plan	MMApxA.4	Manchester Table – Manchester Core Strategy EN14	385/630	JP-S5 should be shown as new text and JP-G9 should be shown as removed text	Editorial error	No	No

Annex 1 MM7.1 – Modifications to Table 7.1, Main Modifications Schedule should read as follows:

*Table 7.1 Sources of housing land supply 2020-2037*

<b>District</b>	<b>Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment: Brownfield land</b>	<b>Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment: Greenfield land</b>	<b>Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment: Mix brownfield land and greenfield land</b>	<b>Allowances<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Places for Everyone Allocations<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Total 2020-37</b>	<b>Estimated Completions 2020-21<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Estimated Land Supply 2021-2037</b>
Bolton	10,686	2,469	0	2,021	0	15,176	-504	14,672
Bury	3,056	424	362	261	4,700	8,803	-187	8,616
Manchester	49,455	2,591	9,676	805	0	62,527	-2,951	59,576
Oldham	7,712	1,276	1,410	557	2,176	13,131	-330	12,801
Rochdale	5,518	2,836	426	-783	4,006	12,003	-569	11,434
Salford	30,634	2,137	1,473	1,959	1,500	37,703	-1,680	36,023
Tameside	5,017	755	575	576	1,558	8,481	-281	8,200
Trafford	12,293	2,568	824	777	4,827	21,289	-591	20,698
Wigan	10,769	6,403	68	756	1,600	19,596	-864	18,732
Places for Everyone	135,140	21,459	14,814	6,929	20,367	198,709	-7,957	190,752

Table 7.1 Sources of housing land supply 2022-2039 (MM7.2)

<sup>1</sup> Allowances are a combination of small sites windfall allowances and demolitions/clearances for four of the districts (Bolton, Manchester, Oldham and Rochdale). Rochdale has a negative allowance figure because the number of dwellings expected to be lost to demolition/clearances is expected to outnumber the number of new dwellings expected to be built on small sites.

<sup>2</sup> Excluding homes identified in existing land supply

<sup>3</sup> Estimated completions 2020-2021 have been deducted from the total supply based on the target for 2020-2021 as presented in the GMSF October 2020

District	Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment			Allowances <sup>4</sup>	Places for Everyone Allocations <sup>5</sup>	2022-2039 Land Supply
	Brownfield land	Greenfield land	Mix brownfield land and greenfield land			
Bolton	<u>9,786</u>	<u>2,729</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,396</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>13,911</u>
Bury	<u>3,486</u>	<u>566</u>	<u>360</u>	<u>348</u>	<u>4,900</u>	<u>9,660</u>
Manchester	<u>50,212</u>	<u>2,915</u>	<u>10,560</u>	<u>686</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>64,373</u>
Oldham	<u>7,793</u>	<u>1,228</u>	<u>1,262</u>	<u>923</u>	<u>1,980</u>	<u>13,186</u>
Rochdale	<u>5,503</u>	<u>2,291</u>	<u>574</u>	<u>-782</u>	<u>4,006</u>	<u>11,592</u>
Salford	<u>29,246</u>	<u>2,040</u>	<u>1,229</u>	<u>2,089</u>	<u>700</u>	<u>35,304</u>
Tameside	<u>5,127</u>	<u>714</u>	<u>455</u>	<u>562</u>	<u>1,894</u>	<u>8,752</u>
Trafford	<u>14,716</u>	<u>2,465</u>	<u>825</u>	<u>323</u>	<u>4,917</u>	<u>23,246</u>
Wigan	<u>10,870</u>	<u>5,353</u>	<u>172</u>	<u>744</u>	<u>1,600</u>	<u>18,739</u>
Places for Everyone	<u>136,739</u>	<u>20,301</u>	<u>15,437</u>	<u>6,289</u>	<u>19,997</u>	<u>198,763</u>

<sup>4</sup> Allowances are a combination of small sites windfall allowances and demolitions/clearances for four of the districts (Bolton, Manchester, Oldham and Rochdale). Rochdale has a negative allowance figure because the number of dwellings expected to be lost to demolition/clearances is expected to outnumber the number of new dwellings expected to be built on small sites.

<sup>5</sup> Excluding homes identified in existing land supply and homes anticipated to be delivered post-2039.