

**Places for
Everyone Joint
Development Plan
Document**

**Integrated
Assessment
Adoption
Statement**

March 2024

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Development of the Joint Development Plan Document.....	4
3. How environmental and sustainability considerations have been integrated into the PfE Plan.	6
4. How the Environmental/Sustainability Appraisal report has been taken into account.	10
5. How the opinions expressed by the public and consultation bodies during consultation on the PfE Plan and Environmental Report have been taken into account.	11
6. Reasons for choosing the PfE Plan as adopted, in light of other reasonable alternatives considered.	14
7. Measures that are to be taken to monitor the significant environmental and sustainability effects of the implementation of the PfE Plan.	15
8. Conclusion	16
Appendix A.....	18

1. Introduction

- 1.1 This document is the Integrated Assessment Adoption Statement, incorporating the Sustainability Appraisal Adoption Statement (hereby known as ‘the Statement’) for the Local Plan known as the Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document for Bolton, Bury, Manchester, Oldham, Rochdale, Salford, Tameside, Trafford and Wigan (2022-2039) (PfE).
- 1.2 The PfE was adopted at the Full Council meeting of each of the PfE authorities during February and March 2024. Following these meetings, PfE was resolved to be adopted from the 21st of March 2024. Details of the district Governance meetings are available on the GMCA website: [Adoption - Greater Manchester Combined Authority \(greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk\)](https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/Adoption-Greater-Manchester-Combined-Authority).
- 1.3 The Integrated Assessment is a systematic and iterative process that must be carried out during the preparation of a Local Plan such as the PfE Plan and incorporates the requirements for Strategic Environmental Assessment and Sustainability Appraisal.
- 1.4 The Integrated Assessment of the PfE is a suite of assessments bringing together the Sustainability Appraisal, Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Equality Impact Assessment and Health Impact Assessment into one assessment framework. The scope of the assessment is outlined in greater detail within Section 2.2 of the Integrated Assessment Scoping Report. This Statement forms Stage E of the Integrated Assessment process.
- 1.5 The PfE and the suite of Integrated Assessment work can be viewed online on the GMCA website: [Adoption Documentation - Greater Manchester Combined Authority \(greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk\)](https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/Adoption-Documentation-Greater-Manchester-Combined-Authority), details of where these documents can be viewed in the nine PfE authorities, is also available on the GMCA website . The Planning Inspectors’ [Report on the Examination of the PfE Joint Development Plan](#) concluded that the Integrated Assessment had been carried out satisfactorily and that it was proportionate and adequate.

1.6 In addition to Regulation 26 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning] (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended) which requires the final Sustainability Appraisal report to be published alongside the adopted Plan, the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (SEA Regulations) also require a number of steps to be taken on adoption of a Plan.

1.7 Specifically, Regulation 16 sets out the post adoption procedures and requirements for the Strategic Environmental Assessment. The Local Planning Authority must, as soon as is reasonably practicable after the adoption of a Plan for which a the assessment has been carried out, make a copy of the Plan publicly available alongside a copy of the assessment report and Statement explaining the following:

- How environmental and sustainability considerations have been integrated into the plan;
- How the Environmental Report have been taken into account during the preparation of the Plan;
- How the opinions expressed by the public and consultation bodies during consultation on the Plan and the Environmental Report have been taken into account;
- The reasons for choosing the Plan as adopted, in light of the other reasonable alternatives considered; and
- The measures that are to be taken to monitor the significant environmental and sustainability effects of the implementation of the Plan.

1.8 In addition, Regulation 17 sets out the requirement for the Local Planning Authority to monitor significant environmental effects of the implementation of the Plan, explaining the following:

- How the authority will monitor the significant environmental effects following the implementation of the Plan, to enable the identification

of any unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and therefore able to undertake appropriate remedial action.

- 1.9 GMCA will share this Statement with consultation bodies and inform those involved in the consultation process of the adoption of PfE, details regarding the publication of this Statement and the monitoring arrangements for the Plan.
- 1.10 A Habitat Regulations Assessment has been prepared as part of the development of the PfE Plan. The Habitat Regulations Assessment can be viewed on the GMCA website: [Adoption Documentation - Greater Manchester Combined Authority \(greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk\)](https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/adoption-documentation)

2. Development of the Joint Development Plan Document

- 2.1 The adopted PfE sets out the policy framework and the approach of the nine PfE authorities to meeting their need for new homes, jobs and protection of the environment up to 2039. It also identifies the infrastructure required to ensure growth in the PfE area is sustainable.
- 2.2 The PfE was originally prepared as a joint development plan document of the ten local authorities of Greater Manchester, the Greater Manchester Spatial Framework (GMSF). However, at its Council meeting on 03 December Stockport Council resolved not to submit the GMSF 2020 to the Secretary of State following the consultation period and at its Cabinet meeting on 04 December, it resolved not to publish the GMSF 2020 for consultation.
- 2.3 As a joint Development Plan Document (DPD) of the 10 Greater Manchester authorities, the GMSF 2020 required the approval of all 10 local authorities to proceed. The decisions of Stockport Council/Cabinet therefore signalled the end of the GMSF as a joint plan of the 10.
- 2.4 Notwithstanding the decision of Stockport Council, the nine remaining districts considered that the rationale for the preparation of a Joint DPD remained. Consequently, at its meeting on the 11 December 2020, Members

of the Association of Greater Manchester Authorities (AGMA) Executive Committee agreed in principle to producing a joint DPD of the nine remaining Greater Manchester (GM) districts. Subsequent to this meeting, each district formally approved the establishment of a Joint Committee for the preparation of a joint Development Plan Document of the nine districts.

- 2.5 Section 28 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and Regulation 32 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 enable a joint plan to continue to progress in the event of one of the local authorities withdrawing, provided that the plan has ‘substantially the same effect’ on the remaining authorities as the original joint plan. The joint plan of the nine Greater Manchester districts has been prepared on this basis.
- 2.6 In view of this, it follows that PfE should be considered as, in effect, the same Plan as the GMSF, albeit without one of the districts (Stockport). Therefore, the Plan and its proposals are in effect one and the same and although its content has changed over time, through the iterative process of plan making, its purpose has not. Consequently, the Plan proceeded directly to Publication stage under Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) England Regulations 2012.
- 2.7 A comprehensive evidence base was assembled to support the policies and proposals in the GMSF 2020. Given the basis on which the Plan has been prepared, this evidence base remained the fundamental basis for the Publication version of the PfE Plan “PfE 2021”. That said, the evidence base was reviewed and updated in light of the change from GMSF 2020 to the PfE 2021 and, where appropriate, addendum reports were produced.
- 2.8 Extensive consultation has been undertaken throughout the preparation of the GMSF and PfE and the evidence base that underpins it (including the Integrated Assessment), as follows:
- The scope of the GMSF and the initial evidence base in September 2014;

- The Vision, Objectives and Strategic Growth Options for the GMSF in November 2015;
- Draft GMSF in October 2016;
- Revised Draft GMSF in January 2019;
- Publication (Regulation 19) PfE in August 2021; and
- Main Modifications to the PfE in October 2023

2.9 Public consultation was undertaken to help make sure that stakeholders' views were taken into consideration throughout the Plan preparation process. Issues raised and the authorities' responses to them have been documented during the development of the PfE plan and published on the GMCA's website on behalf of the nine PfE authorities.

3. How environmental and sustainability considerations have been integrated into the PfE Plan.

3.1 The Integrated Assessment has been undertaken in a way that meets the requirements of the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive and UK Government guidance on the preparation of Sustainability Appraisals and Strategic Environmental Assessments. As required by the Regulations, the Integrated Assessment has been an iterative process and has informed each decision-making stage to develop the PfE plan.

3.2 The start of the Integrated Assessment process began in 2015 with the preparation of and consultation on the initial Integrated Assessment Scoping Report, which:

- defined the IA methodology;
- reviewed relevant policy, plans, programmes and strategies and their relationship to the GMSF;
- conducted extensive baseline research across a range of environmental and socio-economic topics;

- identified key issues for the GMSF and the IA; and
 - defined the objectives and assessment criteria to be used in the IA (the IA Framework).
- 3.3 Integrated Assessment reports were then published at each stage of plan making for the PfE plan. The Integrated Assessment reports included summaries of the assessment work completed to date, in addition to non-technical summaries, explaining the findings of the Integrated Assessment and the recommendations given as part of this process to enhance the PfE plan regarding environmental and sustainability considerations.
- 3.4 These Integrated Assessment documents are listed in Table 1. The PfE plan originated out of the GMSF and therefore some of the documents listed in the table were prepared under the GMSF and updated for the PfE plan by way of addendums. The complete list of documents as part of PfE can also be found on the GMCA website: [Adoption Documentation - Greater Manchester Combined Authority \(greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk\)](http://greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk).

Table 1: Integrated Assessment documents at each plan making stage of the PfE Plan

Joint Plan Stage	Consultation Dates
<u>Scope of the GMSF and the initial evidence base</u>	September 2014
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A sustainability appraisal report was not published at this early plan making stage in 2014. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Assessment of the GMSF - Scoping Report, 2015 	July 2015
<u>Vision, Objectives and Strategic Growth Options and Background Papers for the GMSF</u>	November 2015
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background Paper: Integrated assessment of GMSF Vision, Objectives and Growth Options, 2015 	
<u>Draft GMSF</u>	October 2016

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the GMSF - Scoping Report, 2016 	
<u>Revised Draft GMSF</u>	January 2019
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the GMSF, including update to Scoping report in Appendix B, 2019 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the GMSF Spatial Options, 2019 	
<u>Publication PfE (Regulation 19)</u>	August 2021
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the GMSF – Scoping Report 2021 (02.01.01) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the GMSF - Main Report 2020 (02.01.02) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the GMSF - Main Report Addendum 2020 (02.01.04) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the PfE - GMSF Main Report Addendum 2021 (02.01.05) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the PfE - Non-Technical Summary 2021 (02.01.06) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the GMSF – Growth and Spatial Options 2020 (02.01.07) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the PfE – Growth and Spatial Options and Reasonable Alternatives Addendum 2021 (02.01.09) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Growth and Spatial Options Paper 2021 (02.01.10) 	
<u>PfE Main Modifications</u>	October 2023
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the PfE – Proposed Main Modifications Addendum Report 2023 (MDC6) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Assessment of the PfE – Non-Technical Summary 2023 (MDC7) 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Assessment of the PfE – Appendix A, Equalities Impact Statement 2023 (MDC8) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Assessment of the PfE – Appendix B, RAG Rating of PfE Main Modifications 2023 (MDC9) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Assessment of the PfE – Appendix C, Thematic Policies 2023 (MDC10) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Assessment of the PfE – Appendix D, Allocation Policies 2023 (MDC11) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated Assessment of the PfE– Appendix E, Boundary Changes 2023 (MDC12) 	

3.5 The Integrated Assessment reports were made available to view on the GMCA’s website and at locations across the PfE Plan area at each of the consultation stages of the PfE plan. All responses to comments specifically made in relation to the Integrated Assessment were reported, responded to and actioned appropriately at each stage of the plan making consultation. An example of this can be viewed within Appendix A of the [Integrated Assessment of the GMSF - Main Report 2020 \(02.01.02\)](#). Separate Consultation Reports have been written considering all comments received on the PfE plan and are shown in Table 2.

3.6 As outlined within the Integrated Assessment reports, at each plan making stage of the PfE plan, the Integrated Assessment considered the likely significant effects of the implementation of the PfE against the IA framework for the plan area covering the nine PfE authorities. For each thematic and allocation policy of the PfE, the assessment against the Integrated Assessment objectives were recorded with a narrative describing any significant effects identified and mitigation of any significant negative effects.

3.7 In line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations, the assessment considered effects over the short term, medium term and long term, positive and negative, direct and indirect and temporary and permanent effects. The assessment also considered whether the effect would be felt locally, GM

wide or have a wider than GM impact. Where applicable, a description of what could be affected was also given, along with any cumulative and synergistic effects which might occur.

- 3.8 The findings and recommendations regarding environmental and sustainability considerations as set out in these reports, informed plan making as they were considered by GMCA and the nine PfE districts who integrated these recommendations into the development of the PfE Plan. This occurred at each stage of the plan making process. Section 4 outlines how the recommendations were taken into account with examples.
- 3.9 As such, environmental and sustainability considerations have been integrated into the development of the PfE Plan through the iterative process of the Integrated Assessment.

4. How the Environmental/Sustainability Appraisal report has been taken into account.

- 4.1 The Integrated Assessment was completed iteratively through the course of the development of the Plan by assessing the likely sustainability and environmental effects of the Plan and based on the outcome of the assessment, recommending how the Plan could be improved. The following examples illustrate how the Integrated Assessment has been taken into account when developing the PfE Plan.
- 4.2 The Integrated Assessment of the PfE – GMSF Main Report Addendum ([SD12 - 02.01.05](#)) recommended that Revised Draft GMSF (2019) Policy GM-G5: Uplands could be enhanced against integrated Assessment Objective 6, which is about improving health and wellbeing, by referring to the benefits of upland greenspace for physical and mental wellbeing and for recreation. Consequently, GMSF Policy GM-G5 was amended by the inclusion of an additional criterion on health and wellbeing which was followed through into Policy JP-G5 in the Publication PfE (2021) and the policy in the Adopted PfE (2024).

- 4.3 The Integrated Assessment of the [PfE - GMSF Main Report Addendum \(SD12\) \(02.01.05\)](#) recommended that Revised Draft GMSF (2019) Policy GM-S1: Sustainable Development and the Reasoned Justification should be amended to refer to climate change so that the policy would perform better against Integrated Assessment Objectives 12, on resilience to the effects on climate change and Objective 15 about energy efficiency, low carbon energy generation and reducing greenhouse gases. Consequently, Publication PfE (2021) Policy JP-S1 was amended to include the recommended references to climate change, which was maintained in the policy in the Adopted PfE (2024).
- 4.4 Further examples of how the PfE was amended to incorporate the recommendations of the Integrated Assessment on the GMSF can be found in the [Integrated Assessment of the PfE - GMSF Main Report Addendum, 2021 \(02.01.05\)](#).

5. How the opinions expressed by the public and consultation bodies during consultation on the PfE Plan and Environmental Report have been taken into account.

- 5.1 The SEA Regulations require that the Statement provides an account of how any opinions by the public and the consultation bodies have been taken into account.
- 5.2 At the plan making stages of the PfE, an Integrated Assessment report was published alongside the PfE documentation for consultation with the public and consultation bodies specifically referred to in the SEA Regulations (Historic England, the Environment Agency and Natural England), as shown in Table 1. An Integrated Assessment report was not produced for the consultation on the scope of the GMSF and initial evidence base in September 2014 because this was the first step in the plan making process.

- 5.3 Furthermore, at the plan making stages of the PfE, Consultation Reports were published which summarised the responses to the consultation from the public and consultation bodies and summarised how the PfE districts took them into account in the development of the Plan. Table 2 outlines the Consultation Reports that were published at each plan making stage.
- 5.4 Additionally, responses made in relation to the Integrated Assessment were reported, responded to and actioned appropriately at each stage of the plan making consultation. An example of this can be viewed within Appendix A of the [Integrated Assessment of the GMSF - Main Report 2020 \(02.01.02\)](#).

Table 2: Consultation Reports produced at the PfE Plan Making Stages

PfE Plan Making Stages and Consultation Reports	Consultation Dates
Scope of the GMSF and the initial evidence base	September 2014
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No consultation report published as this was the first consultation. 	
Vision, Objectives and Strategic Growth Options and Background Papers for the GMSF	November 2015
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Report on Responses to the GMSF 2014 Consultation. 	
Draft GMSF	October 2016
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GMSF Winter 2015/16 Consultation Summary of Responses Received. 	
Revised Draft GMSF	January 2019
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of Consultation Responses GMSF 2016. 	
Publication PfE (Regulation 19)	October 2021
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statement of Consultation, 2021. 	

Submission PfE (Regulation 22)	February 2022
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of Consultation, 2022 	
PfE Main Modifications	October 2023
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PfE Report of Responses to the Main Modifications Consultation 2023 (report published January 2024). 	

- 5.5 The following examples illustrate how opinions by the public and the consultation bodies from consultations on the PfE Plan and the Integrated Assessment have been taken into account.
- 5.6 An example of how opinions expressed on the Integrated Assessment have been taken into account is that in response to the consultation on the Integrated Assessment Scoping Report in 2015, Natural England suggested reference be included regarding National Character Areas to ensure the consideration of Greater Manchester’s landscapes within the Integrated Assessment process. In response to Natural England’s comments, text was added to the Scoping Report and the six landscape character areas the PfE Plan covers were identified.
- 5.7 An example of how opinions expressed on the PfE Plan have been taken into account is that in response to the consultation on the Revised Draft GMSF in January 2019, Historic England commented that the Plan should be based in an up-to-date evidence base on the historic environment, including the inclusion of heritage impact assessments for allocations. In response to Historic England’s comments, the GMCA and nine PfE districts in preparing the Publication PfE (Regulation 19) commissioned, or carried out, additional research and evidence on the historic environment including a strategic and site-specific historic environment assessments.
- 5.8 Further examples of how opinions expressed by the public and consultation bodies on the Integrated Assessment and the PfE Plan have been taken into account can be found in the Consultation Reports shown in Table 2 and in

Appendix A of the [Integrated Assessment of the GMSF - Main Report 2020 \(02.01.02\)](#).

- 5.9 A hearing session as part of PfE's independent examination was held focusing on the Integrated Assessment where questions raised were answered to the Planning Inspectors' satisfaction. The Planning Inspectors' [Report on the Examination of the PfE Joint Development Plan](#) found that judgements about the content of the Plan were made appropriately using the Integrated Assessment. They concluded that the Integrated Assessment had been carried out satisfactorily and that it was proportionate and adequate.

6. Reasons for choosing the PfE Plan as adopted, in light of other reasonable alternatives considered.

- 6.1 The SEA Regulations require assessment of likely significant effects of implementing the Plan and 'reasonable alternatives', taking into account the objectives and geographical scope of the Plan; and the reasons for selecting alternatives should be outlined in the Report.
- 6.2 Through the course of the development of the PfE Plan, a range of growth and spatial options have been assessed on their ability to deliver the Vision and Objectives of the Plan and have been assessed against the Integrated Assessment framework to determine sustainability and environmental effects.
- 6.3 [The Growth and Spatial Options Paper 2021 \(SD17\)](#) summarises the evolution of the growth and spatial options for the Plan and sets out the justification for selecting the preferred options for the Plan in light of other options considered.
- 6.4 Out of three Growth Options that were considered for the PfE, Option 2: Meeting the nine districts' Local Housing Need (LHN) and employment land Objectively Assessed Needs was chosen as the preferred. Option 2 performed the best out of the three options to deliver the Vision and Objectives of the Plan and allows the identification of sufficient land to meet local needs, thus affording the flexibility to ensure housing and employment

land needs are met sustainably across the nine PfE districts throughout plan period.

- 6.5 Out of five Spatial Options that were considered for the PfE, Option 4(b) was chosen which is a continuation of the Revised Draft GMSF 2019 Hybrid Spatial Option - combining three spatial options into one: 'Transit City'. 'Boosting Northern Competitiveness' and 'Sustaining Southern Competitiveness', plus a consideration of the changes in the start of the plan period from 2020 to 2021 in the spatial distribution of the nine PfE districts. This spatial option was chosen over the other options that were considered because: it uses the existing housing and land supply, subject to an optimisation process and sites that are currently outside of the urban area but which are within 800m of a town centre or sustainable public transport hub; it takes account of the 35% uplift in Manchester City's local housing need, introduced in December 2020; and it takes advantage of the most sustainable locations in Greater Manchester but also includes sites which take advantage of existing and planned global assets, as well as strategically important locations which have the potential to deliver transformational change.
- 6.6 The Planning Inspectors were satisfied that the assessment of reasonable alternatives was a proportionate approach and sufficient in scope and content. They concluded that reasons for rejecting growth and spatial options had been given and were clear. They also considered that the growth and spatial options were sufficiently distinct to enable a meaningful comparison about the impact of them when considered against different sustainability objectives.

7. Measures that are to be taken to monitor the significant environmental and sustainability effects of the implementation of the PfE Plan.

- 7.1 The SEA Regulations require that the significant environmental effects of implementing the Plan should be monitored with the purpose of identifying

unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage, and so being able to undertake appropriate remedial action.

- 7.2 Chapter 12 of the PfE sets out the PfE Monitoring Framework which covers a wide range of indicators to monitor whether the plan is meeting the PfE Vision, Strategic Objectives and Spatial Strategy and whether the policies remain relevant or whether they need to be updated. The tables in Appendix A of this Statement demonstrate how the Plan monitoring indicators link across to the objectives in the Integrated Assessment framework. Therefore, through the plan monitoring framework significant environmental and sustainability effects of the implementation of the PfE Plan will be monitored.
- 7.3 The PfE Monitoring Framework will be incorporated into the existing Authority Monitoring Reports that are produced by each of the nine PfE authorities. This monitoring process will enable any unexpected adverse effects due to implementation of the PfE Plan to be identified and potential mitigation options explored.

8. Conclusion

- 8.1 This Statement complies with the requirement of Regulation 16 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (SEA Regulations) to have a Statement explaining the following provisions, which the PfE districts must make a copy of to view alongside PfE and the Integrated Assessment as soon as it is reasonable practicable after the adoption of the PfE:

- How environmental and sustainability considerations have been integrated into the Plan;
- How the Environmental Report have been taken into account during the preparation of the Plan;
- How the opinions expressed by the public and consultation bodies during consultation on the Plan and the Environmental Report have been taken into account;

- The reasons for choosing the Plan as adopted, in light of the other reasonable alternatives considered; and
- The measures that are to be taken to monitor the significant environmental and sustainability effects of the implementation of the Plan.

8.2 The Statement also complies with the requirements of Regulation 17 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (SEA Regulations) to demonstrate the Plan monitoring measures for significant environmental effects of the implementation of the plan to enable the identification of any unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and therefore able to undertake appropriate remedial action.

Appendix A

The tables below demonstrate how the Plan monitoring indicators (set out in Chapter 12 of the PfE Plan) link across to the objectives in the Integrated Assessment framework.

Places for Everyone Monitoring Framework – Sustainable and Resilient

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Reduce carbon emissions from new development	2,5,7,8,10	JP-S1, 2, 3 and 6 JP-P1		% of net additional residential development completed with an Energy Performance Certificate rating of A and B	4,10,12, 15,18
Maximise the use of suitable previously developed (brownfield) land for development	2,3,5,7,8,9	JP-Strat1 to 6, JP-Strat9, JP Strat12, JP-S1, JP-J2, J3, J4 and JP-H1 and H4		% of residential development on brownfield land % of gross employment development on brownfield land	1,2,4,5, 9,11,17

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
No new homes and employment premises at risk of flooding	2,8	JP-S1, and 4 JP-P1	All allocations with employment development	No. of planning permissions approved against EA advice	13
Improve air quality	2,5,7,8,10	JP-S1, S2 and S5		Exceedance of the legal level of NO2 (as an Annual Mean) in local AQMA and Clean Air Plan Monitoring	6,10

Places for Everyone Monitoring Framework – Jobs

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Improve productivity	3,5,10	JP-Strat1 to 12, JP-J1		% increase in GVA per job	2,3
Increased number of jobs	3,5,10	JP-Strat1 to 12 JP-J1 and 2		Proportion of our residents (working age) in employment	2,4

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Improve access to jobs	4,5	JP-Strat1 to 12 JP-J1		Number of local labour agreements	2,3,4,5
Increase overall office floorspace by 2 million sq.m by 2039	3,5	JP-Strat1 to 12 JP-J1 to 3	All allocations with office development	Increase in office floorspace (gross)	2,3,4,5
Increase overall industry and warehousing floorspace by 3.5 million sq. m by 2039	3,5	JP-Strat1 and 4 to 11, JP-J1, 2 and 4	All allocations with industry or warehousing development	Increase in industry and warehousing floorspace (gross)	2,3,4,5
Secure main town centres as local economic drivers	1,2,3,5,6,7,9	JP-Strat1, 6, 9 and 12 JP-P4		No of residential units (net) delivered in main town centres GVA in and within 800m of the main town centres	1,2,17

Places for Everyone Monitoring Framework – Homes

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Deliver net increase in new homes	1,2,3,5,7,10	JP-Strat1 to 3, 5 to 9, 11 and 12. JP-H1	All allocations with housing development	Deliver approx. 9,063 annually by 2025 Deliver approx. 10,305 annually by 2030	1

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
				Deliver approx.10,719 annually by 2039	
Maximise delivery of additional affordable homes	1,2,5,10	JP-H1 and H2	All allocations with housing development	No. of new affordable homes completed	1,4,5,6
Increase the number of homes meeting Nationally Described Space Standard (NDSS)	1,2,5,10	JP-H3		% new homes meeting Nationally Described Space Standard (NDSS)	1,5
Increase the number of new homes meeting Accessible & Adaptable (A&A) standard	1,2,5,10	JP-H3		% new homes meeting Accessible & Adaptable (A&A) standard	1,5

Places for Everyone Monitoring Framework – Greener

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Enhance the green infrastructure network	2,5,7,8,9,10	JP-Strat2, 3, 5, 12 and 13 JP-G1 to 6, 8 and 9 JP-P1	All allocations	Gross area of new habitat created from the application of biodiversity net gain	6,10,11,12, 13,14,16

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
				Number, area and condition of sites of biological importance (SBIs)	
Increase tree planting	2,5,7,8,9,10	JP-G7		Number of trees planted annually (metric to be determined with respect to tree planting programmes and on site delivery as a result of planning decisions where available)	6,10,11,12,13,16
Increase access to green infrastructure	2,5,7,8,9,10	JP-Strat 2, 3, 5, 12 and 13 JP-G2 to 6, 8 and 9 JP-P6		Number of hectares of green infrastructure (metric will consider publicly accessible GI where information is available)	4,6,11,12

Places for Everyone Monitoring Framework – People

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Conserve, sustain and enhance our historic environment and heritage assets	2,4	JP-Strat1 to 3, 6 and 12, JP-P1, 2 and 3		Increase % of buildings on the “at risk register” with a strategy for their repair and re-use	16,17
Provision of additional school places to support new development	2,9	JP-Strat1, 2 and 9 JP-P1 and 5		Numbers of school places (Annual School Capacity survey). Consideration of ‘headroom’ statistics where available.	7,8
Workforce is ready to benefit from new employment opportunities	3,5	JP-Strat5, 6, 9, 11 and 12 JP-P5		% of working age population with Higher Level (4+) qualification(s) and % of working age population with sub Level 2 qualification.	4,5,6,8

Places for Everyone Monitoring Framework – Connected

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Increased proportion of daily trips by modes other than the car	2,5,6,7,10	JP-Strat1 to 12 JP-Strat14 JP-C1, 3, 5, 6 and 8		% of daily trips made by active travel, public transport, car & other (monitoring subject to further analysis of data collection methods – TRADS monitor undertaken by TfGM)	3,4,5,6,9,10
Increased proportion of new development in an accessible location	2,5,6,7,10	JP-Strat14 JP-S1, 2 and 5 JP-C1, 3, 5, 6 and 8		% of new housing (net) within 800m of good public transport accessibility and % of new employment floorspace within 800m of good public transport accessibility *definition of good public transport accessibility to be agreed with TfGM	3,4,5,9,10
Digital connectivity	2,3,4,5,6	JP-C2		Number of premises with full fibre connectivity	5,8
Increasing EV charging infrastructure	2,6,7	JP-S2 and C8		Number of EV charging points (% change can be monitored year to year or over longer time series)	9,10,12,15

Places for Everyone Monitoring Framework – Delivering the Plan

Policy Outcome	Places for Everyone Strategic Objective	Relevant Places for Everyone thematic policy	Relevant Places for Everyone allocation policy	Indicator (s)	IA Objective
Ensuring the right infrastructure is delivered at the right time (broken down by different types of contribution)	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	JP-S1, JP-S2, JP-J1, JP-H2, JP-G2, JP-G3, JP-G4, JP-G5, JP-G6, JP-G7, JPG 8, JP-P1, JP-P2, JP-P3, JP-P5, JP-P6, JP-P7, JP-C1, JP-C2, JP-C3, JP-C5, JP-C6, JP-C7, JP-C8,	Allocations (where mitigation is identified)	Links provided to each District’s Infrastructure Funding Statement/ Annual section 106 monitoring report	3,7,8,9,11
Secure appropriate S106 contributions for affordable housing	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10	JP-H1 and H2 JP-D2		Developer contributions for the delivery of affordable housing	1,4,6